

## Kirklees Draft Local Plan: Summary of comments and the Council's Responses

### Sites accepted in the Draft Local Plan

This report provides the number of comments made (Support, Conditional Support, Object and No Comment) on the Draft Local Plan Consultation (November 2015 - February 2016) and summary of these comments and the Council's response, including proposed changes to the Local Plan. Comment references are listed - full details of each comment are available at [www.kirklees.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.kirklees.gov.uk/localplan)

#### Summary of comments

#### Council Response

### Employment

E1829	Former Brook Motors Playing Fields, New Mill Road, Brockholes DLP_AD2763, DLP_AD5226, DLP_AD8610, DLP_AD10328, DLP_AD10633	Support	Conditional Support	3	Object	2	No Comment	
Development should address lack of off-street car parking The site is in flood zone 3 - compensatory storage should be considered (Environment Agency)		No change from the draft Local Plan.						
Support reference to Water Framework Directive (Environment Agency)		The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.						
Crossley Mill weir adjoins site - this is a priority for improving fish passage (Environment Agency) Site is part of Yorkshire Wildlife Trust's Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape		The employment option has been accepted for the following reasons:						
Design of site should seek to benefit biodiversity, through invasive species control, wetland and woodland habitat creation		No significant constraints identified. Replacement playing pitch will be required to meet the shortfall in the area. Site wholly within flood 3. Sequential test will be required and compensatory storage considered.						
Opportunities for improving riparian habitat along the River Holme (Environment Agency) Affects playing field or land last used for playing field (Sport England)		Parking provision will be addressed as part of a detailed planning application.						
Potential value as a recreational facility		Sequential test will be required in relation to areas affected by flood zone 3. Compensatory storage has been included as an additional site specific consideration and will need to be taken into account at the detailed planning application stage.						
Mitigation of visual impact may be required Development would remove green divide between Honley and Brockholes. Land allocated for employment uses in this area is in the right locations.		The Yorkshire Wildlife Trust's Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape has been acknowledged and appropriate mitigation measures will be required to enhance biodiversity where possible.						
		Replacement playing pitch in the area of need will be required to off set the loss of the playing pitch at this site.						
		Appropriate landscaping to mitigate against visual impact will be considered and agreed as part of a detailed planning application.						
		The surrounding area is predominantly built out and not within the green belt. Site is not therefore performing a green belt function. Honley and Brockholes are already merged.						
		Support for the allocation has been noted.						
E1831	Land to the north and west of, The Royds, Whitechapel Road, Cleckheaton DLP_AD398, DLP_AD603, DLP_AD608, DLP_AD612, DLP_AD615, DLP_AD618, DLP_AD696, DLP_AD869, DLP_AD954, DLP_AD1042, DLP_AD1043, DLP_AD1095, DLP_AD1107, DLP_AD1120, DLP_AD1190, DLP_AD1225, DLP_AD1260, DLP_AD1362, DLP_AD1945, DLP_AD2632, DLP_AD2635, DLP_AD3619, DLP_AD3957, DLP_AD4465, DLP_AD4625, DLP_AD4679, DLP_AD4886, DLP_AD4887, DLP_AD4893, DLP_AD4895, DLP_AD4896, DLP_AD4899, DLP_AD4900, DLP_AD4901, DLP_AD4902, DLP_AD4903, DLP_AD4904, DLP_AD4922, DLP_AD5011, DLP_AD5086, DLP_AD5751, DLP_AD7464, DLP_AD7744, DLP_AD7843, DLP_AD10452, DLP_AD11036	Support	12	Conditional Support	2	Object	32	No Comment
Road congestion issues would increase and create an unsafe environment. Development encourages commuting. A58 is too narrow for an increase in commercial vehicles. Congestion at Chain Bar Jct 26 will increase. Traffic will increase through scholes village. Site access is opposite a high school on the A58. Parking is currently an issue at Whitechapel Primary School, this will become worse.		No change from the draft Local Plan						
Highways England - Individual sites impact not significant but by virtue of location and proximity to other proposed developments site may need to contribute to additional schemes identified in the IDP if committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity.		The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.						
Transport and access appraisal submitted - residual impact of development traffic on local network can be		The site has been accepted to meet the needs of the manufacturing industry - particularly advanced manufacturing and precision engineering. It has been demonstrated there are no site opportunities of the size and location required by manufacturing within the localities of Kirklees. In view of this exceptional circumstances exist to release land from the green belt for B2 operations.						

## Summary of comments

mitigated and unlikely to be severe. Bus stops in easy walking distance of site. Two points of access likely to be required and can be achieved with correct visibility splays and junction layout.

Drainage capacity is insufficient

Increased flood risk on A58, outside the Hunsworth pub

Site will include swales to control surface water discharge

Drainage and flood assessment submitted - flood zone 1. Flood risk acceptable for commercial light industrial development. Small area of surface water flooding, risk considered very low.

Proposals will bring problems of pollution, noise and increased CO2 emissions from increased traffic

Air quality at Chain Bar is already a problem, problems will increase.

Amount of proposals in Cleckheaton will impact resident's health

Appropriate mitigation to be included in the site to off-set the potential impact on noise, odour and air pollution. Environmental Health have reviewed surveys and agree with their findings. No absolute constraints and satisfied that appropriate mitigation can be put in place at the detailed application stage.

Loss of trees and natural vegetation, TPO's on site.

Wildlife would be affected, bats and birds

Development will cause destruction of existing field pattern.

Area of high archaeological importance

- Roman Temple at Whitechapel

- Roman Fort at Whitcliffe

Negative impact on visual amenity

Archaeological desk-based assessment indicates there is low potential for archaeological remains within the proposed development site. To further assess the potential for buried heritage assets, a geophysical survey of the site is recommended.

Loss of informal recreational land

- Part of Spen Heritage Trail

Masterplan submitted - Spen Valley Greenway and pedestrian links to be included within the site

Should be retained as green belt to prevent sprawl and safeguard from encroachment. There are no exceptional circumstances to warrant change of green belt designation. Land acts as a buffer between A58, M62, Scholes and Cleckheaton

CPRE - well-screened and low contribution to Green Belt. Farmland to west very important for breathing space between Scholes, M62 and Cleckheaton. Impact on this needs to be avoided.

Development will have a negative impact on the landscape

Landscape assessment submitted - site design and layout to take account of the character of the landscape.

Masterplan submitted - provides an indicative layout and landscaping features

Negative impact on character of the area.

Gas mains are present on site

Site is within a mining area

Privacy issues for residents/ blocking natural daylight

Coal authority report submitted by site promoter shows one mine entry on the site.

Alternative Brownfield sites would better serve this and would regenerate areas. Northern part of the district has been allocated a disproportionate amount of development. Too much employment land has been allocated in Batley and Spen. Employment land should be located in the south of the district encouraging traffic flow to the south will alleviate congestion on commuter routes to Leeds and Bradford. The site is inappropriately located next to a cemetery and within a residential location.

Industry is required but agricultural land is needed more.

Land near junction of Branch Road is more suitable and cannot be seen visually

Additional employment land is surplus to requirements

Rejected plans for supermarket on site.

## Council Response

Various access options exist to serve the development including from A58 Whitehall Road which is subject to a de-restricted speed limit (60mph). A stopping sight distance of 215m is required. A secondary access is possible from the B6120 Whitechapel Road. 2.4 x 43m (30mph speed limit) visibility splays required.. The connecting links assessment which considers the impact of the development on the local road network considers that the site is acceptable. Need for the development to potentially contribute towards schemes identified in the IDP has been noted.

Site falls within flood zone 1 and is therefore not considered to be at significant risk of flood, however, surface water flooding has been noted. Site promoter has supplied a flood and drainage report. Swales are proposed to control surface water discharge.

The area is not in or near an Air Quality management area or an area of concern in terms of Air Quality. Pollutant levels in close proximity to this site have never been, nor currently exceed health related pollutant objectives.

Most of the site falls within an outer hazard zone. A small part of the site is affected by the route of a high pressure gas pipeline. Appropriate layout will mitigate this constraint. Site promoter has supplied a noise and odour survey which has concluded there to be no significant constraints and appropriate mitigation measure can be put in place.

Objection of proposed development on trees and wildlife have been noted. Technical assessment concludes there to be no significant impact and developer has provided a masterplan indicating the inclusion of the TPO's within the design of the employment site.

No objection from English Heritage and Conservation and Design. WYASS confirms there to be an area of potential archaeological interest and recommend predetermination desk-based assessment & possible evaluation. An archaeological evaluation has been carried out by the site promoter which confirms there is low potential for archaeological remains. However, a geophysical survey is recommended to determine the potential for buried heritage assets.

Spen Valley Greenway is to be connected via pedestrian and cycle links to be included within the site and along Whitehall Road.

The site promoter has supplied a landscape assessment of the site and proposed mitigation to off-set the impact from development. Final details would be agreed and secured through the planning application process. This will also include the need for the appropriate design, layout and landscaping of the development to mitigate any potential impact on nearby residential areas.

The design and layout of the site will take account of any constraints on the site such as high pressure gas mains and mining legacy.

Spen Valley Civic Society support development of the site with reservations  
- opposes development on the Whitechapel Road border

Support the need for employment land in this location to bring socio-economic benefits to Cleckheaton and to delivery the employment land need and jobs identified in the draft local plan.

WYCA - support the allocation as it will assist with the economic objectives of the SEP

E1832	Land to the north and south of, Leeds Road, Mirfield	Support 2	Conditional Support 7	Object 322	No Comment
	DLP_AD1365, DLP_AD2130, DLP_AD2618, DLP_AD3114, DLP_AD3468, DLP_AD3822, DLP_AD4134, DLP_AD4307, DLP_AD4650, DLP_AD4680, DLP_AD4759, DLP_AD5113, DLP_AD5122, DLP_AD5637, DLP_AD6313, DLP_AD6669, DLP_AD6679, DLP_AD6885, DLP_AD6899, DLP_AD6981, DLP_AD6999, DLP_AD7147, DLP_AD7148, DLP_AD7150, DLP_AD7151, DLP_AD7152, DLP_AD7154, DLP_AD7155, DLP_AD7157, DLP_AD7164, DLP_AD7166, DLP_AD7169, DLP_AD7170, DLP_AD7174, DLP_AD7176, DLP_AD7181, DLP_AD7187, DLP_AD7240, DLP_AD7241, DLP_AD7248, DLP_AD7270, DLP_AD7276, DLP_AD7278, DLP_AD7315, DLP_AD7323, DLP_AD7331, DLP_AD7337, DLP_AD7345, DLP_AD7367, DLP_AD7379, DLP_AD7390, DLP_AD7398, DLP_AD7410, DLP_AD7421, DLP_AD7465, DLP_AD7492, DLP_AD7493, DLP_AD7494, DLP_AD7495, DLP_AD7496, DLP_AD7497, DLP_AD7507, DLP_AD7731, DLP_AD7732, DLP_AD7733, DLP_AD7734, DLP_AD7735, DLP_AD7736, DLP_AD7737, DLP_AD7738, DLP_AD7741, DLP_AD7742, DLP_AD7746, DLP_AD7749, DLP_AD7750, DLP_AD7753, DLP_AD7754, DLP_AD7759, DLP_AD7764, DLP_AD7768, DLP_AD7772, DLP_AD7775, DLP_AD7779, DLP_AD7809, DLP_AD7823, DLP_AD7826, DLP_AD7889, DLP_AD7890, DLP_AD7891, DLP_AD7896, DLP_AD7900, DLP_AD7904, DLP_AD7907, DLP_AD7908, DLP_AD7910, DLP_AD7925, DLP_AD7927, DLP_AD7936, DLP_AD7937, DLP_AD7950, DLP_AD7951, DLP_AD7953, DLP_AD7959, DLP_AD7969, DLP_AD7970, DLP_AD7971, DLP_AD7972, DLP_AD7973, DLP_AD7979, DLP_AD7982, DLP_AD7985, DLP_AD7989, DLP_AD7994, DLP_AD8000, DLP_AD8003, DLP_AD8007, DLP_AD8008, DLP_AD8027, DLP_AD8032, DLP_AD8033, DLP_AD8034, DLP_AD8053, DLP_AD8054, DLP_AD8055, DLP_AD8056, DLP_AD8057, DLP_AD8058, DLP_AD8059, DLP_AD8060, DLP_AD8062, DLP_AD8072, DLP_AD8077, DLP_AD8078, DLP_AD8080, DLP_AD8083, DLP_AD8087, DLP_AD8090, DLP_AD8094, DLP_AD8096, DLP_AD8100, DLP_AD8103, DLP_AD8104, DLP_AD8106, DLP_AD8110, DLP_AD8112, DLP_AD8113, DLP_AD8138, DLP_AD8224, DLP_AD8225, DLP_AD8239, DLP_AD8242, DLP_AD8246, DLP_AD8248, DLP_AD8358, DLP_AD8373, DLP_AD8374, DLP_AD8375, DLP_AD8376, DLP_AD8377, DLP_AD8378, DLP_AD8379, DLP_AD8380, DLP_AD8381, DLP_AD8382, DLP_AD8383, DLP_AD8384, DLP_AD8385, DLP_AD8387, DLP_AD8388, DLP_AD8475, DLP_AD8476, DLP_AD8477, DLP_AD8478, DLP_AD8479, DLP_AD8480, DLP_AD8481, DLP_AD8568, DLP_AD8569, DLP_AD8570, DLP_AD8571, DLP_AD8572, DLP_AD8611, DLP_AD8712, DLP_AD8740, DLP_AD8743, DLP_AD8745, DLP_AD8748, DLP_AD8782, DLP_AD8847, DLP_AD8850, DLP_AD8851, DLP_AD8853, DLP_AD8855, DLP_AD8861, DLP_AD8865, DLP_AD8867, DLP_AD8871, DLP_AD8874, DLP_AD8877, DLP_AD8880, DLP_AD8885, DLP_AD8885, DLP_AD8962, DLP_AD8965, DLP_AD8966, DLP_AD8967, DLP_AD8970, DLP_AD8972, DLP_AD8975, DLP_AD8976, DLP_AD8977, DLP_AD8978, DLP_AD8979, DLP_AD9003, DLP_AD9005, DLP_AD9007, DLP_AD9009, DLP_AD9010, DLP_AD9012, DLP_AD9016, DLP_AD9018, DLP_AD9074, DLP_AD9075, DLP_AD9076, DLP_AD9077, DLP_AD9078, DLP_AD9079, DLP_AD9080, DLP_AD9081, DLP_AD9269, DLP_AD9286, DLP_AD9345, DLP_AD9347, DLP_AD9358, DLP_AD9362, DLP_AD9367, DLP_AD9380, DLP_AD9382, DLP_AD9395, DLP_AD9399, DLP_AD9404, DLP_AD9412, DLP_AD9415, DLP_AD9435, DLP_AD9440, DLP_AD9460, DLP_AD9472, DLP_AD9498, DLP_AD9500, DLP_AD9504, DLP_AD9505, DLP_AD9508, DLP_AD9509, DLP_AD9516, DLP_AD9517, DLP_AD9519, DLP_AD9521, DLP_AD9523, DLP_AD9527, DLP_AD9529, DLP_AD9534, DLP_AD9538, DLP_AD9540, DLP_AD9829, DLP_AD9830, DLP_AD9834, DLP_AD9841, DLP_AD9854, DLP_AD9855, DLP_AD9856, DLP_AD9877, DLP_AD9943, DLP_AD9944, DLP_AD9945, DLP_AD9946, DLP_AD10048, DLP_AD10091, DLP_AD10094, DLP_AD10101, DLP_AD10106, DLP_AD10111, DLP_AD10115, DLP_AD10116, DLP_AD10118, DLP_AD10119, DLP_AD10120, DLP_AD10131, DLP_AD10178, DLP_AD10190, DLP_AD10197, DLP_AD10201, DLP_AD10202, DLP_AD10203, DLP_AD10205, DLP_AD10207, DLP_AD10208, DLP_AD10209, DLP_AD10210, DLP_AD10232, DLP_AD10233, DLP_AD10248, DLP_AD10264, DLP_AD10313, DLP_AD10318, DLP_AD10319, DLP_AD10389, DLP_AD10390, DLP_AD10401, DLP_AD10404, DLP_AD10412, DLP_AD10433, DLP_AD10437, DLP_AD10454, DLP_AD10480, DLP_AD10483, DLP_AD10495, DLP_AD10500, DLP_AD10505, DLP_AD10512, DLP_AD10513, DLP_AD10515, DLP_AD10532, DLP_AD10536, DLP_AD10538, DLP_AD10539, DLP_AD10547, DLP_AD10550, DLP_AD10555, DLP_AD10556, DLP_AD10597, DLP_AD11037				

Development will increase traffic congestion at an already congested junction. No realistic plan presented to address funding of required infrastructure improvements. Increased traffic will have safety implications for the parents and children at the local schools due to rat running.

E1832 may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes where committed RIS will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England do not have committed investment. Construction of the site should be phased.

Site is isolated from residential areas therefore the workforce would need to travel by car.

Site is well connected to the M62 trans-Pennine corridor and is close to the M1.

Highways improvements for the Cooper Bridge area have been identified as a top priority for the West Yorkshire Plus Transport Fund. The very fact that the Cooper Bridge junction will secure the necessary funding demonstrates the economic potential of land in this part of Kirklees. The allocation of a large employment site will help to validate funding decisions. The presence of a large employment site and the associated economic benefits it brings, will add weight and confidence to a decision to commit required funding and actually commence works on the ground.

Site at risk of flooding (flood zones 2 and 3a). It is understood that the water treatment works site may be useful for flood risk alleviation. This should be safeguarded for that purpose until the possibility has been fully investigated.

The Greenfield element of the site will have no existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft

Proposed change.

This site is proposed as a rejected employment allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for employment, The reasons for change are outlined below:

This site falls within a restricted area of green belt north of Leeds Road which abuts green belt in Calderdale. The size of the option would impact significantly on the strategic gap contrary to the role and function of the green belt, although the presence of green belt in Calderdale prevents physical merger. The configuration and extent of the site means that development would be poorly related to any settlement and would represent significant encroachment into this countryside landscape. The option does not in places follow any feature on the ground so would leave the adjacent green belt vulnerable to sprawl and further encroachment contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. There are areas of priority habitat within the site and historic assets in close proximity, the settings of which are best protected by the green belt designation. Alternative option E1832c has been accepted as it maintains the strategic gap between Kirklees and Calderdale. The boundary is defensible as it follows physical features on the ground.

Comments in support of the allocation have been noted and have been accommodated through the acceptance of E1832c.

Comments objecting to the site option have been noted.

Technical appraisal responses to issues raised during consultation:

## Summary of comments

---

policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply.

The planned commercial/industrial use is classified by the NPPF as 'less vulnerable' to flood risk.

The northern part of the site lies mainly in Flood Zone 1 where all forms of development are appropriate. There is a small area of Flood Zone 2 where commercial/industrial development is appropriate but subject to the Sequential Test.

The southern part of the site lies mainly in Flood Zone 3 where commercial/industrial development is appropriate but, again, subject to the Sequential Test. In the southern part of the site floor levels will be raised above the potential flood level.

Surface water drainage design would employ SuDS techniques for flow attenuation and treatment.

Yorkshire Water has confirmed that a foul discharge connection may be made to the 300mm diameter public combined sewer in Huddersfield Road about 200m to the east  
The preservation of trees is essential to maintaining and improving air quality. Increased traffic congestion will impact upon air and noise quality. Industrial development would increase pollution in the River Calder.

Due to the proximity of the proposed site to Cooper Bridge waste water treatment works (WWTW) there is the potential for loss of amenity to future occupiers (particularly office) due to odour and noise. Avoid sensitive receptors such as office being located in close proximity to the existing WWTW and ensure an effective site lay out with B2 and B8 operations closest to the WWTW. The erection of suitable screening is advised for visual amenity.

There is a possibility of elevated concentrations or exceedances of the air quality objectives of Kirklees AQMA, it is considered that various mitigation measures would reduce this impact, including: a green travel plan; sustainable transport strategies; electric vehicle charging points; and an air pollution damage cost calculation

The works proposed to improve the Cooper Bridge roundabout are likely to have a positive effect on the pollutant levels in the area as well, as this will reduce the amount of stationary traffic in the area, which is often a great contributor to elevated pollutants.

Mitigation measures to manage noise generated by commercial buildings, including plant and yard activity are suggested are proposed. Relevant mitigation measures are likely to ensure that the development can proceed without affecting residential amenity.

There is likely to be made ground as a result of former shallow and deep mining activity. The Three Nuns Pit was a shallow mine to deep mine and there are four shafts and audits on the site. Any ground settlement associated with deep mining should have already occurred, although there may be remaining instability from shallower workings which will need to be investigated and remediate. There is also an active Waste Water Treatment Works and electricity substation, as well as several records of discharge consents, pollution controls and pollution incidents within influencing distance of the site. There are also potential asbestos containing materials in buildings on the site. These sources will need to be investigated further, but they present a low to moderate risk of contamination.  
Development would harm the wildlife, flora and fauna in the area. Badger set is present in this area. Site is home to a colony of Common Spotted Orchids. This is the only known site where this species grows in North Kirklees.

This is an area identified by the Yorkshire Wildlife Trust as important for wildlife and has the potential to be enhanced for biodiversity. The trust would like to see any major allocations within the Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape to include enhancements for biodiversity.

The southern part of the site has few features of interest as it is previously developed and remains in use as a water treatment works. However, part of the site to the north is UK BAP Habitat. Future development proposals will have a minimum of 10m stand-off, with planting, on the River Calder to protect otters.

## Council Response

---

Biodiversity - The area of common spotted orchids suggest a lack of agricultural improvements to a grassland and should be retained if it can be mapped. The nearest great crested newt record we hold is 1.5km to the east and this record is questionable. We would not expect this to be an issue. We should have included a 10m buffer from all water courses in our response for otter, bats and general protection of the river corridor (WYE).

Historic Environment - FAS heritage assessment recommends the preservation of the park boundary and the retention of the park wall, cottage and deer house. No construction should take place within the park boundary but if was to then mitigation by sensitive design and screening will be required. Site promoter will be taking account of such recommendations - which have been broadly agreed with HE - and will put in place appropriate levels of mitigation.

Due to the characteristics of the site, it is likely to support badger sets and there is potential for it to contain features of value to local bird and bat populations. Further 45 survey work is recommended to determine whether these species are present on the site and, if so, to define suitable mitigation.

Proposed site area could be home to Great Crested Newts  
Negative impact upon Grade II Registered Historic Park and Garden (Kirklees Park) including the designated heritage assets within it. Site option needs to be accommodated by a masterplan which deals with the historic environment and proposes mitigation measures that have been informed by the Cultural Heritage Assessment and Conservation Management Plan.

This site has links to the Luddites, Chartists, Brontes and the legend of Robin Hood. This is an important historical site and contributes to the distinctive identity of the Kirklees area.

Site contains area of archaeological interest (PRN2123). Recommend predetermination archaeological evaluation and the removal of part of the site from proposed development. Screening and building heights will need to be carefully considered to mitigate impact on heritage assets. The nature of activities to be allowed will require careful consideration.

The council has applied an inconsistent approach when considering site E1832. The scheduled ancient monument at Castle Hill Huddersfield has been afforded protection including views into it. Cooper Bridge also has ancient scheduled monument status yet it does not benefit from the same levels of protection. The council should recognise that it has a similar duty to help protect the Kirklees Priory and its environs. The land is enjoyed by the people who choose to use it for informal recreation. It is the only natural large walking area in the whole of this part of North Kirklees. The loss of this site will impact upon people's health and must be preserved at all costs.

The site has excellent access to the work force located in east Huddersfield, south eastern Calderdale, south Bradford and the range of smaller settlements around the Mirfield / Cleckheaton / Dewsbury area.

A number of public rights of way run across the site. These routes may need to be diverted within or around the site as part of detailed proposals. Subject to suitable master planning of the site, these can be incorporated into the scheme in a way which does not make them less conducive to users. The site is an important open space and well served by a series of footpaths.

Development of this site would be contrary to national policy and the purposes of the green belt which are; to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas, safeguard the countryside from encroachment, prevent the merger of settlements and assist with urban regeneration of previously developed land.

Employment purposes do not constitute 'exceptional circumstances' and therefore this allocation is not justified.

Exceptional circumstances must be demonstrated to justify the release of green belt. As per case law 'need' and the planning benefits associated with delivering such a scheme can constitute exceptional circumstances. In this case the exceptional circumstances include: the need for prime employment land, the need for employment land generally; and the ability of the site to deliver against that need, with reference to its location, deliverability and overall compatibility with the Leeds City Region Strategic Economic Strategy and the Kirklees Economic Strategy 2014. Development would have a negative impact on the setting of the nearby Ancient Woodland. Industrial units would be completely out of character for the area and reduce openness of the local landscape.

An industrial complex of such a scale and layout will totally destroy the rural landscape and historic setting of this nationally significant site.

The proposed allocation is within the Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape. The Calder Valley river corridor contains areas of farmland and wetlands in addition to woodland and river habitats.

Any large scale development will have an effect on landscape character. However, the impact can be mitigated because the land beyond the site is owned by Kirklees Estates and therefore additional planting can take place in this area.

The following principles will inform the development scheme: careful retention of existing landscape elements, wherever possible; sensitive siting of large scale units, including sensitive use of levels; use of appropriate materials and building forms; and a comprehensive landscape strategy designed to screen the site from view (so far as it is possible) on its boundaries, with planting permeating through the site itself.

It is considered that a development of the site can be achieved which respects existing views, does not harm visual amenity and which minimises the effect of the development on the historic environment and landscape character.

This site is unsustainable and undeliverable due to overriding constraints. There is no demand for industrial development.

Whilst further investigation work will be required, the initial assessment work has not found any issues which are likely to mean that the site is not suitable for development, provided that suitable mitigation measures are put in place.

This part of Northern England has become a hub for precision engineering and advanced manufacturing businesses, many of which are looking to relocate or expand existing businesses.

The site has all of the locational characteristics necessary to meet the needs of businesses which, to date have been frustrated by lack of suitable supply.

Risk of mining legacy.

There is a 60 mm diameter public surface water sewer recorded crossing the site. A 3.5 meter buffer either side from the centre-line of the sewer will be required (5 meters in the case of tree planting). It may not be acceptable to raise or lower the ground levels over the sewer, not to restrict access to the manholes on the sewer. It may be possible to divert the sewer via section 185 of the Water Industry Act 1991 - at the cost of the developer.

There is a 15" treated water main crossing the site and it is essential that it is effectively protected. A stand-off of 6.5 meters either side of the pipes centre-line is likely to be required.

There is a significant gas main running north-south through the site. Initial consultation with the Gas Authority has indicated that the gas main could remain in situ, provided that 10m easements from the centre line of the mains are maintained.

The Batley and Spen area has an unfair distribution of development. A more equitable distribution should be considered across the Kirklees district.

Industrial development should be focussed around areas of higher unemployment such as Dewsbury and Batley. These locations also have stronger links to the M1. New jobs should also be focussed on Dewsbury, Huddersfield and Batley.

Continued support for the allocation of large scale strategic employment site in this part of Huddersfield is evident in both the City Region Strategic Economic Plan and the Kirklees Economic Strategy. Use derelict land to accommodate development needs. Need to reuse empty industrial units first of which there are plenty.

Development would reduce the value of surrounding properties.

Reduce the scale of the site to include the former water treatment works only.

E1832 will result in the loss of agricultural land. The country will become more and more reliant on imported food if we continue to lose land for agriculture.

Summary of comments

Council Response

There is no demand for the level of industrial floor space being proposed. Vacant units exist in the local area - including neighbouring Calderdale - and should be used as Brownfield opportunities first.

The council has failed to demonstrate the objectively assessed need for manufacturing and therefore the need to release green belt for E1832. The potential of other, already allocated sites, such as Slipper Lane should be taken in to account before committing to the release of green belt at Cooper Bridge. Both Slipper Lane and the Brownfield element of E1832 would provide land for 3,136 manufacturing jobs. This would leave only an extra 2.44 hectares to be found for B2. This could quite easily be found on existing Brownfield land.

The employment allocation at Slipper Lane/Mirfield Moor has not been developed. This clearly demonstrates that E1832 is unjustified and unnecessary.

Local mains reinforcement of water/waste water networks could be required.

Proposed use of site is low job density and does not justify the loss of such a large green space.

E1832 will not impact on grade 1 or 2 agricultural land. The area proposed will affect predominantly grade 3b with elements of grade 3a (particularly in the northern section of the site). The site is therefore not all Best and Most Versatile land and is instead a combination of previously developed, lower quality agricultural land and high quality agricultural land.

The availability of land in Kirklees is significantly affected by topography, particularly at the western side of the district. With motorway proximity taken into account, the options to accommodate growth for this market are extremely limited.

It is considered that no urban Brownfield sites in Kirklees are capable of delivering a strategic employment site which fulfils the economic aims and objectives of the economic strategy (2014) to the same extent as Cooper Bridge when taking account of its size, location and connectivity with other established business uses.

There are two allocated employment sites (Slipper Lane, Mirfield and Lindley Moor) which are well located and close to the motorway network. Both are now subject to planning permission for mixed use schemes which include employment and housing. These are a committed supply of land which is broadly capable of meeting immediate needs. However, on the basis of average take-up, these consents will provide only a fraction of the land needed to ensure a steady supply of good quality land to the market.

WYCA - support the allocation as it will assist with the economic objectives of the SEP

**E1836** Land south of , Bradley Business Park, Dyson Wood Way, Bradley  
DLP\_AD200, DLP\_AD211, DLP\_AD2127, DLP\_AD3864, DLP\_AD4305, DLP\_AD8608, DLP\_AD10890

Poor public transport will cause increased car commuting unless the former is improved.

Highways England - Individual sites impact not significant but by virtue of location and proximity to other proposed developments site may need to contribute to additional schemes identified in the IDP if committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity.

There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site.

The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)

The allocation is close to Lower Fell Greave, Dyson and Screamer ancient woodland. Ensure woodland buffer and mitigation is enough to protect native species. Involve local charity in planning and planting. Impact of traffic, air quality and drainage should be assessed. Paragraph 118 of the NPPF should be

Support Conditional Support 4 Object 3 No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The employment site option has been accepted for the following reasons:

No significant constraints identified. Site is an existing UDP employment allocation and remains suitable for employment in the Local Plan, in view of this option accepted. 0.43ha has been removed from the net area to reflect biodiversity issues. Proximity to residential has been noted and appropriate mitigation and types of business operations will be considered.

Specific issues relating to individual sites have been considered by a range of technical consultees. It is considered that there are no constraints with this site that cannot be addressed through the detailed planning process including public transport provision and site accessibility.

Summary of comments

considered to incorporate biodiversity in and around development.  
 Site may contain area of archaeological interest (PRN3569) - recommend that it can be dealt with post determination by condition if allocated.  
 Include improvements to and connectivity with footpaths in the vicinity.

Council Response

The presence of sewerage infrastructure can be addressed by the site layout at planning application stage.  
 An area of the site has been removed to address biodiversity issues.  
 The presence of archaeological interest can be dealt with post determination by condition.  
 The links to existing footpaths and green infrastructure can be addressed at the planning application stage where consideration will be given to the relevant local and national planning policies.

**E1837** Land to the north of H and E Fabrications Ltd, St Andrew's Road, Huddersfield  
 DLP\_AD4306, DLP\_AD8775

Support Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

This site needs improved pedestrian and cycle links to the town centre and public transport if it is to be developed.  
 Turn Bridge on Quay Street is a Scheduled Monument.  
 National policy guidance makes it clear that Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. If allocated, the Plan should make it clear that development proposals for this area would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of this monument are not harmed. (Historic England)

No change from the draft Local Plan  
 The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 The employment option has been accepted for the following reasons:  
 The site is accepted as an employment allocation as it has no overriding constraints. The site currently has planning permission for the erection of factory and ancillary offices with car parking and new service access road. (2015/62/92014)  
 The site is close to Huddersfield town centre. Issues of connectivity and the impact on the Scheduled Monument can be addressed at planning application stage through appropriate mitigation conditions.

**E1866** Land at, Spinksmire Mill, Huddersfield Road , Meltham  
 DLP\_AD9449, DLP\_AD10331, DLP\_AD10634

Support Conditional Support 2 Object 1 No Comment

Development should address lack of off-street car parking  
 Development potential reduced because of flood risk.  
 The brook runs in culvert beneath the site, de-culverting should be considered in the allocation (Environment Agency)  
 Development potential reduced because of mixed deciduous woodland.  
 Potential benefits in removing weir adjacent to site and improving fish passage. (Environment Agency)  
 Mitigation of visual impact may be required  
 Existing buildings on site may minimise the net developable area.  
 Land allocated for employment uses in this area is in the right locations.

No change from the draft Local Plan  
 The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 The employment option has been accepted for the following reasons:  
 The site is located close to existing employment land. Part of the land is within flood zone 3, adjacent to Meltham Dike, and would therefore require a sequential test. Meltham Dike is also a priority habitat and any development would need to be mindful of this and seek to minimise disturbance to neighbouring habitats.  
 Parking provision will be considered and addressed at the detailed planning application stage.  
 Sequential test will be required for areas affected by flood zone 3. Potential for de-culverting will also be a consideration at the detailed planning application stage. Issue has been listed as a site specific consideration.  
 Enhancement to biodiversity has been included as a site specific consideration and will be agreed at the detailed planning application.  
 The visual impact of any proposed development will be appropriately mitigated at the detailed planning application stage.  
 Areas of developed land have already been taken into account and not included within the developable area.  
 Support for employment in this location has been noted.



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>E1871</b>	Land north-east of, Bottoms Mill, Woodhead Road, Holmfirth DLP_AD8613, DLP_AD10336, DLP_AD11099	Support 2	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
<p>Support flood zone 3b removal from the developable area (Environment Agency). Site adjoins the River Holme - there may be possibilities for enhancing the riparian habitat (Environment Agency). Weir in the vicinity of this site is not a priority for enabling fish passage but there may be environmental benefits in improving fish passage (Environment Agency). Potential impact on Malkin House Wood Local Wildlife Site and Ancient Woodland - all impacts to be fully assessed prior to adoption and mitigation considered (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust). Ecological buffer of at least 15m between site and LWS/ River Holme (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust). Consider in combination with H816 (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust).</p> <p>Employment is vital to the growth and affluence of ay area and steps must be taken to attract and support business growth within the Holme Valley</p>		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>The employment option has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>Third party land required to achieve access. Flood zone 3 covers half of this site therefore a flood risk sequential test would be required. Would need to have regard to buffer from adjacent local wildlife site. All issues identified could however be satisfactorily mitigated. Employment option accepted.</p> <p>Enhancements to biodiversity has been included for this allocation as a site specific consideration.</p> <p>Support for employment allocation E1871 has been noted.</p>			
<b>E1873</b>	Land to the north of Crossroad Commercials Ltd, Pheasant Drive, Birstall DLP_AD10789, DLP_AD10790, DLP_AD10791	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<p>Site is supported by local councillors</p>		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>This employment option has been accepted for the following reasons.</p> <p>Site is an existing UDP business and industry allocation. No significant site constraints have been identified. Site is located within an existing business and industrial area and has good access to junction 27 of the M62.</p> <p>Comments in support of the site have been noted.</p>			
<b>E1876</b>	Land south east of , Spen Valley Industrial Park , Rawfolds way , Cleckheaton DLP_AD10196, DLP_AD10327	Support	Conditional Support 2	Object	No Comment
<p>Modelled flood maps indicate a flood flow route and fluvial flood water ponding on site. This should highlighted in the allocation and be considered in the FRA as well as compensatory storage.</p> <p>There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water) As the site adjoins the River Spen there may be possibilities for enhancing the riparian habitat through this development.</p> <p>The required width of any stand-off distance or other protective measure such as diversion will have to be determined on an individual site/sewer basis. Also, it may not be acceptable to raise or lower ground levels over the sewerage, nor restrict access to manholes.</p> <p>There may be unmapped sewers within the site which require protection.</p>		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>The site has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>No significant constraints identified. Site has planning permission for 13 business units (2015/92093). Development has yet to start.</p> <p>Flooding, drainage and biodiversity issues can be successfully mitigated. Planning permission 2015/92093 will already have established appropriate mitigation measures for this site.</p>			
<b>E1879</b>	Land south of, Tilcon Coal Yard, Bretton Street, Dewsbury DLP_AD2129, DLP_AD8780, DLP_AD10195	Support	Conditional Support 3	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments

Protection of sewerage infrastructure

There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between 3 and 6 metres will be required. This will affect the layout of any future development. There may be unmapped sewers which require protection.

Surface water management

The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted.

(Yorkshire Water)

Bridge over Calder & Hebble Navigation Grade II listed. Development proposals for area need to ensure elements which contribute to significance are not harmed. Should be included in Local Plan reports/commentary section. (Historic England)

No apparent direct archaeological implications (WYAAS)

Council Response

No change form the draft Local Plan.

The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The employment allocation has been accepted for the following reasons:

All identified constraints can be successfully mitigated. Sequential test will be required and an appropriate stand-off for distance to protect sewerage infrastructure will be also be needed. Development of this site will need to take into consideration the Grade II listed bridge over the Calder and Hebble to ensure elements which contribute to its significance are not harmed.

Comments in relation to the historic environment and flood risk and drainage have been noted and will be taken into account.

**E1880** Land South West, Ratcliffe Mills, Forge Lane, Dewsbury  
DLP\_AD10325

Site in Flood Zone 3, recommend consideration of compensatory storage in allocation information (Environment Agency)

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

Change from the draft Local Plan

This site option was accepted in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) but has now been rejected for the following reasons:

Neighbouring land is now an active sand and gravel operation. The access road runs through site E1880 and is to become washland as part of the sand and gravel restoration scheme. Employment option rejected as future development no longer possible.

Flood comments noted.

**E1881** Land East Of , ParkHouse Health Care , Whithall Road , Birkenshaw  
DLP\_AD8214, DLP\_AD10792, DLP\_AD10793, DLP\_AD10794

Road cannot accommodate the additional traffic.

Support the employment allocation.

Support 3 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

Proposed change.

This site is proposed as a rejected employment allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for employment, The reasons for change are outlined below:

Site has been part developed for office and part is currently being developed for leisure. The southern part of UDP allocation B14.9 has been accepted for housing. In view of the uses already established and the housing option accepted on the remaining part of UDP allocation the employment option has been rejected.

In response to the representations received:

Site infrastructure already in place and no objection from Highways England or the council's highways team.

Employment allocation has now been rejected as per the justification text above.

**E1885** Land south west of, Red Doles Road, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD8776

Red Doles Lock is a Grade II Listed Building. There is a requirement in the 1990 Act that 'special regard' should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. If allocated, the Plan should make it clear that development proposals for this area would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings are not harmed. (Historic England)

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

No change.

This employment option has been rejected for the following reason:

The site is covered by an accepted Waste Option (W1)

Historic environment comments noted.

Summary of comments		Council Response				
<b>E1890</b>	Bent Ley Farm, Bent Ley Road, Meltham DLP_AD8787, DLP_AD9442, DLP_AD10333, DLP_AD10635	Support	Conditional Support	3	Object 1	No Comment
<p>Development should address lack of off-street car parking No reference is made to water framework directive. (Environment Agency) Potential benefits in removing weir adjacent to site and improving fish passage. (Environment Agency)</p> <p>Opportunities for improving riparian habitat along Mag Brook (Environment Agency) The site is adjacent to a listed building. Special regard should be had to this and the plan should be clear that development proposals will need to ensure elements contributing to the significance of the listed building are not harmed (English Heritage)</p> <p>Mitigation of visual impact may be required. The site is occupied by buildings and a large parking area and as such there is little or no opportunity for development. Land allocated for employment uses in this area is the right locations.</p>		<p>Proposed change.</p> <p>This site is proposed as a rejected employment allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for employment, The reasons for change are outlined below:</p> <p>Site has little capacity for additional employment development as it is currently occupied by employment uses. Site is to be included within Priority Employment Area KR8.</p> <p>Comments in support of the options have been noted.</p> <p>Identified constraints note however site is established and mitigation requirements likely to have been addressed through the planning permission.</p>				
<b>E1898</b>	Land east of, Alder Street, Huddersfield DLP_AD2128, DLP_AD8777	Support	Conditional Support	2	Object	No Comment
<p>The Railway Coal Chutes, Tramway, walls and gates are Grade II Listed Buildings. There is a requirement in the 1990 Act that 'special regard' should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. If allocated, the Plan should make it clear that development proposals for this area would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings are not harmed. (Historic England)</p>		<p>Proposed Change.</p> <p>This is a rejected employment allocation which is a change from the Draft Local Plan, where it was an accepted employment allocation.</p> <p>Issues identified relate to heritage assets on the site that should be retained. Site also falls within the outer HSE zone. Full Air Quality, Noise and Odour assessments would be required. Site falls entirely within a high risk coal mining area, an assessment will be required prior to any development. Public health issues identified within the area, particularly respiratory. Suitable mitigation would be required to ensure development does not increase the problem. Network Rail has confirmed the site is currently safeguarded as a Strategic Freight Site. If the land was deemed to be surplus to requirements then the alternative use to be pursued would be housing. In view of this the land owner does not support the business and industry allocation. Employment option rejected.</p>				
<b>E1899</b>	Land to the north and east of, 1-3, Greaves Road, Dewsbury DLP_AD10891	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
<p>Protection of sewerage infrastructure There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between 3 and 6 metres will be required. This will affect the layout of any future development. There may be unmapped sewers which require protection. Surface water management The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)</p>		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>The employment option has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>Existing UDP business and industry allocation now subject to planning permission for an industrial unit (2015/91564). Site access issues identified but should have been addressed through the granting of planning permission. Employment option accepted.</p> <p>Comments in relation to the flood risk and drainage have been noted and will have been taken into account in the granting of planning permission (2015/91564).</p>				
<b>E1900</b>	Land west of, Honley Business Centre, New Mill Road, Honley DLP_AD8612, DLP_AD10334, DLP_AD10636	Support	Conditional Support	3	Object	No Comment
<p>Development should address lack of off-street car parking Site is part of Yorkshire Wildlife Trust's Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape</p> <p>Design of site should seek to benefit biodiversity, through invasive species control, wetland and woodland</p>		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted employment allocation. It formed an accepted employment allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation</p>				

Summary of comments

habitat creation

Potential benefits in removing weir adjacent to site and improving fish passage (Environment Agency)

Opportunities for improving riparian habitat along the River Holme (Environment Agency)

Mitigation of visual impact may be required.

Land allocated for employment uses in this area is in the right locations.

Council Response

methodology.

The employment option has been accepted for the following reasons:

No significant constraints identified. Area within flood zone 3 therefore sequential test required. Developable area reduced to account for UK BAP Priority habitat.

Parking provision will be agreed as part of a detailed planning application.

Enhancements to biodiversity has been included for the allocation as a site specific consideration.

The visual impact of any proposed development will be taken into account and appropriately mitigated as part of a detailed planning application.

Support for the allocation has been noted.

E1985	Former North Bierley Waste Water Treatment Works, Cliff Hollins Lane, Cleckheaton	Support 2	Conditional Support 8	Object 8	No Comment 1
DLP_AD1533, DLP_AD3453, DLP_AD3869, DLP_AD3958, DLP_AD4678, DLP_AD5856, DLP_AD6330, DLP_AD7468, DLP_AD7743, DLP_AD7842, DLP_AD7905, DLP_AD8227, DLP_AD9154, DLP_AD10198, DLP_AD10277, DLP_AD10326, DLP_AD10450, DLP_AD10871, DLP_AD11025					

Support of site if access is not gained through Oakenshaw/Woodlands village  
Improvements at Chain Bar will be pointless  
Congestion issues on the A58 and A638 will increase  
Access road requires improvements

Proposed change.

This site is proposed as a rejected employment allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for employment, The reasons for change are outlined below:

The current boundary of the proposed allocation would compromise the delivery of the M62/M606 improvement scheme. Boundary needs to be amended to exclude this scheme.

Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify the release of land from the green belt. The northern extent of the proposed site abuts Cliffe Hollins Lane with Bradford. One of the purposes of the green belt is to prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another and although it is acknowledged there is development on the west of Bradford Road, undeveloped frontages help to maintain the appearance of separation. The extent of this site would therefore significantly reinforce merger with Bradford contrary to the role and function of the green belt. Alternative employment option E1985a has been accepted instead as the potential for merger is reduced.

43M stopping sight distance required for site access junction (30mph speed limit)  
The access road will require widening into the site as well as improvements to its junction with Cliff Hollins Lane  
Additional mitigation on wider highway network may be required

The connecting links assessment which considers the impact of the development on the local road network considers that the site is acceptable. Access is achievable using existing access to water works.43m stopping sight distance required for site access junction (30mph speed limit). The road will require widening into the site and improve junction with Cliff Hollins Lane.

Highways England - Individual sites impact not significant but by virtue of location and proximity to other proposed developments site may need to contribute to additional schemes identified in the IDP if committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity.

Signage on Cliff Hollins Lane stating "unsuitable for heavy goods vehicles", refers to narrow road AFTER proposed site access location.

Junction from Bradford Road is unsuitable. Increased risk of accidents to pupils at nearby school.  
Increased flood risk on A58  
Part of the site is located in flood zone 2 and 3a

Sign at Mill Carr Road / Cliffe Hollins Lane junction shows vehicles over 7.5t prohibited, except for access. This TRO should allow HGVs to access the site.

Sequential approach to the location of employment development required within this site to avoid high flood risk areas where possible

PROW SPE/21/20 to north of site boundary.

Prevention and mitigation to reflect Water Framework Directive requirements  
EA - allocation should explore opportunities to support River Spen restoration work through this development.  
Proposals will increase air quality problems around Chain Bar (Jct26)  
Noise and odour pollution from wagons and potential manufacturing purposes will increase  
Development will impact residents health  
Negative impact on wildlife  
- Hedgehogs  
- Birds  
Affected by Hanging Wood Local Wildlife Site to the north [east] of the site  
- Bats  
EA - although the weir in the vicinity of the site is not a priority structure for fish passage there may be environmental benefits in improving fish passage.  
Site provides openness along the M606 corridor. Development is proposed on adjacent green belt in the

Highways Agency rank 3 site: additional mitigation required. This mitigation could be achieved through appropriate contributions to identified schemes in the IDP. Site allocation would not prevent the implementation of the M62/M606 improvements scheme as configuration of the site and appropriate phasing of development could accommodate planned road infrastructure improvements.

Sequential test would be carried out on those areas affected by flooding.

Comments objecting to the site allocation have been noted.

## Bradford border

Proposals go against purpose of green belt.

There is little green belt left separating villages from other built up areas. This area should be kept as green belt

SVCS - northern edge of the site must be preserved to maintain function of the green belt

SVCS - tree belt around the edge of the development will link with surrounding ancient woodland

Landscape character assessment has been undertaken for this site which should be considered in the development masterplan

Green infrastructure needs to be included in the masterplan particularly to secure the benefits of additional tree planting and to address flood risk.

Development should not impact upon character and nature of Oakenshaw/Woodlands village

Site affected by high voltage power lines - needs to accord with National Grid requirements

Part of the site is within the Coal Mining Area

High pressure gas pipeline crosses part of the site

Risk of contamination

Disproportionate amount of development in the North

Promotes development on a Brownfield site.

Site should not be allocated for any other use.

Alternative of employment site should be located into the Cleckheaton area – expanding the existing business park on the former hospital site.

Objection from CPRE due to adjacent green belt in the Bradford boundary earmarked for development.

High risk of cumulative impact.

During the works to be carried out on the M62/M606 highway improvement scheme, the site compound would be located in the area proposed for development. It is suggested that the employment option does not come forward until later in the plan to enable the delivery of the highways improvements.

Site supports the employment land and jobs need as identified in the draft local plan. Site is also ideally located within an existing cluster of economic activity and would be attractive to local and sub-regional businesses.

Due to Kirklees topography this site represents one of few opportunities to provide prime employment land.

WYCA - support the allocation as it will assist with the economic objectives of the SEP

<b>E2333</b>	Land to the north and south of, Wakefield Road, Clayton West	Support 2	Conditional Support 3	Object 100	No Comment
	DLP_AD1385, DLP_AD1437, DLP_AD1664, DLP_AD1705, DLP_AD1724, DLP_AD1914, DLP_AD2010, DLP_AD2020, DLP_AD3196, DLP_AD3267, DLP_AD3386, DLP_AD3389, DLP_AD3395, DLP_AD3460, DLP_AD3472, DLP_AD3475, DLP_AD3476, DLP_AD3478, DLP_AD3665, DLP_AD3674, DLP_AD3783, DLP_AD3959, DLP_AD4388, DLP_AD4566, DLP_AD4683, DLP_AD4744, DLP_AD4766, DLP_AD4879, DLP_AD4996, DLP_AD4999, DLP_AD5023, DLP_AD5026, DLP_AD5031, DLP_AD5038, DLP_AD5058, DLP_AD5068, DLP_AD5069, DLP_AD5072, DLP_AD5074, DLP_AD5077, DLP_AD5078, DLP_AD5081, DLP_AD5084, DLP_AD5095, DLP_AD5099, DLP_AD5109, DLP_AD5145, DLP_AD5154, DLP_AD5162, DLP_AD5230, DLP_AD5241, DLP_AD5264, DLP_AD5273, DLP_AD5302, DLP_AD5303, DLP_AD5304, DLP_AD5332, DLP_AD5346, DLP_AD5353, DLP_AD5354, DLP_AD5361, DLP_AD5381, DLP_AD5399, DLP_AD5465, DLP_AD5591, DLP_AD5748, DLP_AD5848, DLP_AD5962, DLP_AD5993, DLP_AD6009, DLP_AD6078, DLP_AD6222, DLP_AD6253, DLP_AD6389, DLP_AD6743, DLP_AD6784, DLP_AD6810, DLP_AD6818, DLP_AD6825, DLP_AD6828, DLP_AD6832, DLP_AD6992, DLP_AD7036, DLP_AD7162, DLP_AD7171, DLP_AD7325, DLP_AD7326, DLP_AD7454, DLP_AD7466, DLP_AD7550, DLP_AD7952, DLP_AD7992, DLP_AD8076, DLP_AD8310, DLP_AD8393, DLP_AD8409, DLP_AD8499, DLP_AD8785, DLP_AD9385, DLP_AD10113, DLP_AD10382, DLP_AD10461, DLP_AD10583, DLP_AD10648, DLP_AD10856				

Road congestion, including at Bretton Roundabout.

Proposed change.

Highway safety issues, including at junctions with A636, such as Manor Road and lack of suitable pedestrian crossings.

This site is proposed as a rejected employment allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for employment, The reasons for change are outlined below:

Poor road access from the west.

The option as presented does not follow any feature on the ground on its northern extent and would leave the adjoining green belt vulnerable to encroachment contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. North of Wakefield Road the land rises significantly so there is a high risk of prominent development in long

Parking issues on AM peak at pick up point for school bus.

## Summary of comments

---

No street lighting on this section of road.

Impact of additional traffic on Kiln Lane.

The site is sustainably located within easy walking distance of the existing local amenities within Clayton West and bus stops located on Wakefield Road.

The scale of development proposed for allocation can be accommodated by the existing highway network without adverse impact on the safe and free flow of traffic.

An appropriate, safe vehicular point of access can be accommodated from Wakefield Road

The Site is located within easy walking distance of existing local amenities and bus stops; Flooding issues throughout the site, particularly relating to proximity to River Dearne.

Main public sewers cross the site.

Areas of deep ponding on the site.  
Part of the site within flood zone 2.

Flooding on site led to relocation of Emley showground.

Sewerage system inadequate for its current use.

Northern side of the road acts as a soak for water

Small beck at Bilham Shrog feeds into the Dearne.

An easement of the River Dearne will need to be agreed with the EA (Environment Agency)

The Land falls 20m from north to south which is typical of the topography of the area and as such development will come forward on Site over a series of development plateaus

The majority of the Land is at limited risk of flooding and development will be restricted to these areas.

There are opportunities to deliver sustainable urban drainage techniques on Site to deliver Greenfield run off rates and through the introduction of balancing ponds, further benefits to site wide biodiversity.

There is the potential through the application of a sustainable drainage strategy to improve upon the Site's drainage capacity  
Proposals will bring problems of noise, air and light pollution.

Noise from existing units, e.g. Adare

Development too close to residential properties

Considered that impact on air quality, odour and noise can be controlled through appropriate site design.  
River Dearne is an important wildlife corridor / UK BAP Priority Habitat.

Impact on species of principal importance.

There will be no adverse impact on any statutory designated sites.

There is the potential through appropriate site design to provide new habitats connecting into boundary features and corridors to the benefit of local biodiversity.

## Council Response

---

distance views to the detriment of the openness of the green belt. A new alternative site option (E2333a) has been accepted on this site which provides a more defensible green belt boundary to the north, in view of this E2333 has been rejected.

Comments in relation to the support of the allocation have been noted, however this option has been rejected for the reasons outlined in the above conclusion.

Comments objecting to the site allocation have been noted.

The Site is of low conservation value with the existing trees and hedgerows on Site being considered to be of only local ecological value.

Although there is evidence of badger activity, this species (if present) is capable of relocating and does not present an insurmountable constraint on development.  
Before allocating site assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution the site makes to the historic park and garden, how any harm (if site is considered significant) can be removed or reduced or how the allocation of the site outweighs the harm (English Heritage)

Impact on Pack Horse bridge.

The land would have no material impact on the Grade II parkland landscape of Bretton Hall and the Scheduled Ancient Monument of Bentley Grange to the north east of the Site.  
Negative impact on health arising from development.  
Footpaths within the site.

Loss of agricultural land

Encroachment on strategic green belt gap

Green Belt designation currently checks urban sprawl in this area.

The Green Belt Review shows that there are physical and environmental constraints on the Green Belt boundary at this area, therefore the site should not be allocated.

Given the allowance for flexibility of employment land, this allocation can't be justified for removal from the Green Belt.

Impact on openness of the Green Belt.

The Council's suggested northern boundary to the allocation is arbitrary and fails to follow any defined features on the ground - it does not form a defensible boundary.

The Council's proposed boundary is ineffective in accommodating the scale of development required and in providing a defensible and logical limit to the Green Belt

a more appropriate northern edge would be the existing drive which leads to Gillcar Farm and the associated farm buildings. This would allow for creation of a meaningful landscape buffer.  
Development on the site would be visually intrusive and have a negative impact, given the prominence of the site. The land is afforded good screening from the west – visibility is limited from the principle residential areas

Any development on the valley sides should be responsive in scale and massing to local character with larger buildings being located on lower levels.

Whilst the agricultural land is acknowledged to be of positive visual and landscape character, the area is already characterised by built form within Scissett and Clayton West

a more appropriate northern edge would be the existing drive which leads to Gillcar Farm and the associated farm buildings. This would allow for creation of a meaningful landscape buffer.  
Development would be in competition with existing developments closer to M1 at Wakefield and South Yorkshire.

Investment required in the site would call viability for employment into question.

Physical infrastructure will not cope with development

Needs of local businesses wishing to expand could be met on Brownfield land.

Land should be used for manufacturing, high tech or office jobs rather than warehousing.

The need for 55,196 sq m of floor space on the Site is market led

A financial appraisal carried out for the Site demonstrates that the proposed development is viable.

The development densities capable of being achieved are lower than those assumed by the Council.

When applying these more appropriate densities (2,300 sq m per ha) the Council's proposed allocation area of 17.67ha (gross) is incapable of delivering the 55,000 sq m of employment floor space required to meet market demand.

There is a total of 5 occupier requirements from businesses who are currently present in Clayton West totalling 21,832 sq m or 235,000 sq ft and these occupiers wish to stay in the Clayton West area close to their existing and local workforce.

Negative impact on rural nature of this area

Impact on Bretton Hall / Yorkshire Sculpture Park

The site is a prominent gateway to Clayton West.

Negative impact on quality of life / community.

Inclusion only of the land south of A636 would be more appropriate.

Much work has been undertaken to move from industrial past.

The site has the potential to deliver in the order of 830 new jobs to Clayton West which is capable of meeting the demands of the newly arising residents. Clayton West has significant number of people commuting longer distances to work.

Topography of the land - to north of A636 - is unsuitable for industrial development.

The proposal will not create many local jobs.

The site is too far from the motorway.

Should use Brownfield land first, including Colliers Way and Cuttlehirst sites.

Negative impact on tourism

Development will encourage commuting.

Lack of demand for employment sites, as many allocated employment sites in the district have been developed for housing.

Empty industrial units close to development site.

Council should work with Wakefield and Barnsley to look at employment opportunities that could meet local needs in their areas

Land for employment needed but on a smaller scale.

Allocation does not accord with vision in the Draft Local Plan



Is contrary to Policy DLP2 and DLP6

Employment need is in North Kirklees.

The Site is deemed necessary to meet the economic needs of Kirklees and the local area

The Kirklees Market Strength Assessment outlines the requirement for employment floor space

Clayton West is in the South Kirklees functional employment area, though it is closer to the M1 making it more suitable to regional and national occupiers.

Clayton West is recognised by the Market as a strategic employment location.

The Council's suggestion that land is necessary in Clayton West to provide an additional 55,000 sq m of employment development is considered appropriate and sound in market terms.

The limited availability of Brownfield land throughout Rural Kirklees means that there is a need to draw upon sustainable Greenfield sites

The eastern part of Kirklees Rural (including the settlement of Clayton West) has lesser topographical constraints making development opportunities more readily available

The area has previously seen application for opencast mining and clay extraction refused.

Not clear why larger site was rejected, as this is likely to have same issues as accepted site.

No power network to service the site.

There is an existing 11kv overhead cable crossing the site from north to south. Two combined sewer pipes enter the site from the north and east before existing at Wakefield Road. The development of the Site is likely to require the diversion of these existing services which is considered achievable.

---

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Priority Employment Area**

HUD1	Syngenta, Leeds Road, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>				
No Representations received	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>				
No Representations received	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>				
No Representations received	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>				
HUD2	Bradley Mills Road, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received	<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was an accepted Priority Employment Area in the Draft Local Plan (November 2015). Following consultation of the Draft Local Plan the site has been accepted as a Priority Employment Area for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which has been assessed as being important to the districts employment stock. The site should therefore be safeguarded as a Priority Employment Area.</p> <p>No representations were received for this site.</p>				
No Representations received	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>				
No Representations received	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>				

Summary of comments

No Representations received

**KR3** Britannia Road, Milnsbridge, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD5182

Site includes path and steps from weir to Britannia Road. Would like to see a break in employment area to show existence of riverside walk. (Milnsbridge Enhancement Group)

No Representations received

6.Serious concerns are raised with proposed Priority Employment Area B&S3 and the realistic contribution this land makes, and will make in the future, to Kirklees employment needs. The office accommodation does not meet needs of current occupiers, low rents being secured at the Centre 27, along with short leaseholds, give very little confidence in the business park and will not enable the much needed renovation works required, the business park competes against numerous existing business parks to the south of Leeds and close to the motorways, number of long term leases at Centre 27 at the site are about to come to an end, The proposed nearby traveler site is causing concerns for potential occupiers, The existing buildings at Centre 27 are experiencing some structural issues and The undeveloped land included in the allocation has failed to come forward for development even given its employment allocation first put in place in 1999. In light of the above it is considered that the only viable future for the site would involve a change of use from office accommodation. The most appropriate alternative uses would be for leisure or retail.  
Support received from local councillors

No Representations received

**D&M4** Station Road, Mirfield

No Representations received

Council Response

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Comment in relation to the path and steps from weir to Britannia Road has been noted however the path is to be retained in the PEA. PROW's are a common feature within PEAs and it would therefore be consistent to retain the path. The inclusion of PROWs within PEAs does not imply they would be lost should further development occur.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

PEA designation would not preclude the site being brought forward for an alternative employment generating use. Therefore leisure and retail would be acceptable subject to the policy requirement of DLP 8 and town centre policies set out in national policy and the Local Plan.

Support for the designation has been noted.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts

Summary of comments

Council Response

Support received from local councillors

employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support for the designation has been noted.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**KR5** Radcliffe Road, Milnsbridge Huddersfield

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Land allocated as UGS adjacent to the site should be used for expansion of employment. Lost recreational facilities should be re-located in the green belt.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

If the council are focused on retaining areas of employment land for expansion and relocation in the Huddersfield area, huge areas of land should not be frittered away for leisure and retailing activities.

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

The land allocated for urban greenspace on neighbouring land is to be retained as open space. This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green

Summary of comments

Council Response

<p><b>KR6</b> Denard Industrial Estate, Tanyard Road, Milnsbridge, Huddersfield</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<p>space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Comment in relation to loss of employment land to leisure and retail has been noted. Policy retains flexibility to allow change of use where it is justified to do so. PEAs protect established business and industrial areas but will allow employment generating uses - which could include retail and leisure - assuming the proposed use does not conflict with the neighbouring business operations. Retail and leisure will also need to conform to town centre policies.</p> <p>Support Conditional Support Object No Comment</p> <p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>
<p>Land allocated as UGS adjacent to the site should be used for expansion of employment. Lost recreational facilities should be re-located in the green belt.</p> <p>If the council are focused on retaining areas of employment land for expansion and relocation in the Huddersfield area, huge areas of land should not be frittered away for leisure and retailing activities.</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p> <p>The land allocated for urban greenspace on neighbouring land is to be retained as open space. This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Comment in relation to loss of employment land to leisure and retail has been noted. Policy retains flexibility to allow change of use where it is justified to do so. PEAs protect established business and industrial areas but will allow employment generating uses - which could include retail and leisure - assuming the proposed use does not conflict with the neighbouring business operations. Retail and leisure will also need to conform to town centre policies.</p>
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>
<p><b>HUD7</b> Bradley Junction Industrial Estate, Ashley Industrial Estate, Beckview Business Park, Leeds Road, Huddersfield</p> <p>DLP_AD11007</p> <p>Land allocated as UGS adjacent to the site should be used for expansion of employment. Lost recreational facilities should be re-located in the green belt.</p> <p>If the council are focused on retaining areas of employment land for expansion and relocation in the Huddersfield area, huge areas of land should not be frittered away for leisure and retailing activities.</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment</p> <p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts</p>

Summary of comments

Council Response

	<p>employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p> <p>The land allocated for urban greenspace on neighbouring land is to be retained as open space. This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Comment in relation to loss of employment land to leisure and retail has been noted. Policy retains flexibility to allow change of use where it is justified to do so. PEAs protect established business and industrial areas but will allow employment generating uses - which could include retail and leisure - assuming the proposed use does not conflict with the neighbouring business operations. Retail and leisure will also need to conform to town centre policies.</p>																
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>																
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>																
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>																
<p><b>D&amp;M8</b>                      Bretton Street Enterprise Centre, Dewsbury</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1090 895 1279 975">Support</th> <th data-bbox="1279 895 1547 975">Conditional Support</th> <th data-bbox="1547 895 1727 975">Object</th> <th data-bbox="1727 895 2163 975">No Comment</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="1090 975 2163 1007"> <p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="1090 1007 2163 1038"> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> </td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="4" data-bbox="1090 1038 2163 1134"> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p> </td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p>				<p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p>				<p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>			
Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment														
<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p>																	
<p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p>																	
<p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>																	
<p>Part of site with Meltham Scout hut located on it should be considered for housing.</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p> <p>Meltham scout hut does not fall within the PEA therefore no further changes to be considered.</p>																
<p>Land allocated as UGS adjacent to the site should be used for expansion of employment. Lost recreational facilities should be re-located in the green belt.</p> <p>If the council are focused on retaining areas of employment land for expansion and relocation in the Huddersfield area, huge areas of land should not be frittered away for leisure and retailing activities.</p>	<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:</p> <p>This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.</p>																

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations received

The land allocated for urban greenspace on neighbouring land is to be retained as open space. This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Comment in relation to loss of employment land to leisure and retail has been noted. Policy retains flexibility to allow change of use where it is justified to do so. PEAs protect established business and industrial areas but will allow employment generating uses - which could include retail and leisure - assuming the proposed use does not conflict with the neighbouring business operations. Retail and leisure will also need to conform to town centre policies.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**KR9** Queens Square Business Park and Steps Industrial Park, Huddersfield Road, Honley, Holmfirth

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Outline planning permission granted on part of site, 2013/60/91037. Site welcomed to revert back to employment use.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support for the designation noted.

**HUD10** Ringway Industrial Park, Beck Road, Huddersfield

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations received

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Employment is vital to the growth and affluence of any area and steps must be taken to attract and support business growth within the Holme Valley.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support for KR10 has been noted.

**D&M11** Ravensthorpe Industrial Estate, Ravens Ing Mills, Calder Wharf Mills, Calder Vale Mills, Ravensthorpe Mills, Netherfield Industrial Park, Netherfield Mill, Oaklands Works, Branch Mill, Huddersfield Road, Ravensthorpe, Dewsbury

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Employment is vital to the growth and affluence of any area and steps must be taken to attract and support business growth within the Holme Valley.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Comment supporting KR11 has been noted.

Land required to deliver transport scheme on the north western edge. Site will need boundary amendment. (Highways England)

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Comments noted with regards to transport scheme. PEA designation would not preclude this.

Support from Newsome Ward Community Forum, due to low unemployment within the area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support for the designation has been noted.

**KR12** Jubilee Way, Grange Moor

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------



Summary of comments

No Representations received

Traffic congestion at peak times

- Bradford Road
- Balme Road

Bats, nesting birds, frogs, field mice, hedgehogs, foxes and newts.

Objection against further development in the area, due to impact on traffic and wildlife.

Support from Newsome Ward Community Forum, due to low unemployment within the area.

No Representations received

**D&M13** Goods Lane, Dewsbury

No Representations received

Traffic congestion at peak times

- Bradford Road
- Balme Road

Bats, nesting birds, frogs, field mice, hedgehogs, foxes and newts.

Objection against further development in the area, due to impact on traffic and wildlife.

No Representations received

Council Response

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Comments objecting to the designation have been noted, however, as the use for business and industry has already been established the impact of it will have already been considered and mitigated against as part of the planning permissions.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Supporting comments noted

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Objection in relation to further development has been noted. This site is already built out and further intensification is less likely. Impact on road congestion is already established, any required mitigation in relation to biodiversity will have already been identified and measures put in place.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations received

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**B&S14** Station Road, Batley

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**B&S15** Field Head Lane, Birstall, Batley  
DLP\_AD10804, DLP\_AD10805, DLP\_AD10806

Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
-----------	---------------------	--------	------------

Support received from local councillors

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support for the designation has been noted.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Summary of comments

No Representations received

Council Response

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**HUD16** St. Andrews Road, Huddersfield

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support received from local councillors.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Support for the designation has been noted.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**B&S17** Rapyal Business Park, Dewsbury Road, Providence Mills, Thornton Street & St Peg Mills, St Peg Lane, Cleckheaton

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations received

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**HUD18** Millgate, Huddersfield

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**KR19** Britannia Mills & Kiln Hill Industrial Estate, Britannia Road, Slaithwaite

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**HUD20** Lindley Moor Road, Ainley Top, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD11028

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

The following sites have been identified as being crossed by or within close proximity to OHL apparatus

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Comments in relation to OHL apparatus have been noted. Site is already built out therefore appropriate mitigation / layout already in place.

**HUD21** Wellington Mills, Oakes, Huddersfield

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:			
		This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.			
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:			
		This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.			
<b>KR22</b>	Fall Lane, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:			
		This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.			
Planning permission on part of the site. 2011/62/91152 Pending outline permission on remainder of the site. 2015/60/90430 Site should not be allocated as PEA.		Proposed change			
		This was previously accepted in the draft Local Plan as a Priority Employment Area, however it is proposed to reject this designation for the following reason:			
		The majority of this site has been granted planning permission for housing. Part of the site is also and accepted for waste.			
		Comments objecting to the designation of this site have been noted. Site option now rejected.			
<b>HUD23</b>	Rowley Mills & Magna House, Penistone Road, Lepton, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:			
		This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.			
No Representations received		Proposed change			
		This site was an accepted priority employment area in the Draft Local Plan (November 2015). Following consultation this option has now been rejected as a priority employment area as the site has been promoted for housing, which has been accepted as the better alternative			
		No representations received on this site.			
<b>HUD24</b>	St. Thomas Road, Huddersfield	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD6484		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
Support from Newsome Ward Community Forum, due to low unemployment within the area.		This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:			
		This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts			

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations received

employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Supporting comments on this site have been noted.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**HUD25** Queen Street South, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD11004

Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---	---------------------	--------	------------

Support from Newsome Ward Community Forum, due to low unemployment within the area.

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Supporting comments for this site have been noted.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

**HUD26** Paddock Foot/Birkhouse Lane, Huddersfield

Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	--	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Supporting letter from site owner Z Hinchliffe & Sons Ltd. To maintain flexibility for expansion site owner has objected to accepted SL option to the south of the mill (SL2172). Suggestion to widen PEA boundary or allocated SL option as an employment option. Planning for new access road on SL option 94/90741. Newer application 2014/91026 (withdrawn)

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

Supporting comments have been noted.

**HUD27** Commercial Park, Longroyd Bridge, Huddersfield

Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	--	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site options has been accepted for the following reasons:

This is an established business and industrial site which is considered to be important to the districts employment stock and has therefore been protected as a priority employment area.

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Summary of comments

Council Response

This site option has been accepted for the following reasons:

Established business and industrial site supporting the needs of the local economy. Priority Employment Area option accepted.

**KR28** Union Street Business Centre & Nortonthorpe Industrial Estate, Wakefield Road, Scissett

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change from the draft Local Plan.

This site option has been accepted for the following reasons:

Established business and industrial site supporting the needs of the local economy. Priority Employment Area option accepted.

**Housing**

H8	Land south of, Cross Lane, Scholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object 588	No Comment
DLP_AD313, DLP_AD391, DLP_AD394, DLP_AD439, DLP_AD508, DLP_AD561, DLP_AD582, DLP_AD591, DLP_AD597, DLP_AD601, DLP_AD604, DLP_AD610, DLP_AD613, DLP_AD617, DLP_AD621, DLP_AD706, DLP_AD730, DLP_AD738, DLP_AD749, DLP_AD766, DLP_AD771, DLP_AD775, DLP_AD790, DLP_AD802, DLP_AD819, DLP_AD838, DLP_AD840, DLP_AD844, DLP_AD851, DLP_AD862, DLP_AD864, DLP_AD867, DLP_AD896, DLP_AD899, DLP_AD917, DLP_AD919, DLP_AD927, DLP_AD932, DLP_AD940, DLP_AD944, DLP_AD949, DLP_AD957, DLP_AD979, DLP_AD993, DLP_AD1009, DLP_AD1017, DLP_AD1026, DLP_AD1030, DLP_AD1048, DLP_AD1054, DLP_AD1063, DLP_AD1068, DLP_AD1070, DLP_AD1089, DLP_AD1093, DLP_AD1099, DLP_AD1102, DLP_AD1108, DLP_AD1116, DLP_AD1124, DLP_AD1159, DLP_AD1164, DLP_AD1177, DLP_AD1182, DLP_AD1185, DLP_AD1185, DLP_AD1195, DLP_AD1240, DLP_AD1247, DLP_AD1255, DLP_AD1283, DLP_AD1294, DLP_AD1300, DLP_AD1325, DLP_AD1370, DLP_AD1377, DLP_AD1384, DLP_AD1391, DLP_AD1393, DLP_AD1413, DLP_AD1417, DLP_AD1423, DLP_AD1425, DLP_AD1429, DLP_AD1443, DLP_AD1467, DLP_AD1485, DLP_AD1545, DLP_AD1547, DLP_AD1612, DLP_AD1617, DLP_AD1665, DLP_AD1708, DLP_AD1718, DLP_AD1725, DLP_AD1751, DLP_AD1759, DLP_AD1870, DLP_AD1895, DLP_AD1916, DLP_AD1996, DLP_AD2043, DLP_AD2311, DLP_AD2329, DLP_AD2625, DLP_AD2716, DLP_AD2717, DLP_AD2818, DLP_AD2873, DLP_AD2910, DLP_AD2915, DLP_AD2927, DLP_AD3006, DLP_AD3015, DLP_AD3042, DLP_AD3045, DLP_AD3074, DLP_AD3104, DLP_AD3135, DLP_AD3149, DLP_AD3165, DLP_AD3167, DLP_AD3170, DLP_AD3184, DLP_AD3252, DLP_AD3257, DLP_AD3296, DLP_AD3335, DLP_AD3450, DLP_AD3621, DLP_AD3642, DLP_AD3649, DLP_AD3650, DLP_AD3652, DLP_AD3709, DLP_AD3747, DLP_AD3749, DLP_AD3828, DLP_AD3899, DLP_AD3929, DLP_AD3941, DLP_AD3970, DLP_AD3971, DLP_AD3990, DLP_AD4120, DLP_AD4178, DLP_AD4228, DLP_AD4238, DLP_AD4244, DLP_AD4245, DLP_AD4277, DLP_AD4495, DLP_AD4538, DLP_AD4556, DLP_AD4599, DLP_AD4629, DLP_AD4637, DLP_AD4646, DLP_AD4687, DLP_AD4771, DLP_AD4773, DLP_AD4794, DLP_AD4810, DLP_AD4815, DLP_AD4819, DLP_AD4851, DLP_AD4871, DLP_AD4880, DLP_AD4888, DLP_AD4909, DLP_AD4913, DLP_AD4930, DLP_AD4966, DLP_AD5097, DLP_AD5267, DLP_AD5292, DLP_AD5342, DLP_AD5398, DLP_AD5403, DLP_AD5409, DLP_AD5412, DLP_AD5416, DLP_AD5418, DLP_AD5428, DLP_AD5446, DLP_AD5455, DLP_AD5474, DLP_AD5488, DLP_AD5490, DLP_AD5492, DLP_AD5493, DLP_AD5497, DLP_AD5499, DLP_AD5501, DLP_AD5505, DLP_AD5508, DLP_AD5510, DLP_AD5512, DLP_AD5516, DLP_AD5523, DLP_AD5566, DLP_AD5590, DLP_AD5597, DLP_AD5605, DLP_AD5609, DLP_AD5613, DLP_AD5617, DLP_AD5620, DLP_AD5622, DLP_AD5625, DLP_AD5628, DLP_AD5630, DLP_AD5634, DLP_AD5641, DLP_AD5647, DLP_AD5649, DLP_AD5653, DLP_AD5659, DLP_AD5677, DLP_AD5696, DLP_AD5718, DLP_AD5737, DLP_AD5755, DLP_AD5765, DLP_AD5777, DLP_AD5790, DLP_AD5857, DLP_AD5890, DLP_AD5905, DLP_AD5908, DLP_AD5910, DLP_AD5926, DLP_AD5973, DLP_AD5979, DLP_AD5997, DLP_AD6006, DLP_AD6007, DLP_AD6017, DLP_AD6034, DLP_AD6038, DLP_AD6040, DLP_AD6045, DLP_AD6046, DLP_AD6049, DLP_AD6133, DLP_AD6170, DLP_AD6174, DLP_AD6212, DLP_AD6214, DLP_AD6218, DLP_AD6220, DLP_AD6223, DLP_AD6224, DLP_AD6227, DLP_AD6233, DLP_AD6239, DLP_AD6245, DLP_AD6247, DLP_AD6250, DLP_AD6252, DLP_AD6257, DLP_AD6259, DLP_AD6265, DLP_AD6269, DLP_AD6272, DLP_AD6277, DLP_AD6281, DLP_AD6285, DLP_AD6289, DLP_AD6293, DLP_AD6296, DLP_AD6298, DLP_AD6301, DLP_AD6304, DLP_AD6307, DLP_AD6325, DLP_AD6393, DLP_AD6398, DLP_AD6407, DLP_AD6410, DLP_AD6417, DLP_AD6420, DLP_AD6426, DLP_AD6428, DLP_AD6434, DLP_AD6436, DLP_AD6438, DLP_AD6440, DLP_AD6442, DLP_AD6444, DLP_AD6447, DLP_AD6449, DLP_AD6451, DLP_AD6453, DLP_AD6454, DLP_AD6459, DLP_AD6460, DLP_AD6463, DLP_AD6473, DLP_AD6475, DLP_AD6477, DLP_AD6479, DLP_AD6487, DLP_AD6489, DLP_AD6491, DLP_AD6493, DLP_AD6494, DLP_AD6501, DLP_AD6508, DLP_AD6514, DLP_AD6516, DLP_AD6518, DLP_AD6520, DLP_AD6522, DLP_AD6524, DLP_AD6526, DLP_AD6529, DLP_AD6535, DLP_AD6538, DLP_AD6540, DLP_AD6543, DLP_AD6576, DLP_AD6654, DLP_AD6658, DLP_AD6662, DLP_AD6691, DLP_AD6706, DLP_AD6719, DLP_AD6724, DLP_AD6727, DLP_AD6777, DLP_AD6879, DLP_AD6882, DLP_AD6978, DLP_AD7000, DLP_AD7006, DLP_AD7050, DLP_AD7056, DLP_AD7089, DLP_AD7101, DLP_AD7108, DLP_AD7109, DLP_AD7115, DLP_AD7116, DLP_AD7124, DLP_AD7125, DLP_AD7128, DLP_AD7129, DLP_AD7132, DLP_AD7133, DLP_AD7136, DLP_AD7137, DLP_AD7140, DLP_AD7142, DLP_AD7146, DLP_AD7183, DLP_AD7220, DLP_AD7266, DLP_AD7319, DLP_AD7457, DLP_AD7498, DLP_AD7502, DLP_AD7614, DLP_AD7624, DLP_AD7626, DLP_AD7628, DLP_AD7631, DLP_AD7632, DLP_AD7635, DLP_AD7643, DLP_AD7645, DLP_AD7652, DLP_AD7656, DLP_AD7664, DLP_AD7665, DLP_AD7668, DLP_AD7669, DLP_AD7672, DLP_AD7673, DLP_AD7676, DLP_AD7678, DLP_AD7679, DLP_AD7682, DLP_AD7683, DLP_AD7685, DLP_AD7688, DLP_AD7689, DLP_AD7692, DLP_AD7694, DLP_AD7695, DLP_AD7698, DLP_AD7699, DLP_AD7702, DLP_AD7705, DLP_AD7708, DLP_AD7709, DLP_AD7711, DLP_AD7713, DLP_AD7716, DLP_AD7717, DLP_AD7720, DLP_AD7721, DLP_AD7724, DLP_AD7725, DLP_AD7728, DLP_AD7729, DLP_AD7747, DLP_AD7756, DLP_AD7813, DLP_AD7876, DLP_AD7882, DLP_AD7887, DLP_AD7919, DLP_AD7922, DLP_AD7926, DLP_AD7930, DLP_AD7938, DLP_AD7940, DLP_AD7941, DLP_AD7943, DLP_AD7946, DLP_AD7948, DLP_AD7955, DLP_AD7957, DLP_AD7960, DLP_AD7963, DLP_AD7967, DLP_AD7974, DLP_AD7976, DLP_AD7978, DLP_AD7981, DLP_AD7984, DLP_AD7987, DLP_AD8010, DLP_AD8014, DLP_AD8097, DLP_AD8109, DLP_AD8121, DLP_AD8125, DLP_AD8129, DLP_AD8131, DLP_AD8133, DLP_AD8135, DLP_AD8265, DLP_AD8371, DLP_AD8394, DLP_AD8396, DLP_AD8398, DLP_AD8401, DLP_AD8403, DLP_AD8414, DLP_AD8416, DLP_AD8418, DLP_AD8420, DLP_AD8546, DLP_AD8562, DLP_AD8668, DLP_AD8670, DLP_AD8672, DLP_AD8674, DLP_AD8676, DLP_AD8678, DLP_AD8680, DLP_AD8682, DLP_AD8684, DLP_AD8686, DLP_AD8688, DLP_AD8690, DLP_AD8692, DLP_AD8694, DLP_AD8696, DLP_AD8699, DLP_AD8701, DLP_AD8703, DLP_AD8710, DLP_AD8713, DLP_AD8715, DLP_AD8719, DLP_AD8723, DLP_AD8727, DLP_AD8809, DLP_AD8812, DLP_AD8814, DLP_AD8821, DLP_AD8825, DLP_AD8834, DLP_AD8836, DLP_AD8838, DLP_AD8841, DLP_AD8843, DLP_AD8845, DLP_AD8848, DLP_AD9031, DLP_AD9082, DLP_AD9235, DLP_AD9237, DLP_AD9239, DLP_AD9243, DLP_AD9245, DLP_AD9247, DLP_AD9249, DLP_AD9251, DLP_AD9253, DLP_AD9257, DLP_AD9260, DLP_AD9267, DLP_AD9275, DLP_AD9282, DLP_AD9287, DLP_AD9314, DLP_AD9316, DLP_AD9318, DLP_AD9350, DLP_AD9360, DLP_AD9363, DLP_AD9434, DLP_AD9458, DLP_AD9539, DLP_AD9542, DLP_AD9544, DLP_AD9550, DLP_AD9552, DLP_AD9554, DLP_AD9555, DLP_AD9558, DLP_AD9561, DLP_AD9563, DLP_AD9565, DLP_AD9567, DLP_AD9569, DLP_AD9571, DLP_AD9576, DLP_AD9578, DLP_AD9823, DLP_AD9825, DLP_AD9827, DLP_AD9835, DLP_AD9838, DLP_AD9842, DLP_AD9857, DLP_AD9859, DLP_AD9861, DLP_AD9862, DLP_AD9866, DLP_AD9868, DLP_AD9870, DLP_AD9872, DLP_AD9874, DLP_AD9878, DLP_AD9882, DLP_AD9884, DLP_AD9886, DLP_AD9887, DLP_AD9890, DLP_AD9895, DLP_AD9897, DLP_AD9899, DLP_AD9901, DLP_AD9903, DLP_AD9905, DLP_AD9907, DLP_AD9909, DLP_AD9911, DLP_AD9913, DLP_AD9915, DLP_AD9917, DLP_AD9919, DLP_AD9922, DLP_AD9924, DLP_AD9927, DLP_AD9934, DLP_AD9936, DLP_AD10053, DLP_AD10055, DLP_AD10057, DLP_AD10074, DLP_AD10076, DLP_AD10089, DLP_AD10097, DLP_AD10100, DLP_AD10139, DLP_AD10182, DLP_AD10234, DLP_AD10246, DLP_AD10252, DLP_AD10304, DLP_AD10417, DLP_AD10445, DLP_AD10866, DLP_AD11010					

Strategic road capacity issues - not adjacent to arterial roads or motorways, congestion/capacity issues in Holmfirth centre, Lockwood Bar junction, Jackson Bridge, issues when Woodhead Pass closes. (Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council).

Road capacity issues - roads already at capacity, residential parking limits many roads to single file, many roads cannot be widened, agricultural traffic and livestock movement, unsuitable for buses, construction traffic and emergency vehicles.

Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council. Holmfirth/Meltham local plan (1987) stated local highways inadequate. Previous planning appeal rejected for development due to road capacity issues on adjacent POL site. A single dwelling was refused planning permission in Scholes due to inadequate road network

Proposals not consistent with national planning policy relating to generating significant movements of traffic

Proposed change.

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. The site was previously proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). The reasons for this change are set out below:

There are no exceptional circumstances to remove this site from the green belt.

The comments supporting the rejection of this site have been noted.



and local plan policy DLP20.

Specific road concerns raised re Paris, Dunford Road, Cinderhills Road to Holmfirth, Crossgate Road, Chapel Gate, South Lane, Scholes Road to Jackson Bridge, Totties Road to New Mill, Cross Lane into Holmfirth, Scholes Moor Road, Wagstaffe Corner, Scholes Moor Road/Sandy Gate, Boot and Shoe junction, cars cutting through Ryefields estate, parking issues when Underbank Rugby Club play.

Immediate site access - Cross Lane access is unsuitable.

Lack of off-street parking (made worse by recent developments).

Roads blocked and dangerous in winter conditions.

Road safety - dangerous pinch points and blind corners (e.g. Cross Lane), lack of pavements, inadequate street lighting, school walking route dangerous, very busy at school opening and closing times, roads in state of disrepair, difficult for wheelchair users, safety issues of cyclists. Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council.

Public transport unreliable and difficult to access.

Encourages commuting.

Will encourage private car use, against council climate change commitments.

A travel plan should be produced.

Sewer infrastructure may not cope - regular capacity issues and previous development scheme in Scholes rejected on this basis. Drainage and sewer infrastructure issues also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council. Yorkshire Water raised concerns in 1995 in relation to capacity.

Water mains issues - burst pipes.

Flooding issues – existing surface water flooding issues which would be made worse, overflowing gullies, water flowing down Scholes Moor Road, run-off from land will be greater.

Proposals will bring noise pollution.

Air pollution from increased traffic.

Wildlife affected - by development and impact of additional pets.

Impact on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site (Holme Valley Parish Council).

Environment Impact Study required.

Environment Impact Study required.

Impact on historic field and settlement pattern.

School capacity insufficient (Scholes Junior and Infant School, Hepworth School and Hade Edge School, Holmfirth High School).

Schools close to capacity (Holme Valley Parish Council)

Impact on health provision (doctors and lack of NHS dentists, closure of Huddersfield A&E)

Impacts of a reduction in air quality on health

Impact on walking routes.

Impact on walking routes.

No open land in the village.

Loss of farmland / agricultural land and associated jobs (Holme Valley Parish Council)

Don't use green belt - sets a precedent.

Severe danger of planning creep - risk of eventual coalescence between Scholes and Hade Edge.

Development goes against purposes of green belt. The proposal does not represent infill and building new homes does not amount to exceptional circumstances.

Unacceptable impact on landscape.

Sites are only four miles from the Peak District National Park.

Physical infrastructure cannot cope - cost to improve infrastructure would be too high.

Recent refusal of a single bungalow due to infrastructure issues.

Significant enhancements needed to gas, electricity (already experience power cuts), mobile phone signals, water pressure.

Development should be carbon neutral.

Illogical that CIL funding can be diverted to other areas not specific to the development.

Need to make sure planning contributions are collected rather than written off.

Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size (Holme Valley Parish Council).

Cumulative impact of development on rural character. Planning permission was rejected on fields adjacent to the Moorlands Estate 20 years ago with the inspector stating: "it would be incompatible with the size,

Summary of comments

Council Response

character and setting of Scholes". Holme Valley Parish Council)  
 Loss of privacy.  
 Potential overshadowing.  
 Impacts on visual amenity.  
 Loss of views.  
 Lack of amenities in the village and this scheme adds nothing.  
 New Mill library closure affects facilities.  
 High density housing proposals not appropriate in current low density Scholes area.  
 Refuse collection service and gritting already inadequate.  
 Loss of countryside.  
 Development in an unsustainable location.  
 New homes will not meet needs of those requiring affordable homes.  
 Support for increase in affordable homes generally.  
 Housing is needed but concerned about impacts on the village.  
 Should consider Brownfield land first (e.g. Alexander's Garage off Bradford Road, old sports centre and college sites in Huddersfield, partly developed site off Huddersfield Road, Dobroyd Mill (Hepworth), old drill hall off Huddersfield Road, Storthes Hall). Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council.  
 Empty homes should be brought back into use.  
 Negative impact on tourism and associated businesses (Holme Valley Parish Council)  
 More development than other areas of a similar size.  
 Already too many homes in Scholes (a lot of them are new).  
 Could consider some much smaller schemes for local builders in keeping with the village.  
 Holme Valley is only suitable for organic growth spread across the valley (smaller developments) (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Need for 1 or 2 bedroom properties for first time buyers (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Alternative to have community centre and school within project.  
 No vision in the plan for future economic development of Scholes - few employment opportunities in Scholes or local area (also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council)  
 Impact assessment required including economic costs and benefits.  
 Criticism of consultation - not enough time to put forward views, difficult to navigate website, attempts to rush plans through, not informed all residents who may be affected.  
 Disappointment there was no drop-in session in the Holme Valley (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Document not written in plain English.  
 Map showing sites is not up to date.  
 Creation of local construction jobs.  
 Has there been consultation with other local authorities?

H11	Land to the north east of, Highfield Drive, Birstall	Support 1	Conditional Support 3	Object 4	No Comment
DLP_AD1661, DLP_AD4164, DLP_AD6390, DLP_AD10241, DLP_AD10545, DLP_AD10816, DLP_AD10817, DLP_AD10818					
Congestion on local and surrounding roads will increase Primary schools are over subscribed in the area Insufficient health facilities within the area. - doctors - dentists Loss of green spaces		No Change			
Site available Deprivation needs to be addressed Increase in population will reduce quality of life Conditional support from Local Councillors - good site for housing Support from site promoter - would help assist delivery for new cycle route		<p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access can be achieved from Field Head Lane. There is insufficient justification for Urban Greenspace designation, however there is potential for impact on TPO's to the rear of the site. This site to be protected as part of the development. No other significant constraints have been identified with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:</p> <p>Site Access Achievable - 2.4m x 43m (30mph speed limit) visibility splays required along Field Head Lane. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places</p>			

Summary of comments

Council Response

are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The site has insufficient justification for an Urban Greenspace designation. The provision of a continued cycle route is to be continued as part of development.

Supporting comments have been noted.

H29 Land north of, Pilling Lane, Skelmanthorpe	Support 2	Conditional Support 4	Object 112	No Comment 1
<p>DLP_AD94, DLP_AD125, DLP_AD130, DLP_AD577, DLP_AD743, DLP_AD825, DLP_AD882, DLP_AD901, DLP_AD925, DLP_AD1062, DLP_AD1083, DLP_AD1389, DLP_AD1483, DLP_AD1556, DLP_AD1581, DLP_AD1606, DLP_AD2005, DLP_AD2287, DLP_AD2373, DLP_AD2390, DLP_AD2643, DLP_AD2839, DLP_AD3025, DLP_AD3199, DLP_AD3408, DLP_AD3455, DLP_AD3531, DLP_AD3538, DLP_AD3615, DLP_AD3666, DLP_AD3673, DLP_AD3715, DLP_AD3782, DLP_AD3912, DLP_AD3923, DLP_AD3925, DLP_AD3946, DLP_AD3960, DLP_AD4220, DLP_AD4253, DLP_AD4292, DLP_AD4329, DLP_AD4385, DLP_AD4429, DLP_AD4725, DLP_AD4727, DLP_AD4746, DLP_AD4912, DLP_AD4936, DLP_AD5054, DLP_AD5079, DLP_AD5149, DLP_AD5174, DLP_AD5263, DLP_AD5454, DLP_AD5542, DLP_AD5554, DLP_AD5726, DLP_AD5729, DLP_AD5742, DLP_AD5800, DLP_AD5815, DLP_AD5955, DLP_AD5994, DLP_AD6180, DLP_AD6255, DLP_AD6388, DLP_AD6430, DLP_AD6783, DLP_AD6785, DLP_AD6801, DLP_AD6809, DLP_AD6831, DLP_AD6833, DLP_AD7039, DLP_AD7083, DLP_AD7120, DLP_AD7167, DLP_AD7175, DLP_AD7324, DLP_AD7455, DLP_AD7474, DLP_AD7752, DLP_AD7993, DLP_AD8001, DLP_AD8074, DLP_AD8269, DLP_AD8313, DLP_AD8431, DLP_AD8442, DLP_AD8577, DLP_AD8730, DLP_AD9046, DLP_AD9091, DLP_AD9101, DLP_AD9284, DLP_AD9328, DLP_AD9329, DLP_AD9346, DLP_AD9349, DLP_AD9387, DLP_AD9407, DLP_AD9430, DLP_AD9520, DLP_AD9844, DLP_AD10061, DLP_AD10110, DLP_AD10112, DLP_AD10143, DLP_AD10158, DLP_AD10163, DLP_AD10306, DLP_AD10349, DLP_AD10462, DLP_AD10534, DLP_AD10585, DLP_AD10645, DLP_AD10745, DLP_AD10864</p>				
<p>Highway safety concerns - access close to two schools and old people's home.</p>		<p>Proposed change.</p>		
<p>Significant highway constraints at school start and finish times</p>		<p>The site is to be a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:</p>		
<p>Access to the site is from King St, Queen St, Lodge St and Elm St - a series of narrow steep roads.</p>		<p>Following consultation comments received and the reassessment of access to the site, giving further consideration to wider highway links. Access to the site via Elm Street and Lodge Street has issues concerning poor pedestrian provisions, on-street parking issues and poor sightlines onto the classified road network and as a result would be unsuitable for the amount of development proposed.</p>		
<p>Pilling Lane is not suitable to be adopted</p>		<p>The site frontage is located 330 metres from the nearest bus stops on Commercial Road, adjacent to Queen Street, so a safe pedestrian route to the bus stop and also to/from the two schools would need to be achieved as part of the development proposals.</p>		
<p>Capacity of public transport</p>		<p>It is considered that at the western edge of the site, there is scope for Pilling Lane to be brought up to an adoptable standard, but this will have to be demonstrated upon application.</p>		
<p>Adoption of Pilling Lane to link Skelmanthorpe and Scissett would be inappropriate.</p>		<p>Development on the site would have to be in accordance with DLP29. A stand-off distance around the sewage infrastructure will be required. A topographical assessment regarding run-off on the site will be required and a drainage master plan may be required</p>		
<p>Access previously lead to a 'red' suitable score in SHLAA</p>		<p>The site is adjacent to the conservation area and as such will need to have regard to elements that contribute to its significance when the site is designed.</p>		
<p>Facilities for families / young children (e.g. schools) within walking distance of the site.</p>		<p>The fields are agricultural land and not land that has recreational use. Development of the site would not remove links to the countryside offered by Pilling Lane and Little Pilling Lane.</p>		
<p>Worsening of existing parking issues in Skelmanthorpe and streets around the site</p>		<p>Exceptional circumstances for removing this site for the Green Belt are to meet the housing requirement across the district. However it is acknowledged that the site boundary as presented, whilst a permanent feature, may increase the risk of weakening the role and function of Green Belt land to the east. A new site option has been proposed that instead uses Little Pilling Lane as a site boundary. This offers a more defensible boundary and ensures that the site is more contained by existing development.</p>		
<p>No footways on the roads to the site</p>		<p>Whilst Skelmanthorpe has seen housing developments take place in recent years, the Local Plan covers the period up to 2031 and it is necessary that housing needs continue to be met.</p>		
<p>A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.</p>				
<p>The site is inaccessible / 'land locked'</p>				
<p>B6116 is inadequate along its entire length</p>				
<p>Site is too far from the bus route</p>				
<p>Inadequate public transport links to major cities</p>				
<p>Pilling Lane part of council's core cycling network</p>				
<p>The site is within walking distance of bus stops</p>				

## Summary of comments

---

There is no insurmountable constraint with regard to local highways network.

The site could be accessed from an upgrade of Pilling Lane to the A636  
Drainage / sewerage infrastructure not suitable for additional properties

There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)

Could create additional flooding for properties close to Thorpe Dike and also the River Dearne.

A main sewer runs through the field.

Gulleys are not cleaned / maintained

Reduced surface water run off

Impact on watercourse from Cumberworth Rd, under Elm St to Blacker Wood

Appropriate sewer stand off distance required for sewerage infrastructure across the site (Yorkshire Water)  
Increased noise and air pollution

The site is at risk of noise pollution  
Impact on wildlife, range of species on the site

The site is close to Great Crested Newt colonies

The proposed allocation is located within a habitat corridor important for great crested newts, a European protected species. The great crested newt corridor should be retained in the site masterplans.

A carefully planned development could allow for additional planting within the site

Risk of cat predation to Great Crested Newts

Substantial ecological buffer should be provided, potentially including a ditch at the edge nearest to development to provide a buffer between ponds and the development, with compensatory habitat provided as far from main bulk of development as possible

Impact on Great Crested Newts should be considered alongside those of H222 and H39, with complimentary ecological measures provided.  
Impact on Skelmanthorpe conservation area

Pilling Lane is of historic importance to the area and links to agricultural heritage of the area

New developments are not in keeping with conservation area

Pilling Lane is medieval in origin.

Hedgerow forming field boundary at least 200 years old - would need to be moved to gain access  
Impact on school provision

Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council)  
Impact on healthcare provision

## Council Response

---

Impact on amenity, character, and the mix of housing will all be considered at planning application stage. The Kirklees SHMA shows that there is affordable housing need across the district. The mill site has not been submitted to the council as a development option and it is therefore unknown whether the site has a willing landowner, particularly as there are existing businesses on the site.

The distribution of housing across the district will be examined in more detail in advance of Local Plan preparation.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Ability of emergency services to serve the area

Development would conflict with Kirklees health and wellbeing strategy  
Impact on recreation opportunities afforded by countryside

Increased demand for local leisure and recreation facilities

Recreation uses on the fields, e.g. sledging

Impact on pedestrian/cycling linkage offered by Pilling Lane

The proposal will deliver public open space

Little Pilling Lane could be enhanced for leisure and recreation

May undermine role and function of Green Belt beyond the site boundary. Role of green belt in preventing merger of Skelmanthorpe and Scissett.

Exceptional circumstances not demonstrated.

The proposal fails to offer a defensible green belt boundary.

Allocation would provide a more logical, robust and defensible green belt boundary.  
Traditional landscape with hedgerows and dry stone walls

This is a prominent site - development would be visually obtrusive.

The topography of the site would allow for housing to be spaced to retain views from the south of the site.

Impact on views north to Emley Moor  
Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development

The site is available for development.  
Impact on rural nature of the area

Impact on local distinctiveness - Pilling Lane cart track

Skelmanthorpe has recently seen high levels of development

Impact on amenity

Loss of village character in Skelmanthorpe

Skelmanthorpe has low cost market properties - therefore has affordable housing.

Need for starter homes and housing for older people.

Area over developed with commuter homes.

Lack of local shops / facilities

Houses not needed in the area

The site is in a sustainable location on the edge of Skelmanthorpe

The site would provide a high quality housing.

The site would provide housing commensurate to the demand in the area

The housing could be designed as a natural extension to the village.

Increased population would help secure long term sustainability of Skelmanthorpe  
Possibly a gas pipeline across the site.

A redundant mains gas pipe runs under the site.

Mining legacy in Skelmanthorpe, land stability / sinkholes etc

High voltage power lines pass over site  
Rural greenspace (in the green belt) contributes more than urban greenspace.

Scale of development proposed is too large for Skelmanthorpe

Skelmanthorpe is not appropriate location for affordable housing

Should use Brownfield land first - inc mill site adjacent to site

Negative impact on tourism - inc Kirklees light railway

The site is affords poor connections to the strategic highway network

Too much housing in the Kirklees Rural area  
Impact on property values

Impact on sustainable water supplies

H31	Land to the north west of, Woodsome Drive, Fenay Bridge	Support 4	Conditional Support 3	Object 98	No Comment
	DLP_AD11, DLP_AD116, DLP_AD175, DLP_AD664, DLP_AD791, DLP_AD1075, DLP_AD1275, DLP_AD1311, DLP_AD1525, DLP_AD1526, DLP_AD1529, DLP_AD1673, DLP_AD1714, DLP_AD2088, DLP_AD2320, DLP_AD2692, DLP_AD2737, DLP_AD2814, DLP_AD2822, DLP_AD2844, DLP_AD2856, DLP_AD2900, DLP_AD2918, DLP_AD3017, DLP_AD3355, DLP_AD3413, DLP_AD3466, DLP_AD3504, DLP_AD3513, DLP_AD3519, DLP_AD3526, DLP_AD3543, DLP_AD3563, DLP_AD3593, DLP_AD3599, DLP_AD3655, DLP_AD3760, DLP_AD3858, DLP_AD3945, DLP_AD4083, DLP_AD4106, DLP_AD4308, DLP_AD4506, DLP_AD4526, DLP_AD4544, DLP_AD4557, DLP_AD4658, DLP_AD4670, DLP_AD4704, DLP_AD4763, DLP_AD4784, DLP_AD4846, DLP_AD4906, DLP_AD4987, DLP_AD5002, DLP_AD5306, DLP_AD5475, DLP_AD5766, DLP_AD5826, DLP_AD6125, DLP_AD6154, DLP_AD6283, DLP_AD6332, DLP_AD6378, DLP_AD6402, DLP_AD6412, DLP_AD6467, DLP_AD6579, DLP_AD6588, DLP_AD6597, DLP_AD6656, DLP_AD6732, DLP_AD6751, DLP_AD6900, DLP_AD6943, DLP_AD7020, DLP_AD7049, DLP_AD7194, DLP_AD7244, DLP_AD7307, DLP_AD7475, DLP_AD7534, DLP_AD7551, DLP_AD7783, DLP_AD7825, DLP_AD8327, DLP_AD8361, DLP_AD8426, DLP_AD8453, DLP_AD8487, DLP_AD8509, DLP_AD8706, DLP_AD8749, DLP_AD8789, DLP_AD9209, DLP_AD9369, DLP_AD9592, DLP_AD9929, DLP_AD10225, DLP_AD10244, DLP_AD10372, DLP_AD10449, DLP_AD10554, DLP_AD10592, DLP_AD10649				
	Traffic impact on (A629) Penistone Road, Wakefield Road, Rowley Lane, Station Road and Woodsome Road. Cumulative impact of other accepted options in vicinity (Storthes Hall, Shepley). Site access a concern. Difficulty in leaving Whitegates Road. Common End Lane/Rowley Hill is used as a rat run. Public transport is poor. Resurface Rowley Lane before the work begins. Extra warning/navigation mirrors will be required as the sight lines are very difficult, owing to the road's sinuosity. Cumulate impact of sites on drainage needs to be considered. Clay soils in area have poor drainage and flooding. No surface water drainage, main sewers not suitable. Risk of surface water flooding from impermeable surfaces. Penistone Road floods. Development would lead to increased noise and pollution. Site and surrounding area is home to wildlife. Preservation orders on some trees. Negative impact on Lepton Great Wood, Almondbury Common & Carr Wood. Require trees to be retained and plant more. Impact on local historic environment. Development of the site could affect the setting of Castle Hill Scheduled Monument. Site was once common land for tenants of Woodsome Hall. This site forms part of the area of open countryside which contributes to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this Scheduled Monument. National policy guidance makes it clear that Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. (Historic England)	No change.	The site is an accepted housing option. Site access is achievable onto Penistone Road. Road traffic noise may affect near receptors. Noise assessment required.	The impact of the site on the local and wider transport network has been considered and no significant constraints have been identified.	The site has been assessed by the council's strategic drainage and environmental health teams and no significant constraints have been identified.
			The site has been assessed for its biodiversity value, and no significant constraints have been identified.		The site is in an area of undeveloped land that is not considered to be of significant importance to the setting of the Castle Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument as identified in the Castle Hill Setting Study.
					The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places

## Summary of comments

School capacity issues. (Rowley Lane Junior & Infant, Lepton C of E Junior School & King James's). The existing schools will not cope with cumulative level of growth without significant capital investment.  
Doctor capacity issues. GP shortage. Dentist capacity issues. No growth around Huddersfield until future of Huddersfield A&E has been decided.  
Green space is a natural break between existing residential and farm land. Impact on existing / proposed Fenay Greenway. Accepting the site could have a negative impact on viability of Farnley Country Park.

Loss of attractive landscape.  
Developer contributions will not fund the required infrastructure improvements.  
Infilling around older centres will not provide appropriate level of services (shops, schools, doctors, parking, play areas, garages).  
High voltage power lines cross the land. Mining legacy needs to be considered.  
Cumulate impact of removing green belt including this site. Cumulative impact of accepted options would disproportionately increase size of settlement. Use Brownfield sites before green belt. Will contribute to urban sprawl. Site would join Lepton and Fenay Bridge. Would be ribbon development.  
Build houses at a lower density. Houses should be affordable. House design should be of high standard.

**H32** Land south of, Woodsome Drive, Fenay Bridge

DLP\_AD176, DLP\_AD1076, DLP\_AD1278, DLP\_AD1312, DLP\_AD1527, DLP\_AD1530, DLP\_AD1674, DLP\_AD2089, DLP\_AD2321, DLP\_AD2693, DLP\_AD2738, DLP\_AD2815, DLP\_AD2823, DLP\_AD2846, DLP\_AD2857, DLP\_AD2902, DLP\_AD2919, DLP\_AD3018, DLP\_AD3356, DLP\_AD3465, DLP\_AD3506, DLP\_AD3514, DLP\_AD3521, DLP\_AD3527, DLP\_AD3564, DLP\_AD3592, DLP\_AD3600, DLP\_AD3657, DLP\_AD3761, DLP\_AD3859, DLP\_AD3877, DLP\_AD3947, DLP\_AD4084, DLP\_AD4108, DLP\_AD4309, DLP\_AD4509, DLP\_AD4528, DLP\_AD4543, DLP\_AD4558, DLP\_AD4660, DLP\_AD4671, DLP\_AD4705, DLP\_AD4785, DLP\_AD4803, DLP\_AD4847, DLP\_AD4908, DLP\_AD4963, DLP\_AD4988, DLP\_AD5307, DLP\_AD5476, DLP\_AD5638, DLP\_AD5767, DLP\_AD5829, DLP\_AD5830, DLP\_AD6124, DLP\_AD6155, DLP\_AD6333, DLP\_AD6379, DLP\_AD6403, DLP\_AD6413, DLP\_AD6470, DLP\_AD6580, DLP\_AD6589, DLP\_AD6598, DLP\_AD6657, DLP\_AD6733, DLP\_AD6752, DLP\_AD6903, DLP\_AD6944, DLP\_AD7193, DLP\_AD7245, DLP\_AD7295, DLP\_AD7305, DLP\_AD7308, DLP\_AD7476, DLP\_AD7535, DLP\_AD7552, DLP\_AD7786, DLP\_AD7827, DLP\_AD8328, DLP\_AD8362, DLP\_AD8427, DLP\_AD8454, DLP\_AD8488, DLP\_AD8510, DLP\_AD8707, DLP\_AD8751, DLP\_AD8792, DLP\_AD9217, DLP\_AD9371, DLP\_AD9594, DLP\_AD9930, DLP\_AD10226, DLP\_AD10373, DLP\_AD10455, DLP\_AD10557, DLP\_AD10594, DLP\_AD10650, DLP\_AD10978

Penistone Road needs to be upgraded to cope with additional traffic. Congestion problems on Barnsley Road, Flockton and routes to M1 through Bretton. Congestion on Rowley Lane, Highgate Lane and Station Road in the morning. Extra parking provision required at the school. Parked cars and speeding traffic on Rowley Lane make this road very dangerous - speed humps needed and 20mph zone. Traffic from Capita offices at entrance to Woodsome Park has 70-100 cars daily from this site. Impossible to turn right at the bottom of Rowley Lane in am/pm peak traffic. Concerns raised re. impact on Sovereign junction with increased traffic and road improvements that are needed there i.e. signalisation.  
Sewers down Rowley Lane cannot cope sewage and rain water at present. Area is a flood plain and has a history of flooding. Councils Flood Risk Management Strategy says the same. Problems of flooding at the bottom of Fenay Lane and adjacent to the petrol station.  
Air quality impacts upon this section of Penistone Road, especially if new roundabout incorporated into the scheme.  
Lots of wildlife will be affected around Lepton Great Wood. Area is used by walkers. Lots of species affected.  
Site is close to Castle Hill - an assessment needs to be made in relation to Castle Hill Setting study.  
Comment from HE.  
Schools cannot cope with anymore houses - Rowley Lane J+I School and Lepton CofE J+I School. No plans to extend the school at present. Lack of spaces at nearby secondary school - King James, Almondbury.  
GP cannot cope with anymore houses. Huddersfield will not have an A&E soon and this has to be taken into account when considering new houses.

Result in urban sprawl along Penistone Road, change the character of the area completely. H32 is the last open site before Highburton and development would merger villages together.  
Broadband coverage in Kirkburton area is poor and needs to have increased coverage.  
Area has been mined extensively in the past with numerous mine entries/tunnels located within all Lepton sites.  
2001 Inspector inquiry - concluded area is part of the open countryside and should be left permanently open.  
H32 should be an employment option and PEA option.

## Council Response

are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

The nature of development including density and levels of affordable housing can be clarified at planning application stage considering relevant local and national policies.

Support 4 Conditional Support 5 Object 90 No Comment

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reason for the change is outlined below:

The site now forms part of larger accepted site option H2684a.

The site lies in flood zone 1. The FRA rules out a connection to Fenay Beck due to distance. Suds and infiltration will be explored as the first option with connection to the sewer as a last resort. Surface water discharge will be attenuated on site to Greenfield rates.

Air Quality is highlighted as a concern. Kirklees Council model and monitor within the district to identify problem areas within the district. The area surrounding this site has not been identified highly polluted, nor has monitoring along Penistone Road indicated an exceedance of health related objectives. Air quality emissions from this site has been considered and recommendations have been made to safeguard sustainability of development with the aim to aid with the reduction of pollutants in the district"

The site has been assessed by West Yorkshire Ecology, there are no biodiversity concerns on the site.

There are primary school place available in the local catchment area. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Site is within a High Risk Coal Referral Area therefore a coal mining risk assessment will be required with any planning application.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Should consider 1000s of empty homes and bringing them back into use before releasing GB land.

H32 is been tested as an employment option also . The need for housing land over the next 15 years outweighs the inspector recommendation to keep the land permanently open in 2001.

**H36** Land north of, Wellfield Close, Grange Moor

Support Conditional Support Object 50 No Comment

DLP\_AD768, DLP\_AD1035, DLP\_AD1231, DLP\_AD1398, DLP\_AD3106, DLP\_AD3903, DLP\_AD4066, DLP\_AD4122, DLP\_AD4356, DLP\_AD4358, DLP\_AD4362, DLP\_AD4374, DLP\_AD4589, DLP\_AD6035, DLP\_AD7004, DLP\_AD7009, DLP\_AD7014, DLP\_AD7025, DLP\_AD7033, DLP\_AD7037, DLP\_AD7047, DLP\_AD8146, DLP\_AD8221, DLP\_AD8228, DLP\_AD8628, DLP\_AD8631, DLP\_AD8632, DLP\_AD8635, DLP\_AD8636, DLP\_AD8639, DLP\_AD8640, DLP\_AD8642, DLP\_AD8645, DLP\_AD8646, DLP\_AD8649, DLP\_AD8650, DLP\_AD8653, DLP\_AD8654, DLP\_AD8656, DLP\_AD8658, DLP\_AD8661, DLP\_AD8663, DLP\_AD8665, DLP\_AD8667, DLP\_AD9605, DLP\_AD10211, DLP\_AD10216, DLP\_AD10287, DLP\_AD10935, DLP\_AD10965

Traffic congestion

Proposed Change

Development this side of Grange Moor will bring traffic through the village.

The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

Insufficient off street parking - impact on bus route.  
Impact on education provision

The site is on elevated ground visually prominent when viewed from the west and would appear as ridge line development projecting into the countryside contrary to the role and function of the green belt.

Development this side of Grange Moor will bring traffic through the village.

In terms of transport the impact on local highways links has been assessed and is deemed to be acceptable.

H35 would be more favourable settlement extension.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Represents an extension of the settlement when other opportunities for development exist outside of the Green Belt

The site is adjacent to bungalows and development would impact on occupiers of these properties.  
Minimise loss of Green Belt

Other suitable non green belt sites are available in Grange Moor



H38	Land to the east of, Ryecroft Lane, Scholes	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 598	No Comment 1
DLP_AD314, DLP_AD390, DLP_AD393, DLP_AD415, DLP_AD424, DLP_AD428, DLP_AD438, DLP_AD442, DLP_AD449, DLP_AD560, DLP_AD583, DLP_AD593, DLP_AD598, DLP_AD602, DLP_AD605, DLP_AD611, DLP_AD614, DLP_AD616, DLP_AD622, DLP_AD695, DLP_AD705, DLP_AD731, DLP_AD745, DLP_AD746, DLP_AD772, DLP_AD774, DLP_AD778, DLP_AD789, DLP_AD803, DLP_AD820, DLP_AD830, DLP_AD834, DLP_AD839, DLP_AD852, DLP_AD861, DLP_AD863, DLP_AD868, DLP_AD894, DLP_AD900, DLP_AD918, DLP_AD921, DLP_AD926, DLP_AD934, DLP_AD941, DLP_AD945, DLP_AD948, DLP_AD958, DLP_AD980, DLP_AD994, DLP_AD1010, DLP_AD1018, DLP_AD1027, DLP_AD1031, DLP_AD1049, DLP_AD1052, DLP_AD1064, DLP_AD1067, DLP_AD1071, DLP_AD1090, DLP_AD1094, DLP_AD1098, DLP_AD1101, DLP_AD1109, DLP_AD1117, DLP_AD1125, DLP_AD1160, DLP_AD1166, DLP_AD1178, DLP_AD1183, DLP_AD1186, DLP_AD1196, DLP_AD1241, DLP_AD1246, DLP_AD1253, DLP_AD1254, DLP_AD1284, DLP_AD1293, DLP_AD1302, DLP_AD1324, DLP_AD1371, DLP_AD1378, DLP_AD1392, DLP_AD1394, DLP_AD1418, DLP_AD1424, DLP_AD1426, DLP_AD1430, DLP_AD1432, DLP_AD1444, DLP_AD1445, DLP_AD1465, DLP_AD1486, DLP_AD1546, DLP_AD1548, DLP_AD1555, DLP_AD1613, DLP_AD1616, DLP_AD1643, DLP_AD1666, DLP_AD1715, DLP_AD1719, DLP_AD1727, DLP_AD1752, DLP_AD1761, DLP_AD1871, DLP_AD1896, DLP_AD1917, DLP_AD1991, DLP_AD2037, DLP_AD2312, DLP_AD2331, DLP_AD2403, DLP_AD2624, DLP_AD2718, DLP_AD2791, DLP_AD2874, DLP_AD2911, DLP_AD2916, DLP_AD2928, DLP_AD3007, DLP_AD3016, DLP_AD3043, DLP_AD3047, DLP_AD3075, DLP_AD3105, DLP_AD3137, DLP_AD3147, DLP_AD3166, DLP_AD3168, DLP_AD3173, DLP_AD3185, DLP_AD3253, DLP_AD3258, DLP_AD3326, DLP_AD3336, DLP_AD3449, DLP_AD3620, DLP_AD3641, DLP_AD3648, DLP_AD3653, DLP_AD3654, DLP_AD3705, DLP_AD3748, DLP_AD3750, DLP_AD3779, DLP_AD3833, DLP_AD3900, DLP_AD3926, DLP_AD3942, DLP_AD3969, DLP_AD3972, DLP_AD3991, DLP_AD4121, DLP_AD4154, DLP_AD4179, DLP_AD4229, DLP_AD4240, DLP_AD4246, DLP_AD4278, DLP_AD4496, DLP_AD4537, DLP_AD4561, DLP_AD4601, DLP_AD4609, DLP_AD4632, DLP_AD4638, DLP_AD4647, DLP_AD4691, DLP_AD4749, DLP_AD4770, DLP_AD4772, DLP_AD4795, DLP_AD4811, DLP_AD4816, DLP_AD4820, DLP_AD4824, DLP_AD4852, DLP_AD4872, DLP_AD4882, DLP_AD4892, DLP_AD4910, DLP_AD4915, DLP_AD4931, DLP_AD5096, DLP_AD5135, DLP_AD5188, DLP_AD5238, DLP_AD5258, DLP_AD5268, DLP_AD5293, DLP_AD5300, DLP_AD5341, DLP_AD5402, DLP_AD5406, DLP_AD5410, DLP_AD5413, DLP_AD5417, DLP_AD5419, DLP_AD5429, DLP_AD5449, DLP_AD5457, DLP_AD5478, DLP_AD5481, DLP_AD5491, DLP_AD5494, DLP_AD5495, DLP_AD5498, DLP_AD5500, DLP_AD5502, DLP_AD5507, DLP_AD5509, DLP_AD5513, DLP_AD5514, DLP_AD5518, DLP_AD5525, DLP_AD5589, DLP_AD5603, DLP_AD5607, DLP_AD5610, DLP_AD5615, DLP_AD5619, DLP_AD5621, DLP_AD5623, DLP_AD5627, DLP_AD5629, DLP_AD5632, DLP_AD5635, DLP_AD5644, DLP_AD5650, DLP_AD5655, DLP_AD5661, DLP_AD5671, DLP_AD5685, DLP_AD5713, DLP_AD5734, DLP_AD5775, DLP_AD5783, DLP_AD5802, DLP_AD5858, DLP_AD5907, DLP_AD5909, DLP_AD5911, DLP_AD5927, DLP_AD5974, DLP_AD5980, DLP_AD6008, DLP_AD6012, DLP_AD6018, DLP_AD6019, DLP_AD6036, DLP_AD6039, DLP_AD6042, DLP_AD6043, DLP_AD6050, DLP_AD6132, DLP_AD6171, DLP_AD6175, DLP_AD6213, DLP_AD6215, DLP_AD6219, DLP_AD6221, DLP_AD6225, DLP_AD6230, DLP_AD6234, DLP_AD6242, DLP_AD6246, DLP_AD6248, DLP_AD6251, DLP_AD6254, DLP_AD6260, DLP_AD6262, DLP_AD6266, DLP_AD6273, DLP_AD6276, DLP_AD6278, DLP_AD6284, DLP_AD6286, DLP_AD6292, DLP_AD6294, DLP_AD6297, DLP_AD6300, DLP_AD6305, DLP_AD6308, DLP_AD6326, DLP_AD6395, DLP_AD6399, DLP_AD6409, DLP_AD6411, DLP_AD6418, DLP_AD6422, DLP_AD6427, DLP_AD6429, DLP_AD6435, DLP_AD6437, DLP_AD6439, DLP_AD6441, DLP_AD6443, DLP_AD6446, DLP_AD6448, DLP_AD6450, DLP_AD6452, DLP_AD6455, DLP_AD6457, DLP_AD6459, DLP_AD6462, DLP_AD6465, DLP_AD6474, DLP_AD6476, DLP_AD6483, DLP_AD6488, DLP_AD6490, DLP_AD6497, DLP_AD6504, DLP_AD6509, DLP_AD6515, DLP_AD6517, DLP_AD6521, DLP_AD6523, DLP_AD6525, DLP_AD6527, DLP_AD6528, DLP_AD6530, DLP_AD6537, DLP_AD6539, DLP_AD6541, DLP_AD6544, DLP_AD6577, DLP_AD6655, DLP_AD6659, DLP_AD6663, DLP_AD6664, DLP_AD6694, DLP_AD6707, DLP_AD6721, DLP_AD6725, DLP_AD6728, DLP_AD6778, DLP_AD6881, DLP_AD6883, DLP_AD6927, DLP_AD6979, DLP_AD7001, DLP_AD7007, DLP_AD7052, DLP_AD7057, DLP_AD7091, DLP_AD7103, DLP_AD7106, DLP_AD7111, DLP_AD7113, DLP_AD7118, DLP_AD7122, DLP_AD7126, DLP_AD7127, DLP_AD7130, DLP_AD7131, DLP_AD7134, DLP_AD7135, DLP_AD7138, DLP_AD7139, DLP_AD7141, DLP_AD7145, DLP_AD7186, DLP_AD7223, DLP_AD7265, DLP_AD7320, DLP_AD7332, DLP_AD7458, DLP_AD7501, DLP_AD7503, DLP_AD7615, DLP_AD7625, DLP_AD7627, DLP_AD7629, DLP_AD7630, DLP_AD7633, DLP_AD7634, DLP_AD7644, DLP_AD7646, DLP_AD7653, DLP_AD7657, DLP_AD7663, DLP_AD7666, DLP_AD7667, DLP_AD7670, DLP_AD7671, DLP_AD7674, DLP_AD7675, DLP_AD7677, DLP_AD7680, DLP_AD7681, DLP_AD7684, DLP_AD7686, DLP_AD7687, DLP_AD7690, DLP_AD7691, DLP_AD7693, DLP_AD7696, DLP_AD7697, DLP_AD7700, DLP_AD7701, DLP_AD7706, DLP_AD7707, DLP_AD7710, DLP_AD7712, DLP_AD7714, DLP_AD7715, DLP_AD7718, DLP_AD7719, DLP_AD7722, DLP_AD7723, DLP_AD7726, DLP_AD7727, DLP_AD7730, DLP_AD7748, DLP_AD7757, DLP_AD7815, DLP_AD7877, DLP_AD7886, DLP_AD7888, DLP_AD7921, DLP_AD7924, DLP_AD7934, DLP_AD7939, DLP_AD7942, DLP_AD7944, DLP_AD7945, DLP_AD7947, DLP_AD7949, DLP_AD7954, DLP_AD7956, DLP_AD7962, DLP_AD7964, DLP_AD7975, DLP_AD7977, DLP_AD7980, DLP_AD7983, DLP_AD7986, DLP_AD7988, DLP_AD8009, DLP_AD8011, DLP_AD8015, DLP_AD8098, DLP_AD8120, DLP_AD8122, DLP_AD8126, DLP_AD8130, DLP_AD8132, DLP_AD8134, DLP_AD8136, DLP_AD8264, DLP_AD8276, DLP_AD8370, DLP_AD8395, DLP_AD8397, DLP_AD8399, DLP_AD8402, DLP_AD8404, DLP_AD8415, DLP_AD8417, DLP_AD8419, DLP_AD8421, DLP_AD8547, DLP_AD8563, DLP_AD8669, DLP_AD8671, DLP_AD8673, DLP_AD8675, DLP_AD8677, DLP_AD8679, DLP_AD8681, DLP_AD8683, DLP_AD8685, DLP_AD8687, DLP_AD8689, DLP_AD8691, DLP_AD8693, DLP_AD8695, DLP_AD8697, DLP_AD8700, DLP_AD8702, DLP_AD8704, DLP_AD8711, DLP_AD8714, DLP_AD8716, DLP_AD8720, DLP_AD8724, DLP_AD8728, DLP_AD8738, DLP_AD8811, DLP_AD8813, DLP_AD8816, DLP_AD8824, DLP_AD8828, DLP_AD8835, DLP_AD8837, DLP_AD8840, DLP_AD8842, DLP_AD8844, DLP_AD8846, DLP_AD8849, DLP_AD9032, DLP_AD9084, DLP_AD9236, DLP_AD9238, DLP_AD9241, DLP_AD9244, DLP_AD9246, DLP_AD9248, DLP_AD9250, DLP_AD9252, DLP_AD9255, DLP_AD9259, DLP_AD9264, DLP_AD9270, DLP_AD9281, DLP_AD9283, DLP_AD9315, DLP_AD9317, DLP_AD9319, DLP_AD9351, DLP_AD9361, DLP_AD9364, DLP_AD9437, DLP_AD9464, DLP_AD9541, DLP_AD9547, DLP_AD9551, DLP_AD9553, DLP_AD9556, DLP_AD9557, DLP_AD9560, DLP_AD9562, DLP_AD9564, DLP_AD9566, DLP_AD9568, DLP_AD9570, DLP_AD9572, DLP_AD9577, DLP_AD9579, DLP_AD9612, DLP_AD9824, DLP_AD9826, DLP_AD9828, DLP_AD9836, DLP_AD9840, DLP_AD9843, DLP_AD9858, DLP_AD9860, DLP_AD9863, DLP_AD9865, DLP_AD9867, DLP_AD9869, DLP_AD9871, DLP_AD9873, DLP_AD9875, DLP_AD9879, DLP_AD9883, DLP_AD9885, DLP_AD9888, DLP_AD9891, DLP_AD9896, DLP_AD9898, DLP_AD9900, DLP_AD9902, DLP_AD9904, DLP_AD9906, DLP_AD9908, DLP_AD9910, DLP_AD9912, DLP_AD9914, DLP_AD9916, DLP_AD9918, DLP_AD9920, DLP_AD9921, DLP_AD9923, DLP_AD9925, DLP_AD9928, DLP_AD9935, DLP_AD9937, DLP_AD10054, DLP_AD10056, DLP_AD10058, DLP_AD10075, DLP_AD10077, DLP_AD10090, DLP_AD10098, DLP_AD10102, DLP_AD10142, DLP_AD10183, DLP_AD10236, DLP_AD10247, DLP_AD10253, DLP_AD10303, DLP_AD10305, DLP_AD10424, DLP_AD10446, DLP_AD10476, DLP_AD11011					

Strategic road capacity issues - not adjacent to arterial roads or motorways, congestion/capacity issues in Holmfirth centre, Lockwood Bar junction, Jackson Bridge, issues when Woodhead Pass closes. (Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council).

Road capacity issues - roads already at capacity, residential parking limits many roads to single file, many roads cannot be widened, agricultural traffic and livestock movement, unsuitable for buses, construction traffic and emergency vehicles.

Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council. Holmfirth/Meltham local plan (1987) stated local highways inadequate. Previous planning appeal rejected for development due to road capacity issues on POL site. A single dwelling was refused planning permission in Scholes due to inadequate road network. Proposals not consistent with national planning policy relating to generating significant movements of traffic and local plan policy DLP20.

Specific road concerns raised re Paris, Dunford Road, Cinderhills Road to Holmfirth, Crossgate Road,

Proposed change.

The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation but some of the component parts of this site have been accepted as housing allocations (H297 and H597) instead. The larger site (H38) was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

This site has been rejected as a whole but there are assessments for its three component parts (H297, H451, H597) as there were concerns over whether site access can be achieved to all of the site due to the presence of the recreation ground (in different ownership) and residential properties and their curtilages in multiple ownerships which impact on the deliverability of the site and whether it is developable during the plan period. H297 and H597 have now been accepted as housing options.

## Summary of comments

Chapel Gate, South Lane, Scholes Road to Jackson Bridge, Totties Road to New Mill, Cross Lane into Holmfirth, Scholes Moor Road, Wagstaffe Corner, Scholes Moor Road/Sandy Gate, Boot and Shoe junction, cars cutting through Ryefields estate, parking issues when Underbank Rugby Club play. Immediate site access - Cross Lane access is unsuitable.  
Lack of off-street parking (made worse by recent developments).  
Roads blocked and dangerous in winter conditions.  
Road safety - dangerous pinch points and blind corners (e.g. Cross Lane), lack of pavements, inadequate street lighting, school walking route dangerous, very busy at school opening and closing times, roads in state of disrepair, difficult for wheelchair users, safety issues for cyclists. Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council.  
Public transport unreliable and difficult to access.  
Bus stops located near the site.  
Encourages commuting.  
Will encourage private car use, against council climate change commitments.  
A travel plan should be produced.  
Sewer infrastructure may not cope - regular capacity issues and previous development scheme in Scholes rejected on this basis. Drainage and sewer infrastructure issues also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council. Yorkshire Water raised concerns in 1995 in relation to capacity.  
Water mains issues - burst pipes.  
Flooding issues – existing surface water flooding issues which would be made worse, overflowing gullies, water flowing down Scholes Moor Road, run-off from land will be greater.  
Proposals will bring noise pollution.  
Air pollution from increased traffic.  
Wildlife affected - by development and impact of additional pets.  
Impact on Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
Environment Impact Study required.  
Impact on historic field and settlement pattern.  
School capacity insufficient (Scholes Junior and Infant School, Hepworth School and Hade Edge School, Holmfirth High School).  
Schools close to capacity (Holme Valley Parish Council)  
Impact on health provision (doctors and lack of NHS dentists, closure of Huddersfield A&E)  
Impacts of a reduction in air quality on health  
Impact on walking routes.  
No open land in the village.  
Loss of farmland / agricultural land and associated jobs (Holme Valley Parish Council)  
Loss of formal recreation (playground off Ryecroft Lane). Also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council.  
Scholes PTA paid for equipment.  
Was it gifted to community as play area only. Impacts on childhood obesity.  
Should expand recreation area rather than remove it.

Unacceptable impact on landscape.  
Sites are only four miles from the Peak District National Park.  
Physical infrastructure cannot cope - cost to improve infrastructure would be too high.  
Recent refusal of a single bungalow due to infrastructure issues.  
Significant enhancements needed to gas, electricity (already experience power cuts), mobile phone signals, water pressure.  
Development should be carbon neutral.  
Illogical that CIL funding can be diverted to other areas not specific to the development.  
Need to make sure planning contributions are collected rather than written off.  
Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
Cumulative impact of development on rural character. Planning permission was rejected on fields adjacent to the Moorland Estate 20 years ago with the inspector stating: "it would be incompatible with the size, character and setting of Scholes". Holme Valley Parish Council).  
Loss of privacy.  
Potential overshadowing.  
Impacts on visual amenity.  
Loss of views.

## Council Response

Highways assessment has shown that the site can be accessed and that the local highway links are acceptable. Consideration has been given to the previous planning appeal on part of this site but the site has been assessed as acceptable in highways terms under the current national planning policy framework.

Greenfield run-off rates will be required to manage surface water from this site in accordance with the local plan policies once adopted.

Environmental health have not raised objections in relation to noise or air pollution.

Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site is to the east of the village of Scholes, away from this site. West Yorkshire Ecology have not raised concerns in terms of the potential impact of development of this site on the Local Wildlife Site.

No objections from Historic England in relation to the heritage impacts of developing this site.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The recreation ground was removed from the developable area in the draft local plan and therefore not proposed to be used for development purposes.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

The housing capacity set out for this site is indicative only. The local plan sets out policies in relation to housing mix and affordable housing. Once adopted, these will be used to assess planning applications in relation to the latest available evidence.

Although this site is currently open, it is not within the green belt and is therefore not subject to the consideration of openness as set out in national planning policy in relation to green belt.

This site has been assessed through the Sustainability Appraisal and using information from the settlement appraisal and is regarded as a sustainable.

Comments supporting the acceptance of this site and that the site is suitable, available and achievable have been noted but as explained above, this overarching site has now been rejected. Some of the component parts have now been accepted.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Lack of amenities in the village and this scheme adds nothing.  
 Site is located close to a range of services and facilities.  
 New Mill library closure affects facilities.  
 High density housing proposals not appropriate in current low density Scholes area.  
 Refuse collection service and gritting already inadequate.  
 Loss of countryside.  
 Development in an unsustainable location.  
 Site is in an appropriate and sustainable location.  
 New homes will not meet needs of those requiring affordable homes.  
 Support for increase in affordable homes generally.  
 Housing is needed but concerned about impacts on the village.  
 Should consider Brownfield land first (e.g. Alexander's Garage off Bradford Road, old sports centre and college sites in Huddersfield, partly developed site off Huddersfield Road, Dobroyd Mill (Hepworth), old drill hall off Huddersfield Road, Storthes Hall). Issue also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council.  
 Parts of the Scholes urban green space are of lower quality than the proposed housing allocation.  
 Empty homes should be brought back into use.  
 Negative impact on tourism and associated businesses (Holme Valley Parish Council)  
 More development than other areas of a similar size.  
 Already too many homes in Scholes (a lot of them are new).  
 Could consider some much smaller schemes for local builders in keeping with the village.  
 Holme Valley is only suitable for organic growth spread across the valley (smaller developments) (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Only acceptable development in Scholes would be for 4 or 5 acres facing on to Sandy Gate, as long as off-road parking spaces provided.  
 Need for 1 or 2 bedroom properties for first time buyers (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Alternative to have community centre, small retail outlet, doctors surgery and plots for community farming/allotments.  
 No vision in the plan for future economic development of Scholes - few employment opportunities in Scholes or local area (also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Site is close to employment opportunities.  
 Site makes efficient use of land.  
 Impact assessment required including economic costs and benefits.  
 Criticism of consultation - not enough time to put forward views, difficult to navigate website, attempts to rush plans through.  
 Disappointment there was no drop-in session in the Holme Valley (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Document not written in plain English.  
 Map showing sites is not up to date.  
 Creation of local construction jobs.  
 Has there been consultation with other local authorities?  
 Site is suitable, available and achievable.

H39	Land to the north of, Strike Lane, Skelmanthorpe, Huddersfield, DLP_AD3718, DLP_AD4291, DLP_AD4330, DLP_AD5052, DLP_AD5537, DLP_AD8579, DLP_AD9388, DLP_AD10463	Support	Conditional Support	3	Object	5	No Comment
A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.	Proposed change.						
The proposed allocation is located within a habitat corridor important for great crested newts, a European protected species. The great crested newt corridor should be retained in the site masterplans.	This site is a rejected housing option. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:						
Risk of cat predation to Great Crested Newts	A smaller option (H39a) has been accepted, as the eastern part of the site has been developed for housing.						
Substantial ecological buffer should be provided, potentially including a ditch at the edge nearest to development to provide a buffer between ponds and the development, with compensatory habitat provided as far from main bulk of development as possible	Comments relating to the habitat corridor will be taken forward for site H39a.						
Impact on Great Crested Newts should be considered alongside those of H222 and H29, with complimentary ecological measures provided.	The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth						
Potential impact on school place provision in Wakefield District, the two authorities need to work together	Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held						

Summary of comments

to understand these impacts and adequate mitigation Wakefield Council).

Impact on education provision  
Impact on healthcare provision

Overdevelopment of a small village  
Should use Brownfield first.

**H40** Land to the south west of, Sheep Ings Farm, Granny Lane, Mirfield  
DLP\_AD3130, DLP\_AD5349, DLP\_AD5859, DLP\_AD6311, DLP\_AD7441, DLP\_AD8102, DLP\_AD8864, DLP\_AD10386, DLP\_AD10595

Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network in Mirfield, A644 already has long queues from Dewsbury to Cooper Bridge. On road parking reduces road capacity. Impact on local road network surrounding the site including Granny Lane and Hagg Lane. Road safety issues along the narrow road.  
Land at risk of flooding, Valence Beck adjacent the site is prone to flooding. Local road network floods. Site could be developed in context of flood risk. Site is partially in flood zone 2&3 netted off. (Environment Agency)  
Impact on air quality.  
Potential negative impact on adjacent Grade II listed Building (Sheep Ings Farmhouse). Where assessment shows that the development of the site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings, mitigation measures will be required. If the harm remains, it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (Historic England).  
Increased demand on schools not considered  
Increased demand on GPs, Dentist etc not considered

Scenic green belt  
Natural countryside east of Lower Hopton is of great environmental value  
Possibility of subsidence due to old colliery working. Remediation costs associated with old colliery and contamination.  
Site is deliverable

Council Response

and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Support 1 Conditional Support 2 Object 6 No Comment

No Change  
This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
Site access achievable. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.  
Responses to comments received as part of the consultation include:  
It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network. No highways safety issues have been raised.  
No air quality objections raised. The Council has commissioned an Air Quality Assessment (AQA) to assess the potential cumulative impact of sites allocated in the local plan. The Council will monitor air quality annually and set out its findings in its annual monitoring report.  
English Heritage Comments noted.  
The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.  
Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.  
This site sits in an area of urban fringe where there is existing sporadic development in the green belt. The site is between existing residential development and mixed residential and industrial property at the junction with Hagg Lane . This is a flat, well contained site with clear boundaries to three sides. There is no risk of sprawl although the north eastern boundary is less well defined and would leave the property between the site and Granny Lane vulnerable to encroachment.

No objections have been raised from Natural England or West Yorkshire Ecology

**H44** Land to the south of, Cross Lane (east), Stocksmoor  
DLP\_AD3062, DLP\_AD4564

Traffic issues.  
Impact on school provision.  
Impact on health services.

General support for the local plan given the rules but proposals for Stocksmoor are enough.  
Homes build should consist of affordable first time buyers and family homes.

Support Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No change.  
The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
Site access achievable. This site has planning permission for 17 dwellings (application reference: 2015/90200)

Summary of comments

Council Response

therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Comments on this site have been noted although as stated above, the site already has planning permission for housing.

H47 Land to the south of, Vicarage Meadows, Cinderhills	Support	Conditional Support	Object 56	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD396, DLP_AD842, DLP_AD845, DLP_AD853, DLP_AD893, DLP_AD929, DLP_AD936, DLP_AD942, DLP_AD946, DLP_AD950, DLP_AD1019, DLP_AD1028, DLP_AD1032, DLP_AD1091, DLP_AD1103, DLP_AD1123, DLP_AD1126, DLP_AD1250, DLP_AD1303, DLP_AD1469, DLP_AD1522, DLP_AD1614, DLP_AD1709, DLP_AD1753, DLP_AD2002, DLP_AD2045, DLP_AD2313, DLP_AD3044, DLP_AD3118, DLP_AD3451, DLP_AD3617, DLP_AD3644, DLP_AD3931, DLP_AD3973, DLP_AD4498, DLP_AD4562, DLP_AD5295, DLP_AD5929, DLP_AD5975, DLP_AD5981, DLP_AD6020, DLP_AD6280, DLP_AD6327, DLP_AD6546, DLP_AD6980, DLP_AD7703, DLP_AD8013, DLP_AD8016, DLP_AD8099, DLP_AD8124, DLP_AD8128, DLP_AD8548, DLP_AD8717, DLP_AD8721, DLP_AD8725, DLP_AD9033</p>				
<p>Cumulative impact of the development cannot be accommodated on the road network - Holmfirth and Scholes centres already congested as well as congestion at Honley, Berry Brow and Lockwood.            Road capacity issues - roads too narrow (often single lane), used for agricultural traffic, existing problems for buses and large vehicles, poorly maintained roads. No improvements planned.            Roads often narrow with cars parked on both sides (Holme Valley Parish Council)            Particular road capacity issues raised about Scholes Moor Road, Paris Road, St George's Rd, Jackson Bridge, Chapelgate, Wadman Rd (with school access traffic peak times), Sandy Gate, Cinderhills, Ryecroft Lane, Cross Lane, Dunford Rd, Scholes Moor Rd, South Lane is particularly steep and narrow, Cinderhills Road, Totties Lane, Greenhill Bank Road, Park Side. Concerns also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council).            Site access - Arndale Grove is restricted to single lane by parked cars.            Need to be clear what accessibility heat mapping work is            Parking problems (made worse by recent developments and when local rugby club play)            Road safety - lack of footpaths (Holme Valley Parish Council), danger for school children, inadequate street lighting, dangerous blind corners, hazardous driving conditions in winter weather.            Encourages commuting / greater journey times (Holme Valley Parish Council)            Not readily accessible by public transport.            Surface water flooding issues including the gardens on Vicarage Meadows.            Culvert at Vicarage Meadows/Carr Lane regularly floods.            Sewer infrastructure may not cope (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Road drainage unable to cope - road gullies already overflowing regularly (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Proposals will bring problems of noise pollution.            Air pollution from increased traffic.            Wildlife affected (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Proximity to Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site (Holme Valley Parish Council).            School capacity insufficient (Scholes, Hepworth, Hade Edge, Holmfirth Schools) (Holme Valley Parish Council)            Health provision may be insufficient (doctor, dentist, hospital)            Loss of agricultural land (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Need to protect valuable green space.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	<p>Site access achievable and other constraints are expected to be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes on this site during the plan period. This site has planning permission with an indicative capacity of 14 dwellings (application reference: 2014/93107) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.</p>	<p>Comments on this site noted but the site has planning permission for housing as set out above therefore relevant planning issues were considered in determining the application.</p>
<p>Plans contribute to urban sprawl.            Unacceptable impact on landscape.            Improvements to utilities required.            Frequent disruptions to power supply already (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Cumulative impact of development unacceptable on character (Holme Valley Parish Council)            Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size and compared to other similar settlements.            Limited local amenities and proposed developments do not add anything (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Should use Brownfield sites first (Holme Valley Parish Council) e.g. Alexander's Garage off Bradford Road, former sports centre in Huddersfield.            Need to bring empty homes back into use            Negative impact on tourism and in turn on local businesses (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Holme Valley only suitable for smaller developments evenly spread throughout the valley (Holme Valley Parish Council).            Concern the fire service may not be able to cope.            Already many homes for sale in the local area.</p>				

## Summary of comments

Larger homes would be built but smaller homes are needed in the area.  
 Need for starter homes (Holme Valley Parish Council)  
 1987 Holmfirth and Meltham Local Plan raised concerns about expansion except low density infill (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Lack of local employment opportunities.  
 Consultation publicity inadequate.  
 Inadequate time to respond to consultation.  
 Website is difficult to navigate.  
 Approach not consistent with NPPF.  
 Application for 1 dwelling refused due to unsustainable location.  
 Need to ensure planning contributions are collected from developers.

## Council Response

H48	Travel Station Yard, Station Road, Honley	Support 2	Conditional Support 5	Object 139	No Comment
	<p>DLP_AD585, DLP_AD1205, DLP_AD1388, DLP_AD1735, DLP_AD1767, DLP_AD1768, DLP_AD1790, DLP_AD1829, DLP_AD1845, DLP_AD1862, DLP_AD1889, DLP_AD1952, DLP_AD1964, DLP_AD1976, DLP_AD1986, DLP_AD2036, DLP_AD2065, DLP_AD2079, DLP_AD2105, DLP_AD2120, DLP_AD2153, DLP_AD2160, DLP_AD2205, DLP_AD2214, DLP_AD2223, DLP_AD2233, DLP_AD2241, DLP_AD2250, DLP_AD2260, DLP_AD2278, DLP_AD2290, DLP_AD2307, DLP_AD2335, DLP_AD2341, DLP_AD2361, DLP_AD2439, DLP_AD2449, DLP_AD2463, DLP_AD2475, DLP_AD2486, DLP_AD2513, DLP_AD2523, DLP_AD2536, DLP_AD2562, DLP_AD2578, DLP_AD2607, DLP_AD2657, DLP_AD2668, DLP_AD2678, DLP_AD2705, DLP_AD2725, DLP_AD2782, DLP_AD2888, DLP_AD2940, DLP_AD2952, DLP_AD2976, DLP_AD2992, DLP_AD3001, DLP_AD3067, DLP_AD3103, DLP_AD3129, DLP_AD3155, DLP_AD3177, DLP_AD3221, DLP_AD3232, DLP_AD3241, DLP_AD3278, DLP_AD3286, DLP_AD3310, DLP_AD3319, DLP_AD3349, DLP_AD3557, DLP_AD3608, DLP_AD3704, DLP_AD3725, DLP_AD3771, DLP_AD3846, DLP_AD4011, DLP_AD4036, DLP_AD4053, DLP_AD4104, DLP_AD4191, DLP_AD4200, DLP_AD4261, DLP_AD4455, DLP_AD4739, DLP_AD4836, DLP_AD4993, DLP_AD5189, DLP_AD5534, DLP_AD5791, DLP_AD5801, DLP_AD5870, DLP_AD5887, DLP_AD5915, DLP_AD5956, DLP_AD5967, DLP_AD6028, DLP_AD6062, DLP_AD6108, DLP_AD6421, DLP_AD6507, DLP_AD6559, DLP_AD6615, DLP_AD6678, DLP_AD6845, DLP_AD6866, DLP_AD6875, DLP_AD6960, DLP_AD7095, DLP_AD7368, DLP_AD7400, DLP_AD7567, DLP_AD7773, DLP_AD7785, DLP_AD7828, DLP_AD7845, DLP_AD7864, DLP_AD8022, DLP_AD8351, DLP_AD8512, DLP_AD8523, DLP_AD8589, DLP_AD9107, DLP_AD9117, DLP_AD9124, DLP_AD9130, DLP_AD9143, DLP_AD9155, DLP_AD9164, DLP_AD9175, DLP_AD9184, DLP_AD9194, DLP_AD9205, DLP_AD9230, DLP_AD9263, DLP_AD9277, DLP_AD9416, DLP_AD9443, DLP_AD9466, DLP_AD9482, DLP_AD10078, DLP_AD10396, DLP_AD10570, DLP_AD10619, DLP_AD10943</p>				
	<p>Strategic road network - congestion from Honley to Holmfirth and Huddersfield including Lockwood Bar, access to the A616 from Gynn Lane, general road network issues.            Road congestion - Station Road, Honley Bridge, Eastgate/Northgate/Station Road junctions, narrow roads and often single track due to parking, additional congestion at school pick up and drop off times, existing poor access to Honley Railway Station, access into Station Approach.            Road safety - unmade track between Station Road and Gynn Lane can be dangerous, lack of footpaths.            Parking problems at the station and surrounding areas, no parking for disabled people at the station. Loss of parking for the station, do not make the same mistake as at Brockholes Station, the old depot should be made into an enlarged car park / park and ride.            Additional station car parking should be provided as part of the development.            Public transport frequency issues.            Sewer infrastructure may not cope - sewers in Gynn Lane surcharge.            Drainage capacity insufficient.            Flooding issues - increased risk of flooding, surface water flood risk.            Proposals will increase pollution (noise, air).            Wildlife affected.            Proposed site is within the Yorkshire Wildlife Trust River Colne Valley Living Landscape, an area identified for enhanced biodiversity. Site should include enhancement for biodiversity (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust).            Ensure the buffer around Honley Conservation Area is retained.            School capacity insufficient (Infant and Junior School and pre-school nurseries). High school capacity may be insufficient.            Health provision insufficient.            Access to hospital provision - potential cuts to Huddersfield A&amp;E.            Insufficient open spaces.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	<p>Site access achievable, remediation of contaminated land required, surface water drainage solution and consultation with Natural England to prevent impacts of development on the Honley Railway Cutting SSSI.</p>	<p>A Transport model and Air Quality model have been commissioned to assess the cumulative impacts of development. Highways have confirmed that site access can be achieved and local links to the highway network are acceptable. This site has not been identified as additional parking area in West Yorkshire Transport Fund and no evidence of landowner support.</p>
	<p>Unacceptable impact on landscape.            Physical infrastructure will not cope - gas and electricity supplies. Lack of leisure facilities.            General negative effect on the local area.            Negative impact on community.            Use Brownfield land first - examples include Huddersfield former sports centre site, old mill in Newsome, Kirklees yard at Honley Bridge, Thirstin Road, Brook Dying (Meltham).            Support for this allocation as it is a Brownfield site as long as it fully accommodates the needs of the train station and passengers.</p>		<p>Surface water discharge to be restricted to Greenfield rates in line with the local plan policy once adopted.</p>	<p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p>	<p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p>
			<p>The infrastructure delivery plan sets out the infrastructure required to accommodate the levels of development proposed. In terms of landscape impacts, this site is a relatively small site between the railway line and existing residential dwellings.</p>	<p>Support for the use of Brownfield sites is noted. The council have a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as capacity from this source is not guaranteed.</p>	

Summary of comments

Council Response

Support for site but concern about cumulative impacts.  
 More housing is needed in the Holme Valley but it must meet local needs for small and affordable housing.  
 Loss of green belt  
 Site hardly justifies green belt designation given its current use and that of adjacent land.  
 No viable master plan for Honley area.  
 Bring vacant houses back into use instead of building new ones.  
 Negative impact on tourism.  
 Understand the need for more housing but concerns about infrastructure.  
 Need to build smaller properties.  
 As part of the development need to improve access to the train station (ramp/disabled access).  
 Decrease in house values.

**H50** Bridge Mills, New Road, Holmfirth  
 DLP\_AD744, DLP\_AD3637, DLP\_AD4497, DLP\_AD5645, DLP\_AD8593, DLP\_AD8737

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 5 No Comment

Traffic congestion in the wider area such as Lockwood Bar.  
 Road safety issues due to increase traffic.  
 Land is within the River Colne Valley Living Landscape - identified by the Trust as important for wildlife and enhancing biodiversity. Major sites within these areas should include enhancements for biodiversity (Wildlife Trust)

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Loss of employment land - current businesses operating on this site.  
 Should re-use existing employment sites for employment.  
 Bridge Mill is a perfect example of a mill converted to support a range of small businesses and should be retained.  
 This site should be designated as an employment priority area.  
 One of few locations offering employment opportunities for small and medium sized enterprises.

Site access achievable, potential surface water management solution required to protect the site from run-off from higher ground as well as assessment of potential contamination and noise assessment.

Highways have indicated that site access can be achieved and that the local links are acceptable. A Transport model has been commissioned to assess the cumulative impacts of development.

Opportunities to enhance the biodiversity of this site will be considered.

This site is not a Priority Employment Area in the draft local plan and provides the opportunity for the delivery of new homes.

**H52** Land to the West of, Hebble Mount, Meltham  
 DLP\_AD421, DLP\_AD1140, DLP\_AD1605, DLP\_AD2498, DLP\_AD4780, DLP\_AD4949, DLP\_AD5059, DLP\_AD5067, DLP\_AD5108, DLP\_AD5123, DLP\_AD5128, DLP\_AD5136, DLP\_AD5167, DLP\_AD5191, DLP\_AD5210, DLP\_AD5219, DLP\_AD5279, DLP\_AD5285, DLP\_AD5296, DLP\_AD5321, DLP\_AD5323, DLP\_AD5339, DLP\_AD5344, DLP\_AD5422, DLP\_AD5792, DLP\_AD5865, DLP\_AD6051, DLP\_AD7481, DLP\_AD8596, DLP\_AD10620, DLP\_AD10971

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 29 No Comment 1

Calmlands Road is unsuitable for access

Proposed change.

Highway safety issue due to parked cars and proximity to Meltham CE School / school bus / refuse vehicles / burials.

The site is proposed as a rejected housing option. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was rejected for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

Poor visibility at junction of Calmlands / Holmfirth Road, Wetlands / Holmfirth Road, Coniston Road / Holmfirth Road and Tinker Lane / Heather Road.

The site is immediately adjacent to the Peak District National Park and development would have a detrimental impact on the national park.

Steep roads - often impassable in wintry weather  
 Drainage / sewerage issues due to topography and planned development at Royd Edge Dye Works  
 Additional noise and light pollution - impact on National Park  
 The site is only 1km from a SSSI

Impact on wildlife and habitats

Need to include enhancement for biodiversity and retain BAP habitats and areas of high ecological value.  
 Impact on education provision

The dry stone wall boundary adjoining the Hebble Mount development provides a strong boundary to edge of the settlement and should be redefined as Green Belt boundary.

Summary of comments

The land should be included in green belt  
 The site is immediately adjacent to the National Park boundary, the land inside the boundary is Natural Zone, classed as a 'wilder area' - therefore it would be inappropriate to change the character of this. The current pattern of development offers a gentle gradation and looser fit toward the National Park boundary. The NPA objects to the inclusion of this site in the Local Plan (Peak District National Park)

This is a steep sided site and part of the Royds Valley which is of high landscape value (Meltham Town Council)

Site acts as important 'gateway' to the national park  
 Affordable housing is needed in this area  
 Topography - the southern part of the site is approx 2m above the Mill Lane  
 Negative impact on tourism  
 Exposed site - may have wind tunnel effect

Negative impact on tranquillity of burial ground

**H67** Land to the south of, Helme Lane, Meltham  
 DLP\_AD2496, DLP\_AD4620, DLP\_AD5192, DLP\_AD5643, DLP\_AD8900, DLP\_AD10162, DLP\_AD10621

Road congestion, highway infrastructure.  
 Protection of sewerage infrastructure - There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site (Yorkshire Water).  
 Surface water management - The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water).  
 Development within 40 metres of a Grade II Listed Building. Special regard should be had to preserving listed buildings and their settings. Need an assessment of the contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to the character of appearance of these designated heritage assets and what effect the loss of this site would have on them. If it would be harmful mitigation measures should be set out and site only allocated if there are clear benefits which outweigh the harm (Historic England).  
 A shared foot and cycle path should be provided down the east side of this site to Mean Lane and Meltham Greenway as part of the walking and cycling network.

Pressure on local services.  
 Site is close to an extensive range of shops and services in Meltham.  
 Cumulative impact of development unacceptable on character.  
 Should use Brownfield land first.  
 Support for local plan housing proposals which address the crisis in providing sites, matched by vigorous negotiation and innovative mechanisms to generate affordable, decent housing for both rent and low cost home ownership to meet increasing needs.  
 Site is a logical expansion to Meltham whilst still provide sustainable housing development, one of the main factors of the NPPF.  
 Developers should be made to implement existing planning permissions.

**H70** Land to the north of, Long Lane, Shepley  
 DLP\_AD1305, DLP\_AD1669, DLP\_AD1946, DLP\_AD2685, DLP\_AD2751, DLP\_AD2836, DLP\_AD3937, DLP\_AD4212, DLP\_AD4324, DLP\_AD8473

Transport modelling is required to ensure appropriate mitigation.  
 Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on road network. Wider traffic congestion may discourage investors who travel to the area.  
 Wakefield Road/Penistone Road junction operates beyond its theoretical capacity).  
 Road congestion especially at peak hours.  
 Site access issues - need to create safe exit into Field Head. Field Head is narrow and adjacent properties may make achieving sight lines impossible.  
 Cumulative impacts of development in wider area (for example

Council Response

Support 1 Conditional Support 4 Object 2 No Comment

No change.  
 The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 Site access achievable, as site now has planning permission with access from Helme Lane. Further investigation required regarding noise arising from industrial use to the south of the site. Part of the site is within close proximity to a Grade II listed building. The northern part of the site in proximity to the listed building already benefits from planning permission. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed buildings. Site is in flood zone 1 but area known to experience waterlogging problems, a drainage master plan would be required along with adjacent sites to assess drainage issues.  
 The northern part of this site has planning permission for 88 dwellings (application reference: 2014/93959) therefore the principle for the development of this part of the site has been established.

Support Conditional Support 6 Object 4 No Comment

No change.  
 The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 Site access achievable. Greenfield run-off rates required and further assessment of surface water drainage impacts. Part of this site has planning permission for 5 dwellings (application reference: 2014/90136)



Summary of comments

Public transport frequency issues (and no evening service).  
 Sewer infrastructure issues which will be made worse.  
 Water infrastructure will not cope.  
 School capacity may not be sufficient.

Need for homes in the area (especially affordable homes) but with associated services. Need for starter homes so could this site be used.  
 Housing mix is important.  
 Need to use the old Firth Mill site at the bottom of Abbey Road.

Council Response

The planning permission has established the principle for the development of this part of the site.

Highways comments indicate that sufficient site access can be achieved for a site of this scale. Transport modelling has been undertaken to assess the cumulative implications of local plan sites.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Comments relating to the need for new homes in the area are noted.

**H85** Land to the north of, 10, Kimberley Street, Thornhill Lees  
 DLP\_AD3691, DLP\_AD8406, DLP\_AD10194

Protection of sewerage infrastructure  
 There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site.

Surface water management  
 The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted.  
 (Yorkshire Water)  
 Potential for development of site to cumulatively impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury area. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. Wakefield Council

Supported as housing allocation for up to 20 dwellings

**H87** Land west of, Lower Quarry Road, Bradley  
 DLP\_AD2386, DLP\_AD3867, DLP\_AD5165, DLP\_AD5278, DLP\_AD7419, DLP\_AD10254, DLP\_AD11027

Increase in traffic will exacerbate transport problems in the Bradley area. Junctions 24 and 25 of M62 is gridlocked.  
 Flooding is an issue in and around Cooper Bridge.  
 Air quality will reduce. This site includes an area of land that has been used for landfill therefore disturbance of soils and vegetation likely to result in odours and gases.

National Grid policy is to retain existing overhead lines in-situ. National Grid advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning developments. National Grid prefers that buildings are not built directly beneath its overhead lines. The statutory safety clearances between overhead lines, the ground, and built structures must not be infringed. National Grid seeks to encourage high quality and well planned development in the vicinity of its high voltage overhead lines. Land beneath and adjacent to the overhead line route should be used to make a positive contribution to the development of the site and can for example be used for nature conservation, open space, landscaping areas or used as a parking court.

Support 1 Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, culvert runs through site therefore site specific flood risk assessment required and examination into surface water flow. Several watercourses and sewers run through the site and will need stand off distances. Part of the site is within a high risk coal referral area therefore a coal mining risk assessment is required. The site is on potentially contaminated land therefore contamination assessment phase 1 and 2 required.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Support comments noted.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 6 No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). The allocation is considered consistent with Councils site allocation methodology.

There are no constraints to developing the site that cannot be mitigated against at the planning applications stage.

The local connecting links assessment confirms there will be no detrimental impacts on the local highway network that cannot be mitigated against.

Comments are noted. Re. flooding issues around Cooper Bridge.

A Transport model and Air Quality model have been commissioned to assess the cumulative impacts of development. Contaminated land reports will be required at the planning application stage.

**H94** Land to the west of, Henry Frederick Avenue, Netherton  
 DLP\_AD12, DLP\_AD57, DLP\_AD131, DLP\_AD285, DLP\_AD457, DLP\_AD531, DLP\_AD540, DLP\_AD644, DLP\_AD658, DLP\_AD716, DLP\_AD898, DLP\_AD912, DLP\_AD1040, DLP\_AD1046, DLP\_AD1191, DLP\_AD1285, DLP\_AD1998, DLP\_AD2283, DLP\_AD2630, DLP\_AD2831, DLP\_AD3150, DLP\_AD4236, DLP\_AD4701, DLP\_AD5243, DLP\_AD5337, DLP\_AD5576, DLP\_AD5684, DLP\_AD5944, DLP\_AD6118, DLP\_AD6160, DLP\_AD6937, DLP\_AD7016, DLP\_AD7559, DLP\_AD7870, DLP\_AD8018, DLP\_AD8306, DLP\_AD8318, DLP\_AD8504, DLP\_AD8597, DLP\_AD8601, DLP\_AD9428, DLP\_AD9532, DLP\_AD9535, DLP\_AD9546, DLP\_AD10249, DLP\_AD10258, DLP\_AD10308, DLP\_AD10503, DLP\_AD10615, DLP\_AD10920, DLP\_AD11106

Support 1 Conditional Support 2 Object 48 No Comment

Summary of comments

Parking problems on Roslyn Avenue and Henry Fredrick Avenue - restricted to single width near Beaumont Arms and top of RA. Passing is a problem. Preferable access would be Meltham Road or Church Lane. Problems of congestion on Blackmoorfoot Road/Lockwood. No local railway to ease congestion. Moor Lane and Netherton Moor Road are very congested by the school. 20mph needed on Chapel Street and Henry fred Avenue. Netherton crossroads is a bottle neck. Supporting access appraisal from site promoter. Site is sloping is surface water drainage adequate. Increased traffic will result in increase in air pollution. Local wildlife severely affected - badgers, foxes, deer and bats. Woodland to the north of the site has owls, woodpeckers and other important species. Area within River Colne Valley Living Landscape biodiversity/ecology needs to be incorporated within development. South Crosland is a Conservation Area and this development would impact its setting. Local schools are full. Local doctors are full.

Development of GB in this area would mean Netherton merging with Honley and South Crosland. New housing should be located near good transport links i.e. motorways. Brownfield land should be developed first.

Council Response

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.

The site is contained by landform and trees to the north and by existing development to the south and does not encroach on a significant scale towards South Crosland, although it may be prominent on rising land to the north. The site is an area of countryside and borders Dean Wood Local Wildlife Site to the north but is large enough to incorporate a buffer to protect sensitive environmental habitats. The release of the site would constitute encroachment and would also leave an isolated field between the site and the settlement edge bordering the wood to the north but this should not be removed from the green belt in order to protect its woodland setting. The site would not have a detrimental impact upon the role and function of the GB in this location and is therefore a suitable site for development. There are no physical constraints to the development of this site that cannot be mitigated against in the planning applications stage.

Comments are noted about parking problems on Roslyn Avenue and Henry Fredrick Avenue. The Transport Appraisal submitted by the site promoter has been assessed by the Council. The Council considers the proposed development would not result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network.

A buffer will be required from Dean Wood Local Wildlife Site. It is proposed that additional text is included in the site allocation box to reflect this.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.

There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement

**H95** Land East of, The Combs, Hall Lane, Thornhill  
DLP\_AD2176, DLP\_AD3693, DLP\_AD8408, DLP\_AD8862

Allocation of site would bring development within 30 metres of scheduled monument. Grade II listed buildings to south and adjoins conversation area. Development could harm elements that contribute to significance of heritage assets. Assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution site makes to significance of Scheduled Monument, Conservation Area, and Listed buildings in vicinity. If development of site would harm any of these heritage assets then the Plan needs to set out measures harm might be removed or reduced. If concluded development is still likely to harm elements which contribute to significance, site should not be allocated unless clear public benefits outweigh this harm. (Historic England) Recommend predetermination archaeological evaluation. (WYAAS) Potential for development of site to cumulatively impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury areas. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. (Wakefield Council)

Supported as housing allocation for up to 15 dwellings. Removal of UGS designation supported.

Support 1 Conditional Support 3 Object No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, highways improvements, a noise and contaminated land assessments are required. The site is within 30 metres of the Scheduled Monument and there are a number of Grade II Listed buildings to the south therefore development could harm elements which contribute to their significance.

The council is taking account of heritage assets as part of the local plan.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response
<p><b>H101</b> Land north of, Jackroyd Lane, Newsome</p> <p>DLP_AD806, DLP_AD1001, DLP_AD2514, DLP_AD2767, DLP_AD2813, DLP_AD2869, DLP_AD3056, DLP_AD3134, DLP_AD3240, DLP_AD5575, DLP_AD5580, DLP_AD5922, DLP_AD6177, DLP_AD6492, DLP_AD6791, DLP_AD7188, DLP_AD7461, DLP_AD7469, DLP_AD7879, DLP_AD8308, DLP_AD8365, DLP_AD8573, DLP_AD8856, DLP_AD9368, DLP_AD10309, DLP_AD10310, DLP_AD10339, DLP_AD10501</p> <p>Traffic is heavy through this area. The local road network is not suitable / too narrow for extra levels of traffic, including; Jackroyd Lane, Aysgarth Road, Garside Road, Newsome Road, Roger Lane, Dawson Road, Tunnaccliffe Road. Need to consider public transport links. The site has poor drainage. Negative impact of noise, traffic fumes and light pollution. Negative impact on wildlife. This site forms part of the area of open countryside which contributes to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this Scheduled Monument. National policy guidance makes it clear that Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. The hillfort at Castle Hill is one of the defining features of the plan area. Given the number of development sites which are being proposed around this site, there needs to be an assessment of the contribution made by the surrounding landscape to the setting of this monument together with an evaluation of the sensitivity of the various parts of this landscape to change. This would provide a framework against which to consider not only the appropriateness of the sites which are being put forward for development, but also any planning applications which may come forward. It is understood that the Council has commenced work on such a study but that this work has yet to be completed. When the Study is completed, this should be used to assess the appropriateness of this area for development and to identify any mitigation measures which are likely to be necessary in order to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which is compatible with the protection of Castle Hill. (Historic England) School capacity is insufficient. Local doctors &amp; dentists capacity is insufficient. There is a footpath between Huddersfield Town Centre and Castle Hill that runs through the site. Land to the New Laithe Wood side of the foot path could remain a green space as the access to Newsome Road is much easier.</p> <p>Too much development around Castle Hill, Hall Bower and High Lane at Newsome would be detrimental to the Landscape and Environment. The area provides a break between Newsome and other distinctive areas. There is not enough evidence that appropriate infrastructure will be delivered to make this site viable. Prevent urban sprawl. Need to consider shopping provision. Support for the allocation as it falls outside the green belt and within the village of Newsome. Mine workings are on site. Water supply, sewage, electricity usage has not been considered. Brownfield sites should be developed before green field sites. The site should be designated as green belt or Local Green Space. Stirley Community Farm has future plans to graze cattle on the land. Stirley Farm supports the Health and Wellbeing Strategy 2014-2020, and Policy DLP 48.</p>	<p>Supporting comments noted.</p> <p>Support 5 Conditional Support 1 Object 22 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is an accepted housing allocation. The site is in an area of undeveloped land that is of significant importance to the setting of the Castle Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument as identified in the Castle Hill Setting Study. A heritage impact assessment will be produced to address the sensitivity of this site.</p> <p>The site access and impact on the local highway network has been assessed and no significant constraints have been identified.</p> <p>The site has been assessed by the council's environmental health team and no significant constraints have been identified.</p> <p>West Yorkshire Ecology have identified parts of the site that have biodiversity value and these have been removed from the net developable area of the site.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.</p> <p>The site has been put forward to the Local Plan process as a housing option by the land owner.</p>

<p><b>H102</b> Land to the west of, Netherton Moor Road, Netherton</p> <p>DLP_AD133, DLP_AD287, DLP_AD532, DLP_AD645, DLP_AD659, DLP_AD717, DLP_AD914, DLP_AD1012, DLP_AD1041, DLP_AD1192, DLP_AD1296, DLP_AD1381, DLP_AD1487, DLP_AD1774, DLP_AD1775, DLP_AD1980, DLP_AD1999, DLP_AD2054, DLP_AD2135, DLP_AD2282, DLP_AD2454, DLP_AD2509, DLP_AD2521, DLP_AD2540, DLP_AD2544, DLP_AD2548, DLP_AD2631, DLP_AD2636, DLP_AD2832, DLP_AD3148, DLP_AD3162, DLP_AD3720, DLP_AD3739, DLP_AD4239, DLP_AD4698, DLP_AD5646, DLP_AD5688, DLP_AD5942, DLP_AD5945, DLP_AD6052, DLP_AD6053, DLP_AD6066, DLP_AD6119, DLP_AD6138, DLP_AD6167, DLP_AD6364, DLP_AD6767, DLP_AD6849, DLP_AD6889, DLP_AD7017, DLP_AD7470, DLP_AD7872, DLP_AD8019, DLP_AD8447, DLP_AD8505, DLP_AD8600, DLP_AD8766, DLP_AD8801, DLP_AD9098, DLP_AD9204, DLP_AD9356, DLP_AD9417, DLP_AD9423, DLP_AD9506, DLP_AD9531, DLP_AD9536, DLP_AD9548, DLP_AD10250, DLP_AD10289, DLP_AD10346, DLP_AD10418, DLP_AD10457, DLP_AD10504, DLP_AD10579, DLP_AD10617, DLP_AD10919, DLP_AD10930</p> <p>Road capacity issues - narrowness on Moor Lane/Netherton Moor Road, road is often congested in a morning/school run. Regular complaints to Police and Council. Hawkroyd Bank Road narrows at the end of H102 &amp; H660. No footways. School children walk in Sandfoot Lane with no pavements - dangerous. Magdale no footways and narrow. Narrow nature of Sandbeds. Coppice Drive used as a rat run to avoid Marten Nest crossroads. Moor Lane at junction with Meltham Road is severely congested morning and evening with queuing traffic backing up to Beaumont Street.</p>	<p>Support 2 Conditional Support 2 Object 73 No Comment</p> <p>No Change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.</p>
---	--

Summary of comments

Capacity issues on Blackmoorfoot Road/Lockwood Bar. Problems with farm traffic/weight restrictions on Bankfoot Lane.  
 No local rail network.  
 Lack of adequate bus services.  
 Lack of parking facilities in the village centre.  
 Persimmon Homes:

Masterplan  
 Transport appraisal  
 Supporting statement

Re-consult highways with additional info. Rep ID AD10579

Suggested transport improvements in the area with a map – Rep ID10346  
 Local drainage issue - Honely end of Hawkroyd Bank Road is always flooded.  
 Properties on Sandbeds have cesspits. Private sewers at Hinchliffe Farm Shop and beyond. Recent planning application on Sandbeds had problems connecting to mains sewers. Armitage Bridge pumping station overstretched.  
 Junction of Sandbeds and Hawkbank Road regularly floods. See photographic evidence on rep AD9204.

Rep ID AD6364. Re-consult strategic drainage.  
 Presence of Scar Top quarry. Near to Lavender Court and Coppice Drive.  
 Negative impact on character/natural beauty and visual amenity.  
 Negative environmental impact on wildlife - deer, bats and foxes, birds of prey, hedgehogs, native birds.  
 Loss of good quality agricultural land.  
 Impact on Mag Wood and Spring Wood ancient woodland and LWS need to be fully assessed prior to allocation. Re-consult WYE Rep ID AD8600. West York's Wildlife Trust.  
 Historic England - prehistoric remains at Honley Wood. Study needs to be undertaken re. impact upon this setting.  
 School capacity insufficient at 2 Netherton schools and secondary schools.  
 Health service insufficient/capacity at local doctors.  
 Air pollution from additional cars.

No analysis to justify need to release land in this location and no evidence how the development would not impact upon the character of the Honley/Brockholes/Netherton area. Merging of settlements and loss of distinct character and feeling of 'openness' when entering the village especially when approaching from Honley. Urban sprawl.

no defendable GB boundary on these sites. Assessment goes against of purpose of including land within the GB given proximity to LWS.  
 Physical infrastructure cannot cope - sewage, water, gas.  
 Bridge over River Holme could not cope with increased capacity (Magdale is weight restricted).  
 Lack of shops/services in Netherton.  
 Suggestion of amending the western boundary to Sunnyside Farm to create a wildlife buffer. See rep ID AD1980.  
 Develop other Brownfield sites first.  
 Suggestions for other suitable Brownfield sites:

Bradford Road/Matalan - neighbouring waste ground  
 Gas works site - Leeds Road  
 Old Sports Centre/multi storey flats - Leeds Road  
 Kilner Bank - Dalton  
 Old Sellers site - Waterfront

**H116** Land to the south of, Parkwood Road, Golcar, Huddersfield,  
 DLP\_AD3405, DLP\_AD4205, DLP\_AD8896, DLP\_AD11045

Highway safety and congestion issues.

Council Response

This site is contained by existing residential development to the west and by Netherton Moor Road to the east. The existing buildings constituting Hinchliffe's farm and shop are immediately to the south east and so the site appears to be infilling between built form. The site could be released from the green belt without compromising the role and function of the green belt in this location. It is accepted that the development of the site may have an impact upon the setting of Castle Hill therefore a Heritage Impact Assessment will be required with any future application.

Given the scale of the development, a Transport Assessment will be required as part of any application which will assess the impact of development traffic on the local highway network. Subject to highway improvements in context with the development and the local highway network, the Council considers that the proposed development would not result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network.

The Council are aware of local flooding issues in the area and within Hawkroyd Bank Road.

Notwithstanding the presence of a quarry, the Council would expect contaminated land to be examined as part of any permission.

The Council notes the comments re. impact on wildlife and ancient woodland. The further housing can be set back from ancient woodland the better. The Council have been following a general principal that 20m is about twice the mature height of canopy trees and this should be the MINIMUM stand off from ancient woodland. The Council also note the problems associated with additional people pressure on the sites, both official and unofficial. This can be caused by a proliferation of paths and trampling damage as well as litter, fly tipping and garden encroachment.

Comments noted re. impact on Honley Wood and Castle Hill setting. A Heritage Impact Assessment and a pre-determination archaeological survey will be required with any future planning application.

The impact of development on school place planning and land use planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or school place planning.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 3 No Comment

No change.

Summary of comments

Limited opportunities to improve pedestrian safety

There is little scope for improvements to increase traffic flow or to add pavements to make narrow lanes safer for an expanding population.  
 Building in the valley will exacerbate the flood risk in Milnsbridge  
 The site is adjacent to Longwood Edge Conservation Area. Before allocating site assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution the site makes to the conservation area, how any harm (if site is considered significant) can be removed or reduced or how the allocation of the site outweighs the harm (English Heritage)  
 Impact on education provision  
 Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&E closure at HRI

Impact on healthcare provision

Has been too much development in this part of the Golcar ward.

The area of Provisional Open Land already earmarked in Longwood for intensive development is most unsuitable.  
 It may be more appropriate to develop sites closer to the motorway, then sites in this area where motorway access is difficult.  
 This field known locally as the " bonfire field" connects the Grange Road Development to Parkwood Road and has not been the subject of any planning applications.

Council Response

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Allocation of the site will be acceptable subject to impact on adjacent conservation area and listed building to the north east of the site. Impact on the Strategic Road Network is not mitigated by committed schemes.

The majority of the site has planning permission for 94 dwellings (application reference: 2014/92021) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Any development on the site would have regard to the conservation area, in line with national policy and Local Plan policy. Part of the site has permission for residential development, so the principle of residential development has been established outside of the Local Plan process. The housing allocation is based on a wider area submitted to the Council as a development option.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

H120	Park Farm, Manor Road, Farnley Tyas	Support 14	Conditional Support 10	Object 9	No Comment
DLP_AD1037, DLP_AD1439, DLP_AD1478, DLP_AD2053, DLP_AD2091, DLP_AD2136, DLP_AD2165, DLP_AD2288, DLP_AD2318, DLP_AD2861, DLP_AD3053, DLP_AD3913, DLP_AD4571, DLP_AD5472, DLP_AD6256, DLP_AD6344, DLP_AD6968, DLP_AD7247, DLP_AD7523, DLP_AD7539, DLP_AD7880, DLP_AD8277, DLP_AD8322, DLP_AD8566, DLP_AD8747, DLP_AD8772, DLP_AD8985, DLP_AD9086, DLP_AD9940, DLP_AD10229, DLP_AD10340, DLP_AD10353, DLP_AD10693					

Road congestion  
 Parking problems near the school causing safety issues.  
 Site is located in Source Protection Zone (SPZ2) - this constraint and hydrological risk assessment to be included in local plan document (Environment Agency)  
 Drainage capacity insufficient.  
 Pollution from new development.  
 Wildlife may be affected.  
 The barn at 18 Manor Road is a Grade II Listed Building and this site lies within the boundary of the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area. There is a requirement that special regard should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their settings or any features of. Also, to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of conservation areas. Need to identify buildings considered to make a positive contribution to the character of the conservation area and set out a requirement to retain these and the local plan should state the presence of the Listed Building and conservation area and the need to ensure their significance is not harmed by any development proposals. (Historic England).  
 More development than the accepted options would impact on the historic environment.  
 It should be ensured that development of this site enhances the conservation area.  
 Quantity of housing proposed in the village will support the school.  
 Local schools capacity insufficient.  
 Potential closure of Huddersfield A&E will mean travelling further.  
 Health provision insufficient.

Support priority being given to development of non-green belt sites.  
 Object to use of any green belt land for new build housing.  
 Southern boundary should be re-drawn to coincide with the existing green belt boundary.  
 Site southern boundary should be re-drawn to reflect planning application 2015/90759 to create a more defensible boundary.  
 Disproportionate level of development compared to other areas of Kirklees.

Proposed change (boundary)  
 The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The site boundary has been amended to the south to reduce the amount of existing green belt within the site.  
 This site comprises existing agricultural buildings including a listed building. It is within the Farnley Tyas conservation area. A heritage impact assessment is required. Some third party land may be required for passing places and impacts on listed buildings to be assessed further. The site is within a Source Protection Zone.  
 Highways analysis of local road links set out that a scheme of this scale is acceptable.  
 Reference to the Source Protection Zone and associated hydrological risk assessment will be added to site constraints information. Surface water run-off rates will be applied in accordance with the local plan policy.  
 A heritage impact assessment is required for this site.  
 The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.  
 Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.  
 The area of green belt land within this accepted option has been reduced at the southern boundary to allow the

Summary of comments

Support for redevelopment of the farms to improve visual amenity.  
 Cumulative impact of development unacceptable on character.  
 Number of houses currently being built is enough.  
 Need for more housing as a country and council.  
 Quantity of housing proposed will support existing amenities and the church.  
 New homes will create a strain on local services.  
 Support for re-development of farm buildings but not Greenfield sites.  
 New homes should be affordable for first time buyers, families and older people.  
 A variety of sizes of houses are required.  
 Reduce the capacity of the accepted sites in the village from 25 to 20.  
 Country park should not be justification for new housing.

Council Response

creation of a defendable green belt boundary on the southern boundary of this site.  
 The housing capacity has been reduced in line with the current scheme proposed by the site promoter. Once adopted, the housing mix and affordable housing policies will be used to assess detailed proposals on this site.

<b>H121</b>	Land north of, New Hey Road, Salendine Nook	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
-------------	---	---------	---------------------	----------	------------

DLP\_AD10684  
 Local traffic congestion and parking problems exist. Changes to hospital provision may create further traffic issues.  
 These are traffic pollution & air quality issues.  
 Insufficient school capacity.  
 Insufficient doctor and dentist capacity.

No change.  
 This is an accepted housing option. Site access achievable from New Hey Road. Bus stop lay-by on New Hey Road will need to be re-located in order to achieve access. Road traffic noise may affect new receptors.  
 The cumulative infrastructure issues have been assessed in this area including the specific site access and impact of the site on the local road network.  
 The council's environmental health team have assessed this site and identified no major constraints.  
 The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.  
 Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

<b>H124</b>	Land at, Squirrel Hill Reservoir, Staincliffe Road, Dewsbury Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
-------------	---	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No Change  
 This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 Site access is achievable from Bronte Close or Staincliffe Road. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

<b>H129</b>	Land to the East of, Woodhouse Road, Brockholes	Support 1	Conditional Support 3	Object 156	No Comment 1
-------------	---	-----------	-----------------------	------------	--------------

DLP\_AD436, DLP\_AD1476, DLP\_AD1586, DLP\_AD1760, DLP\_AD1770, DLP\_AD1771, DLP\_AD1824, DLP\_AD1838, DLP\_AD1846, DLP\_AD1854, DLP\_AD1865, DLP\_AD1875, DLP\_AD1892, DLP\_AD1967, DLP\_AD1979, DLP\_AD1989, DLP\_AD2041, DLP\_AD2069, DLP\_AD2084, DLP\_AD2108, DLP\_AD2123, DLP\_AD2138, DLP\_AD2156, DLP\_AD2163, DLP\_AD2208, DLP\_AD2217, DLP\_AD2226, DLP\_AD2235, DLP\_AD2244, DLP\_AD2253, DLP\_AD2263, DLP\_AD2281, DLP\_AD2310, DLP\_AD2340, DLP\_AD2365, DLP\_AD2442, DLP\_AD2452, DLP\_AD2466, DLP\_AD2476, DLP\_AD2488, DLP\_AD2517, DLP\_AD2524, DLP\_AD2539, DLP\_AD2565, DLP\_AD2579, DLP\_AD2611, DLP\_AD2658, DLP\_AD2671, DLP\_AD2681, DLP\_AD2708, DLP\_AD2728, DLP\_AD2783, DLP\_AD2859, DLP\_AD2884, DLP\_AD2892, DLP\_AD2944, DLP\_AD2955, DLP\_AD2978, DLP\_AD2991, DLP\_AD3004, DLP\_AD3068, DLP\_AD3098, DLP\_AD3124, DLP\_AD3156, DLP\_AD3178, DLP\_AD3222, DLP\_AD3234, DLP\_AD3242, DLP\_AD3279, DLP\_AD3287, DLP\_AD3312, DLP\_AD3320, DLP\_AD3350, DLP\_AD3560, DLP\_AD3614, DLP\_AD3706, DLP\_AD3727, DLP\_AD3772, DLP\_AD3847, DLP\_AD4009, DLP\_AD4035, DLP\_AD4054, DLP\_AD4152, DLP\_AD4194, DLP\_AD4203, DLP\_AD4262, DLP\_AD4437, DLP\_AD4450, DLP\_AD4553, DLP\_AD4633, DLP\_AD4642, DLP\_AD4779, DLP\_AD4838, DLP\_AD4998, DLP\_AD5193, DLP\_AD5535, DLP\_AD5648, DLP\_AD5793, DLP\_AD5916, DLP\_AD5957, DLP\_AD5968, DLP\_AD6029, DLP\_AD6058, DLP\_AD6098, DLP\_AD6143, DLP\_AD6279, DLP\_AD6377, DLP\_AD6419, DLP\_AD6562, DLP\_AD6618, DLP\_AD6668, DLP\_AD6680, DLP\_AD6848, DLP\_AD6878, DLP\_AD6892, DLP\_AD6905, DLP\_AD6964, DLP\_AD7096, DLP\_AD7372, DLP\_AD7403, DLP\_AD7404, DLP\_AD7449, DLP\_AD7471, DLP\_AD7511, DLP\_AD7570, DLP\_AD7774, DLP\_AD7784, DLP\_AD7830, DLP\_AD7846, DLP\_AD7863, DLP\_AD8023, DLP\_AD8280, DLP\_AD8355, DLP\_AD8586, DLP\_AD9108, DLP\_AD9120, DLP\_AD9125, DLP\_AD9131, DLP\_AD9145, DLP\_AD9156, DLP\_AD9165, DLP\_AD9176, DLP\_AD9185, DLP\_AD9195, DLP\_AD9207, DLP\_AD9233, DLP\_AD9268, DLP\_AD9280, DLP\_AD9418, DLP\_AD9444, DLP\_AD9468, DLP\_AD9488, DLP\_AD10069, DLP\_AD10079, DLP\_AD10354, DLP\_AD10399, DLP\_AD10553, DLP\_AD10573, DLP\_AD10622, DLP\_AD10946, DLP\_AD11101

## Summary of comments

---

Traffic congestion and highway safety issues, relating to achievement of access from Woodhead Road.

Traffic and parking issues in Honley centre.

Robinson's Lane is unsuitable for any intensification of traffic.

Smithy Place Lane is too narrow to allow for suitable connection to be made to Brockholes Village.

Steep access from Smithy Place to Woodhead Road is unsuitable.

Unsafe pedestrian access to Smithy Place Lan - no footways.

Smithy Place Lane is a 'rat-run' between Woodhead Road and Brockholes, which is prone to accidents.

The site is poorly connected to facilities and will lead to increase in car use.

No local bus access from the site to Honley centre.

Pedestrian safety issues crossing Woodhead Road.

The site is close to public transport and facilities in Brockholes and a range of amenities within 2km.

The site has good cycle accessibility, including to Brockholes Railway station.

Safe access can be provided from frontage to Woodhead Road, including visibility splays in excess of requirement.

Site drainage issues

Sewer capacity issues

A ground investigation report will be utilised to establish if sustainable drainage methods are appropriate.

An easement should be provided along the River Holme on the eastern boundary. (Environment Agency)

Crossley Mill weir adjoins this site and is a priority structure for improving fish passage (Environment Agency)  
Impact on wildlife.

Development would not impact on losses to habitats within any statutory or non-statutory site of ecological interest.

Potential impact on Hagg Wood ancient woodland, needs to be assessed prior to allocation.

Greenspace should be provided within development to reduce recreational disturbance impacts on the ancient woodland.

Development should be master planned to avoid, mitigate and compensate for the biodiversity impacts on the site.

All areas of BAP priority habitats should be retained.

Crossley Mill weir adjoins this site and is a priority structure for improving fish passage (Environment Agency)

the site adjoins the River Holme there may be possibilities for enhancing the riparian habitat through this development (Environment Agency)

## Council Response

---

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Suitable site access is set out in the planning permission for the site. The site is adjacent to the River Holme and has a small overlap with flood zone 3 which has been removed from the net area. Part of the site has been removed from the net area as it forms part of UK BAP priority habitat.

The principle of development for housing was established on part of the site (planning app 2013/93373), with access drawn from Woodhead Road. The indicative layout from this planning application shows that Woodhead Road would be used for other parts of the site. More detailed access issues around the site would be dealt with in a subsequent planning application for development of the remainder of the site.

Highways considered this was acceptable, subject to provision of visibility splays. The wider impact of traffic arising from Local Plan developments has been subject to modelling work

It is noted that there is a small area of surface water flood risk on the site. Development of the entire site may allow for improvements to be made to adjacent river, these comments will be noted in the site allocations box.

The site area has been amended to remove the UK BAP Priority Habitat, though the site allocations box will be updated to note the proximity of the woodland and the need to provide open space on the site to reduce recreational impacts on the woodland.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Summary of comments

Council Response

An ecological buffer of 15m should be employed adjacent to River Holme to present disturbance to river / habitats.

Developments around Honley will impact on the conservation area.

Inadequate school and nursery capacity

Health services provision insufficient

Removing trees / Greenfield's would have negative health impact

Impact of possible A&E closure at HRI

Footpath crosses the site.

Poor leisure facilities in the area for young people.

Where new housing and commercial developments take place, we request that sufficient space is allocated for riverside paths suitable for walking, cycling and wheelchair access, in line with your policy 9.78

Physical infrastructure will not cope with development

The site is available for development

Impact on rural setting of the area

Site important in providing boundary between Honley and Brockholes

Will lead to ribbon development and remove the gap between settlements on Woodhead Road.

Disproportionately high increase of housing Brockholes

Housing will be larger houses, not a mix of housing as required

Honley doesn't need additional housing

Honley needs smaller houses for young adults.

This area is poorly connected to the motorway network.

Should use Brownfield land first.

Negative impact on tourism

Ability of gas, electricity and sewerage network to cope with extra demand.

In the SA the site is one of the 50 Residential sites with 4 or more likely significant negative effects

Impact on house values.

H130	Land to the west of, St Mary's Rise and St Mary's Way, Netherthong	Support	Conditional Support	2	Object	7	No Comment
DLP_AD25, DLP_AD2912, DLP_AD3626, DLP_AD4184, DLP_AD5451, DLP_AD7201, DLP_AD7333, DLP_AD7901, DLP_AD8969							
Congestion on main road through Holmfirth and at Lockwood Bar.		No change.					
Poor access from Miry Lane - capacity should be amended to 7 to reflect planning approval.							
Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on road network in Netherthong.		The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.					
Access to site via Narrow roads, unsuitable for refuse or emergency vehicles.							
Road congestion, often single lane traffic, parking problems.							
Road safety for children walking to school.							
Beyond reasonable walking distance to public transport.		Site access achievable. Impacts on Oldfield conservation area and listed buildings to be considered through design and layout. The southern part of the site already has planning permission for housing.					
Drainage capacity insufficient - flooding on Dean Brook Road and Miry Lane.							
Impact of natural springs leading to localised flooding.							
Sewer infrastructure will not cope.		Part of this site has planning permission for 5 dwellings (application reference: 2014/92737) (granted on appeal)					



Summary of comments

Woodland corridor of Miry Lane need to be protected.  
 Development within 200 metres of Oldfield Conservation Area and Grade II listed buildings. The development of this area could harm elements which contribute to the significance of designated heritage assets. Special regard should be had to preserving listed buildings and their settings. The council has to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of conservation areas. Need an assessment of the contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to the character of appearance of the conservation area. If it would be harmful mitigation measures should be set out and site only allocated if there are clear benefits which outweigh the harm (Historic England).  
 Need to protect ancient hedgerows and veteran trees.  
 School capacity insufficient.  
 Health provision insufficient.

Do not use green belt for building houses.  
 Physical infrastructure cannot cope.  
 Negative impact on quality of life.  
 Development of this site is not sustainable.  
 Use Brownfield sites first.

Council Response

therefore the principle for the development of the southern part of this site has been established.

In relation to the remainder of the site, the highways assessment has shown that access can be achieved and that local highway links can accommodate a scheme of this scale. A heritage impact assessment will be required.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

This land is currently allocated as Provisional Open Land (POL) in the Unitary Development Plan (UDP) and is therefore not within the green belt.

There is insufficient capacity on brownfield sites to accommodate the housing needs in Kirklees over the local plan period.

**H134** Land at Headlands Farm, Headlands Road, Liversedge

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access can be achieved from Headlands Road. Industry is located within close proximity to the site, noise and odour may affect new receptors. No other objections have been raised on this site that could prevent development coming forward.

**H138** Land south of, Mill Street, Birstall

DLP\_AD88, DLP\_AD240, DLP\_AD624, DLP\_AD625, DLP\_AD630, DLP\_AD631, DLP\_AD633, DLP\_AD635, DLP\_AD636, DLP\_AD639, DLP\_AD642, DLP\_AD647, DLP\_AD655, DLP\_AD657, DLP\_AD661, DLP\_AD663, DLP\_AD668, DLP\_AD680, DLP\_AD687, DLP\_AD728, DLP\_AD788, DLP\_AD798, DLP\_AD799, DLP\_AD859, DLP\_AD884, DLP\_AD890, DLP\_AD923, DLP\_AD972, DLP\_AD1110, DLP\_AD1111, DLP\_AD1118, DLP\_AD1130, DLP\_AD1137, DLP\_AD1290, DLP\_AD1364, DLP\_AD1449, DLP\_AD1507, DLP\_AD1638, DLP\_AD1662, DLP\_AD2094, DLP\_AD2649, DLP\_AD2770, DLP\_AD2942, DLP\_AD3396, DLP\_AD3446, DLP\_AD3886, DLP\_AD4163, DLP\_AD4459, DLP\_AD4850, DLP\_AD4861, DLP\_AD4971, DLP\_AD5036, DLP\_AD5436, DLP\_AD5526, DLP\_AD5598, DLP\_AD5636, DLP\_AD7549, DLP\_AD7607, DLP\_AD8035, DLP\_AD8036, DLP\_AD8040, DLP\_AD8045, DLP\_AD8046, DLP\_AD8047, DLP\_AD8048, DLP\_AD8049, DLP\_AD8051, DLP\_AD8157, DLP\_AD8158, DLP\_AD8170, DLP\_AD8171, DLP\_AD8173, DLP\_AD8175, DLP\_AD8176, DLP\_AD8181, DLP\_AD8182, DLP\_AD8185, DLP\_AD8186, DLP\_AD8187, DLP\_AD8188, DLP\_AD8189, DLP\_AD8191, DLP\_AD8206, DLP\_AD8255, DLP\_AD8257, DLP\_AD8259, DLP\_AD8263, DLP\_AD8272, DLP\_AD8273, DLP\_AD8275, DLP\_AD8285, DLP\_AD8286, DLP\_AD8298, DLP\_AD8337, DLP\_AD8338, DLP\_AD10541, DLP\_AD10552, DLP\_AD10641, DLP\_AD10834, DLP\_AD10835, DLP\_AD10836, DLP\_AD11076

Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network.  
 Road congestion along Smithies Moor Lane, Huddersfield Road (A62) and Bradford Road (A652), road capacity issues, road safety issues along Mill Street, due to being used as 'rat run' to miss congestion at traffic lights. Parking problems along Mill Street, parking on both sides of street make it unsafe and hard to navigate down.  
 Traffic measures have not been considered when using Mill Street as access point. Using Mill Street as an access point is unsafe.  
 The implications of the development will cause traffic issues in the surrounding village of Birstall. Increase in traffic from J27 will have an effect on the area.  
 Flooding issues.  
 Localised flooding on the site has impact on dwellings located on Mill Street, cellars flood due to run off, excess water in the area is a problem for residents  
 Evidence of old pipe and tunnel associated with reservoir, natural springs and culverts present on site.  
 Fear of sink holes  
 Natural drainage will worsen if trees are removed

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 100 No Comment 1

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. 0.22ha removed from developable area, lowland mixed deciduous woodland.

Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:

Site access is achievable, possible access can be gained from Huddersfield Road (A62) or Smithies Moor Lane. Access cannot be achieved via Mill Street. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.

Summary of comments

Proposal will bring problems of noise and air pollution from both traffic and new residents.  
 New development will be in close proximity to large manufacturing site.  
 Area is already over congested and polluted.  
 Biodiversity and wildlife will be affected. A large proportion of the site is allocated as wildlife habitat network.  
 Removal of the trees will have implication on natural drainage.  
 Foxes, wild flowers, bats and owls seen on site.  
 School capacity insufficient. Local schools are full and would be stretched with added pressure from new development.  
 Health services at capacity - GP's, dentists  
 Loss of recreational facility, will reduce active community, placing strain on the NHS. Why use this site when we are trying to reduce childhood obesity.  
 Open space should be protected. Loss of formal recreation land - football pitch. There is a lack of green space within Birstall.  
 The playing pitch is of poor quality due to excess water on the site from natural springs and culverts.  
 Sport England object due to the plan not specifying the site is surplus to requirements or how they will be replaced.

Greenbelt should be maintained and should be considered last on the list.  
 Proposals go against purpose of greenbelt.  
 Site historically used for mine workings - White Lea Pit 19th & 20th century  
 Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size.  
 Birstall is used as a dumping ground. It is currently overpopulated. New development will have a negative impact on quality of life.  
 Deprivation in North Kirklees needs to be addressed.  
 Previously allocated as employment site, no development taken place in last 20 years, thought due to water content on site.  
 Use vacant Brownfield sites first to regenerate the area. The North has received a disproportionate amount of development.  
 The views of residents will be spoilt, reduction in privacy in rear gardens, devaluing property prices.  
 Implications on emergency services.  
 Agent/landowner objects to technical reports being requested at allocation stage, this should be at application stage. Reference made to NPPF para 173. There should be no duplication between allocations and policies. The request of a replacement sports facility should not be done in the allocation as this is done in DLP54. They do however support the allocation as an accepted option.  
 Batley & Birstall civic society - requested meeting;  
 Green belt ref 2225-03 - rep - allocation overlaps green belt and 2 ward boundaries.  
 From Birstall Smithies to Colbeck Road. The mish mash of buildings could be organised better and look better.

**H145** Spenborough Industrial Estate, Parker Street, Liversedge  
 DLP\_AD5527

Accessible via public transport and close to town centre.  
 Health facilities in Heckmondwike.  
 Recreational facilities in close proximity.

Located within existing settlement and is Brownfield land.  
 Allocation supported. Site should be considered before H564.

**H161** Former Soothill Cricket Club, Soothill Lane, Batley  
 DLP\_AD1146, DLP\_AD2769, DLP\_AD4800, DLP\_AD8405

Road congestion, road capacity issues, road safety, parking problems. Concerned about the increase in

Council Response

Issues of field flooding and cellar flooding have been recorded. No objections have been raised as problems can be investigated and resolved as part of the detailed planning process.

The Council has commissioned an Air Quality Assessment (AQA) to assess the potential cumulative impact of sites allocated in the local plan. The Council will monitor air quality annually and set out its findings in its annual monitoring report.

This proposed allocation contains a corner of lowland mixed deciduous woodland. It also has some substantial hedgerows. 0.22ha of the site has been netted off following advice from West Yorkshire Ecology.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district. The open space on site will be protected or consequently replaced.

This site is an existing UDP employment allocation and is not part of the greenbelt.

The site is located within a high risk coal referral area. A Coal Mining Risk Assessment is required as part of the detailed planning application. This will be noted in the site allocation text box.

There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.

The allocation of the site confirms the principle of development. Details of the design and site layout and impact on adjoining residential properties will be addressed as part of a detailed planning application.

Support 1 Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The site has planning permission for 28 dwellings (application reference: 2013/91771) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Comments noted.

Support 2 Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment

Proposed Change

Summary of comments

HGVs and cars using Smithies Moor Lane and capacity to cope with additional 400 plus vehicles.  
 Flooding issues - localised flooding - the field adjacent to Park Street.  
 Concerned about air pollution from increased number of cars.  
 Loss of formal recreation - cricket ground. The plan does not clarify that it is surplus to requirement or show how it will be or has been replaced (Sport England).

Supported as a housing allocation as there is a strong need for a retirement village along with removal of urban green space.  
 The close proximity to shops, transport links and health care makes this a good site location.  
 Support as a housing allocation as close to the town centre, proximity to services and health provision. It is ideal for a retirement village

**H162** Former Cleckheaton Bowling Club, Park View, Cleckheaton  
 DLP\_AD2771, DLP\_AD5231

Sport England objects to the following allocation due to the affect on a bowling green.

Site H162 currently has planning permission for 23 dwellings.  
 Appropriate use of site as bowling club has been disused for a number of years and has been a focus for vandalism and arson.

Council Response

This site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). The reason for rejection is that the site has planning permission and is under construction.

This site was granted full planning permission in October 2014 for the construction of 34 dwellings and 4 apartments (2014/62/90037/E). A review of the housing land availability survey at 2014-2015 indicates that the development was under construction. The development will now form part of the council's commitments/completions within its housing requirement. It is therefore, considered that this site should be deleted as an allocation. This is in order to avoid double counting of housing numbers.

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Lapsed bowling greens. Unlikely to be required to service Bowls demand. No reasonable prospect that this site will be brought forward to meet local needs.  
 Planning application submitted January 2015 for 23 dwellings 2015/90022

This site has planning permission for 23 dwellings (2015/90022) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

**H172** Land at, West Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service Headquarters, Bradford Road, Birkenshaw  
 DLP\_AD873, DLP\_AD1184, DLP\_AD5221, DLP\_AD5757, DLP\_AD8197, DLP\_AD8198, DLP\_AD8202, DLP\_AD8212, DLP\_AD8870, DLP\_AD10813, DLP\_AD10814, DLP\_AD10815

Development will add pressure to already congested roads  
 Roundabout on Bradford Road (A58) is already gridlocked at peak times.  
 Exiting from Swincliffe Crescent is nigh on impossible. Increasing housing will increase traffic chaos.  
 Transport is already horrendous at peak times.

Site is located on a bus route from Cleckheaton to Leeds  
 Added pressure on drainage system.  
 Redevelopment of area could harm elements which contribute to the significance of the Oakroyd Hall Fire Services HQ Building.  
 Assessments of contribution the development has to the listed building. Where elements of the development will harm elements contributing to the significance of the building, Plan needs to set out measures of mitigation.  
 If harm is still apparent the site should not be allocated. (HE)  
 Concerns over amount of school places.  
 Birkenshaw Primary 60+ in reception where will additional children go.  
 Impossible to get a place for a child at the junior school.  
 Difficult to get an appointment at doctors  
 Medical facilities at saturation point.

Within existing settlement and close to amenities  
 WYFRS will be undergoing review with regard to premises as it is still in use can the certainty of the availability of the site be confirmed. No alternative or replacement seems to have be considered as part of the Local Plan process for emergency service sites.  
 Should be seen as windfall due to uncertainty.  
 To accord with Kirklees Council's policies with regards to sustainability and priority use of land it should be developed for housing before any green belt is taken up for housing.

Support 4 Conditional Support 2 Object 6 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Responses to comments received as part of the consultation include:  
 Site access direct onto classified road. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.

No objections have been raised with regards to drainage.

Comments from Historic England have been noted.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Summary of comments

Could be retained as area for business and light industry.

Council Response

Site availability has been confirmed by the site promoter.

Supporting comments noted.

**H173** Land adjacent to, 17, Whitehall Road West, Birkenshaw, Bradford  
 DLP\_AD870, DLP\_AD872, DLP\_AD1520, DLP\_AD2185, DLP\_AD5763, DLP\_AD8213, DLP\_AD10828, DLP\_AD10829, DLP\_AD10830

Development will add pressure on the road network. Site is currently located on a congested main road  
 Roads at saturation point, cannot cope at peak times  
 Added pressure to existing drainage  
 Increase in pollution due to new industrial zone and the new Green King public house development.  
 Land acts as a buffer between existing industry and housing. Should be a decision made by residents if they want to lose it.  
 Trees align the southern edge of the site. May have ecology/biodiversity value.  
 Close to known archaeological site - WYAAS have no objection in principle but need to evaluate pre-determination  
 Schools at saturation point, impossible to get a place at the junior school.  
 Medical facilities at saturation point

Irregular shaped site, will struggle to get capacity. Has adjoining neighbouring development (employment and housing) and should not impinge on the future of the employment sites expansion and operation  
 Buildings exceeding 2 stories will impact on current residents privacy and light amenity in rear gardens.

Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	8	No Comment
No Change					
This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.					
Site access is achievable from Whitehall Road West. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.					
Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:					
2.4m x 43m (30mph speed limit) visibility splays req. along A58 Whitehall Road West. 4 accidents have occurred in the vicinity of the site at the A58 / A651 junction in the last 5 years. It is considered that there will not be a major impact on the mainline network.					
The area is not in or near an Air Quality management area or an area of concern in terms of Air Quality. Pollutant levels in close proximity to this site have never been, nor currently exceed health related pollutant objectives.					
West Yorkshire Ecology do not have any objection to the development of the site.					
Comments from West Yorkshire Archaeological Advisory Service noted.					
The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.					
Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.					
Opportunities for this site to be masterplanned in conjunction with adjoining allocations, capacity of the site has been assessed in line with the Council's site allocation methodology.					
The allocation of the site confirms the principle of development. Details of the design and site layout and impact on adjoining residential properties will be addressed as part of a detailed planning application.					

**H174** Land north of, Manchester Road, Linthwaite  
 DLP\_AD5380, DLP\_AD11046

Close to public transport routes and existing settlement.

Traffic congestion

Highway safety  
 Drainage issues – future development should help mitigate these problems  
 Impact on education provision  
 Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&E closure at HRI

Impact on healthcare provision

Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	1	No Comment
No change.					
The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.					
Development of the site would be subject to further investigation of surface water drainage options. Potential road traffic noise from Manchester Road and contaminated land on the site.					
Detailed highways issues will be addressed at application stage, but development in this location is unlikely to					

Summary of comments

The allocation minimises loss of Green Belt.

Uses Brownfield land.

Council Response

increase traffic congestion.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

H178	Land to the south of, Southwood Avenue, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	4	Object	153	No Comment
DLP_AD1168, DLP_AD1504, DLP_AD1736, DLP_AD1765, DLP_AD1766, DLP_AD1828, DLP_AD1847, DLP_AD1855, DLP_AD1863, DLP_AD1890, DLP_AD1953, DLP_AD1965, DLP_AD1977, DLP_AD1987, DLP_AD2038, DLP_AD2066, DLP_AD2081, DLP_AD2106, DLP_AD2121, DLP_AD2154, DLP_AD2161, DLP_AD2206, DLP_AD2215, DLP_AD2224, DLP_AD2234, DLP_AD2242, DLP_AD2251, DLP_AD2261, DLP_AD2279, DLP_AD2292, DLP_AD2308, DLP_AD2337, DLP_AD2342, DLP_AD2362, DLP_AD2440, DLP_AD2450, DLP_AD2464, DLP_AD2477, DLP_AD2489, DLP_AD2515, DLP_AD2525, DLP_AD2537, DLP_AD2563, DLP_AD2580, DLP_AD2589, DLP_AD2608, DLP_AD2659, DLP_AD2669, DLP_AD2679, DLP_AD2706, DLP_AD2726, DLP_AD2784, DLP_AD2889, DLP_AD2941, DLP_AD2953, DLP_AD2979, DLP_AD2989, DLP_AD2990, DLP_AD3002, DLP_AD3069, DLP_AD3101, DLP_AD3127, DLP_AD3157, DLP_AD3179, DLP_AD3223, DLP_AD3235, DLP_AD3243, DLP_AD3280, DLP_AD3288, DLP_AD3314, DLP_AD3321, DLP_AD3351, DLP_AD3558, DLP_AD3609, DLP_AD3707, DLP_AD3728, DLP_AD3773, DLP_AD3848, DLP_AD4010, DLP_AD4037, DLP_AD4055, DLP_AD4117, DLP_AD4153, DLP_AD4192, DLP_AD4201, DLP_AD4263, DLP_AD4451, DLP_AD4554, DLP_AD4726, DLP_AD4774, DLP_AD4839, DLP_AD5194, DLP_AD5427, DLP_AD5536, DLP_AD5794, DLP_AD5871, DLP_AD5888, DLP_AD5917, DLP_AD5958, DLP_AD5969, DLP_AD6030, DLP_AD6063, DLP_AD6072, DLP_AD6093, DLP_AD6097, DLP_AD6104, DLP_AD6375, DLP_AD6506, DLP_AD6560, DLP_AD6616, DLP_AD6681, DLP_AD6823, DLP_AD6846, DLP_AD6868, DLP_AD6876, DLP_AD6962, DLP_AD7097, DLP_AD7350, DLP_AD7369, DLP_AD7402, DLP_AD7453, DLP_AD7510, DLP_AD7568, DLP_AD7760, DLP_AD7775, DLP_AD7782, DLP_AD7831, DLP_AD7847, DLP_AD7862, DLP_AD8024, DLP_AD8352, DLP_AD8516, DLP_AD8524, DLP_AD8588, DLP_AD9110, DLP_AD9118, DLP_AD9126, DLP_AD9132, DLP_AD9146, DLP_AD9157, DLP_AD9166, DLP_AD9177, DLP_AD9186, DLP_AD9196, DLP_AD9208, DLP_AD9231, DLP_AD9265, DLP_AD9278, DLP_AD9419, DLP_AD9445, DLP_AD9469, DLP_AD9489, DLP_AD10080, DLP_AD10397, DLP_AD10571, DLP_AD10623, DLP_AD10944							

Wider road network - traffic and parking issues in Honley village, congestion on Gynn Lane (cannot be widened) and travel to Huddersfield, junctions capacity issues at New Mill Road, Station Road, Huddersfield Road, Eastgate, Hall Ing, Lockwood Bar junction, poorly maintained roads.  
 Road capacity issues - Southwood Avenue and Hall Ing Lane (junction) are narrow. Problems for large vehicles and construction traffic.  
 Site access - proposal may require third party land for access and site visibility splays.  
 Road safety - especially in winter, dangerous exit from Gynn Lane into Brockholes Road, blind summit on Southwood Avenue, safety issues for school children.  
 Parking issues.  
 Poor access to Honley train station will be made worse.  
 Site promoter has instructed specialists in highway safety. Shows that appropriate access can be achieved on to Hall Ing Lane.  
 Site promoter has requested further land release adjacent to the Southwood Road adopted highway to further facilitate access.  
 Lack of local public transport.  
 No sewer in Southwood Avenue - existing infrastructure is owned by residents (4 inch pipe). No practical solution due to road camber on Southwood Avenue. Drain in Hall Ing Lane is only a 6 inch pipe.  
 Site promoter acknowledges drainage capacity issues and has instructed specialists in drainage with the intention of improving drainage issues in the area.  
 Surface water issues - no storm drain in Southwood Avenue and natural stream crossing the end of the road, existing water saturation issues.  
 Flooding issues - likely to be made worse.  
 Proposals will create pollution - noise, dust, vibration.  
 Wildlife affected.  
 Land is within the River Colne Valley Living Landscape - important mature areas of woodland on steeper slopes of the river corridor in the Holme Valley. Major allocations should include enhancements for biodiversity. Retain BAP habitats and areas of high ecological value.  
 Do not lose the important buffer around the Conservation Area of Honley.  
 Site near to a Grade II listed property.  
 School capacity insufficient (Infant and junior school).  
 Health provision insufficient - doctors, dentists.  
 Access to hospital provision - potential loss of Huddersfield A&E.  
 Loss of agricultural land.

Proposed change (boundary)

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The site boundary has been extended to the north to provide a more defensible green belt boundary.

Site access is achievable although improvements to visibility splays at Southwood Avenue / Hall Ings Lane junction may be required. Limited surface water drainage options but run-off rates will need to meet the requirements of the local plan policies once adopted.

Highways information indicated that site access can be achieved. Highways also state that the local links to the network are acceptable (subject to highway improvements in context with the scale of the development).

There is a public sewer in Hall Ing Lane and therefore developers could make a connection either via the adopted highway or through private land. Further investigation into surface water drainage solutions will be required to ensure this site can meet the surface water run-off rates set out in the local plan policy once adopted.

No objections have been received from Historic England or from internal council officers in relation to impacts on listed buildings or the Honley Conservation area which is some distance from this site.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The site does not form part of the council's open space study. Protected trees are on land adjacent to this site and are therefore not part of this proposed site. A small part of the site is within the green belt adjacent to the end of Southwood Avenue and although located on a slope the configuration of the site respects the settlement

Summary of comments

Loss of open space.  
 Loss of informal recreation land.  
 Impacts on rights of way.  
 Tree Preservation Orders adjacent to the site need to be upheld.

Site has a prominent valley side position.  
 Physical infrastructure cannot cope - need for infrastructure improvements to support the housing.  
 Gas and electricity supply may not be able to cope.  
 Negative impact on quality of life - new houses would reduce light considerably.  
 Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size.  
 Negative impact on community.  
 Cumulative impact of development unacceptable.  
 Lack of local amenities.  
 Honley is a village, not a town.  
 Capacity of the site will be subject to design considerations and significant change of levels within the site.  
 Don't use green belt.  
 Support for exclusion of the site from the green belt.  
 Use brownfield sites first - use former Huddersfield Sports Centre site, old mill in Newsome, land at Thirstin Road Honley, land at Woodhead Road next to the old Drill Hall in Thongsbridge.  
 Loss of Greenfield sites.  
 Small scheme could be supported subject to concerns about cumulative impacts.  
 Need to ensure mix of housing where development does occur - smaller properties required.  
 Bring empty houses back into use instead of building new ones.  
 Demand for affordable housing in the area.  
 Site is available.  
 Sustainability Appraisal indicates 4 or more negative effects.  
 Acknowledgement that new housing is required, particularly affordable housing.  
 Negative impact on house prices in the area.  
 Lack of publicity about the plans.

Council Response

form and would not sprawl down the slope. The green belt assessment for this site provides further details. The boundary of this site option provides a more defensible green belt boundary than the existing green belt boundary.

Detailed design issues will be considered through the planning application process but proposals will need to meet design policies set out in the local plan once adopted.

There is not sufficient capacity on brownfield sites to meet the housing requirement over the local plan period. Detailed proposals on this site will be subject to relevant local plan policies including utilising the latest evidence in relation to housing mix.

The council has a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as the level of this capacity is not guaranteed.

Some supporting comments in relation to this site have been noted in relation to the need for additional land to achieve improved access to the site and the need for housing in the area (particularly affordable housing). Further land has been added to the option to ensure a more defensible green belt boundary. This has also improved the access to this site from Southwood Avenue.

H192	Headfield Mills, Savile Road, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD10385						

Site is slightly in Flood Zone 3, acknowledged that this has been netted off. An easement of the River Calder will need to be agreed with the EA. (Environment Agency)

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, this mill site is adjacent to River Calder, a UK BAP priority habitat and 4.6% of the site is in flood zone 3. 0.16ha has been removed from the developable area netting off flood zone 3 and the UK BAP habitat. Culverts, pipes and holes in revetments under the site may be used by otters, so careful survey and mitigation is required. Part of the site is within a high risk coal referral area.

The Publication Draft Local Plan Allocations and Designations report highlights that an easement of the River Calder will need to be agreed with the Environment Agency.

H197	Former Allotments, Leeds Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received					

No Representations received

No Change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the Council's site allocation methodology.

The site is adjacent to an employment allocation granted planning permission for a mixed use scheme with housing adjacent to this site. A noise assessment will be required. Site access achievable visibility splays

Summary of comments

Council Response

**H198** Land to the south of, Second Avenue, Hightown  
DLP\_AD1593, DLP\_AD2186, DLP\_AD2462, DLP\_AD3110, DLP\_AD5350, DLP\_AD8882, DLP\_AD10148

Served by bus routes  
Site drains into Clough Beck, the culvert is damaged and flow of the stream impaired. Trash screen in place which causes a back log of water in heavy rain.  
SUDS's should be used or the developer should repair the damaged culvert that leads to Clough Beck. There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. (Yorkshire Water)  
Site includes a nature area  
Thornbush Farm, 100 meters to the south is a Grade II listed building.  
Assessments of contribution the development has to the listed building. Where elements of the development will harm elements contributing to the significance of the building, Plan needs to set out measures of mitigation.  
If harm is still apparent the site should not be allocated.

Housing development would not adversely affect the scheduled monument nor the listed building at the south of the site, which is in a poor state of repair.  
High Bank school is in close proximity.

Close to known archaeological site - No objection in principle but need to evaluate pre-determination.  
Use Brownfield land first - sites such as the R M Grylls school site should be used first.  
- this area of land could be utilised  
A small housing development would be proportionate

**H199** Land at Queens Road West, Milnsbridge  
DLP\_AD8897, DLP\_AD11042

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the former Church of St Luke and its vicarage, Grade II listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

**H200** Land to the South of, Mill Moor Road, Meltham  
DLP\_AD2327, DLP\_AD2494, DLP\_AD3961, DLP\_AD5594, DLP\_AD10365, DLP\_AD10624

Traffic congestion /highway safety

Poor sightlines at junction of Matthew Grove and Mill Moor Road because of parked cars.

Impact of excess traffic on Greens End Road / Station St junction in Meltham centre.

The site is located in close proximity to local facilities / services.  
Impact on wildlife.  
Impact on school provision  
Impact on healthcare provision

required.

No comments received on this site.

Support 3 Conditional Support 4 Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable. The green belt element of this site would represent a small scale extension into the green belt where impact on openness would be limited. The existing green belt boundary with the now demolished school does not follow a feature on the ground and this option would present the opportunity to create a strong new green belt edge.

Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include: No surface water objections have been raised however, a drainage masterplan is required to ensure flows can reach Clough Beck and integrate flood route.

No objections have been raised from West Yorkshire Ecology.

Comments from Historic England and West Yorkshire Archaeological Society have been noted.

Supporting comments for this site have been noted.

The spatial strategy identifies brownfield as a priority. Site specifics are dealt with under the housing allocation responses.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access can be achieved from Queens Road West, though this may not be suitable for a large amount of development. Further research required of surface water drainage options. Noise assessment required. Part of the site is part of habitat network. A heritage impact assessment is required and design of the site should seek to minimise the impacts of adjacent Grade II listed building (St Luke's Church and Vicarage).

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 5 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan. Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Development on the site would need to have satisfactory access from Mill Moor Road and pedestrian facilities incorporated. Habitat Risk Assessment required given proximity of SPA. Further investigation of surface water flood risk required. Will require adequate opportunities for physical activity to be delivered.

The amount of traffic that would be generated from the development is not deemed to have an adverse impact

Summary of comments

Impact on open countryside / character

The site is well related to the settlement.  
Minimises loss of Green Belt

Council Response

on the local highway network, nor is highway safety / traffic judged to be a reason to stop development on the site.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

**H201** Land east of, Laund Road, Lindley  
DLP\_AD5573, DLP\_AD10358, DLP\_AD10686

Potential move of A&E will cause traffic problems in the area.  
The site is located in SPZ2 and therefore should be included under 'constraints'. We recommend that a hydrological risk assessment is included under 'Reports/commentary' section. (Environment Agency)  
Education infrastructure is at capacity.

Support allocation of this site over sites in the green belt.

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access is achievable from Laund Road.

This site has planning permission for 21 dwellings therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Support for the site is noted.

**H202** Land north of, New Hey Road, Salendine Nook  
DLP\_AD5547, DLP\_AD8806, DLP\_AD10685

Closure of Huddersfield A&E may affect traffic in the area.  
Salendine Nook Baptist Church to the west of this site is a Grade II Listed Building. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to its significance. The Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay "special attention" to "the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance" of its Conservation Areas. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, or the statutory duties under the 1990 Act, there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this largely-undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these designated heritage assets and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. (Historic England)  
Education infrastructure is at capacity.

Support allocation of this site as it is not green belt.

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

No change.

This site is an accepted housing option. Site access and impacts upon the local highway network have been assessed and no significant constraints have been identified. Mitigation may be required for adjacent listed building and protected trees may require a lower density or specific mitigation. 0.06ha removed for TPO trees.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Support for the site is noted.

**H203** Thornfield, Prospect Lane, Birkenshaw  
DLP\_AD5759, DLP\_AD8872, DLP\_AD10810, DLP\_AD10811, DLP\_AD10812

Prospect lane is currently sub-standard for existing properties.  
Accessible by unadopted private access road/drive  
Site adjoins churchyard of the Grade II listed St Paul's Church.  
Assessments of contribution the development has to the listed building. Where elements of the development will harm elements contributing to the significance of the building, Plan needs to set out measures of mitigation.  
If harm is still apparent the site should not be allocated. (Historic England)

Support Conditional Support 4 Object 1 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Third party land required to achieve access. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be



Summary of comments

Identified as area of Urban Greenspace in the UDP, appears to be area of semi-natural green space  
2015 Open Space Study indicates Birstall & Birkenshaw wards are deficient in natural and semi-natural green space. How can H203 be surplus to requirements?

2015/93238- demolition of existing dwelling and erection of 5 detached dwellings - no decision as of 21/03/2016  
Constrained by adjoining residential properties - 2.5 metres from site boundary  
Existing house on site that will need demolishing

Council Response

mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Responses received through the consultation include:

Site access is achievable from The Beeches. Third party land is required. Access has been shown to be achievable in planning applications.

Comments from English Heritage have been noted.

The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.

H205	Land to the east of, Slipper Lane, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD7443					

Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network, A644 already has long queues.

Increased demand on schools not considered  
Increased demand on GPs, Dentist etc not considered

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable with third party land. The removal of this site from the green belt would have minimal impact on openness and would not undermine the role and function of the green belt in this location.

Responses to comments received on this site include:

It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

H206	Land south west of, Dunbottle Lane, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD2178, DLP_AD7442					

Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network in Mirfield, A644 already has long queues from Dewsbury to Cooper Bridge. On road parking reduces road capacity.

Recommend pre-determination archaeological evaluation - close to known site of significance. (WYAAS)  
Increased demand on schools not considered  
Increased demand on GPs, Dentist etc not considered

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for housing. The reasons for change are that the site is now built out, therefore the allocation is no longer justified.

H213	Black Rock Mills, Waingate, Linthwaite	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD4, DLP_AD5366, DLP_AD8886					

Retention and protection of the woodland on the fringe of the site will help wildlife habitat.  
The Reports/commentary Section needs to inform users of the Plan about the proximity of the Grade II Listed Buildings (18 & 21 Waingate) and the need for any development proposals to ensure that their significance is not harmed. (Historic England)

The site's design should respect the conservation area.

Minimises loss of Green Belt.

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The majority of the site has planning permission, with access provided. The impact on SRN will not be mitigated by committed schemes. Culvert runs through the site, opportunities to open this up should be explored. Listed

Summary of comments

Priority should be given to development of this Brownfield site.

Council Response

buildings in close proximity of the site. Development on the site should help deliver opportunities for physical activity in the area.

This site has planning permission for 236 dwellings (application reference: 2013/90853) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

**H214** Land north-west of, New Mill Road, Thongsbridge  
DLP\_AD3632, DLP\_AD8594

Traffic congestion particularly on routes to Huddersfield and for school access. Allocation within the River Colne Valley Living Landscape which includes parts of the Holme Valley. Need to include enhancement for biodiversity and retain BAP habitats and areas of high ecological value (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust).

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

Proposed change (boundary)

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. An area of protected trees has been removed from the site boundary to the north-west.

Site access is achievable and this site can overcome remaining constraints to deliver new homes during the plan period. The southern part of the site is subject to an implemented planning permission for 9 dwellings (2005/90322).

Highways assessments have indicated that site access is achievable and there are sufficient local links to the highway network.

An area of protected trees in the north-western part of the site has been removed from the site which is also part of the BAP habitat area referred to in the consultation responses.

**H215** Land north of, Edgerton Road, Edgerton  
DLP\_AD8805, DLP\_AD10164

There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. Carnassarie Hazel Grove, the gate piers to 18 and 20 Edgerton Road, and the bus shelter are Grade II Listed Buildings. The site also lies within the Edgerton Conservation Area. The loss of this currently-open area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of these designated heritage assets. The Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay "special attention" to "the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance" of its Conservation Areas. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, or the statutory duties under the 1990 Act, there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this largely-undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these designated heritage assets and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. (Historic England)

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access is achievable. 1.13ha has been removed from net developable area due to woodland on site.

This site has planning permission for 41 dwellings therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

**H216** Land west of, Shop Lane, Kirkheaton  
DLP\_AD3996, DLP\_AD4005, DLP\_AD4022, DLP\_AD4049, DLP\_AD4065, DLP\_AD4072, DLP\_AD4079, DLP\_AD4093, DLP\_AD4100, DLP\_AD6602

Concerns about local highway infrastructure including site entrance, Junction of Shop Lane, Town Road and New Road [ by the Chemist] , Junction of Shop Lane and Orchard Road , Junction of St Andrews Drive

Support 3 Conditional Support 2 Object 3 No Comment 2

No change.

Summary of comments

and St Mary's Lane [ near Post Office ] Junction of Stafford Hill Lane and St Mary's Lane. The proximity of the site to public transport services provides access to nearby urban centres including Huddersfield, Leeds, Batley, Dewsbury, Wakefield, Mirfield.  
 Drainage issues.  
 Concerns about school capacity.  
 Concerns about doctor's capacity.

The site is within 800m distance of local shops and services, which include; Pharmacy, Post Office, Public House, Dentist, Doctors, Library, Shops, School.  
 Build on old mill site before green belt. Support for allocation. Site is deliverable within the plan period.  
 There is an outline application for this site 2014/60/92535/w.

Council Response

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access is achievable.

The site has been assessed by the council's strategic drainage team and no significant constraints have been identified.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Support for the site is noted.

**H218**

Bluehills Farm, Whitehall Road West, Birkenshaw

DLP\_AD874, DLP\_AD1174, DLP\_AD6318, DLP\_AD8194, DLP\_AD8201, DLP\_AD8211, DLP\_AD8875, DLP\_AD10822, DLP\_AD10823, DLP\_AD10824

Will add congestion to the already overused roads.

Traffic horrendous at peak times

A58 Birkenshaw Roundabout

Exiting Swincliffe Crescent is difficult

Additional pressure on drainage infrastructure

Noise buffers can be implemented into the scheme

Oakroyd Hall Fire Station HQ building on Oakroyd Drive is a Grade II listed building

Assessments of contribution the development has to the listed building. Where elements of the development will harm elements contributing to the significance of the building, Plan needs to set out measures of mitigation.

If harm is still apparent the site should not be allocated. (Historic England)

Concern over amount of school places

Birkenshaw Primary already 60+ in reception

Difficult to get a doctor's appointment

Facilities at saturation point

Will reduce the enjoyment of this green area for current residents

No justification to remove this site from the green belt

Development will intensify housing within the area

The site is suitable, available and achievable and so should be phased in years 0-5 of the local plan

Assessment of site is unduly lenient

Buffer required between M62 and proposed housing, which would reduce developable area. Without noise and air quality assessments that prove adequate mitigation site should not be allocated.

Site in such close proximity to the M62 would be better suited to employment or safeguarded employment allocations

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 8 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable. This site is located within a limited area of open space contained on three sides by urban features, including the M62, and on the fourth by farm buildings. The slope towards the north may make development prominent but there is existing built form already at that level.

Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:

Site access can be achieved directly onto a classified road. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.

Objections have been raised with regard to surface water drainage. These issues can be mitigated and resolved as part of the detailed planning process.

A heritage impact assessment would be need to assess the harm to neighbouring listed building.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

This site is contained on three sides by urban features including the M62 and on the fourth by farm buildings. As such it is completely contained and presents no risk of sprawl, nor is it a significant part of the wider countryside. The slope towards the north may make development prominent but there is existing built form already at that level.

With regard to the appropriateness of site uses on proposed allocations adjacent to the motorway, each site has been assessed on its own merits and comments sought from technical consultees. It is also a matter for individual air quality and noise reports to determine whether any parcel of land is suitable for housing development.

Summary of comments	Council Response
Supporting comments for the site have been noted.	
<p><b>H221</b> Land east of, Howgate Road, Slaithwaite DLP_AD5368, DLP_AD8887</p> <p>The site is well located to local facilities and services An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area and listed buildings (Grade II* listed Manor House on Church St, Grade II listed sundial and Manor house lock up). If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of Listed Buildings and Conservation Area, it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).</p> <p>The site should be designed to respect the conservation area.</p> <p>This Brownfield site should be prioritised for development.</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Development of the site is subject to provision of visibility splays and incorporation of pedestrian facilities. Potential contaminated land. Noise arising from industry to the south. Will require adequate opportunities for physical activity to be delivered. A heritage impact assessment would be required because of the potential impact on the adjacent Grade II listed buildings.</p> <p>This site has planning permission for 36 dwellings (application reference: 2010/92767) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.</p>
<p><b>H222</b> Land to the north east of, Pilling Lane, Scissett, Huddersfield, DLP_AD3034, DLP_AD3409, DLP_AD3714, DLP_AD4294, DLP_AD4341, DLP_AD5867, DLP_AD6432, DLP_AD7359, DLP_AD8262, DLP_AD8410, DLP_AD8578, DLP_AD10149, DLP_AD10464, DLP_AD10877</p> <p>A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.</p> <p>Road congestion, particularly links to M1 Impact on sewerage</p> <p>Topography - Impact on surface water drainage</p> <p>Existing sewerage and drainage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances will be needed and may alter layout of the site. If surface water discharges to public sewer, must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change (Yorkshire Water) The proposed allocation is located within a habitat corridor important for great crested newts, a European protected species. The great crested newt corridor should be retained in the site masterplans.</p> <p>Risk of cat predation to Great Crested Newts</p> <p>Substantial ecological buffer should be provided, potentially including a ditch at the edge nearest to development to provide a buffer between ponds and the development, with compensatory habitat provided as far from main bulk of development as possible</p> <p>Impact on Great Crested Newts should be considered alongside those of H29 and H39, with complimentary ecological measures provided. Potential impact on school place provision in Wakefield District, the two authorities need to work together to understand these impacts and adequate mitigation Wakefield Council).</p> <p>Site capacity of 200, reflecting planning application, would be more appropriate. Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development</p> <p>Impact on character topography</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support 5 Object 8 No Comment</p> <p>No change</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access arrangements set out in planning application 2014/91699. Fringe of site in flood zone 2 and 3. Hedgerows on site should be maintained to minimise impact on nearby Great Crested Newt population. A small part of the site is within a high risk coal mining area.</p> <p>This site has planning permission for 200 dwellings (application reference: 2013/93441) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.</p>
<p><b>H224</b> Former Cemex Site, Smithies Moor Lane, Birstall DLP_AD241, DLP_AD634, DLP_AD638, DLP_AD1506, DLP_AD1663, DLP_AD3448, DLP_AD4165, DLP_AD4171, DLP_AD8258, DLP_AD8279, DLP_AD10151, DLP_AD10819, DLP_AD10820, DLP_AD10821, DLP_AD11075</p> <p>Cumulative impact if development cannot be accommodated on the road network</p>	<p>Support 4 Conditional Support 1 Object 10 No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p>

Summary of comments

- Birstall is already congested, congestion will increase with further development  
 Road congestion  
 -Mill Street/Bridge Street/Bradford Road/Huddersfield Road Junction/Smithies Moor Lane Junction  
 Mill Street used as cut through to avoid light on Bradford Road.  
 Parking problems on Mill Street - parking permits and traffic diversion onto Huddersfield Road from Smithies Moor Lane  
 Smithies Moor Lane is dangerous and too narrow for increased traffic  
 Has any thought been given to flooding issues  
 Poor drainage/flooding  
 There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. (Yorkshire Water)  
 Wildlife will be affected  
 School capacity insufficient  
 Strain on health services - doctors and dentists  
 Open spaces should be protected.

Infrastructure capacity insufficient  
 Cost implication of poor ground conditions  
 Mining in the area  
 Why over populate the village  
 Couldn't you build garages on here for home owners that are struggling  
 Land is an eyesore and needs  
 Allocate land for housing and not industry better suited  
 Privacy concerns  
 More houses mean more problems with reduced services from emergency services.

Council Response

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The site has outline planning permission for 21 dwellings (application reference: 2012/92614) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

<b>H225</b>	Land to the west of, Abbey Road, Shepley	Support	Conditional Support	3	Object	8	No Comment
DLP_AD1306, DLP_AD1307, DLP_AD1947, DLP_AD2686, DLP_AD2752, DLP_AD2835, DLP_AD3934, DLP_AD4213, DLP_AD4323, DLP_AD5546, DLP_AD8474							

Transport modelling is required to ensure appropriate mitigation.  
 Cumulative impacts of development in Shepley on the road network.  
 Development supports reduction of speed limit from 40mph to 30mph on A629.  
 Road congestion (Penistone Road/Wakefield Road junction well beyond theoretical capacity).  
 Public transport frequency issues, especially in evenings.  
 Public transport not reliable.  
 Flooding issues - adjacent fields often waterlogged. Drainage not adequate for existing community.  
 Additional school places required.

Proposed change.  
 The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated of housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:  
 Housing development on this site is largely complete and therefore allocation of this site is not justified.  
 Comments on this site have been noted but the site has planning permission and is now largely completed.

No infrastructure plan is proposed.  
 Loss of employment land.  
 Better use of site for B1 offices  
 Encroaches on the open space between Shepley and Shelley - sprawl risk.  
 Site is already partially developed

<b>H233</b>	Land north of, Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	67	No Comment
DLP_AD2271, DLP_AD2391, DLP_AD2415, DLP_AD2697, DLP_AD2736, DLP_AD3210, DLP_AD3441, DLP_AD3530, DLP_AD3546, DLP_AD3669, DLP_AD3681, DLP_AD3786, DLP_AD3788, DLP_AD3803, DLP_AD3813, DLP_AD3978, DLP_AD4007, DLP_AD4138, DLP_AD4155, DLP_AD4160, DLP_AD4282, DLP_AD4287, DLP_AD4334, DLP_AD4349, DLP_AD4361, DLP_AD4461, DLP_AD4568, DLP_AD4628, DLP_AD4644, DLP_AD4734, DLP_AD4954, DLP_AD4960, DLP_AD4964, DLP_AD5000, DLP_AD5012, DLP_AD5044, DLP_AD5060, DLP_AD5125, DLP_AD5298, DLP_AD5316, DLP_AD5345, DLP_AD5450, DLP_AD5462, DLP_AD5489, DLP_AD5727, DLP_AD5754, DLP_AD5875, DLP_AD6112, DLP_AD6200, DLP_AD6241, DLP_AD6339, DLP_AD6826, DLP_AD6829, DLP_AD7079, DLP_AD7102, DLP_AD7351, DLP_AD7598, DLP_AD7600, DLP_AD8151, DLP_AD8156, DLP_AD8764, DLP_AD9026, DLP_AD9389, DLP_AD9837, DLP_AD10155, DLP_AD10188, DLP_AD10465, DLP_AD10587, DLP_AD10865							

Highway safety issues - junction with A635 and its gradient.  
 Access problems to A635 - would not be solved by reduction in speed limit or improved sight lines.  
 High traffic speeds on Barnsley Road.

No change.  
 The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

## Summary of comments

---

Necessary visibility splays are not achievable

Highway congestions at peak times.

Additional impact on Bank Lane, Norman Road and Miller Hill - accessing Denby Dale centre.

Impact of journey times to Huddersfield.

Access to site would need to consider access to Inkerman Pool

Impact on local road network.

A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.

Parking issues in Denby Dale.

No buses on Barnsley Road.

Site should only be accessed from Barnsley Road.

The site and H634 should be accessed from a shared access point from Barnsley Road.

H233 has same landowner as land to east, allowing for achievement of visibility splays.  
Increase in surface water run off, with potential impact on Broomhouse Close, Dearnside and Inkerman Way.

Mains sewer pipe running under properties on Inkerman Way - so drainage could adversely affect these properties.

Impact on run-off to Haley Well Beck

Surface water ponding on the site.

Springs and water issues throughout the site.

Existing gardens to north of the site already have drainage problems  
Light pollution affecting houses north of the site.

Noise and disruption to residents of Inkerman Way etc  
Impact on wildlife

Impact on tree cover around the fields.

Impact on Tanner Wood (Ancient woodland)  
Impact on school and nursery provision, schools (inc Gillthwaites) are at capacity.

Significant walking distance to Gillthwaites

Older children will need to travel to Scissett and Skelmanthorpe for education provision.  
Impact on healthcare provision - local GP and dentists at capacity.

Local Plan omits possible Huddersfield A&E closure, which would impact on Barnsley A&E.  
If part of the council owned site could be used for other uses, e.g. allotment.

There has been no change to the reasons for which the land was originally designated as green belt.

## Council Response

---

Development of the site is subject to achieving safe access, with necessary visibility splays, from Barnsley Road. Barnsley Road to the south and Tanner Road to the east, along with the adjacent site to the west can form a defendable green belt boundary. The site is in flood zone 1, Greenfield rates required for drainage.

The site has direct access on to the A635. It is considered that measures to improve visibility or to reduce speeds on this stretch of road will be necessary and the gradient on approach to the junction should be 2.5% (1 in 40).

The current level of traffic is not seen as a reason for development not to take place on this site, nor is the traffic that could be generated from the development seen as significant enough to have a severe adverse impact on the surrounding highway network.

Any development on the site would have to be compliant with the drainage policy in the Local Plan which requires development to not exceed typical Greenfield run-off rates, through the use of Sustainable Drainage Systems.

It is considered that the boundary for this site and H634 provide containment and would not lead to sprawl eastwards. The site is contained by Barnsley Road to the south, Tanner Wood to the east and to the west of site H634 is contained by trees adjacent to Ash Well Beck. The green belt boundaries have remained the same since 1980, but there has been an increase in development pressure since then as other opportunities have been exhausted.

The density on the site proposed in the Local Plan is indicative and may be higher or lower when more detailed development proposals are submitted.

The site is not council owned.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Comparable green belt sites have been rejected, for reasons that would seem to apply to H233

Proposals go against purposes of Green Belt.

Taking this land out of the green belt would set a precedent for development to the east, towards the Dunkirk and possibly beyond.

Green Belt review is flawed as DD3 and DD4 edges are similar in role and function, yet have different scores

What are the exceptional circumstances for removing the land from the green belt?

This land cannot be described as infill land.

There is little risk of countryside encroachment or sprawl as the site is contained by Barnsley Road to the south and Tanner Wood to the east which will create strong new green belt boundaries. Would result in loss of agricultural fields which enhance the local landscape. Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development

Topography wouldn't allow for 30 dwellings per hectare.

A higher CIL charge should be applied and returned to the community

H233 should precede the development of H634

The land is immediately available for development. Reduced amenity for locale and adjacent occupiers - issues of overlooking / overshadowing of homes and gardens because of change in levels.

Negative impact on community

Impact on tourism

Impact on leisure and recreation facilities.

The density of 30 is too high - 20-25 would be more appropriate, with capacity of 42-53. Should be provision of affordable housing and housing for older people

Should use Brownfield first  
Impact on local electricity network.

Lack of public consultation / publication / complicated website.

**H269** Land north west of, Forge Lane, Thornhill Lees  
DLP\_AD1460, DLP\_AD3690, DLP\_AD8863

Group of listed buildings metres to the West including Thornhill Lees Hall (Grade I) and The Second Hall (Grade II\*). If allocated, the Plan should make clear that development proposals for this area would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to significance of these buildings are not harmed. The Plan needs to inform users about the proximity of these listed buildings and any development proposals need to ensure that there significance is not harmed. (Historic England)  
Potential for development of site both on it's own and cumulatively to impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury areas. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. Wakefield Council

Support 1 Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, in terms of access 2.4m x 43m (30mph speed limit) visibility splays are required along Forge Lane. The site is adjacent to a cement works and a land fill site and there are multiple sources of noise which may affect receptors. An air quality impact assessment, contamination assessment phase 1 and 2, noise assessment and low emission travel plan are required. Part of the site is within a high risk coal referral area

Summary of comments

Fully support land for housing, provides an organic extension of urban area without need for using previously developed land. Site in flood zone 1, currently used for commercial, current tenant indicated desire to relocate. Site in sustainable location ideally placed for public transport connections, local shops and services, education facilities and health care.

Council Response

therefore a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is required. .

In addition, the site is in close proximity to Thornhill Lees Hall a Grade I Listed Building. The Second Hall, to the south-west of this building is Grade II\*. Development proposals need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of listed buildings is not harmed.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

Supporting comments noted.

H270	Land west of, Back Lane, Grange Moor	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	48	No Comment
DLP_AD1036, DLP_AD1232, DLP_AD1399, DLP_AD3107, DLP_AD3904, DLP_AD4068, DLP_AD4123, DLP_AD4357, DLP_AD4360, DLP_AD4370, DLP_AD4380, DLP_AD4587, DLP_AD6037, DLP_AD7002, DLP_AD7008, DLP_AD7013, DLP_AD7023, DLP_AD7031, DLP_AD7035, DLP_AD7046, DLP_AD8147, DLP_AD8222, DLP_AD8229, DLP_AD8629, DLP_AD8630, DLP_AD8633, DLP_AD8634, DLP_AD8637, DLP_AD8638, DLP_AD8641, DLP_AD8643, DLP_AD8644, DLP_AD8647, DLP_AD8648, DLP_AD8651, DLP_AD8652, DLP_AD8655, DLP_AD8657, DLP_AD8659, DLP_AD8660, DLP_AD8662, DLP_AD8664, DLP_AD8666, DLP_AD9606, DLP_AD10168, DLP_AD10212, DLP_AD10215, DLP_AD10292, DLP_AD10540							

Traffic congestion

Proposed Change.

Development this side of Grange Moor will bring traffic through the village.

The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

Insufficient off street parking - impact on bus route.

Appropriate sewer stand off distance required for sewerage infrastructure across the site (Yorkshire Water)

The site is in the green belt. Development would introduce visually prominent development unrelated to the existing settlement pattern and appear as encroachment into the countryside, contrary to the role and function of the green belt.

Discharge into public sewer will only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted (Yorkshire Water)

Impact on education provision

In terms of transport the impact on local highways links has been assessed and is deemed to be acceptable.

Development this side of Grange Moor will bring traffic through the village.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

H35 would be more favourable settlement extension.

Represents an extension of the settlement when other opportunities for development exist outside of the Green Belt

Minimise loss of Green Belt

Other suitable non green belt sites are available in Grange Moor

H276	Land west of, Moorside Road, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	4	Object	3	No Comment	1
DLP_AD3994, DLP_AD4003, DLP_AD4014, DLP_AD4061, DLP_AD4069, DLP_AD4076, DLP_AD4089, DLP_AD4096								

Concerns re. road pressures on:

No Change

Junction of Shop Lane, Town Road and New Road [ by the Chemist]

- Junction of Shop Lane and Orchard Road

- Junction of St Andrews Drive and St Mary's Lane [ near Post Office ]

These in turn will increase the problems already experienced at the junction of Stafford Hill Lane and St Mary's Lane due to speed, indiscriminate parking and increased traffic. Minor low cost solutions would be -

- Double yellow lines near this junction

- Removal of overgrown vegetation on St Mary's lane between the Orchard Road and Stafford Hill Lane road junctions and formation of a footpath both for pedestrian safety and to improve the site line.

- An appropriately sited crossing at any of these locations between St Andrews Drive and New Road might help to create gaps in traffic flow.

- A 30 mph reminder [ something we have been told the council cannot do yet some councils do this to good effect]

Concern re. school provision.

Concern re. doctor provision.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.

There are no constraints to development of this site that cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

The concerns on the local road network are noted. The size of the development is relatively small scale when viewed in the context of the surrounding residential area. The Council therefore considers the proposed development would not result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and



## Summary of comments

---

Should develop old school site and mill site before this site.

## Council Response

---

addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes”.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

---

The old mill site has planning permission for development.

---

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>H277</b>	Land to the north of, Long Causeway, Thornhill DLP_AD3692, DLP_AD3798	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
<p>Potential for development of site both on it's own and cumulatively to impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury areas. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. (Wakefield Council)</p> <p>Fully supportive of development. Regeneration of existing land would help curb fly-tipping, gang-related issues and shortage of affordable housing in Dewsbury.</p>		<p>Proposed Change</p> <p>The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:</p> <p>The site has no frontage to vehicular public highway. Access via Elder Drive is via narrow private drive, which would not be suitable to serve an additional 21 dwellings. Long Causeway is unsuitable for the proposed intensification of use.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>The housing allocations in the draft Local Plan meet objectively assessed need. Proposed housing policy addresses housing mix and affordable housing.</p>			
<b>H278</b>	Land off, Lands Beck Way, Liversedge DLP_AD7820	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
<p>Road capacity issues School capacity insufficient - due to impact of level of housebulding on school places Health services/provisions insufficient</p>		<p>No Change</p> <p>This site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>The site overlapped with a smaller site (H791) which was rejected.</p> <p>A smaller site area is currently identified in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan for housing. Site H278 extends the UDP allocation to the south. The part of this site that projects into the green belt represents a small scale settlement extension. It is located on a south facing slope but should not result in prominent development because in this location the degree of slope is not severe and the site is contained by a natural hedge/tree boundary which will prevent sprawl. This should also ensure that new development is no more prominent than the extent of the existing allocation to the north.</p> <p>There are no constraints with this site that cannot be addressed through the detailed planning process and its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:</p> <p>Site Access Achievable - 2.4m x 25m (20mph speed limit) visibility splays required along Lands Beck Way. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>In the light of the above, and the council's Site Allocation methodology document, it is considered that this site is acceptable for development.</p>			

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<p><b>H284</b> Land to the east of, Holme View Avenue and Pennine Close, Upperthong DLP_AD737, DLP_AD922, DLP_AD3638, DLP_AD4499</p> <p>Road congestion from new sites in Holme Valley particularly on the route into Huddersfield, inadequate surrounding roads for existing users and following the housing development. Road safety issues due to increased traffic and lack of pavements. Suggest an access road is added from Upperthong on to Greenfield Road. Binns Road has become a rat run.</p> <p>Disproportionate level of development in the Holme Valley to existing settlement size Should have put a plan in place earlier - lack of land supply has put sites at risk from housing developments.</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object 4	No Comment
<p><b>H294</b> Land to the east of, St Mary's Avenue, Netherthong DLP_AD2913, DLP_AD3627, DLP_AD4183, DLP_AD5438, DLP_AD7202, DLP_AD7334, DLP_AD7902, DLP_AD8981</p> <p>Road capacity - very narrow roads near the site unsuitable for public transport and emergency or refuse vehicles. The top of Dean Brook Road and area outside the church are suffer congestion. Road congestion in Netherthong village and main road through Holmfirth to Huddersfield particularly at peak times. Single track roads without footpaths. Road safety especially for school children. Poorly illuminated streets in a poor state of repair. Insufficient drainage infrastructure especially if Netherthong sites are developed. Flooding issues - natural springs in the area and frequent flooding at the bottom of Miry Lane. Need to assess the contribution of this site to the character or appearance of the Deanhouse/Netherthong conservation area. Where negative impacts are identified, mitigation measures should be set out (Historic England). Significant impact on conservation area. School capacity insufficient in Netherthong area. Health provision insufficient (doctors and opticians)</p> <p>Insufficient physical infrastructure. Questions what will be put in place to deal with additional infrastructure requirements. Sewage infrastructure issues.</p>	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 7	No Comment
<p><b>H303</b> Land west of, Ashford Park, Golcar DLP_AD666, DLP_AD769, DLP_AD11043</p> <p>No consideration given to access</p> <p>Quiet streets for access</p> <p>Traffic congestion</p> <p>Highway safety Surface water drainage issues - impact on neighbouring properties</p> <p>Drainage issues – future development should help mitigate these problems Impact on education provision Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&amp;E closure at HRI</p> <p>Impact on healthcare provision</p> <p>Should use empty homes</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object 3	No Comment

Proposed change (boundary)

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The boundary of this site has been amended to remove the dwelling and curtilage at 125 Upperthong Lane.

Site access achievable and mitigation is possible to resolve issues relating to impact on the listed building to the south of this site. This site has planning permission for 27 dwellings (application reference: 2013/93879) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Comments noted but as set out above, this site has been granted planning permission and relevant planning considerations were considered in determining the planning application.

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable. Consideration of noise sources and potential impacts on the Netherthong/Deanhouse conservation area required. This site has outline planning permission for housing (2014/91533).

Comments supporting the rejection of this site noted but this site has planning permission for an indicative capacity of 34 dwellings (application reference: 2014/93533) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established. Relevant planning issues have therefore been considered in the determination of the planning application.

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The site has planning permission with access from Ashford Park. Connection to nearby public sewers will require crossing 3rd party land. Surface water discharge must be restricted to Greenfield rates.

This site has planning permission for 18 dwellings (application reference: 2016/90383) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

<p><b>H307</b> Land to the east of, Long Lane, Earlsheaton DLP_AD3688, DLP_AD7541, DLP_AD10159, DLP_AD10169, DLP_AD10360</p> <p>Protection of sewerage infrastructure There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site.</p> <p>Surface water management The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)</p> <p>There is a culverted watercourse in the vicinity of this site. The need for the environmental benefits of opening up this culvert to be assessed should be reflected in the orange box. (Environment Agency)</p> <p>Indicative master plan shows accommodation of 15 dwellings whilst retaining suitable buffer to Chickenley Beck.</p> <p>Potential for development of site to cumulatively impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury areas. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. Wakefield Council</p> <p>Indicative master plan demonstrates access, open space, landscaping, housing layout and that site is deliverable</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support 4 Object No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology</p> <p>There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. The site is in the green belt. It could represent a small settlement extension between existing buildings. There is no risk of sprawl as the site is contained on three sides by urban features and on the fourth by a watercourse. The scale of the option has limited impact on the function of the strategic gap with Wakefield.</p> <p>A flood risk assessment, contamination assessment phase 1 and 2 and coal mining risk assessment are required for development. 2.4m x 43m (30mph speed limit) visibility splays are required on Long Lane and provision of footway is required along the site frontage. Chickenley Beck runs down the east side of the site is a UK BAP priority habitat and a habitat network. It should be retained with associated woodland habitat leaving a minimum buffer zone of 10m planted with locally native scrub.</p> <p>Sewerage infrastructure is noted the Publication Draft Local Plan Allocations and Designations Report in site constraints and reference is made to opening up the culverted watercourse.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.</p> <p>Supporting comments noted.</p>
<p><b>H313</b> Land to the south of, Burton Acres Lane, Kirkburton DLP_AD118, DLP_AD127, DLP_AD570, DLP_AD770, DLP_AD1217, DLP_AD1367, DLP_AD1601, DLP_AD1951, DLP_AD2570, DLP_AD3023, DLP_AD3136, DLP_AD3428, DLP_AD3469, DLP_AD3965, DLP_AD3998, DLP_AD4031, DLP_AD4328, DLP_AD4382, DLP_AD4417, DLP_AD4596, DLP_AD4685, DLP_AD4714, DLP_AD4730, DLP_AD5261, DLP_AD5624, DLP_AD5666, DLP_AD5672, DLP_AD5879, DLP_AD6079, DLP_AD6090, DLP_AD6692, DLP_AD6695, DLP_AD6779, DLP_AD6794, DLP_AD6928, DLP_AD7284, DLP_AD7293, DLP_AD8065, DLP_AD8190, DLP_AD8986, DLP_AD9311, DLP_AD9312, DLP_AD10658, DLP_AD10909, DLP_AD11064</p> <p>Road congestion. Highburton village roads are too narrow to accommodate current traffic volumes. Hallas Road is inadequate and should not be used to serve the site. Burton Acres Lane is unable to cope with further properties.</p> <p>Wider issues beyond existing site to access the main road network (Far Dene or North Road to Penistone Road).</p> <p>Road safety issues including steep hills which are difficult to navigate in winter.</p> <p>Insufficient parking.</p> <p>Road maintenance issues.</p> <p>Significant development has already increased the size of the village.</p> <p>Public transport frequency issues.</p> <p>Comprehensive study into traffic generation required.</p> <p>Site would require more than one entrance.</p> <p>Sufficient income generated to improve the highway.</p> <p>Increases viability of public transport.</p> <p>Drainage capacity insufficient leading to surface water flooding.</p> <p>Recent failure of sewage system at Far Dene and North Road.</p> <p>Local water pressure issues.</p> <p>Proposals will bring problems of noise pollution.</p> <p>Adjacent to tennis club and may curtail the hours tennis can be played due to noise</p> <p>Biodiversity affected.</p> <p>The site adjoins Kirkburton Conservation Area. Need to assess the contribution this area makes to the character and appearance of the conservation area, consider mitigation and if there is likely to be harm to elements which contribute to the significance of the conservation area, the site should not be allocated</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 43 No Comment</p> <p>Proposed change (boundary)</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. Officer change to the boundary to exclude 75 North Road from the southern part of the allocation.</p> <p>Site access is achievable. Limited surface water drainage options will need to be considered and impacts on the adjacent Kirkburton Conservation Area.</p> <p>Highways information indicates that the site access and links to the wider network are acceptable for a site of this scale. It is acknowledged that surface water flood risk affects a relatively small part of this site and surface water run-off would be managed through the local plan drainage policy once adopted.</p> <p>A heritage impact assessment will need to be undertaken to ensure mitigation can be proposed in the design of the site to minimise impacts on the adjacent Kirkburton Conservation Area and the character of the area.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS</p>

Summary of comments

unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm. (Historic England).  
 Visual impact of proposals.  
 School capacity insufficient: Highburton and Kirkburton First Schools full for most year groups, effects on Middle School.  
 The development will generate sufficient income to extend the school  
 Health provision insufficient including the health centre on Shelley Lane, Kirkburton.  
 Helps to maintain and justify local services such as surgeries.  
 Loss of farmland / agricultural land.  
 Protect urban green space.

Local infrastructure cannot cope.  
 Need to maintain a mix between rural and development in Highburton village.  
 Proposal will ruin the character of the village and destroy countryside environment.  
 Would destroy the separate identify of Highburton and Kirkburton.  
 Kirklees must find enough land to build new homes.  
 Important to use non-green belt sites before green belt sites.  
 Use Brownfield sites first (Crossley Lane, Dalton; St Andrews Road, Huddersfield; ICI site on Leeds Road).  
 Storthes Hall would be an ideal area for a large building plot.  
 Smaller schemes of up to 10 homes would be more appropriate in village environments.  
 Capacity is too much for the area.  
 Lack of amenities - only one shop in the village.  
 Regular electricity black outs.  
 Impact on policing  
 Effect on house prices.  
 Probable over-development of site.  
 Could a new town be built elsewhere with new infrastructure?  
 Lack of publicity given to the plan

Council Response

forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.  
 This site is not in the green belt at present. The sustainability of settlements in Kirklees has been set out in a settlement appraisal document which was published as part of the draft local plan consultation process. There is not sufficient housing capacity on brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement. This site is already within the urban area and as such would not represent an extension to the settlement.  
 Support for this site is noted including comments about providing enough land to build new homes.

**H323** Land to the west and south of, Lady Anne Business Park, Lady Ann Road, Soothill  
 DLP\_AD331, DLP\_AD333, DLP\_AD470, DLP\_AD850

Flooding concerns resulting from the Beck on the lower part of the site. Site has flooded twice.  
 Air quality impact - building here would impact on the air quality and quality of life for the people currently residing in Batley.  
 Biodiversity and wildlife impact - The stream (and mill pond) that runs at the back of Lady Ann mills and alongside Lady Ann Road is habitat for water voles, one of only three sites I believe in Kirklees. It also floods regularly. Building 83 houses would destroy this important and rare habitat.  
 Support development but recommend if the UK BAP habitat is to be retained then it should be cleared as this is a cause of ill health resulting from rats and water voles.  
 The site should be protected as wildlife reserve or returned to former use as allotment space.  
 Health impacts - the loss of this area would impact on health as this and the surrounding area is used by walkers, cyclists and horse riders  
 This area is on the edge of a greenbelt space and provides a rare glimpse of countryside for the residents of Batley. Building on this land would have a detrimental effect for the residents of Primrose Hill, who currently enjoy an open aspect at the rear of their properties, the fronts of which look onto a railway banking.  
 Typography - area of land slopes towards Howley Beck.

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 3 No Comment

No Change  
 This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 Site access can be achieved from Lady Ann Road. 0.45ha removed for BAP Priority Habitat, following new advice from West Yorkshire Ecology. The site was previously allocated as housing in the UDP. The best protection for the Local Wildlife Site is through the Local Plan process.  
 Areas of flooding on site have been identified. No objections have been raised from the Environment Agency or Kirklees Strategic Drainage team.  
 The Council has commissioned an Air Quality Assessment (AQA) to assess the potential cumulative impact of sites allocated in the local plan. The Council will monitor air quality annually and set out its findings in its annual monitoring report.  
 The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.  
 The site is not currently greenbelt and is allocated fro housing as part of the current UDP.

**H333** Land to the east of, Northorpe Lane, Mirfield  
 DLP\_AD7444

Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network in Mirfield, A644 already has long queues from Dewsbury to Cooper Bridge. Northorpe Lane is a dead end with agricultural vehicles

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No Change

Summary of comments

and horses regularly using the lane. On road parking reduces road capacity. Northorpe Hall Child and Family Trust is a community facility used daily and has functions at the weekend, car parking spills onto Northorpe Lane. Access to site is unclear.  
 Increased demand on schools not considered  
 Increased demand on GPs, Dentist etc not considered

Council Response

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Access is achievable with third party land. This site sits between the settlement edge and the line of the former railway which could form a new green belt boundary. The extent of the land release would not significantly impact on the strategic green belt gap separating Mirfield from Ravensthorpe as the line of the former railway prevents any further eastern encroachment. This is an area of urban fringe and the site is different in character to the wider agricultural landscape and could be released from the green belt without undermining the role and function of the green belt in this location. The northern boundary although weak, is present.

Responses to comments received from the consultation include:

Access can be achieved, but only with 3rd party land from Northorpe Lane. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

H334	Land to the south east of, Hermitage Park, Lepton	Support 3	Conditional Support 4	Object 109	No Comment
DLP_AD179, DLP_AD1077, DLP_AD1279, DLP_AD1316, DLP_AD1420, DLP_AD2694, DLP_AD2739, DLP_AD2816, DLP_AD2824, DLP_AD2847, DLP_AD2904, DLP_AD2920, DLP_AD3020, DLP_AD3063, DLP_AD3268, DLP_AD3271, DLP_AD3357, DLP_AD3464, DLP_AD3494, DLP_AD3507, DLP_AD3515, DLP_AD3522, DLP_AD3528, DLP_AD3565, DLP_AD3591, DLP_AD3601, DLP_AD3605, DLP_AD3658, DLP_AD3763, DLP_AD3857, DLP_AD3905, DLP_AD3950, DLP_AD4085, DLP_AD4088, DLP_AD4109, DLP_AD4310, DLP_AD4318, DLP_AD4510, DLP_AD4529, DLP_AD4545, DLP_AD4559, DLP_AD4673, DLP_AD4706, DLP_AD4783, DLP_AD4801, DLP_AD4848, DLP_AD4885, DLP_AD4907, DLP_AD4989, DLP_AD5310, DLP_AD5477, DLP_AD5515, DLP_AD5750, DLP_AD5816, DLP_AD5823, DLP_AD5832, DLP_AD5852, DLP_AD6089, DLP_AD6122, DLP_AD6163, DLP_AD6334, DLP_AD6380, DLP_AD6394, DLP_AD6404, DLP_AD6414, DLP_AD6471, DLP_AD6581, DLP_AD6590, DLP_AD6599, DLP_AD6661, DLP_AD6736, DLP_AD6753, DLP_AD6898, DLP_AD6945, DLP_AD7077, DLP_AD7161, DLP_AD7192, DLP_AD7298, DLP_AD7310, DLP_AD7477, DLP_AD7478, DLP_AD7490, DLP_AD7538, DLP_AD7755, DLP_AD7787, DLP_AD7829, DLP_AD7871, DLP_AD7923, DLP_AD8329, DLP_AD8363, DLP_AD8455, DLP_AD8489, DLP_AD8511, DLP_AD8585, DLP_AD8708, DLP_AD8752, DLP_AD8794, DLP_AD8987, DLP_AD9218, DLP_AD9352, DLP_AD9374, DLP_AD9584, DLP_AD9931, DLP_AD10122, DLP_AD10175, DLP_AD10227, DLP_AD10352, DLP_AD10375, DLP_AD10442, DLP_AD10560, DLP_AD10591, DLP_AD10638, DLP_AD10651, DLP_AD10979, DLP_AD10988, DLP_AD10993					

Congestion on Penistone Road/Rowley lane is excessive in morning and pm. Penistone Road needs to be upgraded/widened to cope with additional traffic as well as route into Huddersfield. Congestion problems on Barnsley Road, Flockton and routes to M1 through Bretton. Congestion on Rowley Lane, Highgate Lane and Station Road in the morning. Extra parking provision required at the school. Parked cars and speeding traffic on Rowley Lane make this road very dangerous - speed humps needed and 20mph zone. Traffic from Capita offices at entrance to Woodsome Park has 70-100 cars daily from this site. Impossible to turn right at the bottom of Rowley Lane in am/pm peak traffic. Concerns raised re. impact on Sovereign junction with increased traffic and road improvements that are needed there i.e. signalisation. Impact of additional traffic from Storthes Hall development too. Hermitage Park cannot accommodate any traffic passing through it as it is a small residential cul de sac.

Transport Appraisal submitted by site promoter.  
 Existing drainage systems already working at full capacity - development will add to the drainage problem. Proximity of development to Beldon Brook and Fenay Beck.  
 EA - site adjoins the beck there are opportunities for enhancing the riparian habitat - see accompanying notes.  
 Concerns about impact on air quality along Penistone Road corridor with additional queuing traffic at proposed new roundabout.  
 Area has bats, owls, badgers, foxes and deer. Home to many types of mammals and birds and once lost will never be retrieved. Area has direct relationship with Lepton Great Wood and any development would impact on woods eco system and habitat network. TPOS and protected species in vicinity of the site.  
 Historic England - results of Castle Hill Study setting need to be taken account of.

Proposed Change  
 The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:  
 No suitable site access can be achieved to the site. Site now part of larger site option H2684a which demonstrates an access through from Penistone Road.  
 A Transport Assessment would be required as part of any application on this site which would assess the impact of the development on the surrounding highway network. Any highway improvements considered necessary would be in context with the development and local highway network.  
 The majority of the site lies in flood zone 1. Surface water discharge must be attenuated to Greenfield rates.  
 Air Quality is highlighted as a concern. Kirklees Council model and monitor within the district to identify problem areas within the district. The area surrounding this site has not been identified highly polluted, nor has monitoring along Penistone Road indicated an exceedance of health related objectives. Air quality emissions from this site has been considered and recommendations have been made to safeguard sustainability of development with the aim to aid with the reduction of pollutants in the district.  
 The site has been assessed by West Yorkshire Ecology and it is recommended a buffer is provided to Beldon Brook to ensure any detrimental impact on biodiversity is mitigated.

## Summary of comments

---

8 Rowley Lane and Crow Trees Hall are on the site of a medieval settlement see rep ID DLP\_AD8987  
Lack of school place available at Rowley Lane and Lepton Junior School and local secondary school King James. No plans to expand to the schools at the moment - needs serious consideration.  
Impossible to get a doctor appointment at Lepton Surgery, no additional capacity for more patients. Lack of A&E department at Huddersfield.  
Fenay Greenway still has not taken place and was given funding in 2000. The sites provide of sense of place for recreation purposes and should be kept open. The sites contain many PROWs. If access is to be taken over Fenay Greenway consideration should be given to a bridge and funding from developers to secure the greenway.

Inspector in 2001 enquiry concluded this area should be kept as open countryside and contributes to the openness of the GB.  
Cumulative impact on landscape will be disastrous.  
Large amount of historical coal mining activity on these sites. Tunnels are evident beneath the sites. Also appearance of a sink hole to the west of Lepton Great Wood.  
Farnley Estate proposals are purely profit driven - not interested in preserving the countryside.  
Approve of this site as it was formerly a clay pipe works and can be classed as Brownfield.  
There is restrictive covenant on the land which states land should be used by local people.

Cumulative impact on the landscape with all surrounding developments accepted in LP will have a disastrous effect.

Consideration has not been had of other Brownfield sites in the District before considering this option.

Infrastructure has not been considered,

The release of this GB land conflicts with NPPF and the methodology outlined in the Green Belt Review document.

Proposals would de-value homes in the Lepton area.

## Council Response

---

The site may potentially impact on a number of listed buildings in the area, a Heritage Impact Assessment will be required. In addition a pre-determination archaeological assessment is required.

There is adequate capacity within primary schools in the catchments area. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Fenay Greenway is part of the core walking and cycling network therefore provision for it retention and creation is covered by Policy DLP24.

The site is within a high risk coal referral area therefore a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is required.

The increased land requirement for homes now outweighs the inspectors judgement in 2001.

Site is within a high risk coal mining area therefore a coal mining risk assessment will be required at any planning application stage.

## Coal Mining Risk Assessment required.

H335	Land south and south-east of, Roaine Drive, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	58	No Comment
DLP_AD397, DLP_AD430, DLP_AD841, DLP_AD846, DLP_AD854, DLP_AD892, DLP_AD930, DLP_AD937, DLP_AD943, DLP_AD947, DLP_AD956, DLP_AD1020, DLP_AD1029, DLP_AD1033, DLP_AD1092, DLP_AD1104, DLP_AD1154, DLP_AD1158, DLP_AD1249, DLP_AD1304, DLP_AD1468, DLP_AD1523, DLP_AD1615, DLP_AD1710, DLP_AD1754, DLP_AD1897, DLP_AD2001, DLP_AD2044, DLP_AD2314, DLP_AD3046, DLP_AD3172, DLP_AD3618, DLP_AD3640, DLP_AD3930, DLP_AD3968, DLP_AD3974, DLP_AD4539, DLP_AD4563, DLP_AD5294, DLP_AD5930, DLP_AD5976, DLP_AD5982, DLP_AD6021, DLP_AD6282, DLP_AD6328, DLP_AD6545, DLP_AD6982, DLP_AD7704, DLP_AD8012, DLP_AD8017, DLP_AD8101, DLP_AD8123, DLP_AD8127, DLP_AD8549, DLP_AD8718, DLP_AD8722, DLP_AD8726, DLP_AD8982, DLP_AD9034	<p>Cumulative impact of the development cannot be accommodated on the road network - Holmfirth and Scholes centres already congested as well as congestion at Honley, Berry Brow and Lockwood.</p> <p>Road capacity issues - roads too narrow (often single lane), used for agricultural traffic, existing problems for buses and large vehicles, poorly maintained roads. No improvements planned.</p> <p>Roads often narrow with cars parked on both sides (Home Valley Parish Council)</p> <p>Particular road capacity issues raised about Scholes Moor Road, Paris Road, St George's Rd, Jackson Bridge, Chapelgate, Wadman Rd (with school access traffic peak times), Sandy Gate, Cinderhills, Ryecroft Lane, Cross Lane, Dunford Rd, Scholes Moor Rd, South Lane is particularly steep and narrow, Cinderhills Road, Totties Lane, Greenhill Bank Road, Park Side. Concerns also raised by Holme Valley Parish Council).</p> <p>Site access – Arndale Grove is restricted to single lane by parked cars.</p> <p>Need to be clear what accessibility heat mapping work is.</p> <p>Parking problems (made worse by recent developments and when local rugby club play)</p> <p>Road safety - lack of footpaths (Holme Valley Parish Council), danger for school children, inadequate street lighting, dangerous blind corners, hazardous driving conditions in winter weather.</p> <p>Encourages commuting / greater journey times (Holme Valley Parish Council)</p> <p>Not readily accessible by public transport.</p> <p>Surface water flooding issues including the gardens on Vicarage Meadows.</p> <p>Culvert at Vicarage Meadows/Carr Lane regularly floods.</p> <p>Sewer infrastructure may not cope (Holme Valley Parish Council).</p> <p>Road drainage unable to cope - road gullies already overflowing regularly (Holme Valley Parish Council).</p> <p>Proposals will bring problems of noise pollution.</p> <p>Air pollution from increased traffic.</p> <p>Wildlife affected (Holme Valley Parish Council).</p> <p>Proximity to Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site (Holme Valley Parish Council).</p> <p>Site adjoins the boundary of the Underbank Conservation Area - the loss of this open area could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this area - need an assessment of the contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to the character of appearance of the conservation area. If it would be harmful mitigation measures should be set out and site only allocated if there are clear benefits which outweigh the harm (Historic England).</p> <p>School capacity insufficient (Scholes, Hepworth, Hade Edge, Holmfirth Schools) (Holme Valley Parish Council)</p> <p>Health provision may be insufficient (doctor, dentist, hospital)</p> <p>Loss of agricultural land (Holme Valley Parish Council).</p> <p>Need to protect valuable green space.</p> <p>Plans contribute to urban sprawl.</p> <p>Proposals go against the purposes of green belt.</p> <p>Object to building on green belt land.</p> <p>Unacceptable impact on landscape.</p> <p>Improvements to utilities required.</p> <p>Frequent disruptions to power supply already (Holme Valley Parish Council).</p> <p>Cumulative impact of development unacceptable on character (Holme Valley Parish Council)</p> <p>Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size and compared to other similar settlements.</p>	Proposed change.					<p>The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:</p> <p>Physical site access achievable but the desirable route in the wider network is constrained due to width, alignment, gradient and on-street parking and is therefore considered unsuitable for the intensification of use proposed.</p> <p>The supporting comments for the site rejection are noted.</p>



Summary of comments

Limited local amenities and proposed developments do not add anything (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Should use Brownfield sites first (Holme Valley Parish Council) e.g. Alexander's Garage off Bradford Road, former sports centre in Huddersfield.  
 Need to bring empty homes back into use  
 Negative impact on tourism and in turn on local businesses (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Holme Valley only suitable for smaller developments evenly spread throughout the valley (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Concern the fire service may not be able to cope.  
 Already many homes for sale in the local area.  
 Larger homes would be built but smaller homes are needed in the area.  
 Need for starter homes (Holme Valley Parish Council)  
 1987 Holmfirth and Meltham Local Plan raised concerns about expansion except low density infill (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
 Lack of local employment opportunities.  
 Consultation publicity inadequate.  
 Inadequate time to respond to consultation.  
 Website is difficult to navigate.  
 Approach not consistent with NPPF.  
 Application for 1 dwelling refused due to unsustainable location.  
 Need to ensure planning contributions are collected from developers.

Council Response

<b>H339</b>	Land to the east of, Abbey Road North, Shepley	Support 1	Conditional Support 3	Object 10	No Comment
DLP_AD1309, DLP_AD1670, DLP_AD1948, DLP_AD2687, DLP_AD2750, DLP_AD3936, DLP_AD4321, DLP_AD4519, DLP_AD5596, DLP_AD5990, DLP_AD8231, DLP_AD8471, DLP_AD10361, DLP_AD10676					

Cumulative impacts of development in wider area (for example Wakefield Road/Penistone Road junction operates beyond its theoretical capacity).  
 Comprehensive traffic generation study required.  
 Road congestion and capacity issues.  
 Loss of employment in the village will create more commuting.  
 Public transport frequency issues (and no evening service).  
 Within walking distance of train station and bus routes.  
 New road access required from Abbey Road to the Knowle to improve highway safety issues adjacent to the viaduct.  
 Would support desire for reduction in speed limit on Abbey Road from 40mph to 30mph.  
 Sewer infrastructure cannot cope.  
 Site is located in a Source Protection Zone (SPZ1) - a hydrological risk assessment and Construction Management Plan (CEMP) should be referred to in site constraints (Environment Agency).  
 Noise issues from adjacent bottling factory which is in 24 hour operation.  
 Existing industrial operation could be maintained on this site but tree buffer would prevent impact on proposed local plan housing site to the north.  
 School capacity issues.

Represents a logical urban fringe release of the green belt.  
 Should use brownfield sites first.  
 Number of homes gained does not make up for loss of employment opportunities.  
 Should retain employment sites.  
 Strong need for starter homes in Shepley and smaller properties to enable people to downsize.  
 Site is available and achievable.

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The location and configuration of the option would leave land to the west, between the option and Abbey Road North, at significant risk of development pressure as it would be largely isolated from the wider green belt. As the adjacent land has also been accepted as a housing option (H652), this resolves the issues relating to the configuration of this site in relation to impacts on the green belt. Third party land required for access. As part of the site is within a groundwater source protection zone relevant assessments will be required. Consideration of attenuation/orientation/layout would be required in relation to noise to ensure amenity is maintained.

Highways information indicates that the site can be accessed and that links to the local network are acceptable. A Transport model has been commissioned to assess the cumulative impacts of development.

Drainage information indicates that a suitable drainage solution can be achieved but the site is partly within a source protection zone therefore a hydrological assessment and construction management plan will be required with the detailed proposals.

A noise survey will be required but environment health have commented that the design of the scheme should be able to lead to an acceptable outcome.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Comment that this represents a logical release of green belt are noted. There is not sufficient housing capacity on brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement but part of this site is brownfield. This site has not been designated as a Priority Employment Area.

The development of this site will need to take account of the latest evidence and policies relating to the mix of housing to be provided.

Summary of comments	Council Response
<p><b>H342</b> Land to the North of, Mill Moor Road, Meltham DLP_AD1658, DLP_AD2336, DLP_AD2485, DLP_AD3667, DLP_AD3962, DLP_AD5196, DLP_AD5470, DLP_AD10362, DLP_AD10625</p> <p>No footways on Mill Moor Road</p> <p>Poor visibility at junction with Leygards Bridge</p> <p>Traffic congestion /highway safety</p> <p>Poor sightlines at junction of Matthew Grove and MillMoor Road because of parked cars.</p> <p>Impact of excess traffic on Greens End Road / Station St junction in Meltham centre. Impact on education provision Impact on healthcare provision</p> <p>Impact on Peak District National Park Unclear market interest for housing exists in the area.</p> <p>Owners support the allocation - planning application submitted on the site. Scale of development proposed too large for this area</p> <p>Impact on rural character of this area</p> <p>The site should include affordable housing Should use Brownfield land first</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support Object 7 No Comment 1</p> <p>No change</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Development of the site is subject to provision of footway and necessary visibility splays. Habitats Regs Assessment required given proximity to SPA. The area adjacent to Meltham Dike will need removing from developable area to provide a buffer for biodiversity and flooding mitigation. Will require adequate opportunities for physical activity to be delivered.</p> <p>More detailed highway issues will be dealt with at application stage, but no objections have been received from Highways. It is not considered that development of this site has an adverse impact on the Peak Park and rural character - but this will be looked at in accordance to the landscape and design policy in the Local Plan. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment identifies a need for housing across the district.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p>
<p><b>H343</b> Land to the North of, Helme Lane, Meltham DLP_AD2497, DLP_AD5198, DLP_AD5571, DLP_AD5579, DLP_AD8901, DLP_AD10626</p> <p>Traffic on Helme Lane The site is rich in biodiversity Development within 120 metres of a Helme Conservation Area. Need an assessment of the contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to the character of appearance of the conservation area and what effect the loss of this site would have on it. If it would be harmful mitigation measures should be set out and site only allocated if there are clear benefits which outweigh the harm (Historic England).</p> <p>Site has high amenity value.</p> <p>The site should include affordable housing</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object 4 No Comment 1</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology</p> <p>Third party land is required to secure sufficient site frontage to Helme Lane. Impact on woodland to the east should be minimised. Habitat Risk Assessment required to assess impact on SPA. Site should support delivery of physical activity opportunities in the area. A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required and assessment of the contribution to this currently undeveloped area makes to Helme Conservation Area.</p> <p>The Housing Mix policy requires sites to deliver 20% affordable housing and a mix of housing as identified in SHMA.</p>
<p><b>H345</b> Land to the south of Meadow Bank, Dewsbury Moor, Dewsbury DLP_AD10171</p> <p>Protection of sewerage infrastructure There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site.</p> <p>Surface water management The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment</p> <p>Proposed Change</p> <p>The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p> <p>Housing development on this site is largely complete and therefore allocation of this site is not justified</p>

H351 Land north of, Bradley Road, Bradley	Support 2	Conditional Support 4	Object 48	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD47, DLP_AD108, DLP_AD183, DLP_AD213, DLP_AD215, DLP_AD218, DLP_AD219, DLP_AD233, DLP_AD245, DLP_AD270, DLP_AD284, DLP_AD310, DLP_AD342, DLP_AD348, DLP_AD468, DLP_AD480, DLP_AD525, DLP_AD548, DLP_AD652, DLP_AD682, DLP_AD720, DLP_AD776, DLP_AD784, DLP_AD843, DLP_AD1008, DLP_AD1258, DLP_AD2183, DLP_AD2645, DLP_AD3743, DLP_AD3825, DLP_AD3860, DLP_AD3876, DLP_AD3964, DLP_AD4225, DLP_AD4273, DLP_AD4386, DLP_AD4867, DLP_AD5088, DLP_AD5163, DLP_AD5272, DLP_AD5367, DLP_AD5373, DLP_AD5683, DLP_AD5710, DLP_AD7044, DLP_AD7119, DLP_AD7322, DLP_AD8115, DLP_AD8141, DLP_AD8392, DLP_AD8400, DLP_AD8799, DLP_AD10285, DLP_AD10921</p>				
<p>Both the Calderdale and the Kirklees proposals individually will significantly increase traffic congestion in the Bradley, Fixby and Brighouse areas during the rush hours. Cumulative impact of all sites will create thousands of extra vehicles. Fixby Roundabout is heavily congested. Cooper Bridge is heavily congested. Junctions 24 and 25 of the M62 are too congested. The creation of a new motorway junction (25a), would also significantly impact the congestion at peak times. The local road network experiences significant congestion when there is an accident on the M62. There are not enough car parking spaces. Support the development only if TS2 (the new M62 junction J24a) is provided to mitigate traffic flows to their own junction. Roads in the area will need widening. The site would require two access points and potential access points are not suitable.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	<p>This site is reasonably well related to the existing settlement being bounded on two sides by the ribbon development along Bradford Road and Bradley Road and its northern extent would not compromise the strategic role of the green belt. Site access can be achieved with third party land, wider highway network improvements required including potential improvements to the strategic road network. Reports required in relation to odour, noise and air quality to determine the level of mitigation required. A heritage impact assessment is needed to assess the implications of this allocation on the setting of the listed building to the west of this site.</p>	<p>Highways England consider that additional mitigation may be required in addition to programmed works to ensure the strategic network can accommodate this site. Where funding schemes are not agreed, such sites may need to contribute to solutions. Local links analysis has shown that improvements can be made in the context of the scheme to make the highway links acceptable. Site access can be achieved with third party land, wider highway network improvements required including potential improvements to the strategic road network.</p>
<p>The site fronts on to the A6107 which provides good accessibility to Huddersfield, Brighouse and Bradford and lies close to the proposed new M62 Motorway Junction 24A. The site is adjacent to a number of bus stops which provide access to routes, including towards Huddersfield Town Centre and Bradford (Route 363).</p>				
<p>Highways England modelling indicates that Site H351 does not have a significant individual traffic impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network. However, the site is adjacent to the much larger Site H1747 and the requirements identified in the Site Allocations consultation document indicate that the two sites will be subject to a common master plan.</p>				
<p>Highways England comments made in respect of Site H1747 will also apply to this site. (Highways England). Development in increase flood risk at Cooper Bridge. Drainage and sewerage system would not be able to cope. Flood risk will be increased affecting the river Calder. Air pollution and noise pollution will increase to unacceptable levels. Risk of odour. A development of 2362 houses in this area will create an unhealthy environment. The site includes an area of land previously used as a landfill site and therefore disturbance of the top soil and vegetation is highly likely to result in the release of odour and possibly harmful gases from the contaminated land and decomposing waste. The land currently rises up from Bradley Road and Torcote Crescent and this helps provide a buffer from the noise generated by the nearby M62. Whilst noise is still evident, the land form helps reduce this. Potential noise impact from M62 J24a. Increased traffic at Cooper Bridge will have an impact on the Air Quality Management Area. The area has a rich variety of wildlife. The development will have a significant impact on wildlife and biodiversity.</p>				
<p>The barn at Shepherd's Thorn Farm is a Grade II Listed Building. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this Listed Building and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. (Historic England)</p>				
<p>Site includes area of archaeological interest. The local school is oversubscribed (as are the next nearest 3). Yet there is no plan to include a new school. Local schools have capacity issues. More primary school places are needed. Local doctors and dentists have capacity issues. Huddersfield Royal Infirmary has capacity issues. There is no doctor's surgery, dentist's surgery in Bradley the plan does not include either of these. Large areas of green spaces have already been built on in the area. Open areas for walking/recreational activities will come under heavy pressure. Local footpaths will be affected. The Kirklees Way will be affected. The area is visited by ramblers / walkers, runners and dog walkers and provides a green lung.</p>				<p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>Comments in support of this proposed housing allocation have been noted including that the site is suitable, available and achievable and represents a sustainable opportunity to provide new homes.</p> <p>The green belt assessment of this site has concluded that the proposals do not lead to sprawl. Cross-boundary issues are dealt with through Duty to Co-operate discussions to ensure potential issues can be resolved. The local plan strategy includes focusing development on Huddersfield and Dewsbury where this can be achieved.</p> <p>There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement. The council have a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as capacity from this source is not guaranteed.</p>

Summary of comments

Green belt land should be protected. The land between Bradley Road and the M62 provides an important buffer between Kirklees and Calderdale. The green belt assessment could be done differently, with different outcomes. There are no exceptional circumstances for removing the site from the green belt. The local landscape is attractive and will be damaged / lost. Whilst noise is still evident, the landform helps reduce this. The combined landscape impact of H351 and H1747 have not been considered. The site is available, suitable and achievable and is deliverable in accordance with the Framework and represents a sustainable residential opportunity on the edge of an established residential area. Existing local facilities and infrastructure are unable to cope. The two proposed sites would potentially create an additional 2362 houses. There are no specific details of the types of properties. The site is in an unsustainable location will limited access to services. The site is located on the edge of an established residential area. The site is close to services and facilities, including schools, local shops and employment opportunities. The site is approximately 3 miles from Huddersfield Town Centre. Huddersfield is already heavily populated. Calderdale are considering building on the other side of the M62. Site would lead to urban sprawl. Over development of Huddersfield North. There is a lack of jobs in Kirklees and more houses will exacerbate this. This site should be considered as part of the Bradley Golf Course site (H1747) and not individually. Comments for H1747 should be considered against H351. Objection to the loss of Bradley Golf Course (part of adjacent option H1747). There are much better sites that would not be as detrimental to the local area. The farm and farm shop are valued local amenities. Old derelict mills around Huddersfield should be developed instead. Brownfield sites should be used and empty properties brought back into use. The site contradicts national and draft local plan policies relating to greenbelt, pollution and the health needs. Adjacent properties will experience a loss of privacy. The value of local property will fall. The negative impacts of these proposals outweigh the benefits. Development of the site is not consistent with national and draft local plan policies (not compliant with green belt policies). Support for the allocation:

**H356** Land to the north of, Lingards Road, Slaithwaite, Huddersfield, DLP\_AD5370, DLP\_AD8247, DLP\_AD8888

The site is well located to local facilities and services  
An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Lowe Wood Farm and barn, and Nos 21 to 31 Lower Wood Farm are Grade II listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

The site should be designed to respect the conservation area

Majority of site has outline permission.

Site capacity should be reduced to reflect outline application.  
Minimises loss of Green Belt

**H358** Land to the east of, Wentworth Drive, Emley, Huddersfield

DLP\_AD832, DLP\_AD1131, DLP\_AD1333, DLP\_AD1350, DLP\_AD1495, DLP\_AD2367, DLP\_AD2461, DLP\_AD2773, DLP\_AD3339, DLP\_AD3340, DLP\_AD3341, DLP\_AD3342, DLP\_AD3343, DLP\_AD3726, DLP\_AD4298, DLP\_AD5289, DLP\_AD5333, DLP\_AD5773, DLP\_AD6235, DLP\_AD7935, DLP\_AD8063, DLP\_AD8105, DLP\_AD8367, DLP\_AD8411, DLP\_AD8496, DLP\_AD8502, DLP\_AD8503, DLP\_AD9193, DLP\_AD9203, DLP\_AD9221, DLP\_AD9224, DLP\_AD9320, DLP\_AD9390, DLP\_AD9413, DLP\_AD9433, DLP\_AD9439, DLP\_AD9832, DLP\_AD9876, DLP\_AD9880, DLP\_AD10052, DLP\_AD10073, DLP\_AD10165, DLP\_AD10177, DLP\_AD10185, DLP\_AD10206, DLP\_AD10219, DLP\_AD10291, DLP\_AD10293, DLP\_AD10294, DLP\_AD10413, DLP\_AD10415, DLP\_AD10466, DLP\_AD10481, DLP\_AD10522, DLP\_AD10861

Increased traffic through Emley as a result as traffic calming measures in Flockton

Warburton access is constrained, no footways and parked cars from residents

Parked cars for playing field impact on visibility on Warburton

Pedestrian safety - particularly close to park / rec

Council Response

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan sets out the available infrastructure and potential improvements.

Support 2	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
-----------	---------------------	----------	------------

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The site now has planning permission which includes access from Lingards Road. A botanical survey and heritage impact assessment are required.

The majority of this site has outline planning permission for 30 dwellings (application reference:2014/93946) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object 53	No Comment
-----------	-----------------------	-----------	------------

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Third party land is required to access the site from Wentworth Drive, which may be subject to a ransom strip. Green Acres Close only suitable for a minor secondary access. Site is in flood zone 1, with limited options for

Summary of comments

Limited public transport access - therefore reliance on private car. No public transport links to Denby Dale or Skelmanthorpe

Ransom strip at Wentworth Drive  
Inadequate drainage

Ability of sewerage infrastructure to cope with existing demand  
increased noise and light pollution on existing residents  
Impact on wildlife / ecology  
Impact on school places (Emley First School and Scissett Middle School)

Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council)  
Impact on healthcare provision

Emergency services vehicles could not use Warburton as an access  
PROW through the site

Impact on the Millennium Green

Impact on long distance views from the Millennium Green  
Lack of local facilities in the area

Impact on character and heritage

Impact on Amenity  
Underlying geology / mining legacy  
Use Brownfield sites first

Should develop more urban locations rather than more rural areas like Emley  
Water pressure is low

Broadband speeds are low

Council Response

surface water drainage. Development in this area should seek to make provision for community food growing. Site is within high risk mining area.

It is considered that the proposed development would not result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

H367 DLP_AD3687	Magma Ceramics, Preston Street, Earlsheaton	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
<p>Potential for development of site to cumulatively impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury areas. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. Wakefield Council</p>		<p>No Change</p>	<p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, road improvements are required on Preston Street. The site is on potentially contaminated land therefore a contamination assessment phase 1 and 2 will be required. Industry noise may affect new receptors therefore a noise assessment required. The lowland mixed deciduous woodland on site is a UK BAP habitat and the site is within a high risk coal referral area therefore a coal mining risk assessment is required.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.</p>		
H439 DLP_AD3995, DLP_AD4004, DLP_AD4021, DLP_AD4064, DLP_AD4071, DLP_AD4078, DLP_AD4092, DLP_AD4099, DLP_AD6606, DLP_AD10173	Land south west of, Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton	Support 1	Conditional Support 3	Object 4	No Comment 2
<p>Local highway concerns; Junction of Shop Lane, Town Road and New Road [ by the Chemist], Junction of Shop Lane and Orchard Road, Stafford Hill Lane, St Mary's Lane. The proximity of the site to public</p>		<p>No change.</p>			

Summary of comments

transport services provides access to nearby urban centres including Huddersfield, Leeds, Batley, Dewsbury, Wakefield, Mirfield. Junction of St Andrews Drive and St Mary's Lane [near Post Office ] There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. The site has drainage issues. Education infrastructure capacity issues. Health infrastructure capacity issues.

The site is within 800m distance of local shops and services, which include; Pharmacy, Post Office, Public House, Dentist, Doctors, Library, Shops, School. Old Mill site and Old School site should be developed before this one. The site is deliverable within the plan period. There is an outline application; 2014/60/91831/W.

**H454** Manor House Farm, Wakefield Road, Clayton West

DLP\_AD23, DLP\_AD38, DLP\_AD43, DLP\_AD45, DLP\_AD49, DLP\_AD54, DLP\_AD59, DLP\_AD72, DLP\_AD102, DLP\_AD120, DLP\_AD138, DLP\_AD151, DLP\_AD165, DLP\_AD221, DLP\_AD249, DLP\_AD253, DLP\_AD260, DLP\_AD263, DLP\_AD266, DLP\_AD271, DLP\_AD273, DLP\_AD276, DLP\_AD280, DLP\_AD282, DLP\_AD286, DLP\_AD289, DLP\_AD291, DLP\_AD292, DLP\_AD295, DLP\_AD296, DLP\_AD298, DLP\_AD306, DLP\_AD308, DLP\_AD312, DLP\_AD315, DLP\_AD317, DLP\_AD320, DLP\_AD323, DLP\_AD325, DLP\_AD330, DLP\_AD335, DLP\_AD337, DLP\_AD350, DLP\_AD351, DLP\_AD356, DLP\_AD358, DLP\_AD374, DLP\_AD378, DLP\_AD385, DLP\_AD409, DLP\_AD410, DLP\_AD426, DLP\_AD454, DLP\_AD467, DLP\_AD505, DLP\_AD510, DLP\_AD594, DLP\_AD609, DLP\_AD641, DLP\_AD704, DLP\_AD733, DLP\_AD753, DLP\_AD759, DLP\_AD782, DLP\_AD800, DLP\_AD879, DLP\_AD886, DLP\_AD985, DLP\_AD1136, DLP\_AD1363, DLP\_AD1558, DLP\_AD1562, DLP\_AD1563, DLP\_AD1565, DLP\_AD1567, DLP\_AD1631, DLP\_AD1633, DLP\_AD1635, DLP\_AD1644, DLP\_AD1651, DLP\_AD1657, DLP\_AD1713, DLP\_AD1841, DLP\_AD1868, DLP\_AD1881, DLP\_AD1923, DLP\_AD1927, DLP\_AD1930, DLP\_AD1932, DLP\_AD1942, DLP\_AD1969, DLP\_AD2015, DLP\_AD2143, DLP\_AD2157, DLP\_AD2194, DLP\_AD2197, DLP\_AD2384, DLP\_AD2397, DLP\_AD2402, DLP\_AD2405, DLP\_AD2407, DLP\_AD2409, DLP\_AD2410, DLP\_AD2411, DLP\_AD2418, DLP\_AD2421, DLP\_AD2425, DLP\_AD2431, DLP\_AD2542, DLP\_AD2614, DLP\_AD2629, DLP\_AD2642, DLP\_AD2650, DLP\_AD2710, DLP\_AD2748, DLP\_AD2772, DLP\_AD2798, DLP\_AD2799, DLP\_AD2803, DLP\_AD2804, DLP\_AD2805, DLP\_AD2829, DLP\_AD3027, DLP\_AD3033, DLP\_AD3038, DLP\_AD3194, DLP\_AD3195, DLP\_AD3200, DLP\_AD3203, DLP\_AD3263, DLP\_AD3293, DLP\_AD3365, DLP\_AD3367, DLP\_AD3371, DLP\_AD3372, DLP\_AD3373, DLP\_AD3374, DLP\_AD3375, DLP\_AD3376, DLP\_AD3377, DLP\_AD3378, DLP\_AD3379, DLP\_AD3380, DLP\_AD3381, DLP\_AD3382, DLP\_AD3383, DLP\_AD3385, DLP\_AD3387, DLP\_AD3390, DLP\_AD3398, DLP\_AD3404, DLP\_AD3410, DLP\_AD3414, DLP\_AD3421, DLP\_AD3456, DLP\_AD3458, DLP\_AD3459, DLP\_AD3470, DLP\_AD3471, DLP\_AD3473, DLP\_AD3481, DLP\_AD3710, DLP\_AD3891, DLP\_AD3906, DLP\_AD3980, DLP\_AD3999, DLP\_AD4103, DLP\_AD4129, DLP\_AD4170, DLP\_AD4247, DLP\_AD4267, DLP\_AD4275, DLP\_AD4295, DLP\_AD4406, DLP\_AD4440, DLP\_AD4442, DLP\_AD4618, DLP\_AD4631, DLP\_AD4654, DLP\_AD4750, DLP\_AD4751, DLP\_AD4765, DLP\_AD4914, DLP\_AD4921, DLP\_AD4923, DLP\_AD4925, DLP\_AD4926, DLP\_AD4942, DLP\_AD4953, DLP\_AD4958, DLP\_AD4974, DLP\_AD4978, DLP\_AD4985, DLP\_AD5021, DLP\_AD5025, DLP\_AD5034, DLP\_AD5041, DLP\_AD5055, DLP\_AD5057, DLP\_AD5064, DLP\_AD5066, DLP\_AD5075, DLP\_AD5092, DLP\_AD5098, DLP\_AD5115, DLP\_AD5127, DLP\_AD5131, DLP\_AD5139, DLP\_AD5147, DLP\_AD5152, DLP\_AD5155, DLP\_AD5158, DLP\_AD5159, DLP\_AD5181, DLP\_AD5234, DLP\_AD5259, DLP\_AD5299, DLP\_AD5301, DLP\_AD5363, DLP\_AD5561, DLP\_AD5562, DLP\_AD5651, DLP\_AD5821, DLP\_AD5853, DLP\_AD6179, DLP\_AD6302, DLP\_AD6923, DLP\_AD7360, DLP\_AD7997, DLP\_AD8244, DLP\_AD8316, DLP\_AD9391, DLP\_AD10467, DLP\_AD10588, DLP\_AD10860, DLP\_AD10878, DLP\_AD10941

Traffic congestion issues

Highway safety issues - access at Wakefield Road. Existing problems at junction with Packhorse Way / Whinmoor Drive  
Development is on the floodplain

Inadequate drainage infrastructure  
Cricket club is part of cultural heritage of the village.  
Impact on education provision (Kaye's First & Nursery School)

Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council)  
Impact on healthcare provision

Perceived threat to cricket club would have negative impact on health and wellbeing

Loss of local sports club would have negative impact on obesity

Contrary to Corporate Plan and Health and Wellbeing Strategy  
Implied that the land owner would require the use of the cricket field for agricultural purposes if H454 was developed for housing. This could therefore result in the loss of the cricket ground and negatively impact on sport and recreation provision in the village, as there is no other facility.

Council Response

This site is an accepted housing option. Site access is achievable from Cockley Hill Lane. The impact on the site on the local highway network has been assessed and no significant constraints have been identified.

The layout of any development taking account of on site infrastructure constraints can be considered at planning application stage.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Support for the site noted.

Support 2 Conditional Support 1 Object 234 No Comment

Proposed change.

The site is proposed as a rejected housing option. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was rejected for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below

H454a will instead be accepted which removes a small area of land at Woodbine Terrace.

It is acknowledged that there are issues with the access from Wakefield Road and that additional third party land may be required to achieve a safe access. Highways have not objected to this and any detailed highways issues would be resolved at application stage.

It is acknowledged that there is surface water flood risk on the site, which has been removed from the net area.

The site is adjacent to the cricket ground, but it's allocation for housing is not predicated by losing the cricket ground. National planning policy would require replacement of equal or enhanced provision in any event.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and

Summary of comments

If the cricket ground was lost, it was adversely effect children and young people

The site should be changed to Urban Green Space to protect cricket club from future development.

Loss of cricket club would be contrary to Kirklees Physical Activity and Sport strategy

Sport England objects to the following allocations because they affect playing field - "The farmer has stated to the Club he would seek re-possession for grazing use if the development proceeds. As such the allocation would lead to the loss of the cricket club."

Contrary to Playing Pitch Strategy and Action Plan

Landowner states that the cricket field would remain untouched if H451 is developed.

Implied that the land owner would require the use of the cricket field for agricultural purposes if H454 was developed for housing.

The indicative capacity (24 dwellings) is appropriate and deliverable.

Development would secure future of cricket club, by allowing farm to relocate

Current agricultural use is no longer viable in this location and the landowner wishes to relocate it.

Possible restricted covenant restricting the use of the cricket ground.  
Negative impact on community arising from perceived threat to cricket club

Too much development in this area recently.

Lack of amenities in Clayton West  
Housing is needed - but not at expense of community facilities  
Coal mining legacy / land stability  
Should use Brownfield land first

Council Response

Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

H455	Land to the south east of, Hermitage Park, Lepton	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 95	No Comment
DLP_AD1078, DLP_AD1079, DLP_AD1282, DLP_AD1313, DLP_AD1421, DLP_AD2740, DLP_AD2825, DLP_AD2848, DLP_AD2903, DLP_AD3022, DLP_AD3057, DLP_AD3086, DLP_AD3269, DLP_AD3272, DLP_AD3358, DLP_AD3440, DLP_AD3462, DLP_AD3496, DLP_AD3508, DLP_AD3516, DLP_AD3523, DLP_AD3529, DLP_AD3589, DLP_AD3603, DLP_AD3659, DLP_AD3762, DLP_AD3854, DLP_AD3908, DLP_AD3948, DLP_AD4060, DLP_AD4086, DLP_AD4090, DLP_AD4312, DLP_AD4316, DLP_AD4511, DLP_AD4530, DLP_AD4547, DLP_AD4662, DLP_AD4707, DLP_AD4781, DLP_AD4889, DLP_AD4992, DLP_AD5007, DLP_AD5308, DLP_AD5521, DLP_AD5747, DLP_AD5769, DLP_AD5774, DLP_AD5819, DLP_AD5825, DLP_AD5833, DLP_AD5851, DLP_AD6023, DLP_AD6085, DLP_AD6120, DLP_AD6157, DLP_AD6335, DLP_AD6336, DLP_AD6391, DLP_AD6582, DLP_AD6591, DLP_AD6600, DLP_AD6713, DLP_AD6734, DLP_AD6754, DLP_AD6893, DLP_AD6946, DLP_AD7075, DLP_AD7158, DLP_AD7189, DLP_AD7296, DLP_AD7309, DLP_AD7479, DLP_AD7487, DLP_AD7536, DLP_AD7789, DLP_AD7874, DLP_AD8111, DLP_AD8456, DLP_AD8490, DLP_AD8514, DLP_AD8583, DLP_AD8795, DLP_AD8989, DLP_AD9141, DLP_AD9220, DLP_AD9354, DLP_AD9372, DLP_AD9585, DLP_AD9933, DLP_AD10121, DLP_AD10369, DLP_AD10443, DLP_AD10558, DLP_AD10593, DLP_AD10639, DLP_AD10652, DLP_AD10981					

Congestion on Penistone Road/Rowley lane is excessive in morning and pm. Penistone Road needs to be upgraded/widened to cope with additional traffic as well as route into Huddersfield. Congestion problems on Barnsley Road, Flockton and routes to M1 through Penistone Road/Rowley Lane junction subject to queuing and congestion in peak am/pm. Station Road is used as a rat run and is dangerous on a morning. Hermitage Park cannot take any more traffic. Existing drainage systems already working at full capacity - development will add to the drainage problem. Proximity of development to Beldon Brook and Fenay Beck will exacerbate existing surface water drainage problems. Flooding down Rowley Lane a common occurrence. Concerns about impact on air quality along Penistone Road corridor with additional queuing traffic at proposed new roundabout. Area has bats, owls, badgers, foxes and deer. Home to many types of mammals and birds and once lost will never be retrieved. Area has direct relationship with Lepton Great Wood and any development will have negative impact on this area.

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

The proposed access through Hermitage Park can not sustain an intensification of use when considering the local highway network. The site now forms part of larger accepted site option H2730a which demonstrates a link to the adjacent site option H2684a.

Comment noted re. Hermitage Park. Local links work identifies that Hermitage Park cannot support intensification of traffic onto Rowley Lane at this point. A Transport Assessment would be required as part of any application on this site which would assess the impact of the development on the surrounding highway network.

Summary of comments

Wildlife Trust - This site has potential to severely impact on Lepton Great Wood. Recommend all sites are master planned to mitigate loss of habitat and compensate for it. An ecological buffer zone needs to be included within a master plan and an ecological assessment and 5 year monitoring plan to be included with any application.

Historic England - results of Castle Hill Study setting need to be taken account of.

8 Rowley Lane and Crow Trees Hall are on the site of a medieval settlement see rep ID DLP\_AD2825

Lack of school place available at Rowley Lane and Lepton Junior School and local secondary school King James. No plans to expand to the schools at the moment - needs serious consideration.

Impossible to get a doctor appointment at Lepton Surgery, no additional capacity for more patients. Lack of A&E department at Huddersfield.

Fenay Greenway still has not taken place and was given funding in 2000. The sites provide of sense of place for recreation purposes and should be kept open. The sites contain many PROWs. If access is to be taken over Fenay Greenway consideration should be given to a bridge and funding from developers to secure the greenway.

Greenbelt designation in this area should be retained as nothing has changed since 2001 UDP inquiry.

Cumulative impact on landscape will be disastrous.

Large amount of historical coal mining activity on these sites. Tunnels are evident beneath the sites. Also appearance of a sink hole to the west of Lepton Great Wood.

Farnley Estate proposals are purely profit driven - not interested in preserving the countryside.

Approve of this site as it was formerly a clay pipe works and can be classed as Brownfield.

There is restrictive covenant on the land which states land should be used by local people.

Cumulative impact on the landscape with all surrounding developments accepted in LP will have a disastrous effect.

Council Response

Any highway improvements considered necessary would be in context with the development and local highway network. It is generally considered that some residential development served off Hermitage Park is likely to be acceptable however it is the nature of the existing highway network and its operational characteristics that influences the acceptable number of dwellings. HDM are sceptical that the proposed 150 dwellings and associated transportation movements (pedestrian, cyclist, public transport, and vehicles) could be confidently met safely and efficiently from Hermitage Park and the immediate local highway network.

The site lies in flood zone 1. Surface water discharge must be attenuated to Greenfield rates.

Kirklees Council model and monitor within the district to identify problem areas within the district. The area surrounding this site has not been identified highly polluted, nor has monitoring along Penistone Road indicated an exceedance of health related objectives. Air quality emissions from this site has been considered and recommendations have been made to safeguard sustainability of development with the aim to aid with the reduction of pollutants in the district.

West Yorkshire Ecology recommend a buffer of between 20-50m to the ancient woodland at Lepton Great Wood. This serves as a mitigation to any detrimental impact on wildlife in the area.

A Heritage Impact Assessment is required that will determine any detrimental impacts on heritage assets.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Fenay Greenway is part of the core walking and cycling network therefore provision for it retention and creation is covered by Policy DLP24.

The Local Plan has undertaken a Green Belt Review to assess which sections of the Green Belt may be appropriate for land release. The results of this analysis can be found in The Green Belt Review and Outcomes report.

The site is located within a high risk coal mining area therefore a coal mining risk assessment will be required.

Comments of support are noted for this site.

Comments regarding private land law issues is not a planning matter.

**H471**

Land north of, Hall Bower Lane, Hall Bower

DLP\_AD752, DLP\_AD999, DLP\_AD1144, DLP\_AD1390, DLP\_AD2518, DLP\_AD2872, DLP\_AD3561, DLP\_AD3943, DLP\_AD3954, DLP\_AD4062, DLP\_AD4319, DLP\_AD4577, DLP\_AD4584, DLP\_AD4635, DLP\_AD4917, DLP\_AD5383, DLP\_AD5391, DLP\_AD5397, DLP\_AD5860, DLP\_AD6356, DLP\_AD6496, DLP\_AD7069, DLP\_AD7463, DLP\_AD7522, DLP\_AD7599, DLP\_AD7637, DLP\_AD8574, DLP\_AD8857

Local road network is constrained. Traffic congestion on Newsome Road South, Jackroyd Lane, Lady House Lane, Birch Road, Caldercliffe Road. Ladyhouse Lane would pose increased risk to children, pedestrians, cyclists, horse riders etc. Lady House Lane very steep and narrow and has problems with on street parking. Access to the site would be dangerous.

Drainage may be a problem. There is a small brook on the site. Development will cause flooding in adjacent properties.

Air quality will be reduced and noise increased by traffic. Risk of subsidence. Light pollution will be increased.

Building will spoil the environment and have a negative impact on wildlife which is on site.

This site forms part of the area of open countryside which contributes to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this Scheduled Monument. National policy guidance makes it clear that

Support                      Conditional Support    2                      Object    25                      No Comment    1

Proposed change.

The site is a rejected housing option. The site was accepted in the draft local plan but has been rejected considering the negative impact on the setting on Castle Hill Scheduled Ancient Monument. This site forms part of the undeveloped land which makes a critical contribution to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill as outlined in the Castle Hill Setting Study.

Support for the rejection of the site noted.



Summary of comments

Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. The hillfort at Castle Hill is one of the defining features of the plan area. Given the number of development sites which are being proposed around this site, there needs to be an assessment of the contribution made by the surrounding landscape to the setting of this monument together with an evaluation of the sensitivity of the various parts of this landscape to change. This would provide a framework against which to consider not only the appropriateness of the sites which are being put forward for development, but also any planning applications which may come forward. It is understood that the Council has commenced work on such a study but that this work has yet to be completed. When the Study is completed, this should be used to assess the appropriateness of this area for development and to identify any mitigation measures which are likely to be necessary in order to ensure that the site is developed in a manner which is compatible with the protection of Castle Hill. (Historic England)

Local schools have capacity issues.

Local doctors / health centre have capacity issues.

The site has a footpath running through it. Site was formally allotments and there is one remaining.

There are no exceptional circumstances to remove this site from green belt.

Development will affect the setting / landscape surrounding Castle Hill, Hall Bower and High Lane at Newsome, and Huddersfield in general.

Site has no services.

Promote good design which can enhance and enrich existing villages and help them develop to create a sense of place without the loss of the best aspects they already have.

Site is sloping. Site is in mining area. Mains water pipe runs across site.

Don't build on green belt. Re-develop Brownfield land including Newsome Mills. The site is remote and detached from settlement. Development in this location would be unsustainable.

More houses will bring more crime and social degradation. Impact on visual amenity. Expansion of Berry Brow and Newsome should be carefully considered. Impact of Stirley Farm should be mitigated with buffer.

Council Response

<p><b>H481</b> Land north of, Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Hill DLP_AD7557, DLP_AD10363, DLP_AD10604</p>	<p>Support</p>	<p>Conditional Support 1</p>	<p>Object 2</p>	<p>No Comment</p>
<p>Roads cannot cope with additional traffic. Noise, dust and mud must be considered due to allocation site opposite a working quarry. Lack of capacity in local schools. Lack of capacity in GP surgeries.</p>	<p>No Change</p>	<p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.</p> <p>There are no overriding constraints that would affect the development of this site.</p> <p>Additional highway assessment identifies that there are no issues on the local highway network arising from this development.</p> <p>A Noise Assessment will be required with any application for residential development on this site.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.</p> <p>The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.</p>		

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

H489	Land at, 7, Church Lane, Gomersal DLP_AD1416, DLP_AD1470, DLP_AD2994, DLP_AD3073, DLP_AD3111, DLP_AD3213, DLP_AD3438, DLP_AD3445, DLP_AD3982, DLP_AD4167, DLP_AD4464, DLP_AD7817, DLP_AD10514	Support	Conditional Support	Object 13	No Comment
<p>Road congestion, road capacity issues, road safety - Muffit Lane and Church Lane - dangerous junction of Oxford Road, Church Lane and Spen Lane Traffic congestion - Hip Top, Church Lane, Spen Lane and Oxford Road. Potential for Hip Top to become gridlocked The site of the proposed development is too close to Hill Top Traffic Lights Unsafe parking including parking at Gomersal school Requirement for visibility splays on Church Lane Visibility Splays 2.4m x 43m - 30 mph zone - Accessibility to the Site: The site entrance will be too close to Hill Top Traffic Lights. Cars queue here continually on the hill for the lights to change. Lack of public transport infrastructure train station 3 miles away, buses 1 an hour Drainage capacity insufficient - surface water concerns Springs and watercourses exist between Church Lane and Bradford Road - deeds should the watercourses Increased noise and air pollution. Light pollution from the development Biodiversity/wildlife/woodland affected (birds, foxes, bats, frogs and speckled newts. Will destroy natural beauty of Church Lane Existing trees would be lost School capacity insufficient Health services/provision/NHS insufficient - St Johns Surgery in Cleckheaton Protect green space for amenity value, health reasons</p> <p>Protect green belt Infrastructure capacity insufficient Mining concerns Scale of previous development at Burnley Mills has not been considered and has impacted on area Church Lane will become the sprawl of Gomersal and merge into Birstall Allocation is contrary to SA objective Increase in crime</p>	<p>No Change</p> <p>This site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p> <p>Although the overall area in which this site lies is a restricted area of green belt separating Gomersal and Liversedge, the site itself is small in relation to the size of the strategic gap and is well related to the settlement. The site is entirely bounded by trees which separates the site from its wider setting and its degree of containment means there is no risk of sprawl or encroachment and impact on openness would be limited.</p> <p>Responses from technical consultees have confirmed the suitability of the site for development subject to mitigation which can be addressed at the detailed planning application stage.</p> <p>Site access is achievable from Church Lane and highways consultees have confirmed local links acceptable.</p> <p>No objections have been raised from consultees on flood risk, drainage, biodiversity and historic environment.</p> <p>A phase 1 contaminated land report will be required. However no concerns have been raised with regard to noise and air pollution. Minor residential conditions can be applied as part of a planning application in relation to air quality.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.</p> <p>Less than 1% of the site is in a high risk mining area.</p> <p>The council has commissioned modelling to look at the cumulative impacts of development.</p>				
<p>H498</p> <p>DLP_AD24, DLP_AD39, DLP_AD44, DLP_AD46, DLP_AD50, DLP_AD60, DLP_AD78, DLP_AD92, DLP_AD122, DLP_AD143, DLP_AD166, DLP_AD222, DLP_AD261, DLP_AD264, DLP_AD272, DLP_AD274, DLP_AD281, DLP_AD283, DLP_AD293, DLP_AD297, DLP_AD299, DLP_AD304, DLP_AD336, DLP_AD338, DLP_AD352, DLP_AD353, DLP_AD357, DLP_AD359, DLP_AD362, DLP_AD379, DLP_AD411, DLP_AD455, DLP_AD504, DLP_AD511, DLP_AD519, DLP_AD754, DLP_AD760, DLP_AD887, DLP_AD1135, DLP_AD1634, DLP_AD1893, DLP_AD1926, DLP_AD1931, DLP_AD1933, DLP_AD1943, DLP_AD1971, DLP_AD2016, DLP_AD2042, DLP_AD2198, DLP_AD2801, DLP_AD3032, DLP_AD3039, DLP_AD3201, DLP_AD3266, DLP_AD3399, DLP_AD3712, DLP_AD3896, DLP_AD3981, DLP_AD4130, DLP_AD4296, DLP_AD4515, DLP_AD4623, DLP_AD4694, DLP_AD4757, DLP_AD4977, DLP_AD4990, DLP_AD5035, DLP_AD5040, DLP_AD5116, DLP_AD5433, DLP_AD5565, DLP_AD5838, DLP_AD5854, DLP_AD6178, DLP_AD6924, DLP_AD7998, DLP_AD8245, DLP_AD9392, DLP_AD10468, DLP_AD10589</p> <p>Traffic congestion issues</p> <p>Highway safety issues - access at Wakefield Road. Existing problems at junction with Packhorse Way / Whinmoor Drive Development is on the floodplain</p> <p>Inadequate drainage infrastructure</p>	<p>Support 2</p> <p>Conditional Support 1</p> <p>Object 77</p> <p>No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Development of the site is subject to gaining access from the adjacent site. Potential impact on listed buildings</p>				

Summary of comments

Cricket club is part of cultural heritage of the village.  
Impact on education provision (Kaye's First & Nursery School)

Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council)  
Impact on healthcare provision

Perceived threat to cricket club would have negative impact on health and wellbeing

Loss of local sports club would have negative impact on obesity

Contrary to Corporate Plan and Health and Wellbeing Strategy  
Implied that the land owner would require the use of the cricket field for agricultural purposes if H454 was developed for housing. This could therefore result in the loss of the cricket ground and negatively impact on sport and recreation provision in the village, as there is no other facility.

If the cricket ground was lost, it was adversely effect children and young people

The site should be changed to Urban Green Space to protect cricket club from future development.

Loss of cricket club would be contrary to Kirklees Physical Activity and Sport strategy

Sport England objects to the following allocations because they affect playing field - "The farmer has stated to the Club he would seek re-possession for grazing use if the development proceeds. As such the allocation would lead to the loss of the cricket club."

Contrary to Playing Pitch Strategy and Action Plan

Landowner states that the cricket field would remain untouched if H451 is developed.

Implied that the land owner would require the use of the cricket field for agricultural purposes if H454 was developed for housing.

The indicative capacity (24 dwellings) is appropriate and deliverable.

Development would secure future of cricket club, by allowing farm to relocate

Current agricultural use is no longer viable in this location and the landowner wishes to relocate it.  
Negative impact on community arising from perceived threat to cricket club

Too much development in this area recently.

Lack of amenities in Clayton West  
Housing is needed - but not at expense of community facilities  
Coal mining legacy / land stability  
Should use Brownfield land first

**H502** Land south of, Huddersfield Road, Skelmanthorpe, Huddersfield, Support Conditional Support 5 Object 10 No Comment  
DLP\_AD2644, DLP\_AD3724, DLP\_AD4289, DLP\_AD4331, DLP\_AD5050, DLP\_AD5280, DLP\_AD5504, DLP\_AD5531, DLP\_AD5740, DLP\_AD7357, DLP\_AD7480, DLP\_AD8580, DLP\_AD9394, DLP\_AD10157, DLP\_AD10469

A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.

Traffic congestion in the local area.

Council Response

to the north of the site.

It is acknowledged that there are issues with the access from Wakefield Road, access through H454a will help mitigate these issues. Highways have not objected to this and any detailed highways issues would be resolved at application stage.

It is acknowledged that there is surface water flood risk on the site, which will also be dealt with at application stage.

Protective measures will be required to ensure an appropriate relationship between the adjoining cricket ground and the development

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Summary of comments

Access is inadequate for such a development.

B6118 is unsuitable for further traffic

250mm treated water main crosses the site - this needs to be protected with a stand-off distance of 3 metres either side of the pipe's centre line. Yorkshire Water)

6" abandoned water main within the site - may needed to be capped off or removed (Yorkshire Water)  
Impact on wildlife

An assessment of the impacts on great crested newts should be conducted prior to the adoption of the allocations

Cliffe Hill defunct reservoir is an important BAP priority habitat

Site requirement for the conservation status of GCN to be maintained.

Site may be terrestrial habitat for GCN, extension of compensatory habitat may be required as part of application. Cat predation also an issue.  
Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council)

Impact on education provision  
Impact on healthcare provision

May undermine role and function of the green belt to the south west of the site.  
Site faces on to open countryside, so would have impact on the landscape. This could be lessened by not developing the southern strip - only using this for the access.  
Infrastructure cannot cope with development.  
Skelmanthorpe has recently seen significant amount of development.

Overdevelopment of Skelmanthorpe  
Should use Brownfield land first

Housing development in this area would lead to housing for commuters - not linked to jobs.

**H508** Land to the west of, Whitechapel Middle School, Whitechapel Road, Cleckheaton  
DLP\_AD6319, DLP\_AD8061, DLP\_AD8876, DLP\_AD10161

Transport Highway Assessment has been submitted by the site promoter.  
There is sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand off distances of between 3 and 6 metres will be required for each sewer which will affect the layout of any future development; as such the matter may be a material consideration in the determination of any future planning applications. The required width of any stand-off distance or other protective measure such as diversion will have to be determined on an individual site/sewer basis. Also it may not be acceptable to raise or lower ground levels over the sewerage, nor to restrict access to manholes (Yorkshire Water)

A developer may, where it is reasonable to do so, require a sewerage undertaker to alter or remove a pipe. This provision is contained in section 185 of the Water Industry Act 1991 (that also requires the developer to pay the full cost of carrying out the necessary works).

As a result of the Water Industry (Scheme for Adoption of Private Sewers) Regulations 2011, there may be unmapped sewers within the site which require protection.

The site is currently Greenfield and so there is unlikely to be any existing connection into the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP29a Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water have been discounted.  
Air quality dispersion modelling undertaken independently suggests that a substantial buffer would be required between the M62 motorway and any proposed housing which would substantially reduce the

Council Response

Development is subject to securing access from Bedale Road or Huddersfield Road. Cumberworth Road requires improved visibility. The site is in flood zone 1, with a treated water main crossing the site - which will require a stand-off distance of 3m either side. UK BAP priority habitat on the site (pond / reservoir) which , along with a buffer zone, has been removed from the net area. An assessment on impact on Great Crested Newt needs to be undertaken. The allocation of this site makes an incursion into the Green Belt, however this is considered to be a well-related and proportionate small extension of the settlement.

No objections have been raised by highways regarding the local highway network.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Support	Conditional Support	3	Object	1	No Comment
---------	---------------------	---	--------	---	------------

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent the council's site allocation methodology.

The site forms an isolated area of green belt between the urban edge and the M62 which separates the site from the wider countryside. The motorway would present a strong new boundary to the west in this location which would prevent the further spread of development. A significant tree belt screens the site from the adjacent school (which is defined as an urban green space) and would create an acceptable new boundary. A satisfactory site access can be achieved from Whitechapel Road but will require 2.4m x 43m (30mph speed limit) visibility splays.

The comments made by Yorkshire Water relating to the stand off distances for sewers is noted and can be addressed as part of a detailed planning application.

Environmental Health has raised the issue of potential impact of noise on residential amenity but considers that this can be addressed through the provision of a phase 1 noise survey.

With regard to the appropriateness of site uses on proposed allocations adjacent to the motorway, each site has been assessed on its own merits and comments sought from technical consultees. It is also a matter for

Summary of comments

developable area.

Not convinced that the impacts of air quality and noise can be adequately mitigated against whilst making efficient use of the land.

The allocation of this area would bring development to within 12 metres of the churchyard of Whitechapel Church which is a grade II listed building. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the evidence base underpinning the plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this listed building and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that special regard should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a listed building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable.

(1) An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to those elements which contribute towards the significance of this Listed Building and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon its significance.

(2) If it is considered that the development of this site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced.

(3) If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134) (Historic England)

Questionable that a site adjacent to the M62 would be viable due to the negative impact of the motorway.

The Site Allocation methodology should include an assessment of financial viability as it is not considered that this site and a number of other sites adjacent to the M62 or in Kirklees weaker housing market areas will be viable.

Landowner support for the allocation on the following grounds:

- it is available, achievable and deliverable
- the allocation complies with spatial strategy and policies DLP1 and 2
- will contribute to land supply
- the Cleckheaton area is a sustainable location for development
- compliant with paragraph 5.3 of the draft local plan
- the allocation is supported by a highway assessment which supports a capacity of 170 dwellings on site.

Housing sites and safeguarded sites identified adjacent to the M62 would be better suited to employment allocations.

H509	Brook House Mill, Balme Road, Cleckheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object 31	No Comment
DLP_AD810, DLP_AD866, DLP_AD1057, DLP_AD1058, DLP_AD1065, DLP_AD1197, DLP_AD1230, DLP_AD1234, DLP_AD1242, DLP_AD1428, DLP_AD1536, DLP_AD1557, DLP_AD1677, DLP_AD1722, DLP_AD1867, DLP_AD1995, DLP_AD2713, DLP_AD3364, DLP_AD4141, DLP_AD5456, DLP_AD5545, DLP_AD5693, DLP_AD6172, DLP_AD6197, DLP_AD6461, DLP_AD6478, DLP_AD6626, DLP_AD8448, DLP_AD9526, DLP_AD10478, DLP_AD10489					

Road capacity inadequate to cope with increased volume of traffic. Capacity of Brookfield View, Balme Road and Cliffe Lane to deal with more traffic. Narrow roads. Impact of 21 cars on Merchants Fields.

Traffic would take a short cut from Hunsworth Lane via Brookfield View/Cliffe Lane.

Council Response

individual air quality and noise reports to determine whether any parcel of land is suitable for housing development.

A heritage impact assessment will be required as supporting evidence to address issues raised by Historic England.

The site promoters comments on the availability of the site and sustainability are noted.

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was accepted in the draft Local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Summary of comments

Road congestion and impact on A58 and Cleckheaton.  
Road safety issues - as cars already parked on the road.

Capacity of Brookfield View to cope with additional traffic is a concern.

Clarification required where the be=entrance and exit roads are planned on Cliffe Lane.

Conflicts with training centre traffic.

Lack of public transport.  
Flooding issues - localised flooding, existing surface water problems/ will create surface run-off problems.  
Concerns about affect on Brookfield View and Cliffe Lane. Development in this area will exacerbate problems.

When Naan Hall Park was built there were problems with sewerage.  
Air pollution concerns from increased traffic. This is one of the worst areas in Kirklees for air pollution especially Chain Bar.

Noise pollution from development.  
Biodiversity/wildlife impact - bats, owls, jays and kestrels, green parrots, peacocks, red kites, pheasants, newts and frogs are on this site.

The site is used for grazing.  
School capacity insufficient - the area has already been subject to a high level of previous development which is already impacting on school and health provision.  
Public rights of way and footpaths cross the site which should be protected for walking benefits and mental health.

Health services/health provision insufficient  
Greenspace around Brookfield needs to be protected as a valuable amenity space.

How much green belt land will be left at Brookfield-Kestrel and Naan Hall estates

Totally unacceptable to use green belt land.  
The site is too small and unviable.  
Previous planning applications have been refused - 2008/90871  
Development should be spread out  
Brownfield land in Cleckheaton should be considered as an alternative including a site off Westgate, Cleckheaton.  
Impact on property values.  
Crime will increase.  
Consider that there should have been further consultation.

How high will the buildings be? Concerns about natural light being affected.

Only developers will benefit from this proposal.

Council Response

The site is bordered by residential development to the west and north on Brookfield View and is part Brownfield and part Greenfield. No significant constraints have been identified which could not be mitigated against at the detail planning application stage.

The site has not been protected as a priority employment site (PEA) as it is considered that there are sufficient and available industrial premises of equivalent quality or better that would compensate for the loss of the site. A considerable area is allocated as a PEA to the west and north west of the site.

Site access can be achieved from Brookfield Road. No other issues have been identified by transport technical consultees.

No objections have been received from environmental health in relation to air quality. However, a contaminated land report phase 1 report would be required.

It is acknowledged that parts of the site lie within Flood risk zone 2 and 3. Modelling may be required to identify site specific flooding characteristics. Ideally development should be confined to Flood zone 1. Further mitigation messages can be put in place at the time of a detailed planning application.

The Nann Hall Beck and associated mixed deciduous woodland, UK BAP priority habitats run down the side of this proposed allocation. An area of 0.26 ha has been removed from the site area to accommodate mitigation measures.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

There are no rights of way or footpaths across the site.

The site is part Brownfield and part Greenfield and does not form part of the green belt. A proposed housing allocation to the east of Brookfield View which lies in the green belt has been rejected as a housing allocation (H486).

The allocation of the site confirms the principle of development. Details of the design and site layout and impact on adjoining residential properties will be addressed as part of a detailed planning application.

H518	Land at, Yew Tree Farm, The Village, Farnley Tyas	Support 18	Conditional Support 7	Object 7	No Comment
DLP_AD1038, DLP_AD1440, DLP_AD1479, DLP_AD2052, DLP_AD2090, DLP_AD2141, DLP_AD2164, DLP_AD2319, DLP_AD2862, DLP_AD3052, DLP_AD3914, DLP_AD4567, DLP_AD4674, DLP_AD5473, DLP_AD6258, DLP_AD6345, DLP_AD6820, DLP_AD6969, DLP_AD7246, DLP_AD7524, DLP_AD7540, DLP_AD7883, DLP_AD8325, DLP_AD8567, DLP_AD8604, DLP_AD8748, DLP_AD8988, DLP_AD9087, DLP_AD9939, DLP_AD10231, DLP_AD10341, DLP_AD10694					

Road congestion  
Parking problems near the school causing safety issues.  
Drainage capacity insufficient.  
Pollution from new development.  
Land is within close proximity to Farnley Bank and Stock Dove Wood Ancient Woodland - need to fully

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Summary of comments

assess potential impacts prior to allocation and open space provided within developments for residents to use to minimise impacts on ancient woodland (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust)  
Wildlife may be affected.

The development of this site could impact on the setting of Grade II Listed Buildings in its vicinity. Special regard should be had to preserving listed buildings and their settings. Where assessment shows that the development of the site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings, mitigation measures will be required. If the harm remains, it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm. The site is also within the Farnley Tyas Conservation Area. The loss of this open area could harm elements which contribute to its significance. The council has to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of conservation areas. Need an assessment of the contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to the character of appearance of the conservation area. If it would be harmful mitigation measures should be set out and site only allocated if there are clear benefits which outweigh the harm (Historic England).  
More development than the accepted options would impact on the historic environment.  
It should be ensured that development of this site enhances the conservation area.  
Limit capacity to 14 houses including refurbishment of existing listed buildings.  
Quantity of housing proposed in the village will support the school.  
Local schools capacity insufficient.  
Potential closure of Huddersfield A&E will mean travelling further.  
Health provision insufficient.

Support priority being given to development of non-green belt sites.  
Disproportionate level of development compared to other areas of Kirklees.  
Support for redevelopment of the farms to improve visual amenity.  
Cumulative impact of development unacceptable on character.  
Number of houses currently being built is enough.  
Need for more housing as a country and council.  
Quantity of housing proposed will support existing amenities and the church.  
New homes will create a strain on local services.  
Support the use of Brownfield land.  
Support for re-development of farm buildings but not Greenfield sites.  
New homes should be affordable for first time buyers, families and older people.  
A variety of sizes of houses are required.  
Reduce the capacity of the accepted sites in the village from 25 to 20.  
Country park should not be justification for new housing.  
Positive experience of the consultation process and using the systems to access information.

**H519**

Land north and west of, Gernhill Avenue, Fixby

DLP\_AD56, DLP\_AD244, DLP\_AD343, DLP\_AD518, DLP\_AD751, DLP\_AD1716, DLP\_AD1940, DLP\_AD2008, DLP\_AD2021, DLP\_AD2184, DLP\_AD2375, DLP\_AD2380, DLP\_AD2381, DLP\_AD2383, DLP\_AD2385, DLP\_AD2387, DLP\_AD2388, DLP\_AD2426, DLP\_AD2432, DLP\_AD2621, DLP\_AD2651, DLP\_AD2652, DLP\_AD2698, DLP\_AD2734, DLP\_AD2860, DLP\_AD2879, DLP\_AD2881, DLP\_AD2898, DLP\_AD2899, DLP\_AD2901, DLP\_AD2947, DLP\_AD2963, DLP\_AD3026, DLP\_AD3030, DLP\_AD3059, DLP\_AD3366, DLP\_AD3369, DLP\_AD3393, DLP\_AD3419, DLP\_AD3431, DLP\_AD3436, DLP\_AD3443, DLP\_AD3594, DLP\_AD3672, DLP\_AD3807, DLP\_AD3814, DLP\_AD3817, DLP\_AD3856, DLP\_AD3975, DLP\_AD4142, DLP\_AD4146, DLP\_AD4207, DLP\_AD4709, DLP\_AD4761, DLP\_AD4768, DLP\_AD4798, DLP\_AD4802, DLP\_AD4870, DLP\_AD4981, DLP\_AD5089, DLP\_AD5093, DLP\_AD5132, DLP\_AD5224, DLP\_AD5236, DLP\_AD5242, DLP\_AD5244, DLP\_AD5252, DLP\_AD5254, DLP\_AD5314, DLP\_AD5326, DLP\_AD5356, DLP\_AD5483, DLP\_AD5588, DLP\_AD5695, DLP\_AD5712, DLP\_AD5716, DLP\_AD5745, DLP\_AD5828, DLP\_AD6268, DLP\_AD7042, DLP\_AD7117, DLP\_AD7336, DLP\_AD7339, DLP\_AD7409, DLP\_AD7577, DLP\_AD9302, DLP\_AD10095, DLP\_AD10286, DLP\_AD10314

All roads in the area are congested especially Bradley Road. Speeding traffic on Lightridge road is a problem - used as a rat run. No pedestrian crossings in the area. Exit form Lightridge Road to Clough Lane is very dangerous due to reduced visibility. Serious congestion at Bradley bar roundabout. Netheroyd Hill Road junction with Huddersfield Road congested and dangerous.

Supporting transport appraisal from site promoter.  
Flooding in Lower Cote countryside and into Clough Lane. Water table under this ground, this site floods regularly and causes damage to rear of properties on Lightridge Road.

Supporting FRA from site promoter.  
Increase in air and noise pollution in association with proposed motorway junction.

Council Response

This site comprises existing agricultural buildings and curtilages and is considered to be acceptable in principle for housing subject to the consideration of design and density to mitigate potential impacts on the historic environment. Third party may be required to achieve sufficient visibility splays.

Highways information has indicated that this site is acceptable subject to improvements to visibility splays. The site will be subject to surface water run-off restrictions in line with local plan policies once adopted.

The sites is across the village from Stock Dove Wood and Farnley Bank Wood. The density and design of the scheme will need to take into account impacts on adjacent listed buildings and the Farnley Tyas conservation area.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. There is not sufficient housing capacity on brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.

Support for re-development of the farm buildings is noted.

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.

The site option is contained by existing residential development to the south and east and by the line of Toothill Lane to the north which could present a new green belt boundary. The western boundary appears to be a strong feature on the ground which would prevent sprawl or further encroachment. The character of this site as countryside is somewhat compromised by its containment and overlooking by existing residential property.

The Council have considered the indicative master plan and Transport Assessment and concluded the

Summary of comments

Loss of habitat for hedgehogs, foxes, birds, bats and roe deer.  
 Schools are oversubscribed in the area.  
 Doctors and dentists locally are full.  
 Loss of open land and walking routes - Kirklees Way crosses the site. Lack of public open spaces/playing fields in the area. No formal sporting facilities.

Concern re. impact of developments on Calderdale border in association with this development. Impact on house values in the area due to presence of affordable housing. Housing targets based on a southern need for housing unrelated to needs in the north. Should use Brownfield sites first. Development would impact on Fixby Golf club.

Council Response

development would not result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network.

The Flood Risk Assessment submitted by the site promoter has been assessed by the Council. It is dated 2009, but information contained within is still relevant. Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems are proposed even if infiltration is not possible. The site lies in flood zone 1. Surface water discharge must be attenuated to Greenfield rates. Flood management has no records of flooding to properties near the site.

The site promoter has submitted a noise and air quality assessment and the Council is supportive of its conclusions. A Noise Assessment (due to the sites proximity to the M62) would have to be submitted with any application for development and with good design including building orientation and appropriate noise insulation it would be possible to develop houses on this site with good amenity standards.

The site is over 100 metres from the M62. The potential for a new junction on the M62 is a possibility but the exact location of this has not been determined. The junction, if built would join Huddersfield Road (A641) and would be away from this site.

There are numerous measures to negate the impact the development will have on air quality, such as travel plans, EV charge points to encourage electric vehicles and monetising the damage costs of the developments on air quality and would expect this amount of money to be spent on measures to improve air quality in the vicinity thus making the development more sustainable in terms of air quality. The area is not in or near an Air Quality Management Area but the Council would be concerned that some parts of the site may have elevated levels of air pollution due to the M62. The Air Quality report highlights this. The design of the development could take this into account with the layout and orientation of building on site. Air quality emissions from this site has been considered and recommendations have been made to safeguard sustainability of development with the aim to aid with the reduction of pollutants in the district.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

The comments are noted re. the Kirklees Way. Any application for development will need to reflect existing rights of way through a site or formally apply for their diversion.

The Council has regular Duty to Co-operate meetings with Calderdale whereby development on both sides of the border are discussed and planned for. Details of this are outlined in the Duty to Co-operate Statement.

There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.

H527	Land west of, 19, Staincliffe Hall Road, Staincliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------	--	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.



Summary of comments

Council Response

**H538** Land to the south of, Cross Lane (west), Stocksmoor  
DLP\_AD3064, DLP\_AD4565

Traffic issues  
Impact on school provision  
Impact on health services

General support for the local plan given the rules but proposals for Stocksmoor are enough. Homes build should consist of affordable first time buyers and family homes.

Access can be achieved from Staincliffe Hall Road visibility splays required. There are no constraints with this site that cannot be addressed through the detailed planning process.

Support Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable subject to achieving visibility splays. Connections to public sewer may require crossing third party land. Opportunities for growing food in this location could be explored as part of a development proposal.

Highways information indicates that site access can be achieved and local links to the highways network are acceptable.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The council applies affordable housing policies when considering planning applications.

**H549** Land to the south of, Swallow Lane, Golcar, Huddersfield,  
DLP\_AD998, DLP\_AD1169, DLP\_AD2609, DLP\_AD2764, DLP\_AD5137, DLP\_AD5160, DLP\_AD5276, DLP\_AD6622, DLP\_AD7053, DLP\_AD7185, DLP\_AD7428, DLP\_AD7517, DLP\_AD11044

Highway safety concerns - junction with Swallow Lane, narrow road with poor sightlines

Traffic congestion - Swallow Lane and in the village, particularly linked to local events and cricket ground

Site approximately half a mile from frequent bus services in Golcar, infrequent service on Swallow Lane.

No footway on one side of Swallow Lane

The site is within 150m of the nearest bus stops and within walking distance of services and facilities

The existing highway network has the capacity to accommodate the proposed development

Swallow Lane used as an access route to M62 - impact from HGVs

Planning application 2005/90203 for 2 dwellings adjacent to the site was considered by council at time to have impact on highway safety, so site of this size must also have impact.

Soak ways may not be suitable for the site as re-emergence poses a risk to lower laying areas.

Local surface water sewers are available to provide a route to open watercourse 250m from the site.

Surface water sewer on the western boundary of the site.

Dec 2015 saw cricket club access road flooded - so concern about further impact arising from development

Impact on wildlife

Historic importance of the area

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Access to the site would require third party land to achieve visibility splays from Swallow Lane. Pedestrian facilities would also need to be provided on Swallow Lane, as there is only one footway.

It is not considered that the proposal would result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network.

No objections have been raised by technical consultees in relation to biodiversity and the historic environment.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Development may impact on setting of conservation area  
Impact on education provision  
Impact on healthcare provision

Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&E closure at HRI

Site is important in separating Bolster Moor and Golcar  
The site is available for development.

CIL raised in Golcar should contribute to improving infrastructure in the locality  
Significant amount of development in this area recently.

Impact on village character.

Reduced service provision in the village recently.

The site is well related to the urban area  
Should use Brownfield land first

Golcar has seen additional extra housing but no jobs

Poor access to the M62 from Golcar  
Site adjacent to cricket ground - will need adequate fencing

**H550** Land to the east of, Fullwood Drive, Golcar  
DLP\_AD3145, DLP\_AD7184, DLP\_AD8898, DLP\_AD11051

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 3 No Comment

Highway safety / congestion - Brook Lane and Carr Top Lane used as rat runs. No footway on part of Carr Top Lane, difficult for two vehicles to pass.

No change.

Drainage issues – future development should help mitigate these problems

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area and 54, 54A, 56, and 58 Brook Lane at the south-eastern corner of this area and 27 and 29 Clay Well and the adjoining factory at its north-eastern corner which are Grade II listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area and Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Access provided as part of planning application 2014/90450. Flood zone 1, though further investigation needed on surface water drainage. Potential impact on listed buildings and north, so a heritage impact assessment would be required.

Impact on the conservation area - potential for overcrowding, loss of views, out of scale development  
Impact on education provision  
Impact on healthcare provision

This site has planning permission for 8 dwellings (application reference:2014/90450) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&E closure at HRI

Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development  
Many houses for sale in the area  
Development of a new town in the south east of the district would be better to allow for infrastructure to be planned from scratch.

**H551** Land south of, Holme Avenue, Dalton  
DLP\_AD8423

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

Surrounding roads to this site are hazardous.

No Change

Lots of problems with drainage for residents living close to this site. Cess pit in the field.

Noise, dust and pollution will be generated during construction works.

A valuable area of greenspace will be lost in Almondbury.

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Summary of comments

Council Response

**H555** Land to the north of, New Mill Road, New Mill  
DLP\_AD435, DLP\_AD3635

Road congestion from new sites in Holme Valley particularly on the route into Huddersfield.  
Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network.  
Road safety issues due to increased traffic from new sites in Holme Valley.

The site has outline planning permission for residential units (application reference: 2014/92369) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Support Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment

Proposed change.

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

Housing development on this site is complete and therefore the allocation of this site is not justified.

**H564** Land north and east of, Laverhills and Quaker Lane, Hightown

DLP\_AD137, DLP\_AD370, DLP\_AD545, DLP\_AD681, DLP\_AD835, DLP\_AD986, DLP\_AD1073, DLP\_AD1289, DLP\_AD1352, DLP\_AD1360, DLP\_AD1361, DLP\_AD1474, DLP\_AD1498, DLP\_AD1549, DLP\_AD1550, DLP\_AD1568, DLP\_AD1592, DLP\_AD1607, DLP\_AD1655, DLP\_AD1676, DLP\_AD1683, DLP\_AD1706, DLP\_AD1732, DLP\_AD1738, DLP\_AD1747, DLP\_AD1762, DLP\_AD1785, DLP\_AD1925, DLP\_AD2059, DLP\_AD2093, DLP\_AD2137, DLP\_AD2366, DLP\_AD2369, DLP\_AD2504, DLP\_AD2553, DLP\_AD2566, DLP\_AD2569, DLP\_AD2841, DLP\_AD2907, DLP\_AD3108, DLP\_AD3115, DLP\_AD3141, DLP\_AD3454, DLP\_AD3541, DLP\_AD3625, DLP\_AD3895, DLP\_AD3920, DLP\_AD4168, DLP\_AD4384, DLP\_AD4398, DLP\_AD4423, DLP\_AD4602, DLP\_AD4603, DLP\_AD4645, DLP\_AD4776, DLP\_AD4864, DLP\_AD4997, DLP\_AD5260, DLP\_AD5320, DLP\_AD5355, DLP\_AD5362, DLP\_AD5487, DLP\_AD5555, DLP\_AD5563, DLP\_AD5570, DLP\_AD5574, DLP\_AD5703, DLP\_AD5708, DLP\_AD5722, DLP\_AD5732, DLP\_AD5738, DLP\_AD5743, DLP\_AD5925, DLP\_AD6026, DLP\_AD6137, DLP\_AD6184, DLP\_AD6361, DLP\_AD6363, DLP\_AD6565, DLP\_AD6566, DLP\_AD6567, DLP\_AD6611, DLP\_AD6612, DLP\_AD6836, DLP\_AD6861, DLP\_AD6951, DLP\_AD6959, DLP\_AD7012, DLP\_AD7015, DLP\_AD7143, DLP\_AD7144, DLP\_AD7233, DLP\_AD7235, DLP\_AD7237, DLP\_AD7238, DLP\_AD7239, DLP\_AD7267, DLP\_AD7268, DLP\_AD7269, DLP\_AD7425, DLP\_AD7508, DLP\_AD7605, DLP\_AD7636, DLP\_AD7662, DLP\_AD7824, DLP\_AD7840, DLP\_AD7856, DLP\_AD7912, DLP\_AD8139, DLP\_AD8271, DLP\_AD8461, DLP\_AD8465, DLP\_AD8536, DLP\_AD8537, DLP\_AD8538, DLP\_AD8539, DLP\_AD8540, DLP\_AD8541, DLP\_AD8542, DLP\_AD8543, DLP\_AD8544, DLP\_AD8545, DLP\_AD8729, DLP\_AD9004, DLP\_AD9047, DLP\_AD9048, DLP\_AD9049, DLP\_AD9050, DLP\_AD9051, DLP\_AD9065, DLP\_AD9066, DLP\_AD9067, DLP\_AD9068, DLP\_AD9069, DLP\_AD9070, DLP\_AD9071, DLP\_AD9072, DLP\_AD9073, DLP\_AD9240, DLP\_AD9242, DLP\_AD9343, DLP\_AD9348, DLP\_AD9365, DLP\_AD9383, DLP\_AD9406, DLP\_AD9432, DLP\_AD9821, DLP\_AD9833, DLP\_AD9881, DLP\_AD10046, DLP\_AD10047, DLP\_AD10049, DLP\_AD10050, DLP\_AD10059, DLP\_AD10060, DLP\_AD10104, DLP\_AD10170, DLP\_AD10174, DLP\_AD10176, DLP\_AD10222, DLP\_AD10235, DLP\_AD10237, DLP\_AD10238, DLP\_AD10239, DLP\_AD10257, DLP\_AD10260, DLP\_AD10263, DLP\_AD10274, DLP\_AD10439, DLP\_AD10448, DLP\_AD10494, DLP\_AD10496, DLP\_AD10497, DLP\_AD10508, DLP\_AD10510, DLP\_AD10511, DLP\_AD10516, DLP\_AD10531, DLP\_AD10533, DLP\_AD10903

Access inadequate - access must be from Hightown Road which is already subject to many accidents.  
More clarification is required on the access roads.

Assume two access roads will be required which will mean the loss of a green space with 35 mature trees on it being lost. To lose this site would be contrary to the council's Trees in the Landscape, Shaping our Local Plan and the draft local plan.

Development would mean at least 600+ cars in the area.  
Road congestion particularly on Hightown Road due to school traffic and traffic from Huddersfield/Halifax.

The roads are gridlocked.

Road capacity concerns.

Road safety concerns particularly around the school.  
Parking issues around the site. Residents of the Oval, Barnabas Road and the Crescent all park on Hightown Road.

Access should be blocked via Chiltern Way and that any access to the cottages is via the new road which will be built for working vehicles from the very beginning of the project where ever that might be located. There is no way that this small narrow road is remotely suitable for through traffic of cars, let alone working vehicles.

The fact there are so many speed cameras along Hightown Road shows how dangerous the road is and no further development should be allowed.

Concern that there will be a further access from Halifax Road via Laverhills, Cotswold Drive and Chiltern Way where the existing roads are too narrow and a "rat run" would probably be created.

Landowner considers access can be achieved via anew access road to be constructed over council owned

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 178 No Comment

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected housing site. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where it was an accepted housing site. The reason for the change is that insufficient evidence has been provided to demonstrate two accesses can be provided without impacting on the treed frontage to the site.

The site promoter submitted a number of accesses to this site, all from Hightown Road. The accesses would impact to a greater or lesser extent on the substantial treed frontage to this site. The trees are of a quality to warrant retention and due to their age and vigour should have long term viability. In the absence of evidence to demonstrate the impact on trees which should include: a tree survey, an arboriculture method statement and details of any compensatory planting should a minimal amount of tree removal have to take place, the site has been rejected.

land to the west and linking with Hightown Road.

Two options for direct access to main housing include:

Hightown Road, opposite St Barnabas Road; and

Hightown Road, opposite The Oval

Drainage capacity insufficient - stream on site.

Concerns about flooding from stream.

Concerns about sewerage capacity.

There is sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand off distances pf between 3 and 6 metres will be required for each sewer and thus affect the layout of any future development; as such the matter may be a material consideration in the determination of any future planning applications. The required width of any stand-off distance or other protective measures such as diversion will have to be determined on an individual site/sewer basis. Also, it may not be acceptable to raise or lower ground levels over the sewerage, nor to restrict access to manholes. A developer may, where it is reasonable to do so, require a sewerage undertaker to alter or remove a pipe. This provision is contained in section 185 of the Water Industry Act 1991 (that also requires the developer to pay the full cost of carrying out the necessary works). Please not that as a result of the Water Industry (Scheme for Adoption of private Sewers) Regulations 2011, there may be unmapped sewers within the site which require protection.

Surface water management - the site is currently Greenfield and so there is unlikely to be any existing connection into the sewer. In line with draft DLP29 a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted (Yorkshire Water)

The green belt fields fall within the Spen Beck (River Spen) catchments area. The trees, hedgerows and fields have crucial roles to play in helping to store rainwater and are saturated during the winter.

H564 is also currently used as a soak -away field for the effluent from a septic tank that has served the eleven terrace houses on Quaker Lane for over a hundred and thirty years and is still currently in use today.

The site is not within Flood zone 2 or 3.

A flood risk assessment and drainage strategy will accompany planning applications.

Air quality concerns through increased traffic and loss of mature trees.

Noise impact from construction traffic.

The trees stand on land that was a household tip and contaminated.

Further clarification required on the contamination on site.

Clarification required on whether mature trees will be retained.

Biodiversity/wildlife impact - there are birds, foxes, badgers and bats on site.

Problem of Japanese Knot Weed on this site.

Loss of a natural habitat.

Loss of trees, woodland and wildlife

The adverse effect of the development on the setting of historically important buildings (Oriental House, Clough House the birthplace of the Bronte sisters, Quaker House etc).

School provision insufficient. Schools are already oversubscribed.

In Cleckheaton/Liversedge/Gomersal there is no 6th form college.

Health provision and services insufficient - including GP, dentist and Hospital facilities.

The loss of the site and Whitcliffe Mount Sports Centre will impact on health.

Impact on public footpaths around and across the site.

Object to loss of a local amenity which is maintained by Kirklees for children playing and a public right of way which is part of a heritage trail leading to the Greenway and private grazing land.

Due to cuts in sports and leisure provision need to retain open spaces.

The fields between Laverhills, Quaker Lane and Hightown are a much loved and used public amenity acting as a green lung within the existing built up area.

Greatly valued as by the community as a park.

Loss of area for dog walking.

Recreation facilities have currently been reduced.

Cleckheaton is identified in the Open Space Study as having a deficiency of amenity greenspaces so this development should not be allowed.

The proposal is contrary to NPPF and the UDP in relation to protecting open space.

Loss of green belt.

Small peripheral areas of green belt will be left in isolation.

The allocation of the site conflicts with NPPF and the role of the green belt. The proposed site separates Hightown Road from Cleckheaton.

The proposal will lead to urban sprawl.

The technical assessments for rejecting sites is inconsistent as H226, H442 and H1796 were both rejected yet have similar traffic light systems to this site.

Support green belt change outlined in Review and Outcomes Report.

This area forms part of a wider green lung which should be retained to protect merger of Cleckheaton and Hightown.

No exceptional circumstances for the loss of green belt identified.

The site provides a green corridor between the ribbon-shaped settlement of Hightown (along the length of the A649 Halifax Road, and the township of Cleckheaton as it extends up Hightown Road. The site is at the top end of an important, triangular expanse of greenbelt, stretching down a quite steep, natural side valley, to the River Spen about 1.5 miles away. This area of greenbelt is only interrupted by the Spen Valley Greenway, which crosses it about 1 mile down the valley. Footpaths and a bridleway lead off the Greenway and travel the full length of this patch of greenbelt. To allow housing development would severely damage the ecological integrity of the whole greenbelt area.

landscape assessment undertaken.

There are no shops and services nearby to serve the development.

Utilities such as gas, water and electricity will take time to be incorporated into the development.

Willing landowner.

Significant slopes over 80% of the site.

Concerns about subsidence.

Electricity supply (a row of pylons currently run through the proposed site).

Inequitable distribution of development 8 other proposed housing sites in area totalling over 850 dwellings = 3% of all housing planned for Kirklees as a whole.

Scale of development is a concern. It will overburden the area to the detriment of the Spen Valley and to the existing low density and character of the area.

More information is needed on the split of private to council properties.

Policing is a concern.

Article 8 of the Human Rights Act states that a person has the substantive right to respect for their private and family life. In the case *Britton vs. SOS* the courts reappraised the purpose of the law and concluded that the protection of the countryside falls within the interests of Article 8. By developing this land we will be losing one of the small areas of countryside left in an already over developed area.

Inconsistent approach between H336 and assessment of H564.

Will the land around the electricity sub station be disrupted.

Crime rates will increase.

Residents on Hightown would be living in a building site for many years.

Loss of amenity and open views.

Use Brownfield as an alternative.

Lack of detail on actual layouts and types of housing.

Summary of comments

Council Response

The approach taken on this site is not consistent with H442.  
 The site is unsuitable for a retirement village as it is steep and isolated from services and facilities.  
 Concerns about the density of the site.  
 Alternative sites: R M Grylls School H198  
 The old Q8 garage site on Halifax Road near the junction of Hightown Road and Brownfield sites in Cleckheaton including in Serpentine Road, Peg Lane/Marsh area of Cleckheaton.  
 The site assessments have not been undertaken consistently and question the council's motivation and the assessment of site H1796 as this allows the site to come forward. Consider that this site is identical and should be rejected too.  
 Development will be overbearing.  
 Allocation is contrary to strategic objectives for healthy, safe places.  
 Inconsistent approach taken between H336 and H564.  
 On the rejected site H1796, the trees and green space were included and praised in the SA item 12 green++. On the accepted site H564 item 12 is red.  
 Over-shadowing. Lawn Bank is a tall house and stands high on an incline. Any properties built within a large radius would not see any sun during the winter months when the sun is low and the shadows are long.  
 Alternative site - Enlarge H811 and H708 to make a larger site as an alternative.  
 Consultation was complicated and confusing.  
 In 2015 a development of 51 homes by Strata Homes on New Lane Liversedge was rejected on the grounds that it served a green space function. This reasoning also applies to this site.

Planning officers have recommended that the outline application to build 25 homes on the site of Yangtze Restaurant on Halifax Rd, Hightown, be refused on the grounds that would impact on the greenbelt, the narrowness of the main road and the problems with drainage.

The following are more suitable sites for development than H564 - H713 North of Dirker Drive Marsden (accepted site ref SL2184); H716 West of Hoyle Ing Linthwaite (accepted site ref SL2185); H637 East of Tudor Street Slaithwaite (accepted site ref SL2183); H301 POL at Gosling Hall Farm Almondbury (accepted site ref SL2177); Land adjacent to Tong Moor Side E. Bierley (accepted site ref SL2202); H305 North of Wyke Lane Oakenshaw (accepted site ref SL2203); H49 Oddfellow Street Scholes (accepted site ref SL2294); H319 rear of 117 Westfield Lane Wyke (accepted site ref SL2310); H694 land adjacent to Norristhorpe Lane (accepted site ref SL2175); Adjacent sites H709 S & SE of 17-43 Farfield Court Halifax Road Hightown and H646 S of 10 Low House Fold Halifax Road Hightown (accepted site ref SL2181); H695 rear of Westgate Almondbury (accepted site ref SL2176); H736 Land at Bradley Mills Rd Rawthorpe (accepted site ref SL2194); H735 land at Knaresborough Drive Cowcliffe (accepted site ref SL2193); and finally H117 Haughs Road Quarmby (Accepted site ref SL2268).

Object to allocation of this site on grounds that this is inconsistent with rejection of H672 which performs significantly better.

Support allocation of H564 and its removal from the green belt.

The allocation supports the potential to deliver older persons housing on the central part of the site, accessed independently from the south rather than through the council owned land (referred to as H1796 in rejected site option).

There are more positives than negatives identified in the SA associated with the site.

H567	Stubley Farm, Leeds Road, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	4	No Comment
DLP_AD7818, DLP_AD8832, DLP_AD8883, DLP_AD10240, DLP_AD10544							
Road congestion, road capacity issues, road safety, parking problems	Proposal will bring problems of air pollution from traffic	No Change					
Stubley Farm adjacent to this area is a Grade II listed building. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building. In order to demonstrate that the allocation is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the evidence base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this listed building and			The site is proposed as an accepted housing site. It formed an accepted housing site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				This site is well related to the settlement and has no impact on the role or function of the green belt. This paddock is bounded on two sides by residential development, to the north by a farm complex and to the west by

Summary of comments

what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development may have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that special regard should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications. Failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a planning application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for

development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable.

(1) An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to those elements which contribute towards the significance of this Listed Building and what impact the loss of this undeveloped site and its subsequent development might have upon its significance.

(2) If it is considered that the development of this site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced.

(3) If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134).

School capacity insufficient especially primary sector  
Health services/provision insufficient including doctors and dentists

Erosion of green belt which sets a precedent  
Brownfield sites should be fully used  
Increased urbanisation and social alienation

**H583**

Land to the north of, Barnsley Road, Flockton

DLP\_AD1318, DLP\_AD3113, DLP\_AD3697, DLP\_AD4343, DLP\_AD5947, DLP\_AD8412, DLP\_AD8771, DLP\_AD10108, DLP\_AD10487, DLP\_AD10655, DLP\_AD10858, DLP\_AD10906

Transport modelling is required to ensure appropriate mitigation.  
Cumulative impact of development on the road network - Barnsley Road, Wakefield Road/Penistone junction already operates beyond theoretical capacity, long queues at Long Lane/Wakefield Road junction in morning peak.  
Objection unless a relief road is built connecting the A637 and A642.  
Road congestion including single carriageway in places and banned traffic substantial improvement to road infrastructure required.  
Recent application refused due to need for heavy farm machinery to use the site to access to the farmland to the north.  
Road safety issues - narrow stretch of road at access point and close to chicane and Haigh Lane junction, no pavements in part, danger if farming machinery has to cross the site.  
Public transport frequency issues (2 per hour), not reliable, not sufficient quality.  
Issues with vibration from passing traffic.  
Drainage capacity insufficient.  
Flooding issues - will create further surface water run-off  
Water infrastructure - sewers and water supply will not cope.  
Air quality at peak times must infringe European legislation.  
Pollution from traffic through the village.  
Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield (specifically Ossett and Horbury areas). Important to work together to assess impacts (Wakefield Council).  
School capacity insufficient (Flockton First School) and not much scope for extension.  
Access to hospital provision - potential downgrading of Huddersfield and Dewsbury hospital services.

Green belt boundary is incorrectly drawn.  
Development not sustainable.  
Support for allocation of site for up to 50 dwellings and removal of UDP POL designation.  
Technical assessments through recent planning application resolve technical issues on the site.

Council Response

Stubley Farm Road. The site rises slightly up to Stubley Farm but is largely screened from views except from the north east along Leeds Road, from where the existing edge formed by properties on Stubley Road is already clearly visible. As the site is behind existing houses on Leeds Road which are not in the green belt there would be no reduction in the extent of the gap to the north of Leeds Road. Stubley Farm Road would present a clear and defensible new boundary to the east. Development could be achieved without significant impact on openness and without compromising or reducing in length the strong boundary along Leeds Road. The farm house and buildings should remain in the green belt.

An acceptable site access is achievable from A62 Leeds Road with the demolition of plots 195 and 197. The promoter has control over both of the properties.

A heritage impact assessment has been submitted as part of the evidence to support this site.

No objections have been received from Environmental Health on air quality. Minor residential conditions to mitigate against air quality issues can form part of a detailed planning application.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan seeks to promote the development of Brownfield sites through its spatial strategy, plan objectives and policies.

Support 2 Conditional Support 1 Object 9 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable. Limited surface water drainage options to be considered as well as local noise source and impacts on listed milestone.

Highways have indicated that this site is acceptable in terms of site access and local linkages.

Greenfield run-off rates will be required on this site. This site is not in an area of poor air quality but a Travel Plan will be required.

The council has undertaken Duty to Co-operate discussions with adjoining authorities including discussions relating to school places. The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The green belt boundary is the same as that set out in the Unitary Development Plan.

Support from the site promoter noted.

Site can be delivered within the first five years of the local plan.

H584	Land south of, Gynn Lane, Honley	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 164	No Comment							
DLP_AD413, DLP_AD1024, DLP_AD1553, DLP_AD1737, DLP_AD1827, DLP_AD1848, DLP_AD1856, DLP_AD1864, DLP_AD1891, DLP_AD1954, DLP_AD1966, DLP_AD1978, DLP_AD1988, DLP_AD2039, DLP_AD2068, DLP_AD2082, DLP_AD2107, DLP_AD2122, DLP_AD2125, DLP_AD2139, DLP_AD2155, DLP_AD2162, DLP_AD2207, DLP_AD2216, DLP_AD2225, DLP_AD2231, DLP_AD2243, DLP_AD2252, DLP_AD2262, DLP_AD2280, DLP_AD2293, DLP_AD2309, DLP_AD2338, DLP_AD2343, DLP_AD2364, DLP_AD2441, DLP_AD2451, DLP_AD2456, DLP_AD2465, DLP_AD2478, DLP_AD2490, DLP_AD2502, DLP_AD2516, DLP_AD2526, DLP_AD2538, DLP_AD2564, DLP_AD2581, DLP_AD2590, DLP_AD2610, DLP_AD2641, DLP_AD2660, DLP_AD2670, DLP_AD2680, DLP_AD2707, DLP_AD2727, DLP_AD2785, DLP_AD2890, DLP_AD2943, DLP_AD2954, DLP_AD2980, DLP_AD2988, DLP_AD3003, DLP_AD3070, DLP_AD3102, DLP_AD3128, DLP_AD3159, DLP_AD3180, DLP_AD3198, DLP_AD3225, DLP_AD3236, DLP_AD3244, DLP_AD3281, DLP_AD3289, DLP_AD3304, DLP_AD3315, DLP_AD3322, DLP_AD3352, DLP_AD3559, DLP_AD3610, DLP_AD3708, DLP_AD3729, DLP_AD3774, DLP_AD3849, DLP_AD4012, DLP_AD4038, DLP_AD4056, DLP_AD4074, DLP_AD4158, DLP_AD4193, DLP_AD4202, DLP_AD4230, DLP_AD4234, DLP_AD4264, DLP_AD4452, DLP_AD4555, DLP_AD4724, DLP_AD4738, DLP_AD4745, DLP_AD4769, DLP_AD4840, DLP_AD5199, DLP_AD5539, DLP_AD5795, DLP_AD5872, DLP_AD5889, DLP_AD5918, DLP_AD5959, DLP_AD5970, DLP_AD6031, DLP_AD6061, DLP_AD6071, DLP_AD6095, DLP_AD6350, DLP_AD6374, DLP_AD6505, DLP_AD6561, DLP_AD6617, DLP_AD6682, DLP_AD6847, DLP_AD6869, DLP_AD6877, DLP_AD6908, DLP_AD6963, DLP_AD7098, DLP_AD7349, DLP_AD7370, DLP_AD7401, DLP_AD7452, DLP_AD7509, DLP_AD7569, DLP_AD7761, DLP_AD7776, DLP_AD7781, DLP_AD7832, DLP_AD7848, DLP_AD7861, DLP_AD7961, DLP_AD8025, DLP_AD8353, DLP_AD8517, DLP_AD8525, DLP_AD8587, DLP_AD8902, DLP_AD9111, DLP_AD9119, DLP_AD9127, DLP_AD9133, DLP_AD9147, DLP_AD9158, DLP_AD9167, DLP_AD9178, DLP_AD9187, DLP_AD9197, DLP_AD9210, DLP_AD9232, DLP_AD9266, DLP_AD9279, DLP_AD9420, DLP_AD9446, DLP_AD9470, DLP_AD9490, DLP_AD10081, DLP_AD10338, DLP_AD10398, DLP_AD10572, DLP_AD10627, DLP_AD10945	Strategic issues - congestion on the wider road network, queues to leave Honley village, Lockwood Bar Junction (Huddersfield). Road capacity issues, especially around peak school and commuter times, problems at Gynn Lane/A616 New Mill Road, Station Road, Huddersfield Road and Easgate junction. Road safety issues - school children walking to local school and using access from Gynn Lane for playing fields, dangerous bends with poor sight lines on Gynn Lane. Inadequate site access - Gynn Lane is narrow and densely populated, protected trees, no road capable of two lane traffic, steep slopes, river and weak bridge. No space for a footpath despite site assessment requiring this unless Ludhill Dike is culverted and trees are removed.. Parking problems - especially at the start and end of the school day. Public transport - only access to the train station is over private land, frequency issues, site is close to Honley Station. Encourages commuting. Site access can be achieved. Flooding issues - existing surface water problems which would be made worse, run-off from the site enters residents gardens and runs along Marsh Platt Lane and Gynn Lane. Drainage capacity insufficient. Sewer infrastructure will not cope. Site offers opportunities to incorporate soak ways and Sustainable Urban Drainage within its design. Proposals will bring problems of noise pollution. Wildlife affected (some protected by Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981). Proposed site is within the Yorkshire Wildlife Trust River Colne Valley Living Landscape, an area identified for enhanced biodiversity. Site should include enhancement for biodiversity (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust). Negative impact on character. Important not to lose the buffer around Honley Conservation Area. 30 and 32 Gynn Lane (40 metres to the west) are Grade II Listed Buildings. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings. An assessment is required to determine the contribution this site makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of the listed buildings, impacts of the development of this site, if it is considered that harm would occur, mitigation must be set out and where there would still be harm the site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (Historic England). Extensive vegetation buffer between the site and the Grade II listed building at 32 Gynn Lane. School capacity insufficient. Access to hospital provision - potential closure of Huddersfield A&E. Health provision may be insufficient (dentists/doctors). Over burden on local parks. Loss of agricultural land. Woodland off Gynn Lane should all be included as wildlife habitat. Loss of local green space.	Proposed change (boundary)	The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It was also proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). The boundary has been amended to include a dwelling in the northern part of the site but this area is in third party ownership and has been removed from the developable area.	Site access achievable but mitigation will be required due to the impact on an area of protected trees. Design and layout to consider adjacent listed buildings to the west and surface water drainage issues will require further assessment.	Highways information indicated that site access can be achieved. Highways also state that the local links to the network are acceptable (subject to highway improvements in context with the scale of the development).	Further investigation into surface water drainage solutions will be required to ensure this site can meet the surface water run-off rates set out in the local plan policy once adopted.	A heritage impact assessment is required for this site to determine the implications for design and layout.	The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.	Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.	A coal mining risk assessment will be required as the site is within a high risk coal referral area.	There is not sufficient capacity on brownfield sites to meet the housing requirement over the local plan period. Detailed proposals on this site will be subject to relevant local plan policies including utilising the latest evidence in relation to housing mix.	Some supporting comments in relation to this site have been noted in terms of housing needs, access to the site and mitigating the impact on protected trees.
	Proposals go against the purpose of green belt - urban sprawl.											



Site is well contained by steep valley sides and railway embankment.  
 Physical infrastructure will not cope - including gas and electricity.  
 General negative effect on the local area.  
 Negative impact on quality of life - loss of privacy, impact on public footpaths.  
 Cumulative impact unacceptable on character.  
 Honley is a village, not a town.  
 Lack of local amenities.  
 Application for development refused years ago due to old mine workings.  
 Part of the site is steeply sloping.  
 Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size.  
 Potential loss of mature trees if a footpath is created on Gynn Lane. Arboriculture survey undertake to assess impact on trees.  
 Loss of green belt.  
 Loss of Greenfield sites.  
 Should use Brownfield sites first - including the former sports centre site in Huddersfield, land at Thirstin Road in Honley, land next to the old Drill Hall in Thongsbridge, Brook Dying in Meltham.  
 Negative impact on tourism.  
 Type of housing will not meet local needs.  
 More housing is needed in the Holme Valley but it must meet local needs for small and affordable housing.  
 Impact on property prices.  
 Lack of public consultation.

H591	Land to the west of, Cliffe Mount, Ferrand Lane, Gomersal	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 434	No Comment 1
DLP_AD1271, DLP_AD1272, DLP_AD1500, DLP_AD1994, DLP_AD2080, DLP_AD2301, DLP_AD2506, DLP_AD2571, DLP_AD2690, DLP_AD2696, DLP_AD2757, DLP_AD2760, DLP_AD2817, DLP_AD2819, DLP_AD2956, DLP_AD2995, DLP_AD2998, DLP_AD3008, DLP_AD3013, DLP_AD3144, DLP_AD3164, DLP_AD3171, DLP_AD3183, DLP_AD3551, DLP_AD3574, DLP_AD3575, DLP_AD3633, DLP_AD3645, DLP_AD3677, DLP_AD3686, DLP_AD3853, DLP_AD3873, DLP_AD3878, DLP_AD3915, DLP_AD3917, DLP_AD3919, DLP_AD3976, DLP_AD4169, DLP_AD4180, DLP_AD4243, DLP_AD4252, DLP_AD4279, DLP_AD4286, DLP_AD4297, DLP_AD4299, DLP_AD4340, DLP_AD4342, DLP_AD4347, DLP_AD4348, DLP_AD4350, DLP_AD4351, DLP_AD4352, DLP_AD4354, DLP_AD4355, DLP_AD4372, DLP_AD4381, DLP_AD4383, DLP_AD4389, DLP_AD4391, DLP_AD4396, DLP_AD4400, DLP_AD4405, DLP_AD4410, DLP_AD4411, DLP_AD4453, DLP_AD4462, DLP_AD4503, DLP_AD4522, DLP_AD4523, DLP_AD4525, DLP_AD4527, DLP_AD4570, DLP_AD4578, DLP_AD4580, DLP_AD4583, DLP_AD4624, DLP_AD4693, DLP_AD4775, DLP_AD4868, DLP_AD4877, DLP_AD5338, DLP_AD5352, DLP_AD5595, DLP_AD5612, DLP_AD5626, DLP_AD5631, DLP_AD5667, DLP_AD5687, DLP_AD5827, DLP_AD5921, DLP_AD6022, DLP_AD6067, DLP_AD6074, DLP_AD6159, DLP_AD6192, DLP_AD6196, DLP_AD6198, DLP_AD6357, DLP_AD6556, DLP_AD6718, DLP_AD6756, DLP_AD6760, DLP_AD6761, DLP_AD6762, DLP_AD6763, DLP_AD6764, DLP_AD6768, DLP_AD6795, DLP_AD6798, DLP_AD6800, DLP_AD6803, DLP_AD6813, DLP_AD6817, DLP_AD6821, DLP_AD6842, DLP_AD6863, DLP_AD6867, DLP_AD6880, DLP_AD6888, DLP_AD6891, DLP_AD6909, DLP_AD6912, DLP_AD6913, DLP_AD6914, DLP_AD6915, DLP_AD6916, DLP_AD6918, DLP_AD6919, DLP_AD6920, DLP_AD6921, DLP_AD6922, DLP_AD6926, DLP_AD6933, DLP_AD6934, DLP_AD6936, DLP_AD6938, DLP_AD6939, DLP_AD6940, DLP_AD6941, DLP_AD6967, DLP_AD6974, DLP_AD6975, DLP_AD6976, DLP_AD6977, DLP_AD6983, DLP_AD6985, DLP_AD6986, DLP_AD7022, DLP_AD7041, DLP_AD7078, DLP_AD7080, DLP_AD7087, DLP_AD7149, DLP_AD7173, DLP_AD7191, DLP_AD7195, DLP_AD7197, DLP_AD7199, DLP_AD7200, DLP_AD7204, DLP_AD7205, DLP_AD7208, DLP_AD7211, DLP_AD7212, DLP_AD7213, DLP_AD7215, DLP_AD7216, DLP_AD7217, DLP_AD7218, DLP_AD7219, DLP_AD7221, DLP_AD7222, DLP_AD7224, DLP_AD7225, DLP_AD7226, DLP_AD7236, DLP_AD7253, DLP_AD7254, DLP_AD7287, DLP_AD7343, DLP_AD7344, DLP_AD7429, DLP_AD7578, DLP_AD7579, DLP_AD7580, DLP_AD7581, DLP_AD7582, DLP_AD7583, DLP_AD7584, DLP_AD7585, DLP_AD7586, DLP_AD7587, DLP_AD7588, DLP_AD7589, DLP_AD7591, DLP_AD7592, DLP_AD7593, DLP_AD7606, DLP_AD7608, DLP_AD7609, DLP_AD7610, DLP_AD7611, DLP_AD7612, DLP_AD7613, DLP_AD7616, DLP_AD7617, DLP_AD7618, DLP_AD7619, DLP_AD7620, DLP_AD7621, DLP_AD7638, DLP_AD7639, DLP_AD7640, DLP_AD7641, DLP_AD7642, DLP_AD7647, DLP_AD7648, DLP_AD7649, DLP_AD7650, DLP_AD7651, DLP_AD7654, DLP_AD7655, DLP_AD7658, DLP_AD7660, DLP_AD7661, DLP_AD7796, DLP_AD7797, DLP_AD7816, DLP_AD7839, DLP_AD7892, DLP_AD7893, DLP_AD7894, DLP_AD7895, DLP_AD8137, DLP_AD8281, DLP_AD8282, DLP_AD8283, DLP_AD8284, DLP_AD8287, DLP_AD8289, DLP_AD8290, DLP_AD8293, DLP_AD8294, DLP_AD8295, DLP_AD8296, DLP_AD8300, DLP_AD8301, DLP_AD8432, DLP_AD8433, DLP_AD8434, DLP_AD8435, DLP_AD8436, DLP_AD8437, DLP_AD8438, DLP_AD8439, DLP_AD8440, DLP_AD8466, DLP_AD8556, DLP_AD8557, DLP_AD8558, DLP_AD8559, DLP_AD8561, DLP_AD8734, DLP_AD8735, DLP_AD8756, DLP_AD8758, DLP_AD8759, DLP_AD8760, DLP_AD8762, DLP_AD8765, DLP_AD8774, DLP_AD8781, DLP_AD8783, DLP_AD8784, DLP_AD8786, DLP_AD8788, DLP_AD8790, DLP_AD8793, DLP_AD8797, DLP_AD8884, DLP_AD9052, DLP_AD9054, DLP_AD9055, DLP_AD9057, DLP_AD9058, DLP_AD9059, DLP_AD9060, DLP_AD9061, DLP_AD9062, DLP_AD9063, DLP_AD9064, DLP_AD9321, DLP_AD9322, DLP_AD9323, DLP_AD9410, DLP_AD9456, DLP_AD9457, DLP_AD9459, DLP_AD9461, DLP_AD9462, DLP_AD9463, DLP_AD9465, DLP_AD9467, DLP_AD9474, DLP_AD9478, DLP_AD9479, DLP_AD9480, DLP_AD9481, DLP_AD9483, DLP_AD9484, DLP_AD9485, DLP_AD9486, DLP_AD9487, DLP_AD9491, DLP_AD9493, DLP_AD9951, DLP_AD9952, DLP_AD9953, DLP_AD9954, DLP_AD9955, DLP_AD9956, DLP_AD9957, DLP_AD9958, DLP_AD9959, DLP_AD9960, DLP_AD9961, DLP_AD9962, DLP_AD9963, DLP_AD9964, DLP_AD9965, DLP_AD9966, DLP_AD9967, DLP_AD9968, DLP_AD9969, DLP_AD9970, DLP_AD9971, DLP_AD9972, DLP_AD9973, DLP_AD9974, DLP_AD9975, DLP_AD9976, DLP_AD9977, DLP_AD9978, DLP_AD9979, DLP_AD9980, DLP_AD9981, DLP_AD9982, DLP_AD9983, DLP_AD9984, DLP_AD9985, DLP_AD9986, DLP_AD9987, DLP_AD9988, DLP_AD9989, DLP_AD9990, DLP_AD9991, DLP_AD9992, DLP_AD9993, DLP_AD9994, DLP_AD9995, DLP_AD9996, DLP_AD9999, DLP_AD10000, DLP_AD10001, DLP_AD10002, DLP_AD10003, DLP_AD10004, DLP_AD10005, DLP_AD10006, DLP_AD10007, DLP_AD10009, DLP_AD10010, DLP_AD10011, DLP_AD10012, DLP_AD10013, DLP_AD10014, DLP_AD10015, DLP_AD10016, DLP_AD10017, DLP_AD10018, DLP_AD10019, DLP_AD10020, DLP_AD10021, DLP_AD10022, DLP_AD10023, DLP_AD10024, DLP_AD10025, DLP_AD10026, DLP_AD10027, DLP_AD10028, DLP_AD10029, DLP_AD10030, DLP_AD10031, DLP_AD10032, DLP_AD10033, DLP_AD10034, DLP_AD10035, DLP_AD10036, DLP_AD10037, DLP_AD10038, DLP_AD10039, DLP_AD10040, DLP_AD10041, DLP_AD10042, DLP_AD10043, DLP_AD10088, DLP_AD10093, DLP_AD10103, DLP_AD10117, DLP_AD10124, DLP_AD10125, DLP_AD10144, DLP_AD10221, DLP_AD10224, DLP_AD10242, DLP_AD10245, DLP_AD10256, DLP_AD10262, DLP_AD10302, DLP_AD10405, DLP_AD10420, DLP_AD10421, DLP_AD10422, DLP_AD10426, DLP_AD10427, DLP_AD10428, DLP_AD10429, DLP_AD10430, DLP_AD10431, DLP_AD10432, DLP_AD10519, DLP_AD10546, DLP_AD10950, DLP_AD11056, DLP_AD11058, DLP_AD11062, DLP_AD11070					

Road capacity issues

No Change

- Cliffe Lane cannot accommodate another 115 + cars

## Summary of comments

- Cliffe Lane from below Bentleys Cress Farm to Roundhill - road is in poor condition.
- Ferrand Lane Junction with Latham Lane/West Lane needs remodelling
- Ferrand Lane junction towards Cliffe Lane and the West End Public house
- Rat run to avoid Hip Top junction

The watercress farm is extending its land to accommodate vehicles  
Kirklees data shows average of 1,737 vehicles per day pass the area.

### No current access

- from Cliffe Lane - only a public footpath
- from Cliffe Mount - no access
- from Ferrand Lane - not an adopted highway
- from Cliffe Mount

The proposal adjoins an unadopted road that leads to Fan Wood campsite which is patronised by the scouting movement.

It is extremely difficult to get out of the end of Balme Road in to the main A638 especially during peak times, building on this area of green belt and also on H509 will only make this problem worse.

The plan states that access can be acquired via Ferrand Lane and Cliffe Lane. Ferrand lane is currently unadopted and joins onto Latham Lane at its junction with West Lane both of which are narrow and congested. Cliffe Lane is also narrow and extremely busy with both private and Heavy Goods vehicle traffic; Kirklees own figures show that in the six months from 13 th August 2013 to 3 rd February 2014 there were 302,185 vehicles on the stretch of Cliffe Lane from Woodlands Crescent to Woodlands Road, an average of 1,737 vehicles per day and this is the stretch of Cliffe Lane from which access to the proposed site is said to be available.

The site promoter states that they can achieve a site line 2m x 43m, I do not believe this achievable to the right of the proposed access as there is a large stone boundary wall to a large house, Bawson Cliffe, which is adjacent to the public footpath.

Impact of HGVs on a narrow road.

Development will not be close to public transport.

Agreement has been reached for the property demolition required to access the house.

Transport appraisal demonstrates appropriate access on to Cliffe Lane and requirement for visibility splays.  
Drainage capacity insufficient - stream runs through site  
Potential flooding issues - localised flooding, surface water problems  
Proposals will bring problems of noise pollution/poor air quality/increased CO2 emissions.

Based potential pollutant linkages present on the site, the site should be considered to be a moderate risk with respect to contamination.  
Biodiversity/wildlife affected (protected species or on RSPB red endangered list Herons, Hawks, Bats, Newts and Starlings)  
Bats are legally protected. If development is allowed, appropriate mitigation must be put in place for their protection.

Starlings are on the site which are on the Red List of endangered species.

Loss of trees.

An Arboriculture Pre-Development Report has assessed trees on and adjoining the site where public access allows and indicates existing high and moderate value trees and main landscape features would be retained.

## Council Response

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The site is contained by existing buildings on three sides and by Ferrand Lane to the north. This is an urban fringe area where there is a considerable amount of built development already within the green belt. Ferrand Lane would present a very strong new boundary to the north and the existing footpath could be a defensible boundary to the west. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

The site access is achievable from Cliffe Lane with required visibility splays. The site promoter has confirmed control over the required land to achieve this.

A drainage and flood risk assessment, noise assessment and geo environmental report have been submitted which the council considers supports the allocation of the site.

West Yorkshire Ecology do not have any objection to the development of the site.

The Arboriculture Pre-Development Report submitted by the site promoter has been assessed by the Kirklees Tree Officer. It is considered that the site is suitable as an allocation although there are some tree conflicts which would require to be addressed at the Planning application stage. It is proposed that additional text is incorporated into allocation box to reflect this.

The site promoter has submitted an heritage impact assessment. The council is supportive of its conclusions and consider that in designing the final layout of the site, it will be important to ensure the 'agricultural' nature of the treatment to Ferrand Lane is maintained and supplemented. It is proposed that additional text is included in the allocation box to reflect this.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The scheme will retain the public footpath on the western part of the site.

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan sets out the necessary infrastructure to support the Local Plan Strategy.

The site is available and the site promoters have agreement to bring the site forward for development.

The Local Plan Strategy and policy DLP6 seeks to use Brownfield land first. There is not sufficient housing capacity on brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.

Alternative sites including Whiteleys Mill have been considered as part of the site allocation process.

A petition has been received on this site objecting to its allocation, 69 signatures.

The area is a conservation area and the development would impact on historical and architectural importance of the area. The council has a duty under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of Cas. An assessment needs to be undertaken on the effect of the loss of this site and its designation may have on the CA (English Heritage)

School capacity insufficient particularly Gomersal Primary School  
Health provision/services capacity insufficient  
Walking along Ferrand Lane provides health benefits  
Potential loss and/or impact on trees and impact on air quality.  
Tree preservation orders.  
The scheme would retain the public footpath along the western site boundary.  
Loss of informal recreation - Ferrand Lane. This public footpath serves local use and that of tourists.

The land is in the green belt and should not be developed  
Object to use of green belt land  
Proposals go against purpose of green belt/NPPF/NPPG - no special circumstances.  
The site is suitable in green belt terms as it represents a rounding off and consolidation of the north western part of the settlement, there is development on three sides, visually contained, would not impact on role and function of the green belt and would provide a strong boundary.  
Unacceptable impact on landscape - impact on Spen Valley Way of traffic on Ferrand Lane to Cliffe Lane.  
Need to retain and enhance landscape  
No infrastructure to support additional housing.

There is no need for third party land.

The site is available. The site owners have had an agreement to bring the land forward.  
Gomersal comprises small scale pockets of development with open areas in and around which should be protected.  
The site supports a poultry business and grazing for cattle and horses.

Planners should consider how dense the housing is.

Impact on neighbouring properties amenity and loss of view.

Emotional impact of development due to loss of green belt and view.

Radon gas is present and too high and would prevent development.

Mining concerns as a result of former Gomersal colliery.

The site may have been subject to shallow mining of coal, which will also require investigation and may require stabilisation works.

The property is not in a Radon  
Should use Brownfield land first  
The scale of development does not take into account large volume of previous development in the area (260+ new houses Burnley's site and 18 houses on Roundhill  
There is too much development in the north - distribution of development is not equitable.  
Alternative sites:  
Former Whiteleys Mill would be a better alternative  
Junction Oxford Road/West Lane - derelict building  
Spen Lane - Maccess Building - derelict building  
Spen Lane - Highgrove Beds - recently vacated. Capacity for more than 115 apartments  
Lower Lane/Listing Lane (Opposite Bulls Head Pub - shed unused  
Oxford Road - Gomersal Infant School - no longer used as a school  
Oxford Road - Old Police House - empty  
Spen Lane - 2 shops - unused. Suitable for apartments

Summary of comments

Council Response

Old Tesco owned site, Cleckheaton  
 Old Wynsor Shoes/Siddalls Printers  
 Scot Lane (Whitcliffe Road) Old snooker centre  
 Spen Lane Old S Whiteley and sons building  
 Harthead at traffic lights - demolished building  
 Birstall (at traffic lights on A62) 3 boarded up cottages - renovate or demolish and rebuild  
 20 acres of Brownfield sites in Cleckheaton  
 Police station on Oxford Road, Gomersal  
 Derelict buildings bordered by Oxford Road  
 Land to the south of the former Gomersal first school.

Has any account been taken of the 8/10 houses being built at Roundhill, Gomersal.

The development would set a precedent for further development.  
 There are restrictions on developments within line of sight/in the vicinity of cemeteries, and site H591 is clearly within line of sight of the cemetery at Gomersal Methodist Church.

Account should be taken of the proximity of the south Leeds travellers site 3 miles from northern Kirklees boundary.

The site promoter description of the site as a former colliery is incorrect as it is grazing land.

Inconsistent approach taken between sites - why is there no document showing the traffic light scoring for sites that have been accepted similar to rejected sites. Consider rejected site H663 should be accepted.

Kirklees has 2507 empty homes. Kirklees should be encouraging their re-use.

A petition on behalf of Save Gomersal Green belt was submitted with 69 names.

This site represents the most appropriate site allocation out of all reasonable alternatives in and around Gomersal and justifies its allocation.

Technical reports support the allocation and include: Masterplan, Transport Note, Geo-Environmental Desk Study Report, Noise Assessment, Arboriculture Pre-Development Report and Drainage and Flood Risk Statement.

H601	Land to south east of, Park House Healthcare, Whitehall Road West, Birkenshaw	Support	Conditional Support	Object 14	No Comment
DLP_AD871, DLP_AD1521, DLP_AD5761, DLP_AD6317, DLP_AD8196, DLP_AD8200, DLP_AD8210, DLP_AD8215, DLP_AD8267, DLP_AD8270, DLP_AD8349, DLP_AD10825, DLP_AD10826, DLP_AD10827					
Increased pressure on road system Traffic congestion will increase. Already bad at peak times - A58, Birkenshaw roundabout. - Bradford Road		No Change			
Increased pressure on drainage and infrastructure. Deterioration of air quality. Will be affected by noise pollution. Negative impact on environment.			This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.		
Schools at capacity -Birkenshaw Primary			Site access achievable. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.		
Increase in population Health facilities at capacity Earth bund, used as buffer, should be open space			Responses to comments received through the consultation include:  It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.		
Development may impact on privacy and natural light. Assumption of 100 dwelling is flawed due to close proximity of M62, potential contamination risks and archaeology. Area will need to be net off and capacity reduced. Identity of Birkenshaw village will be lost. Site is a buffer zone between residential and industrial. Disproportionate amount of development for area. Site is too close to motorway and industry.			No objections have been raised from Yorkshire Water or Kirklees Drainage team.  The Council has commissioned an Air Quality Assessment (AQA) to assess the potential cumulative impact of sites allocated in the local plan. The Council will monitor air quality annually and set out its findings in its annual monitoring report.		

Summary of comments

Site would be better suited for employment use.  
Objection from local callers

Council Response

No objections raised from West Yorkshire Ecology.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Comments noted.

**H609** Land to the north of, Barnsley Road, Flockton  
DLP\_AD1100, DLP\_AD1319, DLP\_AD3702, DLP\_AD4249, DLP\_AD4344, DLP\_AD10107, DLP\_AD10486, DLP\_AD10656, DLP\_AD10857, DLP\_AD10907

Transport modelling is required to ensure appropriate mitigation.  
Cumulative impact of development on the road network - Barnsley Road, Wakefield Road/Penistone junction already operates beyond theoretical capacity, long queues at Long Lane/Wakefield Road junction in morning peak.  
Objection unless a relief road is built connecting the A637 and A642.  
Road congestion - including single carriageway in places and banned traffic. Substantial improvement to road infrastructure required.  
Road safety issues  
Public transport frequency issues (2 per hour), not reliable, not sufficient quality.  
Issues with vibration from passing traffic.  
Drainage capacity insufficient current storm drains frequently block.  
Flooding issues - will create further surface water run-off.  
Water infrastructure - sewers will not cope.  
Pollution from traffic through the village.  
Air quality at peak times must infringe European legislation.  
Biodiversity affected - Great Crested Newts, Pipistrelle bats.  
Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield (specifically Ossett and Horbury areas). Important to work together to assess impacts (Wakefield Council).  
School capacity insufficient (Flockton First School) and not much scope for extension.  
Access to hospital provision - potential downgrading of Huddersfield and Dewsbury hospital services.

Cumulative impact of development unacceptable in relatively isolated location.  
Mine shafts within the site.  
Loss of Greenfield site.  
Development not sustainable.

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
1	9		

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable. Limited surface water drainage options but greenfield run-off rates required. Potential noise source near site.

Highways have indicated that site access can be achieved and local links are sufficient. Drainage from the site should be limited to Greenfield run-off rates as set out in the draft local plan policy once adopted. The site is not within an air quality management area but a travel plan would be required. Modelling of the wider highways network has also been undertaken.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Our records do not show the presence of mine shafts within the site although the site is in a high risk mining area. A coal mining risk assessment is therefore required. The local plan including the sites, have been subject to Sustainability Appraisal.

**H612** Land north of, 2 - 4, Traith Court, White Lee

No Representations received

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

No Change

This site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

The reason for accepting the site is that it formed a housing allocation in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan and was granted a reserved matters application for 24 dwellings in February 2015 (2014/61/93425/E). The principle of development has therefore, been accepted on this site.

**H616** Land west of, Fenay Bridge Road, Lepton  
DLP\_AD18, DLP\_AD180, DLP\_AD732, DLP\_AD1508, DLP\_AD1514, DLP\_AD1515, DLP\_AD1516, DLP\_AD2793, DLP\_AD3534, DLP\_AD3537, DLP\_AD3766, DLP\_AD3861, DLP\_AD3952, DLP\_AD4313, DLP\_AD4541, DLP\_AD4786, DLP\_AD5836, DLP\_AD6128, DLP\_AD6162, DLP\_AD6584, DLP\_AD6593, DLP\_AD6603, DLP\_AD6738, DLP\_AD6759, DLP\_AD6904, DLP\_AD6948, DLP\_AD7198, DLP\_AD7302, DLP\_AD7313, DLP\_AD7793, DLP\_AD8492, DLP\_AD9376, DLP\_AD10377, DLP\_AD10562

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
		34	

Summary of comments

The roads are congested. Impact on Wakefield Road and Penistone Road, Station Road, Highgate Lane. Site access can not be achieved. Junction of Lascelles Hall and Fenay Bridge Road not adequate. Insufficient pedestrian access. Public transport should be improved. Impact on biodiversity. Impact on wildlife. The schools have capacity issues. Rowley Lane / Lepton C of E and King James'. The doctors have capacity issues. Concern about the future of HRI. This is a valued local green space that remains between Huddersfield and Lepton.

Will impact on local character. No additional services provided, including parking areas, garages, shops, play areas. The cumulative impact of Local Plan housing sites will cause Lepton to grow at an unsustainable level. Site is steeply sloping. Redevelop derelict mills. Visual amenity will be affected. Fish and chip shop is a very important amenity.

Council Response

No change

The site in an accepted housing option. Site access achievable onto Wakefield Road and Fenay Bridge Road.

The site has been assessed for its impact on the local road network and no significant constraints have been identified.

The site has been considered for its impact on biodiversity and no major constraints have been identified.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

Elements of local character and amenity can be considered as part of any planning application for development of the site.

The sloping nature of the site is not considered an overriding constraint to the site's allocation. Slope can be considered in the layout of any future development.

**H623** Land east of, Weatherhill Road, Birchencliffe  
DLP\_AD7029, DLP\_AD7107, DLP\_AD8807, DLP\_AD10687, DLP\_AD11030

Traffic in the area is congested. 12 and 13 Warren house Lane and the adjacent barn to the west of this area are a Grade II Listed Buildings. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these Listed Buildings and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. (Historic England) School capacity issues in the area.

Exacerbates impact of recent developments in Lindley. National Grid policy is to retain existing overhead lines in-situ. National Grid advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning developments. National Grid prefers that buildings are not built directly beneath its overhead lines. The statutory safety clearances between overhead lines, the ground, and built structures must not be infringed. National Grid seeks to encourage high quality and well planned development in the vicinity of its high voltage overhead lines. Land beneath and adjacent to the overhead line route should be used to make a positive contribution to the development of the site and can for example be used for nature conservation, open space, landscaping areas or used as a parking court.

Support Conditional Support 2 Object 3 No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access achievable from Weatherhill Road but local highway improvements would be required relating to the development of this site.

The impact on listed buildings and impact of National Grid infrastructure can be considered as part of a planning application. 0.32 hectares has been removed from the net developable area due to the presence of a pylon.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

H626 Land to the west of, Bankfield Drive, Holmbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object 85	No Comment	2
<p>DLP_AD105, DLP_AD123, DLP_AD206, DLP_AD236, DLP_AD242, DLP_AD256, DLP_AD262, DLP_AD427, DLP_AD550, DLP_AD640, DLP_AD654, DLP_AD656, DLP_AD685, DLP_AD686, DLP_AD712, DLP_AD736, DLP_AD797, DLP_AD804, DLP_AD805, DLP_AD847, DLP_AD891, DLP_AD1022, DLP_AD1149, DLP_AD1179, DLP_AD1207, DLP_AD1236, DLP_AD1274, DLP_AD1277, DLP_AD1348, DLP_AD1489, DLP_AD1552, DLP_AD1585, DLP_AD1681, DLP_AD2009, DLP_AD2030, DLP_AD2109, DLP_AD2350, DLP_AD2372, DLP_AD2378, DLP_AD2594, DLP_AD2788, DLP_AD2792, DLP_AD2858, DLP_AD2983, DLP_AD3142, DLP_AD3143, DLP_AD3539, DLP_AD3568, DLP_AD3643, DLP_AD3790, DLP_AD3921, DLP_AD4124, DLP_AD4177, DLP_AD4181, DLP_AD4399, DLP_AD4456, DLP_AD4500, DLP_AD4536, DLP_AD4617, DLP_AD4731, DLP_AD4843, DLP_AD4845, DLP_AD5118, DLP_AD5150, DLP_AD5257, DLP_AD5384, DLP_AD5390, DLP_AD5461, DLP_AD5564, DLP_AD5585, DLP_AD5764, DLP_AD6629, DLP_AD6780, DLP_AD7074, DLP_AD7342, DLP_AD7387, DLP_AD7413, DLP_AD7596, DLP_AD7758, DLP_AD7906, DLP_AD7917, DLP_AD7918, DLP_AD8021, DLP_AD8464, DLP_AD9035, DLP_AD9926, DLP_AD10387</p>					
<p>Wider road congestion - A6024 congested, often single lane traffic due to parked cars (for example Bridge Tavern to Shaw Lane). Traffic is worse when Woodhead Pass is closed. Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network. Road safety - especially children walking to school on Dobb Top Road which is a Rural Schools Route, dangerous in winter weather conditions, poor access for emergency vehicles, lack of safe pedestrian crossing point on Woodhead Road, sharp bends, blind corners. Road capacity issues - narrow roads with no scope for widening, no pavements (Dobb Top Road, Smithy Lane), five way junction with 1 in 5 / 1 in 6 gradients and poor visibility (Smithy Lane, Dobb Top Road, Bankfield Drive, Laithe Bank Drive), junction of Co-op Lane and A6024, parking issues (including in winter when residents need to leave cars at the bottom of Bankfield Drive). Land slip at Dobb Top Road this year. Public transport frequency issues. Route along Bank Lane, Smithy Lane, Dobb Top Road and Hollin Brigg Lane is a recognised official West Yorkshire Cycling route. Encourages commuting. Sewer infrastructure cannot cope. Water infrastructure cannot cope. Flooding issues - will increase overland flow, development will impact on system of soakaways which will cause flooding, fields proposed are at the lowest point of the water catchments. Surface water flood risk should be added to constraints shown in the local plan for this site. Noise from adjacent farm and unacceptable impact of construction noise on horses. Wildlife affected. School capacity insufficient (Hinchliffe Mill Junior and Infant School) - funding for further classrooms denied. No further physical space to extend the school. No secondary school available unless travelling through Holmfirth and no sixth form provision on this side of Huddersfield. Concern also raised directly by Hinchliffe Mill Junior and Infant School. Health provision insufficient - no doctor, dentist or pharmacy in the area. Impact of potential closure of Huddersfield A&amp;E. Loss of farmland. Will make public right of way less accessible and reduce visual amenity from the footpath.</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access is achievable and a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network. Surface water run-off to be restricted to greenfield run-off rates. In combination effects on the Special Protection Area / Special Area of Conservation to be considered and impacts on local wildlife sites and local plantations.</p> <p>Highways assessments show that site access is achievable and that wider links to the network are acceptable. Assessment of the local highway network links has shown that a site of this size would not represent a significant intensification of use on the local highway network.</p> <p>Although there are limited opportunities for the management of surface water from the site, the run-off rates would be limited to Greenfield rates as set out in local plan policies once adopted. Drainage solutions within the site boundary may need to be explored through a planning application.</p> <p>Environmental Health have raised no objections in relation to noise from adjacent uses.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p>			
<p>Proposals go against the purpose of green belt. Impacts on the national park and views - proposed site is only 0.5 miles from the Peak boundary. Detrimental impact on visual amenity - open views to Holme Moss, Saddleworth Moor and impact on deep valley setting. Physical infrastructure will not cope. Negative impact on community. Lack of local amenities. Impact on character of Kirklees and Holmbridge. Land instability issues. Use Brownfield sites first - numerous former derelict industrial sites in the Holme Valley. Consider other more suitable sites first. Loss of Greenfield sites. Negative impact on tourism. Already too much housing development in Holmbridge. Bring empty houses back into use instead of building new ones. Lack of employment to sustain new homes. Concern that development of this site may lead to further development of adjacent fields. Site should be part of the adjacent safeguarded land (SL2188) rather than allocated for housing.</p>		<p>It should be noted that this site is not currently within the green belt. There is not sufficient housing capacity on brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement. The council have a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as capacity from this source is not guaranteed. The Sustainability Appraisal and settlement appraisal evidence indicates that this site is in a sustainable location.</p>			

Summary of comments

Council Response

A larger development option including this land was rejected in the draft local plan due to highways issues as have others in the local area.  
 Unsustainable location for new housing.  
 Disproportionate scale of development for rural areas of Kirklees.  
 Views of local residents ruined.  
 Loss of privacy due to overlooking and right to light (Human Rights Act).  
 Reasons for rejection of 1993 planning application still stand and the situation is now worse.  
 Yorkshire Water treatment works was build underground close to this site to avoid impacts on visual amenity.

**H633** Land South West of, Vicarage Road, Longwood  
 DLP\_AD1435, DLP\_AD2567, DLP\_AD3202, DLP\_AD4208, DLP\_AD5140, DLP\_AD10179, DLP\_AD11050

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 6 No Comment

Increased traffic on Thornhill Road

No change.

Thornhill Road - through Longwood - is inadequate, leading to Longwood Gate which provides a poor access to M62.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

New dwellings should have parking for two vehicles to reduce on street parking, which is a problem in the area

The site has access from Vicarage Road, subject to achieving the necessary visibility splays. The site is in flood zone 1, though there is a watercourse running through the site, this has been removed from the net area. Appropriate sewer stand off distance required for sewerage infrastructure across the site. The site includes mixed deciduous woodland that forms part of the habitats network.

Access drawn from bend of Thornhill Road and Vicarage Road would be dangerous

The proposed capacity of the site is indicative, based on housing densities achieved previously across the district.  
 It is noted that the site forms part of the habitats network, so design of the site would have to reflect this.

Access serving small new development off Vicarage Road could be extended but would need to have many bends to deal with gradient  
 Land currently acts as drainage conduit

Building here would lead to increased flood risk downstream - at Milnsbridge

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Appropriate sewer stand off distance required for sewerage infrastructure across the site (Yorkshire Water)  
 Impact on wildlife

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The site is a green corridor in the UDP  
 Longwood area still retains evidence of the rural and industrial heritages which are essential features of its character - development should be designed to have regard to the Longwood Village Design Statement.  
 Impact on education provision  
 Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&E closure at HRI

Impact on healthcare provision

Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development

CIL generated in Longwood should contribute to infrastructure improvements in the locality

Proposed site capacity is too high  
 This greenspace is important to community of Longwood

Significant amount of development has taken place in this area recently

**H634** Land to the West of, Inkerman Court, Barnsley Road, Denby Dale  
 DLP\_AD2270, DLP\_AD2416, DLP\_AD2453, DLP\_AD2709, DLP\_AD3208, DLP\_AD3209, DLP\_AD3211, DLP\_AD3442, DLP\_AD3547, DLP\_AD3668, DLP\_AD3682, DLP\_AD3789, DLP\_AD3808, DLP\_AD3818, DLP\_AD3984, DLP\_AD4008, DLP\_AD4157, DLP\_AD4161, DLP\_AD4283, DLP\_AD4288, DLP\_AD4301, DLP\_AD4302, DLP\_AD4303, DLP\_AD4335, DLP\_AD4345, DLP\_AD4365, DLP\_AD4520, DLP\_AD4569, DLP\_AD4630, DLP\_AD5013, DLP\_AD5045, DLP\_AD5063, DLP\_AD5348, DLP\_AD5452, DLP\_AD5464, DLP\_AD5552, DLP\_AD5721, DLP\_AD5868, DLP\_AD5897, DLP\_AD6087, DLP\_AD6114, DLP\_AD6244, DLP\_AD6340, DLP\_AD6827, DLP\_AD6830, DLP\_AD7084, DLP\_AD7104, DLP\_AD7294, DLP\_AD7353, DLP\_AD7597, DLP\_AD7601, DLP\_AD8150, DLP\_AD8162, DLP\_AD8763, DLP\_AD9396, DLP\_AD9839, DLP\_AD10154, DLP\_AD10186, DLP\_AD10470, DLP\_AD10586, DLP\_AD10940

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 58 No Comment 1

Highway safety issues - junction with A635 and its gradient.

No change.



## Summary of comments

Access problems to A635 - would not be solved by reduction in speed limit or improved sight lines.

High traffic speeds on Barnsley Road.

Necessary visibility splays are not achievable

Highway congestions at peak times.

Additional impact on Bank Lane, Norman Road and Miller Hill - accessing Denby Dale centre.

Impact of journey times to Huddersfield.

Access to site would need to consider access to Inkerman Pool

Impact on local road network.

A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.

Parking issues in Denby Dale.

No buses on Barnsley Road.

Site should only be accessed from Barnsley Road.

The site and H634 should be accessed from a shared access point from Barnsley Road.

Site has good access to Barnsley Road so will not impact on traffic in the centre of the village.

Site is close to existing services.

Increase in surface water run off, with potential impact on Broomhouse Close, Dearnside and Inkerman Way.

Mains sewer pipe running under properties on Inkerman Way - so drainage could adversely affect these properties.

Impact on run-off to Haley Well Beck

Surface water ponding on the site.

Springs and water issues throughout the site.

Existing gardens to north of the site already have drainage problems  
Light pollution affecting houses north of the site.

Noise and disruption to residents of Inkerman Way etc  
Impact on wildlife

Impact on tree cover around the fields.

Impact on Tanner Wood (Ancient woodland)  
Impact on school and nursery provision, schools (inc Gillthwaites) are at capacity.

Significant walking distance to Gillthwaites

Older children will need to travel to Scissett and Skelmanthorpe for education provision.  
If part of the council owned site could be used for other uses, e.g. allotment.

## Council Response

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Development of the site is subject to achieving safe access, with necessary visibility splays, from Barnsley Road. Barnsley Road to the south and woodland to the west, along with the adjacent site to the east can form a defendable green belt boundary. The site is in flood zone 1, Greenfield rates required for drainage, Ashwell Beck runs along the western boundary of the site.

The site has direct access on to the A635. It is considered that measures to improve visibility or to reduce speeds on this stretch of road will be necessary and the gradient on approach to the junction should be 2.5% (1 in 40).

It is considered that the boundary for this site and H233 provide containment and would not lead to sprawl eastwards. The site is contained by Barnsley Road to the south and woodland to the west, along with the adjacent site to the east can form a defendable green belt boundary. The green belt boundaries have remained the same since 1980, but there has been an increase in development pressure since then as other opportunities have been exhausted.

The density on the site proposed in the Local Plan is indicative and may be higher or lower when more detailed development proposals are submitted.

The site is not council owned.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

There has been no change to the reasons for which the land was originally designated as green belt.

Comparable green belt sites have been rejected, for reasons that would seem to apply to H634.

Proposals go against purposes of Green Belt.

Taking this land out of the green belt would set a precedent for development to the east, towards the Dunkirk and possibly beyond.

Green Belt review is flawed as DD3 and DD4 edges are similar in role and function, yet have different scores

What are the exceptional circumstances for removing the land from the green belt?

This land cannot be described as infill land.

There is little risk of countryside encroachment as Barnsley Road to the south and Tanner Wood to the east.

This site is infill between existing developments.  
Would result in loss of agricultural fields which enhance the local landscape.  
Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development

Topography wouldn't allow for 30 dwellings per hectare.

A higher CIL charge should be applied and returned to the community  
Reduced amenity for locale and adjacent occupiers - issues of overlooking / overshadowing of homes and gardens because of change in levels.

Negative impact on community

Impact on tourism

Impact on leisure and recreation facilities.  
Should be provision of affordable housing and housing for older people

Should use Brownfield first  
Impact on local electricity network.

Lack of public consultation / publication / complicated website.

H638	Land to the north of, Tinker Lane, Lepton	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 31	No Comment
DLP_AD989, DLP_AD1105, DLP_AD1335, DLP_AD1698, DLP_AD1704, DLP_AD2396, DLP_AD2619, DLP_AD3501, DLP_AD3518, DLP_AD3535, DLP_AD3597, DLP_AD3770, DLP_AD3866, DLP_AD3953, DLP_AD4542, DLP_AD4663, DLP_AD5421, DLP_AD5837, DLP_AD6127, DLP_AD6161, DLP_AD6587, DLP_AD6595, DLP_AD6605, DLP_AD6739, DLP_AD6758, DLP_AD6950, DLP_AD7303, DLP_AD7314, DLP_AD8494, DLP_AD9377, DLP_AD10379, DLP_AD10563	Road congestion, road capacity issues - Wakefield Road, Penistone Road. Also Rowley Lane, Station Road, Common End land congestion at school times, difficulty for public transport access. Site will not generate enough traffic to have an adverse impact on the local road network. Pond Lane unsuitable for construction traffic. Road safety - Pond Lane/Far Croft junction has poor visibility, no pavement on part of Pond Lane, Pond Lane/Wakefield Road junction busy and dangerous already. Traffic lights should be considered if the proposal goes ahead. The section from Tinker Lane to Lower House Lane can be upgraded to adoptable standards. Restricted site access. Parking issues in vicinity of the site and wider area. Additional parking required at the school. Flooding issues - will create further surface water run-off problems. Already issues with water underneath	No change.	The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.	Site access achievable subject to Tinker Lane being brought up to an adoptable standard. Design will need take into account and minimise impacts on the culverted watercourse to the north-west boundary of the site.	Highways assessment of this site shows that the site access is achievable and the local links to the highways network are acceptable. Environmental Health did not raise concerns in relation to air quality impacts of

Summary of comments

properties on Far Croft.  
 Sewer infrastructure cannot cope.  
 Water supply will not cope.  
 Proposals will bring problems of poor air quality.  
 Biodiversity affected.  
 School capacity insufficient (Rowley Lane/Lepton, King James).  
 Health provision insufficient - doctors, dentist  
 Development decisions should not be made until decisions over Huddersfield A&E are resolved.  
 Negative impact on the health of current population.

Do not use green belt land.  
 Site has self-containment in a wider green belt context.  
 Physical infrastructure cannot cope - constant building work on Pond Lane.  
 Should use Brownfield land first.  
 Lack of amenities in the area.  
 Negative impact on quality of life.  
 High voltage power lines across the land.  
 Overhead power lines on the site can be diverted underground.  
 Bring vacant houses back into use.  
 Lower density housing if the schemes go ahead in Lepton.  
 Lepton will become an extension of Huddersfield rather than a village.  
 2012 application for 2 dwellings refused as inappropriate development in the green belt.  
 Not necessarily against house building but concerns about impacts on traffic.  
 Site is immediately available when the local plan is validated.

Council Response

developing this site.  
 Surface water discharge from the site must be attenuated to Greenfield run-off rates as set out in the local plan policy once adopted.

No concerns have been raised by West Yorkshire Ecology in relation to protected species or habitats.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Although this site is currently within the green belt, the assessment of this site has shown that there is no risk of sprawl and the site has only limited relationship with the wider countryside.

Power lines crossing the site entrance on Tinkler Lane are not regarded as an absolute constraint.

The council have a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as capacity from this source is not guaranteed. The site capacity is indicative and will be determined in more detail through the planning application process.

The availability of this site is acknowledged.

H652	Land to the North West of, Eastfield Mills, Abbey Road North, Shepley	Support 11	Conditional Support 4	Object 8	No Comment
DLP_AD1308, DLP_AD1671, DLP_AD1949, DLP_AD2058, DLP_AD2688, DLP_AD2749, DLP_AD2837, DLP_AD3935, DLP_AD4211, DLP_AD4320, DLP_AD4518, DLP_AD5157, DLP_AD5256, DLP_AD5262, DLP_AD5663, DLP_AD5668, DLP_AD5735, DLP_AD8226, DLP_AD8230, DLP_AD8232, DLP_AD8472, DLP_AD10366, DLP_AD10517					

Cumulative impact of development here, in Lepton and Almondbury on A629, as well as development in the Dearne Valley would need to be resolved.  
 Highway safety issues - northern and southern junctions of the Knowle with A629. A new link from A629 to the Knowle, with current junctions blocked off or made one way would be appropriate.  
 Traffic congestion in Shepley  
 Local support for changing speed limit to 30mph on this part of A629  
 A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.  
 The site is in a sustainable location  
 The provision of a wider footpath on the site frontage is achievable as the land is within the owners' control  
 Site has good public transport links, 300m from Shepley station.  
 Shepley acts as a transport hub for the local area (Rail, bus, A629, A635)  
 Visibility splays can be achieved on to Abbey Road  
 Impact on drainage  
 Development of the site, through provision of SuDS could help improve surface water drainage  
 The site is located in SPZ1 and therefore should be included under 'constraints'. We recommend that a hydrological risk assessment and Construction Environment Management Plan (CEMP) is included under 'Reports/commentary' section. (Environment Agency)  
 It is not considered the site is at risk of noise but a noise survey will be undertaken in due course.  
 Impact on wildlife / range of species.  
 The scale of reduction in site area is not necessary to protect the biodiversity constraints identified.  
 Woodland in H339 provides opportunity to screen existing employment development from proposed housing  
 Impact on education provision  
 Shepley first school has less pupils than 10 years ago, as average age of residents in Shepley has increased. This trend is reflected in census data from 2001 to 2011

No change.  
 The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 Site access achievable and the adjacent housing option H339 has also been accepted to the south of this site. As part of the site is within a groundwater source protection zone relevant assessment will be required. Noise sources near to the site to be considered further and ecological assessment required in relation to ponds and protected trees on parts of the site.  
 Highways information indicates that site access can be achieved as does further information from the site promoter. A Transport model has been commissioned to assess the cumulative impacts of development.  
 Drainage information indicates a suitable drainage solution can be achieved on this site. A hydrological assessment and construction environment management plan will be required to take account of the groundwater source protection zone.  
 The developable area of this site has been reduced to allow the retention of the pond (UK BAP Priority Habitat) in accordance with West Yorkshire Ecology recommendations.  
 The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.  
 There is not sufficient housing capacity on brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.

Summary of comments

Removal of the green belt gap between Shelley and Shepley  
 Site is contained by the railway - which represents a strong green belt barrier  
 The site does not fulfil the required functions of green belt as set out in national policy  
 The site was not in the Green Belt pre UDP  
 No constraints to prevent delivery of the site.  
 Owners of H339 currently want to retain commercial use on the site - but sites should be subject to a single master plan  
 Owners of H339 support development and both sites are available.  
 Affordable homes are required in the area  
 Impact on existing industrial uses adjacent to site  
 Potential for this site to be developed for employment, with current employment site developed for housing  
 Site provides opportunity for housing for younger families to be provided in Shepley

Only one house overlooks this site.

Development of the site would be a visual improvement along A629  
 TPO is within H339 not H652  
 TPOs are within private garden so would not impact on development of site.  
 Site is within coal mining area - but soil in this area is clay - no evidence of mining subsidence.  
 Employment land needed in this area / Kirklees  
 Should use brownfield sites first, e.g. Firth Mill, Abbey Road

Council Response

The proportion of affordable homes and the mix of housing to be provided will be determined by the policy and latest evidence at the time of a planning application. The land to the south of this option is also an accepted housing option (H339).

Support for the development of this green belt site noted.

H659	Land east of, Beldon Brook Green, Lepton	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object 90	No Comment
DLP_AD177, DLP_AD178, DLP_AD1280, DLP_AD1315, DLP_AD1422, DLP_AD1528, DLP_AD1531, DLP_AD2741, DLP_AD2826, DLP_AD2849, DLP_AD2905, DLP_AD3024, DLP_AD3270, DLP_AD3273, DLP_AD3359, DLP_AD3463, DLP_AD3495, DLP_AD3509, DLP_AD3517, DLP_AD3524, DLP_AD3532, DLP_AD3590, DLP_AD3604, DLP_AD3660, DLP_AD3764, DLP_AD3855, DLP_AD3907, DLP_AD3949, DLP_AD4087, DLP_AD4091, DLP_AD4311, DLP_AD4317, DLP_AD4512, DLP_AD4531, DLP_AD4546, DLP_AD4661, DLP_AD4708, DLP_AD4782, DLP_AD4890, DLP_AD4991, DLP_AD5309, DLP_AD5517, DLP_AD5749, DLP_AD5771, DLP_AD5818, DLP_AD5824, DLP_AD5834, DLP_AD5849, DLP_AD6088, DLP_AD6123, DLP_AD6156, DLP_AD6337, DLP_AD6392, DLP_AD6583, DLP_AD6592, DLP_AD6601, DLP_AD6716, DLP_AD6735, DLP_AD6755, DLP_AD6896, DLP_AD6947, DLP_AD7076, DLP_AD7160, DLP_AD7190, DLP_AD7297, DLP_AD7311, DLP_AD7482, DLP_AD7491, DLP_AD7537, DLP_AD7790, DLP_AD7875, DLP_AD8268, DLP_AD8457, DLP_AD8491, DLP_AD8513, DLP_AD8584, DLP_AD8796, DLP_AD8990, DLP_AD9219, DLP_AD9355, DLP_AD9373, DLP_AD9586, DLP_AD9932, DLP_AD10123, DLP_AD10374, DLP_AD10444, DLP_AD10559, DLP_AD10640, DLP_AD10653, DLP_AD10905, DLP_AD10989, DLP_AD10992					

Congestion on Penistone Road/Rowley lane is excessive in morning and pm. Penistone Road needs to be upgraded/widened to cope with additional traffic as well as route into Huddersfield. Congestion problems on Barnsley Road, Flockton and routes to M1 through Bretton. Congestion on Rowley Lane, Highgate Lane and Station Road in the morning. Extra parking provision required at the school. Parked cars and speeding traffic on Rowley Lane make this road very dangerous - speed humps needed and 20mph zone. Traffic from Capita offices at entrance to Woodsome Park has 70-100 cars daily from this site. Impossible to turn right at the bottom of Rowley Lane in am/pm peak traffic. Concerns raised re. impact on Sovereign junction with increased traffic and road improvements that are needed there i.e signalisation. Impact of additional traffic from Storthes Hall development too. Hermitage Park cannot accommodate any traffic passing through it as it is a small residential cul de sac.

Transport Appraisal submitted by site promoter demonstrating access through H455 and Hermitage Park. Existing drainage systems already working at full capacity - development will add to the drainage problem. Proximity of development to Beldon Brook and Fenay Beck will have a negative impact. Concerns about impact on air quality along Penistone Road corridor with additional queuing traffic at proposed new roundabout. Area has bats, owls, badgers, foxes and deer. Home to many types of mammals and birds and once lost will never be retrieved. Area has direct relationship with Lepton Great Wood and any development would impact on woods eco system and habitat network. TPOS and protected species in vicinity of the site. Historic England - results of Castle Hill Study setting need to be taken account of. 8 Rowley Lane and Crow Trees Hall are on the site of a medieval settlement see rep ID DLP\_AD8987 Lack of school place available at Rowley Lane and Lepton Junior School and local secondary school King James. No plans to expand to the schools at the moment - needs serious consideration. Impossible to get a doctor appointment at Lepton Surgery, no additional capacity for more patients. Lack of A&E department at Hudds. Fenay Greenway still has not taken place and was given funding in 2000. The sites provide of sense of place for recreation purposes and should be kept open. The sites contain many PROWs. If access is to be

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reason for change is outlined below:

The site is part of larger accepted option H2730a which supersedes this site option.

Comments are noted re. the local highway network in the area. A Transport Assessment would be required as part of any application on this site which would assess the impact of the development on the surrounding highway network. Any highway improvements considered necessary would be in context with the development and local highway network. It is generally considered that some residential development served off Hermitage Park is likely to be acceptable however it is the nature of the existing highway network and its operational characteristics that influences the acceptable number of dwellings. The Council are sceptical that the proposed 300 dwellings and associated transportation movements (pedestrian, cyclist, public transport, and vehicles) could be confidently met safely and efficiently from Hermitage Park and the immediate local highway network.

Comments are noted about drainage. The site lies in flood zone 1. Surface water discharge must be attenuated to Greenfield rates.

Air Quality is highlighted as a concern. Kirklees Council model and monitor within the district to identify problem areas within the district. The area surrounding this site has not been identified highly polluted, nor has monitoring along Penistone Road indicated an exceedance of health related objectives. Air quality emissions from this site has been considered and recommendations have been made to safeguard sustainability of development with the aim to aid with the reduction of pollutants in the district

West Yorkshire Ecology recommend a buffer of between 20-50m to the ancient woodland at Lepton Great Wood. This serves as a mitigation to any detrimental impact on wildlife in the area.

Summary of comments

taken over Fenay Greenway consideration should be given to a bridge and funding from developers to secure the greenway.

Inspector in 2001 enquiry concluded this area should be kept as open countryside and contributes to the openness of the GB.  
 Cumulative impact on landscape will be disastrous.  
 Large amount of historical coal mining activity on these sites. Tunnels are evident beneath the sites. Also appearance of a sink hole to the west of Lepton Great Wood.  
 Farnley Estate proposals are purely profit driven - not interested in preserving the countryside.  
 Approve of this site as it was formerly a clay pipe works and can be classed as Brownfield.  
 There is restrictive covenant on the land which states land should be used by local people.

Cumulative impact on the landscape with all surrounding developments accepted in LP will have a disastrous effect.

Council Response

A Heritage Impact Assessment is required that will determine any detrimental impacts on heritage assets.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Fenay Greenway is part of the core walking and cycling network therefore provision for it retention and creation is covered by Policy DLP24.

The Local Plan has undertaken a Green Belt Review to assess which sections of the Green Belt may be appropriate for land release. The results of this analysis can be found in The Green Belt Review and Outcomes report.

The site is located within a high risk coal mining area therefore a coal mining risk assessment will be required.

Comments of support are noted for this site.

Comments regarding private land law issues are not a matter to consider during the Local Plan process.

H660	Land east of, Netherton Moor Road, Netherton	Support 2	Conditional Support 1	Object 74	No Comment
DLP_AD132, DLP_AD288, DLP_AD534, DLP_AD646, DLP_AD660, DLP_AD718, DLP_AD913, DLP_AD1011, DLP_AD1039, DLP_AD1298, DLP_AD1380, DLP_AD1396, DLP_AD1488, DLP_AD1772, DLP_AD1773, DLP_AD1958, DLP_AD2000, DLP_AD2056, DLP_AD2140, DLP_AD2272, DLP_AD2455, DLP_AD2512, DLP_AD2522, DLP_AD2541, DLP_AD2549, DLP_AD2633, DLP_AD2637, DLP_AD2833, DLP_AD3092, DLP_AD3163, DLP_AD3613, DLP_AD3723, DLP_AD3740, DLP_AD4241, DLP_AD4699, DLP_AD5654, DLP_AD5686, DLP_AD5943, DLP_AD5946, DLP_AD6064, DLP_AD6121, DLP_AD6139, DLP_AD6166, DLP_AD6243, DLP_AD6362, DLP_AD6765, DLP_AD6850, DLP_AD6890, DLP_AD7018, DLP_AD7483, DLP_AD7560, DLP_AD7873, DLP_AD8020, DLP_AD8446, DLP_AD8506, DLP_AD8599, DLP_AD8769, DLP_AD9097, DLP_AD9206, DLP_AD9353, DLP_AD9414, DLP_AD9427, DLP_AD9507, DLP_AD9530, DLP_AD9537, DLP_AD9549, DLP_AD10251, DLP_AD10290, DLP_AD10347, DLP_AD10419, DLP_AD10434, DLP_AD10458, DLP_AD10506, DLP_AD10580, DLP_AD10618, DLP_AD10918, DLP_AD10931					

Routes through the Valleys have to pass through railway viaducts and can not be widened. The road infrastructure is not adequate. Moor Lane, Meltham Road, Bankfoot are congested. Magdale and surrounding roads are very narrow. Local roads are in bad repair. Cumulative impact of housing in Newsome, Honley Crosland Moor and Meltham will create traffic problems. Moor Lane and Netherton Moor Road are very congested by the school. Lack of or inadequate pavements. Routes on to Blackmoorfoot Road and Meltham Road into Lockwood are at capacity. There is no rail network through Meltham and Holmfirth to help ease congestion. Bus services are limited.  
 Road capacity issues - narrowness on Moor Lane/Netherton Moor Road, road is often congested in a morning/school run. Regular complaints to Police and Council. Hawkroyd Bank Road narrows at the end of H102 & H660. No footways. School children walk in Bankfoot Lane with no pavements - dangerous. Magdale no footways and narrow. Narrow nature of Sandbeds. Coppice Drive used as a rat run to avoid Marten Nest crossroads. Moor Lane at junction with Meltham Road is severely congested morning and evening with queuing traffic backing up to Beaumont Street.  
 Capacity issues on Blackmoorfoot Road/Lockwood Bar. Problems with farm traffic/weight restrictions on Bankfoot Lane.  
 No local rail network.  
 Lack of adequate bus services.  
 Lack of parking facilities in the village centre.  
 The drainage system is under strain. Local drainage issue - Honely end of Hawkroyd Bank Road is always flooded.  
 Properties on Sandbeds have cesspits. Private sewers at Hinchliffe Farm Shop and beyond. Recent planning application on Sandbeds had problems connecting to mains sewers. Armitage Bridge pumping station overstretched.  
 Junction of Sandbeds and Hawkbank Road regularly floods. See photographic evidence on rep AD9204.

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

This site is contained by existing development to the north and by roads to the west and east which could form a strong and defensible new green belt boundary. The new boundary to the south could be provided by the existing field boundary although this is not such a strong feature on the ground. The extent of the site presents no risk of merger with Magdale and would result in a well proportioned and contained settlement extension. The site could be released from the green belt without compromising the role and function of the green belt in this location.

Comments are noted about the local highway network in this area, the Transport Appraisal and indicative master plan have been considered by the Council. Consideration could be given to extending the speed limit which currently starts at the boundary of the existing residential area subject to consultation and relevant procedures. This could overcome the sight line issues onto Hawkroyd Bank Road and Netherton Moor Road. A Transport Assessment would be required as part of any application on this site which would assess the impact of the development on the surrounding highway network. Any highway improvements considered necessary would be in context with the development and local highway network.

The Councils Flood Management team are aware of the flooding issues on the junction of Hawkroyd Bank Rd.

The Council are not aware of any quarry, but notwithstanding this, contaminated land will be examined as part of any permission.

Summary of comments

Rep ID AD6364. Re-consult strategic drainage.  
 Presence of Scar Top quarry. Near to Lavender Court and Coppice Drive.  
 Negative impact on character/natural beauty and visual amenity.  
 Negative environmental impact on wildlife - deer, bats and foxes, birds of prey, hedgehogs, native birds.  
 Site is in close proximity to Mag Wood and Spring Wood LWS and assessment needs to be done re. impact of combined site on adjacent LWS.  
 Historic England - prehistoric remains at Honley Wood. Study needs to be undertaken re. impact upon this setting.  
 School capacity insufficient at 2 Netherton schools and secondary schools. Netherton Junior and Infants School is oversubscribed.  
 Health service insufficient/capacity at local doctors.  
 Air pollution from additional cars. Local medical services are oversubscribed.  
 Development would spoil area used for walking, cycling and running.

No analysis to justify need to release land in this location and no evidence how the development would not impact upon the character of the Honley/Brockholes/Netherton area. Merging of settlements and loss of distinct character and feeling of 'openness' when entering the village especially when approaching from Honley. Urban sprawl.

no defendable GB boundary on these sites. Assessment goes against of purpose of including land within the GB given proximity to LWS.  
 This area provides an open and light entrance to Netherton approaching from the dark wooded area of Magdale. Acts as 'breathing space' between the 2 settlements. Site promoter submitted Landscape statement to address visual impacts.  
 Lack of suitable exits onto the local major roads  
 Contribution to increased congestion  
 Insufficient facilities in terms of doctors, shops etc within the local villages  
 Complete lack of capacity within the local schools  
 Physical infrastructure cannot cope - sewage, water, gas.  
 Bridge over River Holme could not cope with increased capacity (Magdale is weight restricted).  
 Number of homes on Greenfield sites is unsustainable.  
 Large areas of open land have already been developed over the last 30 years. There are lots of Brownfield sites in the area such as Thirstin Mill. Greenfield sites prevent urban sprawl, provide health and economic benefits. Land is viable agricultural land, providing food and jobs. Brownfield sites are more sustainable and can help preserve architectural history. There are 11,000 empty houses in Kirklees which should be rejuvenated. Suggest changing site boundaries to protect wildlife.

**H662** Land at rear of, 52, Upper Batley Low Lane, Batley  
 DLP\_AD1564, DLP\_AD1694, DLP\_AD5706, DLP\_AD10243, DLP\_AD10548

Road congestion, road capacity issues, road safety, parking in North Kirklees as a whole and in relation to the site Upper Batley Low Lane is narrow, winding and restricted to 30mph.  
 Flooding issues - localised flooding on Upper Batley Low Lane and existing surface water problems which will be exacerbated.  
 Proposals in North Kirklees will bring problems of pollution.  
 Proposals will bring problems of traffic pollution and increased health risks.  
 Area of archaeological interest that should not be developed.  
 School capacity - particularly primary sector is over subscribed.  
 Access to hospital provision - Dewsbury A and E to be downgraded and potential loss of Huddersfield HRI.  
 Health services/provision insufficient - no NHS dentists in North Kirklees, GP services at capacity.  
 Infant mortality in North Kirklees is double the national figures.  
 Deprivation in North Kirklees needs to be addressed and means of enhancing the quality of life in Batley and Spen put in place. Greater parity socially and environmentally is required between north and south Kirklees.  
 Communities are becoming socially alienated due to increased urbanisation.

Mining - part of coal mining area.  
 The scale of development proposed for North Kirklees is excessive and disproportionate compared to other

Council Response

Comments are noted re. proximity of site to Mag Wood and Spring Wood Local Wildlife Site, an ecological assessment would be required to be submitted with any planning application for the site.

As the site is located within the Castle Hill Setting Study area and in close proximity to historic remains, a Heritage Impact Assessment is required.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.

There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.

The council have a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as capacity from this source is not guaranteed.

Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	4	No Comment
---------	---	---------------------	--------	---	------------

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with council's site allocation methodology.

This small site restricted to the extent of the 'garden' would have limited impact on openness off the green belt. It is already enclosed and is separate from and different in character to the agricultural land around it. Removal of the site from the green belt allows an opportunity to create a new strong green belt boundary and its location and extent would not significantly erode the undeveloped nature of Upper Batley Low Lane. There are no significant constraints with this site although an archaeological evaluation is recommended. Site access is achievable from Upper Batley Lane.

The 'connecting links' assessment which specifically looks at the local roads ability to accommodate the additional traffic generated by the sites considers that this site is acceptable for development.

No objections have been received from statutory consultees on flood risk but it is considered that the site could benefit from a drainage master plan.

Summary of comments

areas of the district.  
 Loss of green belt and green spaces and will set precedent for further development.  
 Use Brownfield land  
 Re-use redundant buildings as an alternative.  
 Quality of the environment needs to be protected.

Support housing allocation as a well planned and designed development will enhance the overall quality of the Upper Batley area and form a positive contribution to the surrounding area.

Council Response

Environmental health has no objection to the site provided a contaminated land report is submitted and minor residential conditions are applied at a detailed planning application stage.

It is acknowledged that the site is of archaeological interest but it is considered that this can be addressed as part of a future planning application.

The infrastructure delivery plan and Infrastructure Technical Paper support that the site is capable of being developed.

The Local Plan strategy seeks to support Brownfield first and the place shaping section considers the impact of development on four sub areas.

It is therefore, considered that the site forms an acceptable housing allocation and should be retained within the Local Plan.

H664 Land to the north of, Scotgate Road, Honley	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object 185	No Comment 1
<p>DLP_AD909, DLP_AD938, DLP_AD1339, DLP_AD1466, DLP_AD1484, DLP_AD1636, DLP_AD1733, DLP_AD1776, DLP_AD1777, DLP_AD1795, DLP_AD1825, DLP_AD1837, DLP_AD1849, DLP_AD1857, DLP_AD1860, DLP_AD1876, DLP_AD1877, DLP_AD1887, DLP_AD1944, DLP_AD1955, DLP_AD1956, DLP_AD1962, DLP_AD1973, DLP_AD1984, DLP_AD2027, DLP_AD2034, DLP_AD2063, DLP_AD2076, DLP_AD2103, DLP_AD2118, DLP_AD2142, DLP_AD2151, DLP_AD2158, DLP_AD2180, DLP_AD2203, DLP_AD2212, DLP_AD2221, DLP_AD2230, DLP_AD2236, DLP_AD2248, DLP_AD2258, DLP_AD2276, DLP_AD2294, DLP_AD2305, DLP_AD2333, DLP_AD2344, DLP_AD2358, DLP_AD2437, DLP_AD2447, DLP_AD2457, DLP_AD2479, DLP_AD2491, DLP_AD2510, DLP_AD2527, DLP_AD2534, DLP_AD2550, DLP_AD2560, DLP_AD2582, DLP_AD2591, DLP_AD2604, DLP_AD2662, DLP_AD2666, DLP_AD2676, DLP_AD2703, DLP_AD2723, DLP_AD2745, DLP_AD2786, DLP_AD2886, DLP_AD2923, DLP_AD2938, DLP_AD2950, DLP_AD2981, DLP_AD2987, DLP_AD2999, DLP_AD3071, DLP_AD3080, DLP_AD3100, DLP_AD3126, DLP_AD3160, DLP_AD3181, DLP_AD3227, DLP_AD3237, DLP_AD3245, DLP_AD3262, DLP_AD3282, DLP_AD3290, DLP_AD3316, DLP_AD3323, DLP_AD3330, DLP_AD3353, DLP_AD3500, DLP_AD3555, DLP_AD3581, DLP_AD3587, DLP_AD3611, DLP_AD3711, DLP_AD3730, DLP_AD3775, DLP_AD3806, DLP_AD3850, DLP_AD4013, DLP_AD4039, DLP_AD4057, DLP_AD4149, DLP_AD4189, DLP_AD4198, DLP_AD4266, DLP_AD4438, DLP_AD4439, DLP_AD4551, DLP_AD4643, DLP_AD4841, DLP_AD5037, DLP_AD5171, DLP_AD5200, DLP_AD5203, DLP_AD5377, DLP_AD5540, DLP_AD5544, DLP_AD5796, DLP_AD5873, DLP_AD5885, DLP_AD5919, DLP_AD5960, DLP_AD5971, DLP_AD5977, DLP_AD6032, DLP_AD6060, DLP_AD6070, DLP_AD6168, DLP_AD6376, DLP_AD6502, DLP_AD6558, DLP_AD6575, DLP_AD6613, DLP_AD6667, DLP_AD6683, DLP_AD6843, DLP_AD6873, DLP_AD6897, DLP_AD6907, DLP_AD6932, DLP_AD6957, DLP_AD6966, DLP_AD7099, DLP_AD7365, DLP_AD7386, DLP_AD7393, DLP_AD7451, DLP_AD7565, DLP_AD7777, DLP_AD7780, DLP_AD7833, DLP_AD7849, DLP_AD7860, DLP_AD8026, DLP_AD8153, DLP_AD8233, DLP_AD8343, DLP_AD8348, DLP_AD8518, DLP_AD8526, DLP_AD8598, DLP_AD8903, DLP_AD9112, DLP_AD9115, DLP_AD9128, DLP_AD9134, DLP_AD9148, DLP_AD9159, DLP_AD9168, DLP_AD9179, DLP_AD9188, DLP_AD9198, DLP_AD9211, DLP_AD9228, DLP_AD9261, DLP_AD9274, DLP_AD9421, DLP_AD9447, DLP_AD9471, DLP_AD9492, DLP_AD9600, DLP_AD10082, DLP_AD10394, DLP_AD10568, DLP_AD10628, DLP_AD10942</p>	<p>No change.</p>	<p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	<p>Development on the site would be subject to achieving access from Grasscroft Road, it is acknowledged this will need improvement to footways and junctions - which would be considered in more detail at application stage. The site is in flood zone 1 but has limited options for surface water drainage. There are Grade II listed buildings to the south west of the site, which are not included in the net area. An assessment of the contribution this site makes to the elements which contribute to significance of the Grade II listed buildings will be required. In green belt terms, the site is well contained and will not lead to sprawl or encroachment into the countryside, however the site is in area that affects the setting of Castle Hill.</p>	<p>Clitheroe Wood to the west of the site is an ancient woodland and the woodland to the north is part of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network, however there has been no objections raised by technical consultees relating to biodiversity.</p> <p>The site is in agricultural use and therefore does not offer a formal recreational use.</p> <p>WYAAS have not commented specifically on historic archaeological features within Honley Wood.</p> <p>In terms of landscape impacts and the edge of the green belt / settlement boundary, it is considered that the landform and woodland help to contain this site and would not lead to sprawl / encroachment into the countryside.</p> <p>Impacts on amenity arising from development would be dealt with by Local Plan policies at planning application</p>
<p>Scotgate Road is a single track road and unsuitable for further intensification. Lack of maintenance and blind summit at the top.</p>				
<p>Car parking issues in Honley Centre</p>				
<p>All traffic would have to pass through Honley Centre</p>				
<p>Existing highway safety issues - junction of Scotgate Road and Thirstin Road</p>				
<p>Existing traffic congestion in Honley centre.</p>				
<p>No footpath and streetlights on most of Scotgate Road.</p>				
<p>Poor public transport links</p>				
<p>Safety of walkers / cyclists / horse riders on Scotgate Road</p>				
<p>Grasscroft is narrow and has a pinchpoint where two cars can't pass</p>				
<p>Parked cars around Meltham Road / Grasscroft junction impact on sightlines.              Impact on drainage / sewerage. Inadequate sewers, with impact at Moor Bottom- lowest part of the sewer network.</p>				
<p>Flooding on roads after heavy rain              Proximity to woods - important wildlife habitat.</p>				
<p>Newts around the site.</p>				

## Summary of comments

---

Mature woodland on /adjacent to the site should be retained and buffered if required.

Hedgerows on the site are important habitats

The proposed allocation is within the Yorkshire Wildlife Trust's River Colne Valley Living Landscape.

All impacts on the adjacent Clitheroe Wood Ancient Woodland need to be assessed prior to adoption of the site.

Open space should be provided within site to minimise recreation pressure on the woodland.

Impact on Honley Woods - historic archaeological features

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Clitheroe Farmhouse and Barn, which are Grade II listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Impact on education provision at all age groups

Impact on health provision - GP and Dentist provision

Possible A&E closure at Huddersfield with Honley distant to Calderdale Royal

Impact on local horse riders.

Loss of recreation from green fields.

This development would lead to urban sprawl

No justification / over-riding need for development

The site is contained and it's relationship to the built development of Honley means that it would not have an adverse effect on green belt role and function.

The woodland would create a new defensible boundary.

Development would create a hard edge to the settlement boundary.

Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development

Negative impact on quality of life / community

Impact on character of the settlement

Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size

Impact on amenity

Significant amount of development in this area in last three decades.

Should use Brownfield land first, e.g. Huddersfield Town Centre, Thirstin Road, Kirklees yard at Honley Bridge

Housing needed in this area but not at expense of adverse impact on environment / infrastructure

Need for affordable / social housing in this area

Housing for older people required.

Housing should be built closer to centres of employment

Impact on gas and electricity supplies

## Council Response

---

stage.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.



## Summary of comments

## Council Response

House prices.

H684 Land west of, Oak Tree Road, Fenay Bridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object 42	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD181, DLP_AD1080, DLP_AD2794, DLP_AD2796, DLP_AD2802, DLP_AD3239, DLP_AD3400, DLP_AD3520, DLP_AD3533, DLP_AD3536, DLP_AD3595, DLP_AD3765, DLP_AD3804, DLP_AD3863, DLP_AD3951, DLP_AD4314, DLP_AD4540, DLP_AD4656, DLP_AD4788, DLP_AD5327, DLP_AD5329, DLP_AD5730, DLP_AD5835, DLP_AD6126, DLP_AD6164, DLP_AD6585, DLP_AD6594, DLP_AD6604, DLP_AD6737, DLP_AD6757, DLP_AD6906, DLP_AD6949, DLP_AD7196, DLP_AD7301, DLP_AD7312, DLP_AD7484, DLP_AD7794, DLP_AD8493, DLP_AD8515, DLP_AD9375, DLP_AD10376, DLP_AD10561</p>				
<p>There will be a cumulative impact of traffic congestion on roads including Rowley Lane, Penistone Road, Wakefield Road and Station Road. Most traffic would flow onto Penistone and Wakefield Roads. Waterloo is often gridlocked. Lack of pedestrian crossing and local roads dangerous for children. Roads are too narrow for busses. Number of parked cars prevent suitable emergency service access. More traffic will cause severe problems and accidents. Bus services should be improved. The roads surrounding Lepton, Fenay Bridge and also Almondbury and Kirkburton are heavily congested.</p>	<p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<p>Site is prone to surface water flooding.</p>				
<p>There would be noise and traffic pollution in the area which would be detrimental to people with breathing difficulties.</p>				
<p>Objection to loss of biodiversity. The area contains wildlife. Pollution will affect the environment.</p>				
<p>There will be a cumulative impact of traffic congestion on roads including Rowley Lane, Penistone Road, Wakefield Road and Station Road. Most traffic would flow onto Penistone and Wakefield Roads. Waterloo is often gridlocked. Lack of pedestrian crossing and local roads dangerous for children. Roads are too narrow for busses. Number of parked cars prevent suitable emergency service access. More traffic will cause severe problems and accidents. Bus services should be improved. The roads surrounding Lepton, Fenay Bridge and also Almondbury and Kirkburton are heavily congested.</p>				
<p>Site is prone to surface water flooding.</p>				
<p>There would be noise and traffic pollution in the area which would be detrimental to people with breathing difficulties.</p>				
<p>Objection to loss of biodiversity. The area contains wildlife. Pollution will affect the environment.</p>				
<p>Local schools are full. Class sizes are already above government targets. Additional schools will be needed. The C of E School on Station Road, Rowley Lane School and King James' School are over-subscribed.</p>				
<p>There will be a cumulative impact of traffic congestion on roads including Rowley Lane, Penistone Road, Wakefield Road and Station Road. Most traffic would flow onto Penistone and Wakefield Roads. Waterloo is often gridlocked. Lack of pedestrian crossing and local roads dangerous for children. Roads are too narrow for busses. Number of parked cars prevent suitable emergency service access. More traffic will cause severe problems and accidents. Bus services should be improved. The roads surrounding Lepton, Fenay Bridge and also Almondbury and Kirkburton are heavily congested.</p>				
<p>Site is prone to surface water flooding.</p>				
<p>There would be noise and traffic pollution in the area which would be detrimental to people with breathing difficulties.</p>				
<p>Objection to loss of biodiversity. The area contains wildlife. Pollution will affect the environment.</p>				
<p>Local schools are full. Class sizes are already above government targets. Additional schools will be needed. The C of E School on Station Road, Rowley Lane School and King James' School are over-subscribed.</p>				
<p>Doctors and dentists have capacity issues. There is only one GP in Lepton. No more houses should be built in Huddersfield until the future of Huddersfield Royal Infirmary Accident and Emergency department has been decided. Additional doctors will be needed.</p>				
<p>Loss of open space will have an impact.</p>				
<p>The site is difficult to develop and hard to access.</p>				
<p>There is already Fenay Bridge Park which has approximately 200 houses and there are not enough local services to service an increased population. Additional community centres, shops etc. will be needed.</p>				
<p>These facilities should be secured prior to building commencement, and the facilities should be in place as the houses are populated.</p>				
<p>The site is too steep for 105 homes.</p>				
<p>Objection to sprawl filling spaces between communities.</p>				
<p>Objection to loss of visual amenity. The area is overpopulated. Use Brownfield land instead. The environment of existing homes will deteriorate. There has already been development in Highburton on the old Moxons Mill site and further proposed developments in Highburton for 120 houses.</p>				

Summary of comments	Council Response
<p><b>H688</b> Land to the north of, Commercial Road, Skelmanthorpe DLP_AD3716, DLP_AD4254, DLP_AD4293, DLP_AD4332, DLP_AD5051, DLP_AD5281, DLP_AD5543, DLP_AD6182, DLP_AD6431, DLP_AD8582, DLP_AD8892, DLP_AD9397, DLP_AD10471 Impact on B6116</p> <p>A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area. Risk of flooding. An assessment of the impacts on great crested newts should be conducted prior to the adoption of the allocations</p> <p>Site requirement for the conservation status of GCN to be maintained.</p> <p>Site may be terrestrial habitat for GCN, extension of compensatory habitat may be required as part of application. Cat predation also an issue. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England). Impact on education facilities - including Scissett Middle and Shelley College</p> <p>Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council) Impact on health services</p> <p>Large amount of development has taken place in Skelmanthorpe recently.</p> <p>Overdevelopment of Skelmanthorpe</p> <p>Impact on amenity Affordable housing and housing for older people required in this area</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 4 Object 9 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Access provided in planning application 2014/91628. Mixed deciduous woodland on the site is a UK BAP Priority Habitat and has been removed from the net area, there are also TPO trees on the site which have been removed from the net area. An assessment on impact on Great Crested Newt needs to be undertaken. The site is adjacent to Skelmanthorpe Conservation Area and requires a heritage impact assessment to assess the contribution the site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area. Majority of the site is within a high risk coal mining area.</p> <p>This site has planning permission of 85 dwellings (application reference: 2014/91628) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.</p>
<p><b>H689</b> Land to the north of, Wood Nook, Denby Dale DLP_AD2266, DLP_AD3297, DLP_AD3823, DLP_AD4284, DLP_AD4304, DLP_AD4336, DLP_AD5048, DLP_AD5486, DLP_AD5869, DLP_AD7811, DLP_AD8893, DLP_AD9398, DLP_AD10460, DLP_AD10472 Housing development on the site should include sufficient parking -to minimise congestion on adjacent roads, inc Cumberworth Lane. Highway safety issues in Cumberworth Lane.</p> <p>A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area. Site has role in reducing flooding / surface water run off - flood risk to properties downhill.</p> <p>Stream running through site</p> <p>Clay soil - prone to water logging Impact on UK BAP Priority habitat An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Wesleyan Methodist Church - a Grade II Listed Building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England). Impact on education provision Impact on healthcare provision</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 3 Object 11 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>The site access has been agreed as planning permission 2013/93721. East Hill Beck, a UK BAP priority habitat runs to south of site. Remove small area from net area to provide stand off. Potential impact on setting of Grade II listed Denby Dale Wesleyan Methodist Church. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of listed building. The entire site is within a high risk coal mining area.</p> <p>The site has planning permission for 29 dwellings (application reference: 2013/93721) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.</p>

Summary of comments

Council Response

PROW through the site.

Impact on character of Denby Dale

Site leads to unrestricted sprawl of Denby Dale

The site has recently secured planning permission for housing development subject to S.106 agreement

Coal mining area - mining legacy

No evidence that this housing is meeting only local housing needs

Should use Brownfield land first

H690	Land at Cliff Hill, Leak Hall Crescent, Denby Dale	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 18	No Comment
DLP_AD1461, DLP_AD2264, DLP_AD3457, DLP_AD3482, DLP_AD3572, DLP_AD4030, DLP_AD4260, DLP_AD4270, DLP_AD4338, DLP_AD4935, DLP_AD5027, DLP_AD5046, DLP_AD5313, DLP_AD5317, DLP_AD5831, DLP_AD7812, DLP_AD8894, DLP_AD9400, DLP_AD10459, DLP_AD10473, DLP_AD10863					

The site is in sustainable location.

No change.

Housing development on the site should include sufficient parking -to minimise congestion on adjacent roads, inc Cumberworth Lane and in the village centre.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Highway safety issues in Cumberworth Lane - width

Leak Hall Lane may be suitable for access but would need bringing up to adoptable standard. Leak Hall Crescent is constrained by junction with Wakefield Road and Cumberworth Lane has limited site frontage and would require a significant amount of third party land to achieve visibility splays. Site of potential archaeological significance, recommended pre-determination evaluation of site. Site may impact on setting of Grade II listed Wesleyan Methodist Church, A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed building.

A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.

Leak Hall Road / Wakefield Road junction is congested and has limited visibility because of proximity of the bus stop and people waiting,

The proposed development is unlikely to result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network. Given the scale of the development, a Transport Assessment will be required at planning application stage to look at more detailed issues / junctions.

No footway on part of Leak Hall Road

No objections have been raised by environmental health or biodiversity technical consultees.

Leak Hall Crescent used as safe school walking route.

The housing mix policy will seek to provide affordable housing on the site.

Congestion impacts: bus routes to Shelley and Scissett Schools. it has role in reducing flooding / surface water run off - flood risk to properties downhill.

Minimal part of site is within high risk coal mining area.

Clay soil - prone to water logging

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Stream across Leak Hall Crescent / Leak Hall Road

The site is at risk of noise pollution

Impact on wildlife

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Wesleyan Methodist Church - a Grade II Listed Building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Impact on education provision

Impact on wellbeing from development of Greenfield site

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Impact on health facilities

Footpaths across the site

No evidence for releasing this land for development (reference to UDP)

South of site is steep and may be difficult to develop

Infrastructure cannot cope with development.

Impact on character of Denby Dale.

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Need for affordable housing in Denby Dale.  
coal mining area - mining legacy  
Minimises loss of Green Belt land

**H701** Land north of, Cromarty Drive, Crosland Moor  
DLP\_AD423, DLP\_AD7555, DLP\_AD8802, DLP\_AD10181, DLP\_AD10602, DLP\_AD10610

Houses in this location will add to congestion on Cromarty and Dalmeny across to the Walpole estate. Site provides an important wildlife corridor along clough. Should be part of SGI or urban greenspace. Site affects setting of a listed building. An assessment needs to be made on the impact the loss of this space would have on the setting of the listed building. Schools cannot cope with increase in residents. GPs cannot cope with increase in residents.

Area enhances the built up nature of the townscape and provides a historic landscape link. YW rep identifies there is sewerage infrastructure that runs across this site. Stand off distance of 6m required which will affect future layout of the site. Site should be used for elderly accommodation as located close to shops and bus stops and would have less impact on surrounding properties.

Support Conditional Support 2 Object 4 No Comment

Proposed Change

The site proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

The site provides high value natural and semi-natural greenspace. The site should be allocated as Urban Greenspace.

Comments are noted about the wildlife implication of the site and how the site enhances the built up nature of the area.

**H706** Land east of, Halifax Road, Birchencliffe  
DLP\_AD961, DLP\_AD1327, DLP\_AD1345, DLP\_AD1346, DLP\_AD1347, DLP\_AD1595, DLP\_AD1679, DLP\_AD2083, DLP\_AD2086, DLP\_AD2111, DLP\_AD2254, DLP\_AD3133, DLP\_AD3832, DLP\_AD5435, DLP\_AD5923, DLP\_AD6105, DLP\_AD6359, DLP\_AD7028, DLP\_AD7105, DLP\_AD7499, DLP\_AD8148, DLP\_AD8808, DLP\_AD8852, DLP\_AD10384, DLP\_AD10410, DLP\_AD10436, DLP\_AD10682

Traffic modelling indicates that Site H706 has an individual severe adverse impact based on the number of trips generated on links on the motorway network. That impact needs to be considered in the context of the overall traffic impact resulting from the overall scale of development proposed in the Kirklees Draft Local Plan and the combined impact of land use development proposals for Kirklees in combination with those of neighbouring local planning authorities. Where sites have a severe impact on the Strategic Road Network (SRN) measures will be required to reduce and mitigate that impact. Highways England has a number of planned improvements to the SRN funded as part of the government's Road Investment Strategy (RIS). These schemes will provide additional capacity at congested locations. Sites which have the greatest individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by that site. Where committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment, sites may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes. The initial results of modelling undertaken as part of the Highways England West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study indicate that capacity improvement measures additional to the schemes included in the RIS will be needed to cater for demand generated by development in Kirklees and neighbouring Districts. The draft version of the West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study was completed in November 2015 and is now under consideration by Highways England. It will be shared with the Council in the near future. Schemes identified that are relevant to Kirklees will need to be added to the schedule in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Further modelling work will be needed to determine the traffic threshold or trigger for the additional improvement schemes. Site H706 may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes where committed RIS schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment. Construction of the site should be phased to take place following completion of committed schemes in the RIS. (Highways England) Congestion at Ainley Top, Halifax Road, Yew Tree Road, Burn Road, Grimescar Road, Lindley Moor Road, Crossland Road, Weatherhill Road. Area has narrow roads & on street parking. The allocated area is on the boundary of a Source Protection Zone 2 designated to protect a potable water source in central Huddersfield. This should be included in the constraints section. (Environment Agency) A hydrogeological risk assessment of the water environment is required as part of the allocation (include in the reports section), which shall identify potential groundwater hazards associated with the construction and operational phases of the development and shall evaluate the likelihood and consequences of each hazard. This allocation is linked to the requirements of Policy DLP 35. The area contains natural water springs which will cause future flooding and damp problems. Protect trees to reduce run off.

Support 1 Conditional Support 5 Object 21 No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access is achievable. Access is proposed via Yew Tree Road and Burn Road which is part adopted. Highways England suggest the further mitigation will be required for the strategic road network prior to 2028. There is a need for extra primary places in the locality. There is no immediate need for secondary places. However, a site of this size is likely to require school infrastructure improvements to accommodate growth. Parts of the site have outline planning permission for housing development.

The council's strategic drainage team have assessed the site. The site lies in flood zone 1. Surface water discharge must be attenuated to Greenfield rates.

The site has been assessed by the council's environmental health team and no significant constraints have been identified.

West Yorkshire Ecology has identified parts of the site that have biodiversity value and these have been removed from the net developable area of the site.

The impact of development upon listed buildings and sites of archaeological value can be considered at planning application stage.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

Summary of comments

Health, air quality & pollution impact of traffic.  
 Wildlife network within the site should be added to the green belt. Protect wildlife.  
 Middle Burn Farmhouse and Lower Burn Farmhouse at the northern edge of this area are Grade II Listed Buildings. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these Listed Buildings and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. (Historic England) Development in this area will be detrimental to local heritage including Grimescar Woods and Roman Fort at Slack/Outlane, Grimescar Road former turnpike road.  
 Impact on education infrastructure would be unsustainable, including; Lindley CE Infant and Lindley Junior School, Moorlands Primary School, Reinwood Infant and Junior Schools, Saint Patrick's Catholic Primary, Salendine Nook Academy.  
 Impact on doctors and dentists. Uncertainty about impact of changes to HRI.  
 Area is last green space between Kirklees and Calderdale.

Development will impact on the attractive landscape. Grimescar Valley is of high landscape value.  
 Further housing in the Lindley ward will put unsustainable pressure on local infrastructure.  
 Site is affected by mining.  
 Housing should be focussed on Huddersfield Town Centre. Development will encourage commuting.  
 Development would lead to urban sprawl.  
 Make Grimescar Valley green belt. Kirklees has enough Brownfield land to meet growth needs and should be used before green belt.

**H708** Land Adjacent, Ashbourne Drive, Liversedge  
 DLP\_AD5240, DLP\_AD8878, DLP\_AD10184

There is sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between 3 and 6 metres will be required for each sewer. This may affect the layout of future development.  
 Greenfield site - unlikely to have existing connections to public sewer. (Yorkshire Water)  
 The allocation of this area would bring development up to Lower Blacup Farmhouse and 2 and 3 Quaker Lane. These are Grade II Listed Buildings.  
 The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building. (Historic England)

This appears to be the same site as that covered by planning application 2012/93062. Planning permission was granted on appeal, to Redrow Ltd, for 53 dwellings, on 18 December 2013.

**H712** Land south of, Hillside View, Linthwaite  
 DLP\_AD5374, DLP\_AD10892

The site is in a sustainable location with good transport links.  
 There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)  
 Development of the site should respect the Conservation area

Council Response

Comments relating to the value of and impact upon Grimescar Valley are noted. A landscape impact assessment was produced for the outline planning permission that covers a large part of the site where the impact has been deemed acceptable.

Support 1 Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Planning permission granted on the site identifies access from Gilroyd Lane. Development of the site needs to have regard to the conservation area.

Summary of comments	Council Response
Minimises loss of Green Belt	This site has planning permission for up to 20 dwellings (application reference 2014/93289) therefore the principle for the development of the site has already been established.
<p><b>H715</b> Land to the West of, Wesley Avenue, Netherthong  DLP_AD2199, DLP_AD2914, DLP_AD3628, DLP_AD3675, DLP_AD4139, DLP_AD4182, DLP_AD4516, DLP_AD4575, DLP_AD5291, DLP_AD6013, DLP_AD6092, DLP_AD6130, DLP_AD7203, DLP_AD7335, DLP_AD7903, DLP_AD8983, DLP_AD9431, DLP_AD9947, DLP_AD10307, DLP_AD10411</p> <p>Insufficient site frontage on Miry Lane  In 1980 Planning inspector considered development of this site would have detrimental impact on local highway network.</p> <p>Only one bus per hour to Huddersfield and Holmfirth.</p> <p>Congestion due to parked cars</p> <p>1.5 metre ransom strip from Wesley Avenue</p> <p>Poor road infrastructure for cycling</p> <p>Single track roads throughout the village - with narrow or no pavements.</p> <p>Wesley Avenue not suitable for further traffic - exacerbated by parked cars</p> <p>Danger to pedestrians in Netherthong, including pupils walking to Holmfirth High.  Frequent flooding at bottom of Miry Lane  Impact on drainage  Impact on wildlife  An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Netherthong and Deanhouse Conservation Area. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).  Impact on education provision  Impact on healthcare provision</p> <p>Impact on views to/from Netherthong  Planning permission previously refused permission on this land as it would extend settlement into the countryside.</p> <p>Impact on rural character</p> <p>Impact on amenity</p> <p>Impact on quality of life  Development would be contrary to Local Plan objectives  Should use Brownfield land first</p>	<p>Support                      Conditional Support    1                      Object    19                      No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access achievable. A surface water drainage solution would need to be found to satisfy the local plan run-off policy once adopted and design and layout to consider impacts on conservation area.</p> <p>Highways information indicates that the site can be accessed (from Wesley Avenue) and that local links to the network are acceptable.</p> <p>Further investigation required into surface water drainage solutions to ensure the local plan policy requirement on surface water run-off can be met.</p> <p>West Yorkshire Ecology have not objected to this site in relation to biodiversity.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>The allocation of this site would not extend the settlement into the green belt as the site is currently allocated as Provisional Open Land (POL) in the Unitary Development Plan.</p> <p>There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.</p>
<p><b>H727</b> Land to the West of, Miry Lane, Thongsbridge  DLP_AD824, DLP_AD1161, DLP_AD1314, DLP_AD3630, DLP_AD8591, DLP_AD10189</p> <p>There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)</p> <p>Need to include enhancement for biodiversity and retain BAP habitats and areas of high ecological value.</p>	<p>Support    3                      Conditional Support    2                      Object    1                      No Comment</p> <p>Proposed change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation but a larger option (H727a) has been accepted which covers this site and a small amount of green belt land to the west. The allocation of H727a is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>This site is part of a new larger accepted housing option H727a.</p>

Summary of comments

Owners support development.  
Green belt boundary should be amended to access road of the cricket ground.

Council Response

Part of the site has planning permission for 11 dwellings (application reference:2014/93593) therefore the principle for the development of this part of the site has been established.

Sewer infrastructure in part of the site is acknowledged but this could be accommodated within a site layout. Biodiversity enhancements to be considered.

It is noted that the owners of this site support the development and the request for green belt land to the west to be included in this option. This site is part of a new larger accepted housing option H727a. Site H727a includes land currently in the green belt to the west of H727.

**H728** Land to the West of, Stoney Bank Lane, Thongsbridge  
DLP\_AD432, DLP\_AD3631, DLP\_AD8592

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 2 No Comment

Cumulative impact on roads of development in the area.  
Need to include enhancement for biodiversity and retain BAP habitats and areas of high ecological value.  
Cumulative impact on education provision in the area.  
Cumulative impact on healthcare provision in the area.

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Subject to the access arrangements set out in the approved planning application. Part of site is adjacent to New Mill Dike, so a stand off should be provided to address biodiversity and flooding impacts.

This site has outline planning permission for 53 dwellings (application reference: 2014/93248) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

**H729** Land at, Tenter Hill Road, New Mill  
DLP\_AD433, DLP\_AD3634

Support Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment

Cumulative impact on roads of development in the area.  
Cumulative impact on education provision in the area.  
Cumulative impact on healthcare provision in the area.

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Planning application 2015/90811 provides site access to the eastern part of the site and potential access to the remainder of the site. The site is in flood zone 1 with limited options for surface water drainage.

Development on the site will be subject to a transport assessment at planning application stage which will consider detailed highways impacts.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Summary of comments		Council Response				
<b>H730</b>	Land to the West of, Royds Avenue, New Mill DLP_AD431, DLP_AD757, DLP_AD966, DLP_AD970, DLP_AD3190, DLP_AD3636, DLP_AD8984	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object 6	No Comment
Cumulative impact on roads of development in the area		No change.				
Insufficient parking in Wooldale often blocking the bus route.		The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
Need for parking at nursery, infant, junior and high schools		Site access is achievable from Kirkroyds Lane provided that visibility splays can be achieved, with a potential secondary access from Royds Avenue. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the conservation area.				
Kirkroyds Lane unsuitable for additional traffic Stream running through the site floods in heavy rain		More detailed highways issues would be given consideration at planning application stage.				
Investment needs to be made in SuDS to ensure flooding in the area doesn't get worse. Potential to increase biodiversity potential on the site Development of the site would impact on the setting of the conservation area		No objections have been raised by technical consultees relating to biodiversity.				
An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of Wooldale Conservation Area. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England). Cumulative impact on education provision in the area Cumulative impact on healthcare provision in the area Loss of allotments		The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.				
Site is used for recreation		Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.				
Development would impact on long distance views.		The allotments are removed from the net area and policy would require their retention or replacement with equivalent or better provision.				
Site has local heritage landscape value Large amount of development recently approved		Impacts on amenity, character and landscape would be considered through design of development at application stage.				
Impact on rural character of Wooldale Should use Brownfield land first – e.g. Lydgate School, Midlothian Garage						
Impact on tourism						
<b>H734</b>	Land to the east of, Netheroyd Hill Road, Cowcliffe DLP_AD380, DLP_AD5717, DLP_AD7412, DLP_AD10150, DLP_AD10279, DLP_AD10406	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object 5	No Comment
Area is used as a green corridor by a wide range of wildlife - foxes, bats, badgers and deer. Also variety of plant life and trees.		No Change				
Only remaining piece of countryside between Cowcliffe, Fixby and Fartown and should remain free from development. Site is crossed by numerous rights of way including an ancient cobbled track. YW comments - sewerage infrastructure crosses the site, 6m stand off distances required. Due to overall capacity being only 58 houses, it would make sense to add this to capacity at Bradley.		The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). The site area has been reduced to exclude environmentally sensitive areas. Its allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.				
		There are no overriding constraints that would prevent development on this Brownfield site that could not be mitigated against at the planning application stage.				
		Comments about the wildlife benefits of the site have been noted and the site area amended accordingly.				
		The existing footpaths that cross the site will have to be retained or diverted through the appropriate legal procedures.				
<b>H737</b>	Land west of, Stead Lane, Kirkheaton DLP_AD3997, DLP_AD4006, DLP_AD4023, DLP_AD4067, DLP_AD4073, DLP_AD4081, DLP_AD4094, DLP_AD4101	Support	Conditional Support	2	Object 5	No Comment 1
Potential traffic problems at: Junction of Shop Lane, Town Road and New Road [by the Chemist], Junction		No Change				



Summary of comments

of Shop Lane and Orchard Road, Junction of St Andrews Drive and St Mary's Lane [near Post Office]. This will increase problems at the junction of Stafford Hill Lane and St Mary's Lane due to speed, indiscriminate parking and increased traffic. Minor low cost solutions would be - Double yellow lines near this junction, Removal of overgrown vegetation on St Mary's lane between the Orchard Road and Stafford Hill Lane road junctions and formation of a footpath both for pedestrian safety and to improve the site line. An appropriately sited crossing at any of these locations between St Andrews Drive and New Road might help to create gaps in traffic flow. A 30 mph reminder [ something we have been told the council cannot do yet some councils do this to good effect].

Concern about drainage.

Concern about school places. Before any housing development school places must be considered.

Concern about doctor provision. Before any housing development the availability of doctors must be considered.

Build on previously developed land before green belt sites.

Imperative to press for development of Old Mill Site - entrance to the village significantly important for any potential developer. Existing available sites within the village should be developed before any building is permitted on other land. Priorities - the Old Mill site, old Jarmains site and the old School site. Draft proposals differ from land mentioned in Yetton News. Landowners should have say over their land, not be dictated to by the council or public dog walkers who have no respect for the land.

**H738** Land to the west of, Heathwood Drive, Golcar

DLP\_AD305, DLP\_AD997, DLP\_AD1559, DLP\_AD2612, DLP\_AD3651, DLP\_AD5161, DLP\_AD5864, DLP\_AD6623, DLP\_AD7426, DLP\_AD7518, DLP\_AD8889, DLP\_AD11054

Swallow Lane unsuitable for more traffic.

Highway safety issues on Swallow Lane arising from parked cars

No pavement on part of Swallow Lane

Local highway network unsuitable for further development

Slades Road is unsuitable for site access

Another bridge needed in Milnsbridge to relieve bottleneck

The site is a long walk from frequent bus services from Golcar centre – bus service provision by the site is poor

Entrance to Heathwood Drive usually restricted to on car width. Would result in issues with Slades Road / Swallow Lane junction.

Impact on wildlife

Impact on historic character of Golcar

Impact on hamlet of Haughs Green

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the 23a to 27 Slades Road, which are Grade II listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Impact on education provision

Council Response

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.

There are no overriding physical constraints to developing this site that cannot be mitigated against through the planning application process.

Traffic problems are noted in the surrounding residential area however, the Council considers the size of the development is relatively small scale when viewed in the context of the surrounding residential area. The proposed development would not result in any significant detriment to the efficiency and safe use of the local highway network.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.

There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement in the area.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 11 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Access from Heathwood Drive will require third party land. Improvements may need to be made to Swallow Lane, with its junction with Heathwood Drive and in terms of footway provision., Grade II listed weaver's houses to the north west of the site, development on the site may impact on their setting. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed buildings. The site is in flood zone 1, with limited options for surface water drainage.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Impact on healthcare provision

CIL raised in Golcar should be invested in the locality  
Significant amount of development in local area in last 30 years.

Remaining greenspaces in Golcar are important

Loss of services in Golcar recently

Empty dwellings in mill conversions in Golcar, Longwood and Linthwaite  
Should use Brownfield land first

**H754** Land north west of, Forest Road, Almondbury  
DLP\_AD1512, DLP\_AD8424

Support Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment

Surrounding roads to this site are hazardous.  
Field has a number of small springs - development will undermine the existing natural drainage patterns.  
Noise, dust and pollution generated by the construction works.  
This site is a valuable open green space used by many children.

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Very steep sloping site, any dwelling would overlook existing residential properties.  
Previous planning application in 1990s was refused.

Site access is achievable. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Local connecting links work demonstrates no issues with intensification of roads in this area.

No objections have been raised in regard to surface water drainage.

**H755** Land north west of, Bank End Lane, Dalton  
DLP\_AD8425, DLP\_AD10637

Support Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment

Local surrounding roads are hazardous. Impact of extra traffic on Bank End Lane/Greenhead Lane if new houses are built.  
Noise, dust and pollution will increase over the construction period.  
Valuable piece of greenspace will be lost.

No change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site is an undeveloped UDP allocation. The site has planning permission for 45 dwellings (application reference: 2014/90160) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

**H756** Land to the east of, Cherry Nook Road, Deighton  
DLP\_AD5553, DLP\_AD7415, DLP\_AD10281

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment

Road infrastructure will not cope.  
Education infrastructure will not cope.  
Health infrastructure will not cope.

Proposed change.

No evidence that current economic climate is creating housing demand in this area.  
Brownfield sites should be developed first.  
Support for allocation as it is not green belt. Good standard of affordable homes should be offered.

The site is a rejected housing option. The site was an accepted housing option in the draft local plan but has now been rejected due to health and safety concerns. 53% of site is in HSE inner zone and the remainder is in the HSE middle zone. The health and safety executive recommend that sites in the inner zone should not be allocated for housing development.

**H758** Land off, Soothill Lane, Lower Soothill, Batley  
DLP\_AD220, DLP\_AD316, DLP\_AD332, DLP\_AD848, DLP\_AD3680, DLP\_AD5073, DLP\_AD8733, DLP\_AD10191

Support 1 Conditional Support 2 Object 5 No Comment

Transport assessments should take into account vehicle movements to and from the Batley Delivery Office and impact on the Grange Road and Mill Forest Way.  
Road capacity - Hick Lane and traffic heading towards Batley  
Road congestion and road safety - Soothill Lane towards Batley can be extremely hazardous  
A free town bus should be provided to support development and adjacent industrial development at Shaws

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft local plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The allocation is supported by Leeds City Council.

Summary of comments

Cross and Chidswell

Leeds City Council supports the inclusion of site requirements that expect modelling of impacts to be shared with Leeds through the Duty to Cooperate process and that necessary road and bus corridor enhancements on the A653 will be made

There is sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand off distances of between 3 and 6 metres will be required for each sewer and thus affect the layout of any future development; as such the matter may be a material consideration in the determination of any future planning applications. The required stand-off distance or other protective measure such as diversion will have to be determined on an individual site/sewer basis. Also, it may not be acceptable to raise or lower ground levels over the sewerage, nor to restrict access to man holes. A developer may, where it is reasonable to do so, require a sewerage undertaker to alter or remove a pipe. This provision is contained in section 185 of the water Industry Act 1991 (that also requires the developer to pay the full cost of carrying out necessary works). There may be unmapped sewers within the site which require protection. (Yorkshire Water)

Surface Water Management - there is unlikely to be any existing connection into the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP29 a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water have been discounted (Yorkshire Water)  
 Detrimental impact on the amenity of future residents - the housing allocation will sit adjacent to the existing employment allocation at Grange Road (including Batley delivery office). Object to the supporting text as it does not take this into account and areas surrounding the delivery office should be designed and managed to be sensitive to the Royal Mail's operations. The following text is proposed for inclusion within the local plan:

"Any residential development on the site should take into account the commercial operations within the adjacent Grange Road Industrial Estate employment allocation, including those of the Royal Mail Delivery Office which is operational and has anti-social hours of operation, in seeking to safeguard the amenity of future residents. Appropriate noise mitigation measures should be put in place in order to avoid harm to residential amenity, in line with Local Plan policy DLP25 - Design".

Proposal will bring problems of poor air quality

School place provision - there is potential for the site both on its own and cumulatively to impact upon school place provision within Wakefield, specifically in the Ossett and Horbury area. It is important that Wakefield and Kirklees work together to fully understand what these impacts could be and to ensure where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield that they are adequately mitigated against (Wakefield Council).

Loss of informal recreation - the site is currently used by cyclists, walkers and horse riders and should be protected

Open spaces should be protected.

Supports site as it is a rounding off of existing settlement and doesn't encroach on the gap between West Ardsley and Batley (Leeds City Council)

Topography - as the site is on a steep incline suggest level access be designed without truncated terraces which allows underground movement.

The site forms part of a working farm and the loss of land is likely to effect its financial viability in both short and long term

A new community centre and doctors surgery with clinic treatment rooms should be incorporated into the development

**H760** Land Adjacent, Halifax Road, Staincliffe

No Representations received

Council Response

The site is in the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan and has outline permission for housing (2015/92908) granted in January 2015. This site (H758) has a slightly larger boundary (with a spur to the north west corner approximately 1ha) than the planning permission. The capacity of the site has been amended to reflect the planning permission and the increased area.

Various access options exist to serve the development including Mill Forest Way, Oakland's Drive / Phoenix Court, Hill Rise and Soothill Lane. The connecting links assessment which considers the impact of the development on the local road network considers that the site is acceptable.

The comments from Yorkshire Water regarding stand off distances from sewers are noted. It is considered that the issues identified can be addressed and mitigated against as part of a detailed planning application.

It is considered that with good design, including building orientation and appropriate noise insulation it would be possible to develop houses on this site with good amenity standards.

The area is not in or near an Air Quality management area or an area of concern in terms of Air Quality.

Measures to negate the impact the development will have on Air Quality include provision of travel plans, EV charge points to encourage electric vehicles.

Support the need for Wakefield and Kirklees to work closely together on education and school place planning.

The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.

The financial impact on the farm is not a planning consideration.

Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable to this site option. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

H761	Land Adjacent, Raikes Lane, Birstall	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	59	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD243, DLP_AD395, DLP_AD739, DLP_AD952, DLP_AD1572, DLP_AD1603, DLP_AD1609, DLP_AD1610, DLP_AD1640, DLP_AD1695, DLP_AD1800, DLP_AD1830, DLP_AD2349, DLP_AD2399, DLP_AD2482, DLP_AD2500, DLP_AD2695, DLP_AD3097, DLP_AD3483, DLP_AD3759, DLP_AD3888, DLP_AD4166, DLP_AD4176, DLP_AD4280, DLP_AD4894, DLP_AD4950, DLP_AD4976, DLP_AD5121, DLP_AD5148, DLP_AD5255, DLP_AD5271, DLP_AD5364, DLP_AD5453, DLP_AD5496, DLP_AD5611, DLP_AD5844, DLP_AD7156, DLP_AD8041, DLP_AD8205, DLP_AD8250, DLP_AD8252, DLP_AD8731, DLP_AD8732, DLP_AD8873, DLP_AD9093, DLP_AD9222, DLP_AD9285, DLP_AD9310, DLP_AD9313, DLP_AD9327, DLP_AD9822, DLP_AD10267, DLP_AD10269, DLP_AD10357, DLP_AD10402, DLP_AD10543, DLP_AD10831, DLP_AD10832, DLP_AD10833, DLP_AD11074</p>	<p>Support</p>	<p>Conditional Support</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>Object</p>	<p>59</p>	<p>No Comment</p>	
<p>Local roads cannot cope. The Mount is a steep cobbled road - bottom of this road is a dangerous bend with a school opposite. Junction of Raikes Lane with main road very busy. Traffic on Fieldhead Estate, Lowood Lane and Haworth Road is already congested. Development on Dark Lane (Mastercars) has made congestion worse. Cumulative effect of development on H11 also. Traffic is busy around St. Peters School and is dangerous in a morning. Site has a number of active springs, if disturbed will cause damage to house foundations in the area and properties on North Terrace and Wesley Court. Air pollution is a problem in this area. Foxes, rabbits, sparrowhawks, owls and bats in the stables on Raikes Lane. Site is within a Conservation Area. Site is located adjacent Old Hall - grade II* Lack of space at local school. Lack of space at GP surgery This development will join Birstall to Fieldhead leaving no green gap.</p>	<p>No Change</p>	<p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	<p>Site access is achievable from Raikes Lane. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p>	<p>Responses to comments received through the consultation include:</p>	<p>Site access can be achieved from Raikes Lane. Kirklees Council Local Highways Links work has confirmed that the site is acceptable subject to highway improvements in context with the development and the local highway network. The council has commissioned modelling to look at the cumulative impacts of development.</p>	<p>No objections have been raised from consultees with regards to active springs on site.</p>	
<p>Lack of publicity about proposed planning. Reduction in house values. Houses would overlook houses on Wesley Close and North Terrace. Loss of grazing land for the horses on the site at present.</p>	<p>No objections raised from Environmental Health regarding air pollution.</p> <p>No objections raised from West Yorkshire Ecology.</p> <p>Comments from Historic England have been noted.</p>	<p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p>	<p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p>	<p>The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.</p>	<p>Consultation responses will be addressed in the Statement of Consultation.</p>	<p>A petition has been received objecting to the allocation of this site, 204 signatures.</p>	
<p><b>H762</b></p>	<p>Land Adjacent, Rooks Avenue, Cleckheaton</p>	<p>Support</p>	<p>Conditional Support</p>	<p>Object</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>No Comment</p>	
<p>DLP_AD701</p> <p>Access insufficient, impact on road network local and wider, road safety Increase flood risk on lower ground, will create surface water run off problems Increase noise and reduction in air quality due to traffic increase Wildlife affected including bats School capacity insufficient Doctors and dentists provision insufficient Loss of informal recreation land</p>	<p>No Change</p>	<p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	<p>Site access can be achieved on this site option. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p>				

Summary of comments	Council Response
	<p>Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:</p> <p>Site access can be achieved from Rooks Avenue, Kenmore Road and Whitechapel Road. No highways safety issues have been raised. No objections have been raised with regards to the local and wider road network.</p> <p>Main river flood zone 1; No objection. No objections raised to surface water flood risk or surface water drainage.</p> <p>No objections raised from West Yorkshire Ecology.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.</p>
<p><b>H763</b> Land North West of, Gordon Street, Slaithwaite DLP_AD5376, DLP_AD8891</p> <p>Site is in close proximity to bus and rail links An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Slaithwaite Town Centre Conservation Area and the Wesleyan Methodist School and former Wesleyan Chapel adjacent to this site and Providence Baptist Chapel on the opposite site of Hollins Row. Which are Grade II listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area and Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).</p> <p>Part council owned site means that there's scope for a mix of housing to be provided.</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Access is achievable Gordon Street, subject to achievement of visibility splays and relocation of council owned playground. In the wider area, improvements may be required to highways such as provision of footways on Linfit Fold and improvement of Linfit Fold and Gordon Street junction. Site adjacent to conservation area. 3 Grade II listed buildings to the north and west of the site, a heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the heritage assets. Playground on the site - likely to need relocating so access can be achieved.</p>
<p><b>H764</b> Land west of, Sunningdale Road, Crosland Moor DLP_AD5569, DLP_AD7554, DLP_AD8803, DLP_AD10605, DLP_AD10611</p> <p>Development will add to gridlock on Blackmoorfoot Road along with traffic from re-developed St. Lukes site. Dryclough Hotel is located adjacent to this site, development may impact upon its setting. Schools cannot cope with the increase in residents. Gps cannot cope with increase in residents.</p> <p>Support for housing on this site - could provide high density housing such as apartments.</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 3 No Comment</p> <p>No change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access achievable from Sunningdale Road. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Local connecting links assessment confirms there are no detrimental impacts on the local highway network that cannot be mitigated against.</p> <p>As the site may potentially affect the setting of a listed building, a Heritage Impact Assessmet will be required.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p>

Summary of comments

Council Response

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Comments of support for the site allocation are noted.

H768	Land to the West of, Willow Close, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	4	Object	8	No Comment
DLP_AD1386, DLP_AD1700, DLP_AD3474, DLP_AD3722, DLP_AD4290, DLP_AD4333, DLP_AD5166, DLP_AD5463, DLP_AD8581, DLP_AD8895, DLP_AD9401, DLP_AD10474							
Beechfield Avenue or Willow Close are not suitable for access.		No change.					
Surrounding roads used as rat run to access site.		The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.					
A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.							
Insufficient parking in village centre		Access achievable from Willow Close and Beechfield Avenue. Site is in flood zone 1 with limited options for surface water drainage. Site is on edge of conservation area and adjacent to Grade II listed St Aidan's Church. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the heritage assets. An assessment of the impacts on great crested newts should be conducted prior to development.					
Existing drainage / sewage problems							
Impact on wildlife							
Would necessitate disruption to trees and hedges		The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.					
An assessment of the impacts on great crested newts should be conducted prior to the adoption of the allocations		Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.					
Site requirement for the conservation status of GCN to be maintained.		The density set out for the site is indicative and based on average densities achieved in Kirklees over recent years, and therefore is an indicative figure; it is not a specific figure for this site - which would be identified in more detail at design / application stage.					
Site may be terrestrial habitat for GCN, extension of compensatory habitat may be required as part of application. Cat predation also an issue.		The TPO trees are not within the site but on it's western boundary.					
An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the St Aidan's Church, a Grade II listed building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).							
Loss of greenspace that is important to the setting of the listed building							
Impact on education provision							
Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council)							
development would disrupt PROW							
Lack of leisure facilities in the area, particularly for young people							
Proposed density is too high, as would not be able to maintain sufficient space between existing houses and listed building.							
Impact on rural character							
Housing / employment not needed in the area							
Overdevelopment of Skelmanthorpe							
TPO trees within the site							

H776	Land between Oxford Road and Reservoir Street, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------	---	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received		No Change			
-----------------------------	--	-----------	--	--	--

Summary of comments

Council Response

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, part of the site is within a high risk coal referral area therefore a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is required and there are health issues within the ward. Although the site is not on or adjacent to contaminated land, it is a proposed sensitive end use therefore contamination assessment phase 1 required as a minimum.

No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan.

H778	Land off, Huddersfield Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------	---------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

This site has planning permission for 11 dwellings (application reference: 2013/93196) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan.

H779	Land to the north of, Leymoor Road, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------	--	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

DLP\_AD8899, DLP\_AD11047

Traffic congestion

Highway safety  
 Drainage issues – future development should help mitigate these problems  
 An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of 278-282 Leymoor Road, which are Grade II listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).  
 Impact on education provision  
 Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&E closure at HRI

Impact on healthcare provision

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is provided from Leymoor Road in planning permission 2014/92878. Heritage impact assessment required to consider contribution site makes to elements which contribute to significance of adjacent Grade II listed buildings.

This site has planning permission for 20 dwellings (application reference: 2014/92878) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

H780	Land to the East of, Main Avenue, Cowlersley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------	--	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

DLP\_AD10192, DLP\_AD11049

Traffic congestion

Highway safety  
 There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water)

Drainage issues – future development should help mitigate these problems  
 Impact on education provision

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable from Windsor Road and Main Avenue. Culverted watercourse crossing site, records of flooding on Warneford Road. Part of the site is archaeologically significant, pre-determination archaeological evaluation recommended.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places

Summary of comments

Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&E closure at HRI

Impact on healthcare provision

**H783** Land Adjacent, Dale Lane, Heckmondwike

DLP\_AD5336, DLP\_AD8830, DLP\_AD8831, DLP\_AD8881

Heckmondwike Cemetery Chapels are Grade II listed building. A full assessment needs to be made as to the impact on the setting of these buildings (HE comment)

Dale Lane there is currently a boundary to existing development on Brighton Street. May lead to further development along Dale Lane.

On Dale Lane there is currently a clear boundary to existing development in the vicinity of Brighton Street, with open green space beyond. Allocating this land to housing fails to observe this boundary on the ground. I am concerned that permitting development here would lead to further development along Dale Lane, which would significantly detract from the amenity of the area.

Support for this allocation.

**H784** Land north of, 105 - 135, Mill Moor Road, Meltham

DLP\_AD2339, DLP\_AD2493, DLP\_AD2511, DLP\_AD3963, DLP\_AD5201, DLP\_AD5616, DLP\_AD10364, DLP\_AD10629

Highway safety concerns- junction of Mill Moor Road and Matthew Grove and car parking

Impact on Meltham Town Centre junctions

Surface water drainage issues

Potential loss of habitat

Habitats Regs Assessment should be undertaken to assess impact of all sites on Mill Moor Road

Impact on education provision

Impact on healthcare provision

Removal of open space from the village.

The site should be developed at a lower density

Affordable houses are needed

Impact on Amenity

No design parameters / design code set out in site allocation

Should use Brownfield first

Development should be closer to employment / services

**H785** Land to the east of, Colders Lane, Meltham

DLP\_AD2495, DLP\_AD10630

Increased pressure on local highway network.

Impact on character of settlement.

Council Response

are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 2 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable, no highway safety concerns have been raised. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

The comments made from Historic England have been noted.

The remaining undeveloped land along Dale Lane, adjacent and opposite the site, is protected from development as an allocated Urban Greenspace option

Supporting comments for accepting this site have been noted.

Support Conditional Support Object 7 No Comment 1

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Access approved in planning application 2014/91342. Site should be subject to Habitats Regs Assessment given proximity to SPA. Site is in flood zone 1, limited options for surface water drainage.

This site has planning permission for 30 dwellings (application reference:2014/91342) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Support Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.



Summary of comments

Council Response

Site access set out in planning permission 2012/90096. Site in flood zone 1 with culverted watercourse crossing middle of site. Grade II listed buildings over the road on Colders Lane. 20% of the site within high risk coal mining area.

This site has planning permission for 27 dwellings (application reference: 2012/90096) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established

H786	Land to the north east of, Westcroft, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	4	Object	157	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD895, DLP_AD1340, DLP_AD1734, DLP_AD1763, DLP_AD1764, DLP_AD1764, DLP_AD1794, DLP_AD1826, DLP_AD1850, DLP_AD1858, DLP_AD1861, DLP_AD1880, DLP_AD1888, DLP_AD1957, DLP_AD1963, DLP_AD1974, DLP_AD1985, DLP_AD2025, DLP_AD2035, DLP_AD2064, DLP_AD2078, DLP_AD2104, DLP_AD2119, DLP_AD2159, DLP_AD2181, DLP_AD2204, DLP_AD2213, DLP_AD2222, DLP_AD2232, DLP_AD2240, DLP_AD2249, DLP_AD2259, DLP_AD2277, DLP_AD2295, DLP_AD2306, DLP_AD2334, DLP_AD2345, DLP_AD2360, DLP_AD2438, DLP_AD2448, DLP_AD2481, DLP_AD2492, DLP_AD2528, DLP_AD2535, DLP_AD2551, DLP_AD2561, DLP_AD2583, DLP_AD2592, DLP_AD2605, DLP_AD2634, DLP_AD2663, DLP_AD2667, DLP_AD2677, DLP_AD2704, DLP_AD2724, DLP_AD2787, DLP_AD2887, DLP_AD2939, DLP_AD2951, DLP_AD2982, DLP_AD2986, DLP_AD3000, DLP_AD3072, DLP_AD3099, DLP_AD3125, DLP_AD3161, DLP_AD3182, DLP_AD3228, DLP_AD3238, DLP_AD3246, DLP_AD3283, DLP_AD3291, DLP_AD3317, DLP_AD3324, DLP_AD3329, DLP_AD3354, DLP_AD3502, DLP_AD3556, DLP_AD3582, DLP_AD3586, DLP_AD3612, DLP_AD3713, DLP_AD3731, DLP_AD3776, DLP_AD3852, DLP_AD4015, DLP_AD4040, DLP_AD4058, DLP_AD4156, DLP_AD4190, DLP_AD4199, DLP_AD4268, DLP_AD4353, DLP_AD4454, DLP_AD4552, DLP_AD4842, DLP_AD5541, DLP_AD5797, DLP_AD5874, DLP_AD5886, DLP_AD5920, DLP_AD5961, DLP_AD5972, DLP_AD5978, DLP_AD5987, DLP_AD6033, DLP_AD6059, DLP_AD6073, DLP_AD6372, DLP_AD6503, DLP_AD6557, DLP_AD6614, DLP_AD6672, DLP_AD6684, DLP_AD6844, DLP_AD6874, DLP_AD6894, DLP_AD6911, DLP_AD6958, DLP_AD7100, DLP_AD7366, DLP_AD7382, DLP_AD7399, DLP_AD7450, DLP_AD7566, DLP_AD7778, DLP_AD7779, DLP_AD7834, DLP_AD7850, DLP_AD7859, DLP_AD8028, DLP_AD8154, DLP_AD8342, DLP_AD8350, DLP_AD8519, DLP_AD8527, DLP_AD8590, DLP_AD8968, DLP_AD9113, DLP_AD9116, DLP_AD9129, DLP_AD9135, DLP_AD9149, DLP_AD9160, DLP_AD9169, DLP_AD9180, DLP_AD9189, DLP_AD9199, DLP_AD9212, DLP_AD9229, DLP_AD9262, DLP_AD9276, DLP_AD9422, DLP_AD9448, DLP_AD9473, DLP_AD9494, DLP_AD10083, DLP_AD10395, DLP_AD10569, DLP_AD10631, DLP_AD10925, DLP_AD10947</p>							

Impact on traffic	No change.
Highway safety issues on Scotgate Road - speeding, blind summit, no footway on part of it, no streetlights	The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
Additional traffic on Thirstin Road, Scotgate Road and Grasscroft Road.	
The access for proposed development is important for parking for existing residents, as many are forced to park on the pavement.	Subject to access from Westcroft with provision of necessary visibility splays. TPOs on fringe of site and tree within the site. The land around these has been removed from the net area, but considered that development can be accommodated without having significant impact. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Honley conservation area.
Cumulative impact of development will increase in Honley town centre. Inadequate drainage infrastructure	Permission previously refused due to national planning policy in PPS3 which has now been replaced by NPPF.
Removing trees would increase risk of flooding.	The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.
Moor Bottom / Thirstin Road / Westcroft is lowest point in local sewerage network - cumulative impact of this and Scotgate Road development.	
Impact on wildlife - particularly from removal of trees	Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.
Large number of mature trees on the site	
Need to include enhancement for biodiversity and retain BAP habitats and areas of high ecological value. The site is adjacent to Honley Conservation Area. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).	
Site acts as an open space buffer between conservation area and more modern development.	
Impact on education provision	
Impact on healthcare provision	
Implications of potential A&E closure.	
Sites acts as amenity space, used for children's play. and dog walking	
Poor leisure facilities in the area	

Summary of comments

Council Response

Site previously refused (on appeal) for 6 dwellings. Scope for site frontage to be developed. This would represent infill development.

Impact on character of settlement

Proposals for housing on this site have previously been refused, on appeal: 93/00826 and 2006/95398

New homes need to be supported by infrastructure / services

Smaller houses are needed / Older Persons Accommodation

TPO tree on the site

Should use Brownfield land first, e.g.. Huddersfield Town Centre and Thirstin Road.

Impact on gas and electricity supply

Decrease in house values.

**H787** Land to the South of, Former Midlothian Garage, New Mill Road, Holmfirth  
DLP\_AD4501, DLP\_AD4986, DLP\_AD8595, DLP\_AD10870  
Need to include enhancement for biodiversity and retain BAP habitats and areas of high ecological value.

Support for proposed care home on the site.  
Lack of employment opportunities in this area

This site should be used for employment

Support 1 Conditional Support 2 Object 1 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Development of the site is subject to provision of safe site access. Contaminated land to north of the site. Investigation required regarding connection to sewer. Site should support deliverability of adequate opportunities for physical activity in the area.

Part of the site has planning permission for 4 dwellings (application reference: 2014/91492) therefore the principle for development of this part of the site has been established.

The comments relating to care home appear to relate to site to the north. The site has been tested for employment.

**H789** Land west of, Tanyard Road, Salendine Nook  
DLP\_AD10193, DLP\_AD10683

Traffic is congested.

There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water). Further traffic will cause pollution.

Level of growth in Lindley ward will place unsustainable burden on local infrastructure.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access achievable from Tanyard Road and Greenfield Avenue.

The site has been assessed for its impact on the local road network and no significant constraints have been identified.

The consideration of on site infrastructure can be addressed at planning application stage.

The site has been assessed by the council's environmental health team and no significant constraints have been identified.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

**H790** Land east of, Fern Lea Road, Lindley  
DLP\_AD5586, DLP\_AD10688

Traffic is congested.

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No change.

Summary of comments

Further traffic will cause pollution.

Level of growth in Lindley ward will place unsustainable burden on local infrastructure.  
Support for site as it is not green belt. Site should be developed for smaller affordable housing units.

Council Response

The site is an accepted housing option. The site does not have a frontage to the adopted highway however access possible from Fern Lea Road with the use of 3rd party land. The limit of adoption on Fern Lea Road is adjacent to Catherine Close. Beyond this point Fern Lea Road is unadopted and will require making up to adoptable standard to achieve access.

The site has been assessed for its impact on the local road network and no significant constraints have been identified.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

Support for the site noted.

The nature of house type on any development will be specific at a planning application stage considering relevant policies.

**H794** Land at, Flash Lane and Dunbottle Lane, Mirfield  
DLP\_AD2182, DLP\_AD7445

Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network in Mirfield, A644 already has long queues from Dewsbury to Cooper Bridge. On road parking reduces road capacity. Local road network surrounding the site including Greenside Road and Flash Lane is already stretched. Recommend pre-determination archaeological evaluation - close to known site of significance. (WYAAS) Increased demand on schools not considered Increased demand on GPs, Dentist etc not considered

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Responses to comments received from the consultation include:

The provision of a pedestrian footway is required along the site frontage on Flash Lane. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.

Comments from WYAAS noted.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

**H795** Land east of, Calder Drive, Newsome

DLP\_AD15, DLP\_AD1000, DLP\_AD1142, DLP\_AD2871, DLP\_AD3927, DLP\_AD3977, DLP\_AD4017, DLP\_AD4112, DLP\_AD4232, DLP\_AD4717, DLP\_AD5567, DLP\_AD6355, DLP\_AD6486, DLP\_AD6696, DLP\_AD7067, DLP\_AD7858, DLP\_AD8319, DLP\_AD8858, DLP\_AD9574, DLP\_AD10447

Newsome Road South has traffic problems. Caldercliffe Road is a busy road. Roads will not cope with extra traffic so close to a school. The site will increase congestion on the three main routes into Huddersfield (Newsome Road, Meltham Road, Huddersfield Road). Roads are used as a rat run for the Holme Valley. More housing will bring further traffic congestion on already busy and narrow and steep roads. There is no vehicular access to the site. Access to the site would be through Plantation Drive and this would be dangerous.

Development of site may cause flooding in adjacent properties. At present time rainwater flows underground down the hillside and under the houses on Caldercliffe Road. Noise from new houses and associated traffic will disturb residents close by. Previous mining site may be

Support Conditional Support 2 Object 18 No Comment

Proposed Change

The site is a proposed rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

The larger housing allocation H1728a has been accepted on this site and covers all of this site.

Comments are noted re. traffic congestion on Newsome Road and Caldercliffe Road. The local connecting links work indicate that the local highway network can accommodate the additional traffic flow.

Summary of comments

disturbed. Site is close to busy road which would create noise for new houses. A geological fault has previously been identified.  
 Site is very rare grazing land.  
 This site forms part of the area of open countryside which contributes to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this Scheduled Monument. (Historic England).

Loss of archaeological heritage.  
 Local schools are full.  
 There are capacity issues with local GP and dentists.  
 Unsure how access to the site will affect adjacent allotments. Concern about loss of allotments.

Green belt land should be protected.  
 Too much development of the green landscape around Castle Hill, Hall Bower and High Lane at Newsome would be detrimental to the environment and landscape.  
 There is no vehicular access to the site. This suggests that vehicle access would be from the west of the site i.e. from the end of Calder Drive. The land in between is a Statutory Allotment Site and would require the necessary permission from Westminster to change its use. Private land is needed that the council will have to purchase in order to gain access to the route.  
 Lack of resources in the community i.e. shops, GPs, chemist etc.  
 Site is sloping making it difficult to develop.  
 Newsome Mills should be brought back into use. The site has a lovely view of Castle Hill which could be maintained by only allowing restricted height building. Development of site will create overlooking and affect visual amenity of adjacent properties (Caldercliffe Road). Concern about the proposition of a footpath via plantation drive and the possible anti-social behaviour, as the residents are mostly made up of the elderly and vulnerable. Local house prices will be devalued. Brownfield land should be used first.  
 Disruption for local residents during construction of site. Site will result in loss of light for adjacent properties. Generous spacing should be given to new houses.

**H796** Land Adjacent, Old Lane, Birkenshaw  
 DLP\_AD5753, DLP\_AD8195, DLP\_AD10807, DLP\_AD10808, DLP\_AD10809

Traffic horrendous at peak times.  
 Lack of school places.  
 Doctors surgery full.

Support for site allocation subject to provision of POS within the site.

Council Response

Traffic noise has not been identified as a constraint to developing this site.

Comments are noted re. the setting of Castle Hill. This site forms part of the area of open countryside which contributes to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this Scheduled Monument. A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan".

The allotments in this area have now been allocated as Urban Greenspace.

Issues around topography, visual amenity and impact within the landscape are all issues to be considered during the planning application process.

Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 2	No Comment
-----------	-----------------------	----------	------------

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access is achievable with the use of third party land to achieve visibility splays. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

The site will have no immediate impact on the road network no objections have been raised from technical consultees.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan through a Comprehensive Health and Wellbeing Impact Assessment for Planning Tool. Details of this process can be found in the Local Plan Methodology Paper. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs and the Property Services (Pro Co) to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs. This will ensure that a mechanism is in place to deliver the health infrastructure required to support the growth that the local plan promotes.

Comments of support has been noted on this site.

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>H798</b>	Lady Heaton Drive, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed Change			
		The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for housing. The reasons for change are that the site is now built out and the allocation is no longer justified.			
<b>H809</b>	Land north of, Ashbrow Road, Brackenhall DLP_AD2124, DLP_AD2147, DLP_AD5560, DLP_AD7417, DLP_AD10280	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object 3	No Comment
Area of land adjacent to Ash Meadow Close is owned by Kirklees Council and used as a pupil/staff/visitor drop off point. Removing this will worsen traffic problems. Archeologically remains may exist within the site. Site is in a sustainable location for education.		No Change			
High density housing should be provided on this site.		This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		There are no overriding physical constraints to the development of this site. Outline planning permission has been granted on this site (2014/93625) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.			
<b>H810</b>	Land Adjacent, Moorfield Avenue, Scholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No comments were received on this site.		No Change			
		This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Access to this site can be achieved with an extension to Moorfield Avenue. There are no significant constraints with this site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.			
<b>H811</b>	Land Adjacent, Westgate, Cleckheaton DLP_AD5245, DLP_AD8879, DLP_AD10152	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object	No Comment
Site is within 100m of Lower Blacup Farmhouse and 2/3 Quaker Lane. Assessment required as to the impact of its setting.		Proposed Change			
Sewerage infrastructure crosses this site. Stand off distances required between 3 and 6 metres. As the site is Brownfield, if surface water discharges to the public sewer it must have attenuation for climate change. All future developers will be required to provide evidence of positive drainage to a public sewer to the satisfaction of YW or LPA by means of physical investigation. Support for this allocation as it is Brownfield and currently an eyesore.		This site is proposed as a rejected housing option. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for housing. The reasons for change are to coincide with the accepted mixed use planning permission on this site, application reference 2010/91431.			
		The site has outline planning permission for 217 dwellings and a proportion of B1 use class floor space (application reference: 2010/91431) therefore the principle for development of this site has been established.			
		Comments from Historic England and Yorkshire Water have been noted.			
		Supporting comments for this site have been noted.			
<b>H813</b>	Land to the west of School Street, Chickenley, Dewsbury DLP_AD3683	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
Potential for development of site to cumulatively impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury areas. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. Wakefield Council		No Change			
		The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site has planning permission for 49 dwellings (application reference: 2015/92628) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.			

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<p><b>H814</b> Land to the North of, Grove Street, Longwood DLP_AD2568, DLP_AD4206, DLP_AD11048 Highway safety and congestion issues.</p> <p>Limited opportunities to improve pedestrian safety</p> <p>There is little scope for improvements to increase traffic flow or to add pavements to make narrow lanes safer for an expanding population. Drainage issues – future development should help mitigate these problems Impact on education provision Access for emergency services and impact of potential A&amp;E closure at HRI</p> <p>Impact on healthcare provision</p> <p>Has been too much development in this part of the Golcar ward.</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object 3	No Comment
	No change.			
	The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
	Entire site is within TPO area and is UK BAP priority habitat. Site benefits from planning permission 2013/90715 on the condition that no development will be authorised until an ecological assessment of the site, including the woodland to the west has taken place.			
	This site has planning permission for 12 dwellings (application reference: 2013/90715) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.			
<p><b>H816</b> Perserverance Place, Holmfirth DLP_AD3639, DLP_AD4502, DLP_AD8603 Opportunity to improve pedestrian links to Holmfirth, such as riverside path.</p> <p>Cumulative impact on road congestion. Green space should be provided within the development to minimise recreational pressure on Makin House Wood.</p> <p>A buffer should be established between the site and the river. Impact on education provision Impact on healthcare provision Retention of footpath through the site should be enhanced and buffered to reduce recreational impacts on Local Wildlife Site / Ancient Woodland.</p>	Support	Conditional Support 2	Object 1	No Comment
	Proposed change.			
	This site is proposed as a rejected housing option. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:			
	Housing development on this site is largely complete and therefore allocation of this site is not justified			
<p><b>H817</b> Land at, Manor House, Flockton DLP_AD1320, DLP_AD3703, DLP_AD4346, DLP_AD8829, DLP_AD10105, DLP_AD10484, DLP_AD10657, DLP_AD10855, DLP_AD10908 Existing traffic congestion in Flockton would be exacerbated.</p> <p>No further development should take place until road connecting A637 and A642 is provided.</p> <p>A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area. Adverse air quality arising from traffic. Potential impact on school provision in Wakefield area. Wakefield and Kirklees need to work together to ensure this is adequately mitigated (Wakefield Council)</p> <p>Impact on education provision - Flockton First School</p> <p>Distance to other schools a concern Site includes steep banking to the south and west which includes mature trees.</p>	Support	Conditional Support 2	Object 7	No Comment
	No change.			
	The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
	Possible access from Manor House, subject to provision of visibility splays. Whilst there is outline permission, access arrangements are reserved matters. 2km from Denby Grange colliery ponds SAC / SSSI. May result in increased visitor pressure. Concern about impacts on groundwater. Planning application approved on condition requiring a comprehensive biodiversity management and enhancement plan. 23% of the site within high risk coal mining area.			
	This site has outline planning permission for 24 dwellings (application reference 2014/93480) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.			
<p><b>H1647</b> Land north of, Flint Street, Fartown DLP_AD5530, DLP_AD7414, DLP_AD10278 Issues with existing road network in Ashbrow Ward. Congestion issues at Bradley Roundabout and Lightridge Road. Junctions 24 and 25 of the M62 are congested. Insufficient education facilities in Ashbrow Ward.</p>	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
	No change.			
	This is an accepted housing site. The strategic and local transport infrastructure impacts have been assessed			

Summary of comments

Insufficient health facilities in Ashbrow Ward.

No evidence of economic climate for housing demand in Ashbrow Ward. Support allocation for housing because it is within the settlement of Huddersfield, is not green belt and is close to transport, amenities and services of Huddersfield town centre. The area is already overdeveloped and infrastructure cannot cope.

**H1656** Land south of, St Thomas Gardens, Bradley  
DLP\_AD344, DLP\_AD2766, DLP\_AD3865, DLP\_AD5168, DLP\_AD5275, DLP\_AD7420, DLP\_AD10153, DLP\_AD10283

Junctions 24 and 25 of the M62 are congested. Incidents on the M62 affect traffic on Bradley Road. Bradley Road is congested at peak times. Bradley Bar Roundabout and Cooper Bridge are congested at peak times. This allocation will increase congestion. There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. Noise, air pollution and air quality issues will be created. Developing this site will have a direct impact on wildlife habitats. Schools will be affected in the area. Doctor's surgeries will be affected in the area. Sport England objects to the site because it contains a multi-use games area.

There is no evidence that the economic climate creates a demand for more houses in Ashbrow ward.

**H1657** Land north of, Deighton Road, Deighton  
DLP\_AD360, DLP\_AD6338, DLP\_AD7416, DLP\_AD10282

The road network would not cope with the level of growth in Ashbrow ward. Traffic issues at junctions 24 and 25 of the M62, Bradley Roundabout and Lightridge Road. Pollution levels will increase. Education facilities would not cope with level of growth in Ashbrow ward. No plans for future school infrastructure. Health facilities would not cope with level of growth in Ashbrow ward. The Deighton Sports Arena has been allocated for housing. Deighton Sports Arena clearly requires an element of adjacent land for car parking for customers to the site. Kirklees Active Leisure would hope that provision for a suitable level and quality of car parking can be maintained into the future.

Council Response

for this site resulting in no significant issues.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The site has been submitted for housing by a willing land owner.

Support noted.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 7 No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. The site contains a multi-use-games area. Replacement of the existing MUGA facility in the vicinity of the site will be required as part of the development of this site.

The site has been considered for its impact on the local highway network and no significant constraints have been identified.

The site has been assessed by the council's environmental health team and no significant constraints have been identified.

The site has been assessed for its biodiversity value and no significant impacts of developing the site have been identified.

The presence of on site infrastructure can be considered as part of the site layout at planning application stage.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The site is being promoted for housing in the Local Plan by the land owner.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 3 No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access achievable. There are two or three access options possible from Deighton Road. Public rights of way border the west and north of the site.

The strategic and local road network has been assessed considering this site and no significant constraints have been identified.

The site had been considered by the council's environmental health team and no significant constraints have been identified.

Summary of comments

No evidence that in the current economic climate that people would want to buy houses in the Ashbrow ward.  
Use Brownfield sites rather than green belt.

Council Response

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

**H1664** Red Laithes Court, Red Laithes Lane, Ravensthorpe  
DLP\_AD6586

Road congestion, road capacity issues. Would add significant numbers of vehicles onto a main road which struggles to cope with current volumes.  
Flooding issues - localised flooding. Considered unsuitable for cemetery due to risk of flooding.

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, it is potentially contaminated land and 100% of the site is within a high risk coal referral area.

Highways links to the local road network is deemed to be acceptable.

The majority of the site (99.5%) is in flood zone 1 and there are no surface water objections.

**H1679** Land north of, Fenay Lane, Almondbury

DLP\_AD1317, DLP\_AD1639, DLP\_AD3467, DLP\_AD3596, DLP\_AD3662, DLP\_AD4315, DLP\_AD4664, DLP\_AD5746, DLP\_AD6206, DLP\_AD6792, DLP\_AD7472, DLP\_AD7819, DLP\_AD8605, DLP\_AD8798, DLP\_AD10342, DLP\_AD10456, DLP\_AD10502

The A629 is congested. Junction with A629 and Fenay Lane is bad. Wakefield Road at Waterloo is congested. Public transport should be improved.  
The site is affected by flooding. Development will increase run-off. The site was part of Kirklees Wet Woodland Project aimed at reducing surface run-off. This area should be protected.  
Noise and air pollution issues will be created.  
The natural habitat of this site would be affected.  
This site forms part of the area of open countryside which contributes to the setting of the Scheduled Monument at Castle Hill. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this Scheduled Monument. National policy guidance makes it clear that Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. (Historic England)  
School capacity is inadequate.  
Doctor and dentist infrastructure is inadequate.  
There is a shortage of recreational land.

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. The site is crossed by a public right of way. 2.35ha has been removed from the net developable area due to flood risk and UK BAP priority habitat on site.

The site has been assessed against the relevant environment agency flood risk layers and has been considered by the Council's Strategic Drainage team. An area of the net developable area has been removed. The remainder of the site does not have a level of constraint significant enough to prevent its allocation.

The site has been assessed by the Council's Environmental Health team and the impact of a potential noise source has been identified. This can be assessed by a noise assessment report, but does not present a significant constraint.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Don't build on green belt land. Allocating this site goes against national green belt policy.  
Site constrained and in a peripheral location on a busy road. Scale of proposed development appears inappropriate. Affordable and Green Infrastructure opportunities might be worth closer consideration.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The requirement for adequate open space and recreation facilities can be considered as part of a planning application applying relevant Local Plan policies.



## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<p><b>H1687</b> Land south of, Burbeary Road, Lockwood DLP_AD257, DLP_AD326, DLP_AD368, DLP_AD620, DLP_AD1359, DLP_AD1569, DLP_AD1583, DLP_AD1590, DLP_AD1901, DLP_AD2098, DLP_AD2110, DLP_AD2112, DLP_AD2126, DLP_AD2711, DLP_AD3226, DLP_AD4255, DLP_AD4665, DLP_AD4778, DLP_AD7740, DLP_AD8804, DLP_AD10613</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object 21	No Comment
<p>The site is used for car parking. The Hanson Lane Centre use some of the land for parking. There is traffic congestion around Bentley Street and Burbeary Road. Developing the site will cause water problems. Development will create noise pollution. This site is used for gardens, growing fruit and vegetables. The site has trees and extensive wildlife on it. There is a terrace of Grade II Listed Buildings along Meltham Road. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these Listed Buildings and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. (Historic England) There are allotments on site. Children play in the area.</p> <p>Site is used for drying washing. Site has garages on it. Some residents have purchased plots of land. Site provides access to properties. Loss of residential amenity. Will cause anti-social behaviour. Bring empty properties back into use first.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>The site is an accepted housing option. Site access possible via spur off Burbeary Road. It is noted that the site has a number of informal uses on it. The site does not contain statutory allotments or a formally recognised children's play area. The site has been put forward for housing in the Local Plan by the land owner.</p> <p>Site access possible via spur off Burbeary Road. The local and strategic highway impact has been considered and no major constraints have been identified.</p> <p>The site has been considered by the council's strategic drainage team and no major constraints have been identified.</p> <p>The site has been assessed for its biodiversity value and no major constraints have been identified.</p> <p>The impact of development on listed buildings can be considered at planning application stage.</p>			
<p><b>H1694</b> Land west of, Lidgett Street, Lindley DLP_AD5548, DLP_AD8854, DLP_AD10689</p> <p>General support for this allocation.</p>	Support 2	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>The site access is achievable from the existing depot entrance. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p>			

H1701 Land adjacent Woodlands Road, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	1 Object 146	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD96, DLP_AD121, DLP_AD307, DLP_AD324, DLP_AD328, DLP_AD354, DLP_AD355, DLP_AD363, DLP_AD382, DLP_AD451, DLP_AD472, DLP_AD482, DLP_AD484, DLP_AD628, DLP_AD719, DLP_AD761, DLP_AD877, DLP_AD960, DLP_AD1133, DLP_AD1201, DLP_AD1218, DLP_AD1233, DLP_AD1351, DLP_AD1366, DLP_AD1427, DLP_AD1494, DLP_AD1513, DLP_AD1524, DLP_AD1535, DLP_AD1580, DLP_AD1608, DLP_AD1618, DLP_AD1622, DLP_AD1641, DLP_AD1648, DLP_AD1650, DLP_AD1653, DLP_AD1654, DLP_AD1680, DLP_AD1703, DLP_AD1712, DLP_AD2017, DLP_AD2092, DLP_AD2114, DLP_AD2175, DLP_AD2412, DLP_AD2470, DLP_AD2584, DLP_AD2606, DLP_AD2640, DLP_AD2746, DLP_AD2854, DLP_AD3005, DLP_AD3028, DLP_AD3204, DLP_AD3402, DLP_AD3403, DLP_AD3452, DLP_AD3671, DLP_AD3689, DLP_AD3909, DLP_AD3983, DLP_AD3986, DLP_AD4136, DLP_AD4219, DLP_AD4251, DLP_AD4433, DLP_AD4521, DLP_AD4595, DLP_AD4790, DLP_AD5248, DLP_AD5251, DLP_AD5311, DLP_AD5394, DLP_AD5714, DLP_AD5935, DLP_AD5940, DLP_AD6117, DLP_AD6195, DLP_AD8458, DLP_AD8535, DLP_AD8868, DLP_AD8904, DLP_AD8905, DLP_AD8906, DLP_AD8907, DLP_AD8909, DLP_AD8910, DLP_AD8911, DLP_AD8912, DLP_AD8913, DLP_AD8914, DLP_AD8915, DLP_AD8916, DLP_AD8917, DLP_AD8918, DLP_AD8919, DLP_AD8920, DLP_AD8921, DLP_AD8922, DLP_AD8923, DLP_AD8924, DLP_AD8925, DLP_AD8926, DLP_AD8929, DLP_AD8930, DLP_AD8931, DLP_AD8932, DLP_AD8933, DLP_AD8934, DLP_AD8935, DLP_AD8936, DLP_AD8937, DLP_AD8938, DLP_AD8939, DLP_AD8940, DLP_AD8941, DLP_AD8942, DLP_AD8943, DLP_AD8944, DLP_AD8945, DLP_AD8946, DLP_AD8947, DLP_AD8948, DLP_AD8949, DLP_AD8950, DLP_AD8951, DLP_AD8952, DLP_AD8953, DLP_AD8954, DLP_AD8955, DLP_AD8956, DLP_AD8957, DLP_AD8958, DLP_AD8959, DLP_AD8960, DLP_AD8964, DLP_AD8971, DLP_AD9094, DLP_AD9172, DLP_AD9330, DLP_AD9340, DLP_AD9344, DLP_AD10133, DLP_AD10217, DLP_AD10270, DLP_AD10549</p>				
<p>Impact on road network local and wider including Woodlands Road, Birch Grove, Birch Road, Intake Lane, Merlin Court, Upper Batley Low Lane exacerbated by developments on and around Windmill Lane. Blind corner on Woodlands Road with visibility problems, number of serious incidents, poor street lighting. Birch Grove access unsuitable and unsafe, designed as a cul de sac. Only access and egress extension to east section of Birch Grove, carriageway of 20 feet in width. No access other than private road. Severe congestion at school times. Overused by learner drivers. More parking problems</p>	Proposed Change			
<p>Intake Lane exit difficult - topography, traffic volumes, parked cars, Upper Batley Lane now 40mph. Proper traffic management survey should be conducted. Birch Grove unsuitable for construction traffic. Difficult to access during periods of snow and ice including for service and emergency vehicles.</p>				<p>The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for housing.</p>
<p>Not served by public transport. Detrimental affect on existing drainage. Little Wood is a major soak off area protecting areas down to Bradford Road. Sewer infrastructure will not cope. Localised flooding in area including Upper Batley Low Lane and Upper Batley Lane Increased noise and air pollution caused by extra traffic and loss of trees Negative impact on Little Wood, ancient woodland, wildlife including protected bats, badgers, hedgehog, butterflies, bees, newts, common woodpeckers. Negative impact on larger eco-system Wilton Park and surrounding countryside. Wildlife survey must be carried out before any decision to build.</p>				<p>The reasons for change are this site has been reviewed for urban green space allocation in light of comments received on housing option H1701 and together the allotments and woodland are considered to merit allocation as urban green space. This is justified by evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 in which the allotments have been assessed as being of high quality and high value as open space providing a valuable recreation facility. Little Wood is justified as urban green space in accordance with the urban green space methodology which recognises that woodlands are important for their habitat value, visual amenity benefits and can provide recreational opportunities.</p>
<p>Duty to apply EU Habitats Directive - Habitats and Conservation Species Regulation 2010 An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of Bagshaw Museum Grade II* listed building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England) Negative impact on listed building (Bagshaw Museum), Conservation area. School capacity insufficient including Windmill Primary Local GP's and dentists capacity insufficient, local hospital being downgraded</p>				<p>Supporting comments for this site have been noted.</p>
<p>Existing allotments have significantly positive impact on physical, mental well-being and social connectedness. People in North Kirklees have the worst outcomes in Kirklees with limited access to outdoor space. Detrimental to general well-being of existing residents including ageing and retired. Loss of very well used allotments for over 40 years (with long waiting list) and associated health and educational benefits to allotment holders, residents and children. No others within reasonable travelling distance, 2 mile radius. No equivalent replacement offered. Kirklees deficient in number of allotments, not fulfilling duty. 2010 Open Space Study primary purpose of the land allotments appendix 3a Map 1 KMC Priority Links Study No evidence of an assessment of site in Urban Green Space technical paper and Local Plan Open Space Study Open Space Assessment Report - No assessment carried out. Plot satisfies criteria for Urban Green Space. Under provision of allotments in Batley and Spen</p>				<p>A petition has been received on this site option, 408 signatures.</p>

Summary of comments

Council Response

Open space study 2015 allotments assessed as high value and high quality, below size limit for Urban Green Space allocation. Polytunnels and raised beds should have been included in area would qualify as Urban Green Space. Other elements not assessed no total for plot. Allotments and nursery exceed 0.4ha minimum size. Plot compares favourably with other urban greenspace allocations e.g. UGS 1274, UGS 858, UGS 1445

Negative impact on Wilton Park

Site not unallocated Brownfield land - former nursery inaccurately classified, includes allotments, wood, polytunnels (part of KMC Community Healthy Food programme). All remains of nursery have blended into landscape. KMC records show site is used allotments and Bereavement Services Depot. Should be correctly classified as Urban Green Space, meets all criteria. Land has always been used as horticultural and agricultural. Proposed allocation fails all tests NPPF para 74.

Detrimental impact on landscape. Loss of view and privacy.

Site topography difficult for delivery of utilities

Disproportionate level of development, negative effect on character of area, adverse impact on locality which would outweigh benefits, reduction in value of private housing. Out of settlement

Planning applications for houses in gardens refused, proposal would be out of character for area, detached houses and bungalows.

Site buffer zone between wildlife and properties on Woodlands Road.

Uncharted mines, mining survey required.

Little open space left in Birstall/Batley

More balanced development needed.

Other Brownfield sites available in area e.g. Land adjacent to Frontier Club, Bradford Road, Batley

Potential negative impact on character of area

Provide more social housing in town centres near to shops, amenities

Contradicts Local Plan vision 3.2 and paras 3.6.6, 12.21 and 12.31

Services and improved infrastructure is required for existing residents before increase in population

Alternative option retain and extend allotments, extend woodlands and Wilton park

Land gifted to Council for benefit of town and inhabitants for recreation purposes. Included covenants to land use.

Alternative option the old golf course off Gelderd road between Leeds Road and 107 Raikes Lane

Protection under Small Holdings and Allotments Acts 1908

Alternative option land just off White Lee Road, Carters Fields

More appropriate site address should be 'land adjacent to Birch Grove'

Reduced effectiveness of Solar panels due to excessive dust

Increase in crime

Has opportunity been provided to apply for an Asset of Community Value

H1702	Land Adjacent Mayman Lane, Mount Pleasant	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change to site option			
		This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site is a Brownfield site. Site access is achievable from Mayman Lane, there are no other significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.			
H1704	Land Adjacent, Highmoor Lane, Hartshead	Support	Conditional Support	Object 3	No Comment
DLP_AD5129, DLP_AD6310, DLP_AD6321		No Change			
No access to Highmoor Lane	No access from Halifax Road . Road safety, road capacity issues, congestion				

Summary of comments

on major link roads to Halifax/Brighouse, Heckmondwike/Dewsbury, Huddersfield and Cleckheaton/Wakefield and Walton Lane.  
 Air quality dispersion modelling suggest substantial buffer required from M62, significantly reducing developable area. Noise levels unknown. Air quality and noise cannot be adequately mitigated.  
 Mature trees and wildlife affected including newts, bees, butterflies, hedgehogs, bats. Site contains a pond that has newts within it.  
 School capacity insufficient  
 Doctors and Dewsbury hospital insufficient  
 Detrimental impact on cricket club and field. Loss of informal recreation land for dog walking

Loss of view and privacy  
 Negative impact of M62 on desirability and housing values.  
 Site Allocation Methodology should include realistic financial viability assessment  
 Proposed site should be designed to look like private two storey residential rather than usual council estate  
 Many housing and safeguarded land sites adjacent to M62 better suited to employment or safeguarded employment allocations  
 Greater and fairer share of new housing should be located in Gomersal  
 Negative effect on character and house prices in larger local area. Use Brownfield land first e.g. Prospect Road/Street, bottom of Spen Lane and opposite bottom of South Parade in this area and throughout Kirklees.  
 No shops or community areas  
 Land previously used for small special school with temporary buildings which had minimal effect on traffic

Council Response

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access can be achieved from Halifax Road or Highmoor Lane. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:

Access can be achieved from Halifax Road or Highmoor Lane. 2.4m x 43m (30mph speed limit) visibility splays required. Right turn lane may need to be provided on Halifax Road. Pedestrian footway required along site frontage on Highmoor Lane.

The motorway is located in a substantial cutting at this point. A buffer would be required from the motorway.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The cricket pitch is protected as urban greenspace (UGS9974). The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.

The allocation of the site confirms the principle of development. Details of the design and site layout and impact on adjoining residential properties will be addressed as part of a detailed planning application.

Each site has been assessed against the site allocations methodology, outcomes are detailed under the specific sites.

**H1709** Land to the east of, Upper Clough, Linthwaite

DLP\_AD5378

The site is in a conservation area, so its design and quality of housing will need to have regard to this.

The site is council owned and will allow the provision of affordable housing.  
 The allocation minimises loss of Green Belt.

Support 1 Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology

The site within conservation area, so design will need to reflect this. The site acceptable subject to gaining safe site access, which may require improvements to the local highway network commensurate with development. Noise and odour assessments are required. There is a watercourse on eastern boundary of the site. Site should support deliverability of opportunities for physical activity in the area.

A change will be made to the site allocation box that identifies that the site is within the Conservation Area and regard will need to be had to this designation and the elements that contribute to its significance.

**H1727** Land west of, Taylor Hill Lane, Lockwood

DLP\_AD8, DLP\_AD9, DLP\_AD83, DLP\_AD99, DLP\_AD386, DLP\_AD387, DLP\_AD388, DLP\_AD389, DLP\_AD537, DLP\_AD677, DLP\_AD688, DLP\_AD707, DLP\_AD1167, DLP\_AD1358, DLP\_AD1410, DLP\_AD1611, DLP\_AD2467, DLP\_AD2777, DLP\_AD2868, DLP\_AD4337, DLP\_AD6086, DLP\_AD6205, DLP\_AD6485, DLP\_AD6741, DLP\_AD7063, DLP\_AD7228, DLP\_AD7355, DLP\_AD7462, DLP\_AD8169, DLP\_AD8839, DLP\_AD10134, DLP\_AD10136, DLP\_AD10311, DLP\_AD10320, DLP\_AD10321, DLP\_AD10322, DLP\_AD10324, DLP\_AD10330, DLP\_AD10332, DLP\_AD10335, DLP\_AD10423, DLP\_AD10507, DLP\_AD10520, DLP\_AD10521, DLP\_AD10523, DLP\_AD10524, DLP\_AD10525, DLP\_AD10526, DLP\_AD10528, DLP\_AD10529, DLP\_AD10530, DLP\_AD10535, DLP\_AD10537, DLP\_AD10551, DLP\_AD10574, DLP\_AD10901

No safe access to the site. Existing PROW runs through the site. Traffic on Taylor Hill Road very busy and

Proposed Change

## Summary of comments

---

problems with parked cars. If residents were to lose car parking spaces to the rear of the existing properties, make the situation worse. Poor sight lines and visibility around the two proposed access points. Site is a former tip - contaminated.  
Lots of protected wildlife in this site. Bats, foxes, great crested newts in this area. Lots of bird species use the woodland including woodpeckers.

Council confirmed the land was unstable for building purposes hence why offered residents the chance to have allotments on it. Majority of site contains steep banking adjacent to main road.  
Council has granted planning permission for a variety of sheds, greenhouses and other structures on this land and residents have spent thousands of pounds on walls, fences and improving the general area. Land currently leased to residents should be removed from the site allocation.

## Council Response

---

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for housing. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

The configuration of BAP priority habitat within the site and the site topography would be a significant constraint to access within the site for housing development opposite Stoney Cross Street. Access from Taylor Hill Road is not suitable.

Comments are noted about traffic problems and parking issues on Taylor Hill Road.

Comments are noted about the former tip on the site.

Part of the site is a BAP priority habitat with protected species within it. This is noted and taken into account.

The topography of the site is noted.

The use of land for garden improvements is also noted.

---

Comments noted

H1747	Land north of, Bradley Road, Bradley	Support 3	Conditional Support 7	Object 867	No Comment
DLP_AD1, DLP_AD5, DLP_AD6, DLP_AD7, DLP_AD10, DLP_AD13, DLP_AD16, DLP_AD17, DLP_AD19, DLP_AD21, DLP_AD26, DLP_AD27, DLP_AD28, DLP_AD30, DLP_AD34, DLP_AD36, DLP_AD37, DLP_AD40, DLP_AD41, DLP_AD42, DLP_AD48, DLP_AD51, DLP_AD52, DLP_AD53, DLP_AD55, DLP_AD58, DLP_AD61, DLP_AD62, DLP_AD63, DLP_AD64, DLP_AD65, DLP_AD66, DLP_AD67, DLP_AD68, DLP_AD70, DLP_AD71, DLP_AD73, DLP_AD74, DLP_AD75, DLP_AD76, DLP_AD77, DLP_AD80, DLP_AD81, DLP_AD82, DLP_AD83, DLP_AD89, DLP_AD95, DLP_AD97, DLP_AD98, DLP_AD100, DLP_AD101, DLP_AD106, DLP_AD107, DLP_AD109, DLP_AD110, DLP_AD111, DLP_AD112, DLP_AD113, DLP_AD114, DLP_AD115, DLP_AD117, DLP_AD126, DLP_AD128, DLP_AD129, DLP_AD134, DLP_AD136, DLP_AD140, DLP_AD141, DLP_AD142, DLP_AD146, DLP_AD149, DLP_AD152, DLP_AD153, DLP_AD154, DLP_AD155, DLP_AD156, DLP_AD157, DLP_AD159, DLP_AD161, DLP_AD162, DLP_AD163, DLP_AD164, DLP_AD167, DLP_AD168, DLP_AD171, DLP_AD172, DLP_AD173, DLP_AD174, DLP_AD182, DLP_AD184, DLP_AD185, DLP_AD186, DLP_AD187, DLP_AD188, DLP_AD189, DLP_AD190, DLP_AD191, DLP_AD192, DLP_AD193, DLP_AD194, DLP_AD195, DLP_AD196, DLP_AD197, DLP_AD198, DLP_AD199, DLP_AD201, DLP_AD202, DLP_AD203, DLP_AD204, DLP_AD205, DLP_AD207, DLP_AD208, DLP_AD209, DLP_AD212, DLP_AD214, DLP_AD217, DLP_AD226, DLP_AD227, DLP_AD228, DLP_AD229, DLP_AD230, DLP_AD231, DLP_AD232, DLP_AD234, DLP_AD235, DLP_AD237, DLP_AD238, DLP_AD239, DLP_AD250, DLP_AD251, DLP_AD258, DLP_AD267, DLP_AD268, DLP_AD269, DLP_AD275, DLP_AD277, DLP_AD279, DLP_AD290, DLP_AD294, DLP_AD300, DLP_AD301, DLP_AD302, DLP_AD303, DLP_AD309, DLP_AD311, DLP_AD318, DLP_AD321, DLP_AD327, DLP_AD339, DLP_AD341, DLP_AD345, DLP_AD346, DLP_AD347, DLP_AD349, DLP_AD361, DLP_AD364, DLP_AD367, DLP_AD371, DLP_AD373, DLP_AD375, DLP_AD377, DLP_AD392, DLP_AD399, DLP_AD401, DLP_AD402, DLP_AD403, DLP_AD404, DLP_AD408, DLP_AD412, DLP_AD414, DLP_AD416, DLP_AD417, DLP_AD418, DLP_AD419, DLP_AD422, DLP_AD437, DLP_AD440, DLP_AD441, DLP_AD443, DLP_AD445, DLP_AD450, DLP_AD452, DLP_AD458, DLP_AD461, DLP_AD469, DLP_AD474, DLP_AD476, DLP_AD477, DLP_AD481, DLP_AD485, DLP_AD486, DLP_AD491, DLP_AD493, DLP_AD494, DLP_AD495, DLP_AD503, DLP_AD506, DLP_AD507, DLP_AD509, DLP_AD512, DLP_AD514, DLP_AD517, DLP_AD520, DLP_AD521, DLP_AD523, DLP_AD538, DLP_AD539, DLP_AD543, DLP_AD547, DLP_AD549, DLP_AD551, DLP_AD552, DLP_AD556, DLP_AD559, DLP_AD562, DLP_AD572, DLP_AD584, DLP_AD586, DLP_AD587, DLP_AD589, DLP_AD590, DLP_AD599, DLP_AD600, DLP_AD606, DLP_AD607, DLP_AD619, DLP_AD627, DLP_AD629, DLP_AD637, DLP_AD643, DLP_AD651, DLP_AD653, DLP_AD665, DLP_AD667, DLP_AD669, DLP_AD683, DLP_AD684, DLP_AD689, DLP_AD722, DLP_AD729, DLP_AD734, DLP_AD740, DLP_AD748, DLP_AD755, DLP_AD756, DLP_AD762, DLP_AD765, DLP_AD773, DLP_AD779, DLP_AD787, DLP_AD793, DLP_AD794, DLP_AD795, DLP_AD801, DLP_AD808, DLP_AD815, DLP_AD821, DLP_AD828, DLP_AD860, DLP_AD883, DLP_AD885, DLP_AD889, DLP_AD916, DLP_AD939, DLP_AD951, DLP_AD959, DLP_AD965, DLP_AD968, DLP_AD987, DLP_AD990, DLP_AD996, DLP_AD1007, DLP_AD1021, DLP_AD1060, DLP_AD1087, DLP_AD1097, DLP_AD1112, DLP_AD1114, DLP_AD1115, DLP_AD1119, DLP_AD1121, DLP_AD1122, DLP_AD1127, DLP_AD1128, DLP_AD1138, DLP_AD1139, DLP_AD1141, DLP_AD1147, DLP_AD1148, DLP_AD1150, DLP_AD1151, DLP_AD1152, DLP_AD1153, DLP_AD1155, DLP_AD1157, DLP_AD1204, DLP_AD1208, DLP_AD1209, DLP_AD1211, DLP_AD1220, DLP_AD1221, DLP_AD1222, DLP_AD1223, DLP_AD1224, DLP_AD1237, DLP_AD1251, DLP_AD1252, DLP_AD1259, DLP_AD1262, DLP_AD1265, DLP_AD1292, DLP_AD1301, DLP_AD1323, DLP_AD1326, DLP_AD1328, DLP_AD1329, DLP_AD1330, DLP_AD1353, DLP_AD1354, DLP_AD1355, DLP_AD1357, DLP_AD1382, DLP_AD1387, DLP_AD1395, DLP_AD1431, DLP_AD1434, DLP_AD1436, DLP_AD1438, DLP_AD1442, DLP_AD1448, DLP_AD1477, DLP_AD1501, DLP_AD1573, DLP_AD1574, DLP_AD1575, DLP_AD1584, DLP_AD1594, DLP_AD1620, DLP_AD1623, DLP_AD1626, DLP_AD1630, DLP_AD1667, DLP_AD1675, DLP_AD1742, DLP_AD1813, DLP_AD1815, DLP_AD1818, DLP_AD1821, DLP_AD1859, DLP_AD1899, DLP_AD1915, DLP_AD1919, DLP_AD1924, DLP_AD2007, DLP_AD2152, DLP_AD2179, DLP_AD2297, DLP_AD2298, DLP_AD2300, DLP_AD2347, DLP_AD2392, DLP_AD2413, DLP_AD2756, DLP_AD2768, DLP_AD2875, DLP_AD2908, DLP_AD2945, DLP_AD2961, DLP_AD2966, DLP_AD3041, DLP_AD3058, DLP_AD3060, DLP_AD3066, DLP_AD3077, DLP_AD3206, DLP_AD3249, DLP_AD3251, DLP_AD3256, DLP_AD3264, DLP_AD3265, DLP_AD3274, DLP_AD3345, DLP_AD3368, DLP_AD3384, DLP_AD3432, DLP_AD3447, DLP_AD3461, DLP_AD3477, DLP_AD3479, DLP_AD3484, DLP_AD3616, DLP_AD3623, DLP_AD3664, DLP_AD3701, DLP_AD3732, DLP_AD3733, DLP_AD3745, DLP_AD3746, DLP_AD3756, DLP_AD3757, DLP_AD3758, DLP_AD3777, DLP_AD3780, DLP_AD3809, DLP_AD3810, DLP_AD3811, DLP_AD3815, DLP_AD3819, DLP_AD3829, DLP_AD3830, DLP_AD3838, DLP_AD3862, DLP_AD3868, DLP_AD3871, DLP_AD3872, DLP_AD3882, DLP_AD3885, DLP_AD3890, DLP_AD3897, DLP_AD3902, DLP_AD3918, DLP_AD3956, DLP_AD3966, DLP_AD3979, DLP_AD3988, DLP_AD4027, DLP_AD4075, DLP_AD4095, DLP_AD4107, DLP_AD4131, DLP_AD4132, DLP_AD4137, DLP_AD4145, DLP_AD4147, DLP_AD4151, DLP_AD4172, DLP_AD4209, DLP_AD4235, DLP_AD4259, DLP_AD4274, DLP_AD4281, DLP_AD4387, DLP_AD4424, DLP_AD4430, DLP_AD4445, DLP_AD4446, DLP_AD4460, DLP_AD4463, DLP_AD4504, DLP_AD4507, DLP_AD4508, DLP_AD4581, DLP_AD4597, DLP_AD4600, DLP_AD4605, DLP_AD4611, DLP_AD4636, DLP_AD4669, DLP_AD4684, DLP_AD4700, DLP_AD4702, DLP_AD4703, DLP_AD4710, DLP_AD4713, DLP_AD4719, DLP_AD4720, DLP_AD4723, DLP_AD4728, DLP_AD4748, DLP_AD4767, DLP_AD4793, DLP_AD4799, DLP_AD4826, DLP_AD4831, DLP_AD4858, DLP_AD4859, DLP_AD4865, DLP_AD4874, DLP_AD4876, DLP_AD4881, DLP_AD4916, DLP_AD4924, DLP_AD4951, DLP_AD4952, DLP_AD4984, DLP_AD4994, DLP_AD5001, DLP_AD5005, DLP_AD5029, DLP_AD5030, DLP_AD5039, DLP_AD5074, DLP_AD5087, DLP_AD5105, DLP_AD5107, DLP_AD5111, DLP_AD5112, DLP_AD5114, DLP_AD5143, DLP_AD5156, DLP_AD5164, DLP_AD5176, DLP_AD5227, DLP_AD5239, DLP_AD5277, DLP_AD5319, DLP_AD5331, DLP_AD5335, DLP_AD5372, DLP_AD5393, DLP_AD5425, DLP_AD5434, DLP_AD5466, DLP_AD5568, DLP_AD5608, DLP_AD5639, DLP_AD5698, DLP_AD5701, DLP_AD5704, DLP_AD5719, DLP_AD5778, DLP_AD5782, DLP_AD5803, DLP_AD5804, DLP_AD5810, DLP_AD6005, DLP_AD6044, DLP_AD6047, DLP_AD6048, DLP_AD6107, DLP_AD6109, DLP_AD6116, DLP_AD6165, DLP_AD6181, DLP_AD6183, DLP_AD6185, DLP_AD6231, DLP_AD6237, DLP_AD6309, DLP_AD6322, DLP_AD6323, DLP_AD6329, DLP_AD6331, DLP_AD6347, DLP_AD6368, DLP_AD6386, DLP_AD6549, DLP_AD6550, DLP_AD6558, DLP_AD6671, DLP_AD6673, DLP_AD6674, DLP_AD6675, DLP_AD6676, DLP_AD6677, DLP_AD6689, DLP_AD6729, DLP_AD6740, DLP_AD6808, DLP_AD6811, DLP_AD6819, DLP_AD6822, DLP_AD6834, DLP_AD6952, DLP_AD6971, DLP_AD6973, DLP_AD6988, DLP_AD7168, DLP_AD7231, DLP_AD7242, DLP_AD7321, DLP_AD7327, DLP_AD7340, DLP_AD7354, DLP_AD7358, DLP_AD7362, DLP_AD7371, DLP_AD7373, DLP_AD7374, DLP_AD7375, DLP_AD7376, DLP_AD7380, DLP_AD7411, DLP_AD7427, DLP_AD7430, DLP_AD7432, DLP_AD7435, DLP_AD7473, DLP_AD7532, DLP_AD7548, DLP_AD7572, DLP_AD7623, DLP_AD7999, DLP_AD8073, DLP_AD8114, DLP_AD8116, DLP_AD8140, DLP_AD8220, DLP_AD8234, DLP_AD8237, DLP_AD8238, DLP_AD8251, DLP_AD8253, DLP_AD8445, DLP_AD8495, DLP_AD8500, DLP_AD8501, DLP_AD8560, DLP_AD8575, DLP_AD8739, DLP_AD8800, DLP_AD9025, DLP_AD9099, DLP_AD9100, DLP_AD9324, DLP_AD9326, DLP_AD9408, DLP_AD9441, DLP_AD9583, DLP_AD9587, DLP_AD9588, DLP_AD9589, DLP_AD9590, DLP_AD9591, DLP_AD9593, DLP_AD9596, DLP_AD9598, DLP_AD9599, DLP_AD9601, DLP_AD9602, DLP_AD9603, DLP_AD9604, DLP_AD9607, DLP_AD9608, DLP_AD9609, DLP_AD9610, DLP_AD9611, DLP_AD9613, DLP_AD9614, DLP_AD9615, DLP_AD9616, DLP_AD9617, DLP_AD9618, DLP_AD9619, DLP_AD9620, DLP_AD9621, DLP_AD9622, DLP_AD9623, DLP_AD9624, DLP_AD9625, DLP_AD9626, DLP_AD9628, DLP_AD9629, DLP_AD9630, DLP_AD9631, DLP_AD9632, DLP_AD9633, DLP_AD9634, DLP_AD9635, DLP_AD9636, DLP_AD9637, DLP_AD9638, DLP_AD9639, DLP_AD9640, DLP_AD9641, DLP_AD9642, DLP_AD9643, DLP_AD9644, DLP_AD9645, DLP_AD9646, DLP_AD9648, DLP_AD9649, DLP_AD9650, DLP_AD9651, DLP_AD9652, DLP_AD9653, DLP_AD9654, DLP_AD9655, DLP_AD9656, DLP_AD9657, DLP_AD9658, DLP_AD9659, DLP_AD9660, DLP_AD9661, DLP_AD9662, DLP_AD9663, DLP_AD9664, DLP_AD9665, DLP_AD9666, DLP_AD9667, DLP_AD9668, DLP_AD9669, DLP_AD9670, DLP_AD9671, DLP_AD9672, DLP_AD9673, DLP_AD9674, DLP_AD9676, DLP_AD9677, DLP_AD9678, DLP_AD9679, DLP_AD9680, DLP_AD9681, DLP_AD9682, DLP_AD9683, DLP_AD9684, DLP_AD9685, DLP_AD9686, DLP_AD9687, DLP_AD9688, DLP_AD9689, DLP_AD9690, DLP_AD9691, DLP_AD9692, DLP_AD9693, DLP_AD9694, DLP_AD9695, DLP_AD9696, DLP_AD9697, DLP_AD9698, DLP_AD9699, DLP_AD9700, DLP_AD9701, DLP_AD9702, DLP_AD9703, DLP_AD9704, DLP_AD9705, DLP_AD9706, DLP_AD9707, DLP_AD9708, DLP_AD9709, DLP_AD9710, DLP_AD9711, DLP_AD9712, DLP_AD9713, DLP_AD9714, DLP_AD9715, DLP_AD9716, DLP_AD9717, DLP_AD9718, DLP_AD9719, DLP_AD9720, DLP_AD9721, DLP_AD9722, DLP_AD9723, DLP_AD9724, DLP_AD9725, DLP_AD9726, DLP_AD9727, DLP_AD9728, DLP_AD9729, DLP_AD9730, DLP_AD9731, DLP_AD9732, DLP_AD9733, DLP_AD9734, DLP_AD9735, DLP_AD9736, DLP_AD9737, DLP_AD9738, DLP_AD9739, DLP_AD9740, DLP_AD9741, DLP_AD9742, DLP_AD9743, DLP_AD9744, DLP_AD9745, DLP_AD9746, DLP_AD9747, DLP_AD9748, DLP_AD9749, DLP_AD9750, DLP_AD9751, DLP_AD9752, DLP_AD9753, DLP_AD9754, DLP_AD9755, DLP_AD9756, DLP_AD9757, DLP_AD9758, DLP_AD9759, DLP_AD9760, DLP_AD9761, DLP_AD9762, DLP_AD9763, DLP_AD9764, DLP_AD9765, DLP_AD9766, DLP_AD9767, DLP_AD9768, DLP_AD9769, DLP_AD9770, DLP_AD9771, DLP_AD9772, DLP_AD9773, DLP_AD9774, DLP_AD9775, DLP_AD9776, DLP_AD9777, DLP_AD9778, DLP_AD9779, DLP_AD9780, DLP_AD9781, DLP_AD9782, DLP_AD9783, DLP_AD9784, DLP_AD9785, DLP_AD9786, DLP_AD9787, DLP_AD9788, DLP_AD9789, DLP_AD9790, DLP_AD9791, DLP_AD9792, DLP_AD9793, DLP_AD9794, DLP_AD9795, DLP_AD9796, DLP_AD9797, DLP_AD9798, DLP_AD9799, DLP_AD9800, DLP_AD9801,					

DLP\_AD9802, DLP\_AD9803, DLP\_AD9804, DLP\_AD9805, DLP\_AD9806, DLP\_AD9807, DLP\_AD9808, DLP\_AD9809, DLP\_AD9810, DLP\_AD9811, DLP\_AD9812, DLP\_AD9813, DLP\_AD9814, DLP\_AD9815, DLP\_AD9816, DLP\_AD9817, DLP\_AD9818, DLP\_AD9819, DLP\_AD9820, DLP\_AD9847, DLP\_AD9848, DLP\_AD9849, DLP\_AD9850, DLP\_AD9851, DLP\_AD9852, DLP\_AD9853, DLP\_AD9892, DLP\_AD9893, DLP\_AD9894, DLP\_AD10167, DLP\_AD10261, DLP\_AD10268, DLP\_AD10272, DLP\_AD10273, DLP\_AD10276, DLP\_AD10284, DLP\_AD10288, DLP\_AD10301, DLP\_AD10355, DLP\_AD10359, DLP\_AD10435, DLP\_AD10564, DLP\_AD10598, DLP\_AD10646, DLP\_AD10677, DLP\_AD10679, DLP\_AD10680, DLP\_AD10872, DLP\_AD10922, DLP\_AD10929, DLP\_AD10976, DLP\_AD11026, DLP\_AD11052, DLP\_AD11068, DLP\_AD11071, DLP\_AD11072

Traffic modelling indicates that Site H1747 has an individual severe adverse impact based on the number of trips generated on links on the motorway network. That impact needs to be considered in the context of the overall traffic impact resulting from the overall scale of development proposed in the Kirklees Draft Local Plan and the combined impact of land use development proposals for Kirklees in combination with those of neighbouring local planning authorities.

Where sites have a severe impact on the Strategic Road Network (SRN) measures will be required to reduce and mitigate that impact. Highways England has a number of planned improvements to the SRN funded as part of the government's Road Investment Strategy (RIS). These schemes will provide additional capacity at congested locations. Sites which have the greatest individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by that site. Where committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment, sites may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes. The initial results of modelling undertaken as part of the Highways England West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study indicate that capacity improvement measures additional to the schemes included in the RIS will be needed to cater for demand generated by development in Kirklees and neighbouring Districts. The draft version of the West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study was completed in November 2015 and is now under consideration by Highways England. It will be shared with the Council in the near future. Schemes identified that are relevant to Kirklees will need to be added to the schedule in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Further modelling work will be needed to determine the traffic threshold or trigger for the additional improvement schemes. Site H1747 may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes where committed RIS schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment. Construction of the site should be phased to take place following completion of committed schemes in the RIS. The site is adjacent to the smaller Site H351 and the requirements identified in the Site Allocations consultation document indicate that the two sites will be subject to a common master plan. Consequently, the comments made in relation to this site also apply to Site H351. (Highways England) Traffic congestion problems at M62 junctions, Bradley Road, Fixby Roundabout, Redwood Drive, A641, A62, Birstall, Liversedge, Mirfield, Hartshead & Cooper Bridge. Multiple access points are required. Calderdale are proposing development across the border which will also increase traffic. Traffic safety issues around All Saints Catholic College and adjoining nursery. Further bus services would be needed. There is no evidence that J24a of the M62 will be delivered. Access to the site is inappropriate.

Sewage infrastructure would be affected. There are underground streams. Development will increase flood risk along river Colne and Calder.

Eastern boundary is along Bradley Park landfill which is currently a permitted hazardous waste landfill and safeguarded for this use. This should be included in the constraints section. We have concerns about the proximity of this allocation to the permitted site which takes, asbestos, hazardous soils and ash.

(Environment Agency) Trees on the site improve air quality and provide and buffer from the motorway. There could be hazardous materials from previous landfill, and the adjacent landfill site. Increase in traffic will cause pollution and noise. There has been previous mining on the site. Site may be subject to subsidence. Odour may affect the site. Site is close to an area of poor air quality.

The proposed housing allocation site contains areas of mature, deciduous woodland, hedgerows, ditches and wetland habitats. Such habitats are UK Biodiversity Action Plan Habitats and have been highlighted as Kirklees Habitats of Principal Importance in the Kirklees Biodiversity Action Plan 2007. A full ecological assessment of the site should be undertaken prior to allocation. Master planning of the site should be designed to mitigate for impacts on biodiversity. Mature strips of broadleaved woodland, mature hedgerows and priority habitats should be retained within the allocation site and that these areas should be extended to strengthen the ecological corridors across the site. Developing this site would cause the loss of woodland and wildlife (including deer, white clay crayfish, great crested newts, frogs, bats, birds, orchids). The trees on site help to combat climate change. There are protected species on site. Developing the site would increase greenhouse gas emissions. The course has won awards for ecological excellence. The barn at Shepherd's Thorn Farm on the western edge of this site is a Grade II Listed Building. In order

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. Site access is achievable. Wider highway network improvements are required including potential improvements to the strategic road network but the Local Plan evidence base confirms that there is a reasonable prospect of this being delivered.

The site is buffered from the motorway by the significant area of woodland at Bradley Wood. Bradley Wood continues to the north beyond the motorway so there is no risk of physical merger with Calderdale. While the strategic gap is lessened, it is not compromised. The site is well related to the form of the settlement in this location and presents defensible new green belt boundaries. The council considers that exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated to remove this land from the green belt. This site is of strategic importance for delivering growth in the district.

Highways England consider that additional mitigation may be required in addition to programmed works to ensure the strategic network can accommodate this site. Where funding schemes are not agreed, such sites may need to contribute to solutions. Local links analysis has shown that improvements can be made in the context of the scheme to make the highway links acceptable. The estimated capacity of this site has been reduced since the draft Local Plan consultation. Site access can be achieved with third party land, wider highway network improvements required including potential improvements to the strategic road network.

The run-off rates from new development will be determined in accordance with the local plan surface water policy once adopted. This should minimise impacts on flood risk.

It is acknowledged that there is a landfill area to the east of this site and the site capacity is lower than 35 dwellings per hectare used as an indicative capacity on local plan sites to allow for an appropriate layout to be achieved to mitigate such issues. This also relates to other potential constraints such as power lines across parts of the site.

The site boundary has now been amended to remove the woodland areas in the north which were previously within the site. Further evidence relating to biodiversity on this site has been considered.

Layout and design to consider potential impacts on Grade II listed building on the western edge of this site. A heritage impact is required to assess the impact.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

A golf needs assessment has been undertaken to explore the issues relating to the loss of this facility. This provides an assessment and sets out potential mitigation measures.

The mix of housing on the site and the proportion of affordable housing will be considered against the local plan

## Summary of comments

to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this Listed Building and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. Some of the Buildings on the Golf Course are listed and are part of Local History and Heritage. No known sites of archaeological interest within area, but given size would recommend pre-determination archaeological evaluation (desk-based assessment in first instance). (Historic England)

Schools are at capacity. A new school would be needed.

Doctors and dentists are at capacity. Hospitals, doctors and dentists would need extra capacity. The golf course benefits public health allowing golf, foot golf, walking, running, cycling, dog walking, fresh air and tranquillity to be enjoyed. Outdoor sporting facilities help to support the NHS health agenda. Removing the site would have adverse health impacts. The Council should be promoting health and wellbeing. The course helps to tackle obesity. The golf course is a noise and pollution buffer for the M62. There is uncertainty about Huddersfield A&E. There is value of the site towards improving local health & wellbeing, with over 80,000 customer visits per year and some 42% of these being from people over the age of 50, a growing demographic group.

Bradley golf course is the only municipal golf facility in Kirklees. There are public rights of way including the Kirklees Way across the site. This is the last area of green space in the north Huddersfield area. This will leave a shortfall of golf provision. Plan does not clarify that the site is surplus to requirements; or show how they will be / or have been replaced. The golf needs assessment is not accurate or justified. Due to the size of the site, large areas of green infrastructure should be incorporated.

Don't develop the golf course as it is green belt. This allocation of this site contradicts national and draft local plan green belt policies. Exceptional circumstances do not exist to remove the site from green belt. The site would encroach towards Calderdale.

The golf course and surroundings form an attractive landscape. Developing the site would affect the openness and character of the area.

The allocation is in a poor market area.

Large development sites historically show an increase in crime/unsocial behaviour and a reduction in living standards. Site divides sprawling suburbia of Bradley and Brighouse. Increase in population would be detrimental to local services and infrastructure. Affordable housing should be blended into existing areas in small pockets. There is a lack of retail facilities. The development should have a mix of housing types and appropriate infrastructure included. The site is an unsustainable walking distance from local services. A development of this site will require its own community centre, with school, doctors, dentist and play areas.

Power lines cross the site. National Grid policy is to retain existing overhead lines in-situ. National Grid advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning developments. National Grid prefers that buildings are not built directly beneath its overhead lines. The statutory safety clearances between overhead lines, the ground, and built structures must not be infringed. National Grid seeks to encourage high quality and well planned development in the vicinity of its high voltage overhead lines. Land beneath and adjacent to the overhead line route should be used to make a positive contribution to the development of the site and can for example be used for nature conservation, open space, landscaping areas or used as a parking court. The site is sloping.

Build on brownfield sites. There must be more appropriate locations for housing that do not cause the environmental damage and loss of a leisure facility. The scale of development is not proportionate.

Ashbrow ward is already the most built up in Huddersfield. Other places in the district can accommodate growth including Grimescar Valley, Farnley Tyas and Birdsege.

Bradley Park Golf Club is an important part of the community and used as a meeting place for many groups, organisations, charities and events. The golf course is recognised as one of the best municipal

## Council Response

housing mix and affordable housing policy once adopted. This will be based on the most up to date information relation to housing needs.

There is not sufficient housing capacity on brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement. The local plan strategy includes focusing development on Huddersfield and Dewsbury where this can be achieved. The council have a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as capacity from this source is not guaranteed.

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan sets out the available infrastructure and potential improvements.



Summary of comments

Council Response

courses in the country with a full 18 hole golf course, a 9 hole facility, a driving range, shop and teaching academy. It is more affordable than other courses. Young and old people can play golf. Bradley Park is one of the only courses in the area to achieve golf mark awarded by the England Golf Union, making it an equality golf club for men/ladies/junior/disabled golfers. Developing the golf course contradicts the Council's Policies and Strategies Document. The golf course provides jobs. The golf course is an asset that has had significant investment. Empty houses should be used. The site has a memorial on site, and people's ashes have been scattered there. The proposal is not consistent with National Policy or the Strategic Objectives of the Draft Local Plan. Would have a negative impact on property values. Such a facility is unlikely to be replicated in a medium timeframe. Broadband service would be affected. Water and waste water improvements would be needed. Including the site conflicts with the Council's Equal Opportunities Policy. The Disabled Golf Association supports the efforts to keep Bradley Park as an accessible golf club. The driving range is well used and will be more so after closure of the Stadium Driving Range. The course is sound financially. Local water pressure will be reduced. The golf course provides income to support other sports facilities. Fire and Police services will be affected. The financial gain that the Council will make should not be a reason for allocating the site. Woodland Glade estate has a low crime rate, and this development may increase crime. Support for option as more homes are needed and golf can be played elsewhere, site is accessible and close to local employment.

**H1754** Land off, Smithy Parade, Dewsbury  
DLP\_AD3694

Potential for development of site to cumulatively impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically Ossett and Horbury. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. Wakefield Council

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, third party land is required for suitable access, the site is potentially contaminated land and part of the site is within a high risk coal referral area

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

**H1763** Fire and Rescue Station, Carlinghow Lane, Batley  
DLP\_AD5204

Within settlement, Brownfield, on bus route, close to employment, shopping and other services. Should be prioritised for development before green belt.

Support 1 Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The site has outline planning permission for 11 dwellings (application reference 2014/93942). Decision pending for full application (application reference 2016/92111). The principle for development on this site has been established.

Supporting comments for this site have been noted.

**H1772** Land east of, Boundary Street, Heckmondwike  
DLP\_AD29, DLP\_AD4690, DLP\_AD5340, DLP\_AD10147

Protection of sewerage infrastructure  
There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. There may be unmapped sewers which require protection.  
Surface water management

Support 2 Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

## Summary of comments

The site is Brownfield, if surface water will discharge to public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. A minimum of 30% reduction based on existing peak discharge rate during a 1 in 1 year storm event.  
(Yorkshire Water)

Consider proximity of existing bungalows, Boundary Street to new housing, potential overshadowing and overlooking

Use of Brownfield land supported, would improve local character. Should have higher priority for development than green belt sites in Spen Valley

Sustainable location, shops, services and public transport easily accessible. Meets criteria for retirement housing.

Restrict building/delivery of materials to 9am to 4pm weekdays only to lessen impact on current residents and local traffic problem

**H1774** Land to the East of, Manor House Farm, The Village, Thurstonland

DLP\_AD1219, DLP\_AD4431, DLP\_AD4560, DLP\_AD4758, DLP\_AD4957, DLP\_AD5266, DLP\_AD5382, DLP\_AD6837, DLP\_AD6998, DLP\_AD7884, DLP\_AD8067, DLP\_AD8152, DLP\_AD8991, DLP\_AD9409, DLP\_AD9938, DLP\_AD10343, DLP\_AD10969

Road congestion - local issues on The Village and Marsh Hall Lane. The Village is used as a shortcut between A616 and A629.

Highway safety

Lack of off-street parking provision - impact on highway safety

Infrequent bus service. Needs to be co-ordinated with trains at Stocksmoor.  
Impact on sewerage system.

Impact on settlement as a whole from developing the site and impact on drainage.  
Impact on wildlife

Trees should be planted around the site.

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of Conservation Area, it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

The site should be designed to respect the conservation area.  
Impact on school capacity.

Thurstonland CE First School is at full capacity.

Impact on healthcare facilities, lack of suitable facilities close to the site.

Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development  
Scale of development is too large for the settlement.

32 houses is too many for the site, 10- 25 would be more appropriate.

Refer to Thurstonland Community Plan.

Local character needs to be reflected, in terms of names for development.

Site should include mix of affordable and starter homes and housing for older people.  
Lack of local facilities / services

## Council Response

Site access can be achieved from Westgate. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Yorkshire Water comments have been noted.

Supporting comments for the use of Brownfield land have been noted.

Support 2 Conditional Support 9 Object 6 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Development on the site would be subject to the provision of safe vehicular access (with full visibility splays) and footways, junctions with A629 may also require improvement. A heritage impact assessment would be required. Design of the site should take account of the conservation area and listed buildings to the south east of the site. The site represents a small incursion into the green belt but this boundary would use recognisable features on the ground.

Development of the site is not considered to make a significant contribution to traffic, nor is the level of traffic seen is a reason for development not to take place.

The drainage policy requires development on sites not to exceed typical Greenfield run-off rates, so any development should Sustainable Drainage Systems to ensure there is no detrimental impact on drainage.

The Design policy seeks for tree planting to be part of new schemes to maximise visual amenity and environmental benefits of development. The design policy requires development to respect and enhance the character of the townscape and important views and vistas and the Historic Environment policy requires proposals within Conservation Areas conserve those elements which have been identified as contributing to their significance.

The housing mix policy requires development to meet the needs identified in the locality including housing for older people and affordable housing.

Regarding the scale of development. The density identified on all housing sites has been put forward to reflect the average density achieved across Kirklees in recent years.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>H1776</b>	Land to the South of, The Lodge, Linthwaite DLP_AD4227, DLP_AD8241	Support 2	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<p>The site is an acceptable cycling distance from Lockwood and Slaithwaite stations.</p> <p>Frequent bus services within 400m of the site. Access to these could be enhanced by PROW improvements.</p> <p>Potential access from Church Lane, The Lodge and Kinder Avenue</p> <p>The site is within walking distance of Linthwaite local centre. Permeable surfaces will be used in development.</p> <p>It may be possible to construct a balance flow facility at northern end of site to manage discharge of surface water from the site. A proportion of the southern half of the site adjacent to Church Lane will be kept open, acting as a wildlife corridor.</p> <p>The site currently has little ecological or biodiversity value The site is in close proximity to primary and secondary schools. The site would be designed in a way to discourages crime and anti-social behaviour The site is close to existing sporting facilities and would help support them.</p> <p>PROWs adjacent to the site would be unaffected by development</p> <p>The proposed new Green Belt boundary is clearly defined using readily recognisable features</p> <p>The site is surrounded by development on three sides and would be a reasonable extension to the settlement. The site is available for development. The site would form a logical settlement extension.</p> <p>The size of the site would allow a range of housing types to be provided. The site is well connected to employment opportunities in Huddersfield and the Colne Valley.</p>		<p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology</p> <p>Development of the site is acceptable provided that safe access can be secured from Church Lane, and possibly The Lodge or Kinder Avenue. A noise assessment would be required as part of any planning application. The steep topography of the south east of the site would need to be taken into account and it may be desirable to keep this open to minimise the impact of the green belt.</p>			
<b>H1783</b>	Land east of, Thewlis Lane, Crosland Hill DLP_AD425, DLP_AD578, DLP_AD8818, DLP_AD10356, DLP_AD10603, DLP_AD10609	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object 4	No Comment
<p>Potential impact of growth on Blackmoorfoot Road and Dryclough Road. There are existing traffic issues along Beaumont Park Road and Hanson Lane. On active landfill (north of site) and quarry opposite, this should be highlighted in the allocation. Wellfield Quarry is currently permitted and allows the deposit of inert waste only. Although the permit should address noise dust mud etc these issues should be considered if housing is proposed in the vicinity of the site. The site is also still being quarried. (Environment Agency) Impact on Sure Start Centre on Dryclough Road. Traffic has a negative impact on the residential amenity and setting of Beaumont Park. Local footpath links to the open countryside should be maintained across the site.</p>		<p>No change.</p> <p>The site is an accepted housing option. Improvements would be required to the surrounding local highway network to accommodate a development of this scale. Other improvements may be required on the wider local highway network, depending on assignment and distribution. Likely issues with Blackmoorfoot Road, Thewlis Lane, Crosland Hill Road and Deep Lane and associated junctions. There is a current need for additional primary places. 500 new dwellings is likely to have a significant impact. Areas of the site are covered by Lowland acid grassland and heath land which are UK BAP priority habitat. These have not been removed from the net developable area as the site has planning permission for mineral extraction. Site layout and biodiversity considerations can be addressed once the mineral extraction has occurred and the site has been remediate. Because of this the development of this site has been assessed to occur later on in the plan period, with a proportion of the site being developed after the Local Plan period.</p> <p>The details of the mineral extraction and remediation are considered as part of the planning application process. The site will have to be remediate to a suitable state for a planning permission for housing to be acceptable in the future.</p> <p>The impact on residential amenity and other localised issues will be considered at planning application stage.</p>			

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<p><b>H1784</b> Land to the East of, Denby Dale Railway Station, Station Road, Denby Dale DLP_AD579, DLP_AD2267, DLP_AD3827, DLP_AD4285, DLP_AD4339, DLP_AD5047, DLP_AD5379, DLP_AD5995, DLP_AD7810, DLP_AD9402, DLP_AD10475</p> <p>Impact on local road network.</p> <p>A strategy is required to improve public transport, in response to cumulative development impacts in this area.</p> <p>Denby Dale station is currently operating below its potential because of lack of parking, this site would be suitable for station car and cycle parking, in accordance with Rail plan 7 and Draft Local Plan policy.</p> <p>The site is well located adjacent to the railway station. Impact on BAP priority habitat. Impact on school provision (Goldthwaite's First School) Impact on healthcare provision.</p> <p>The site is well located within the existing settlement and close to the railway station. The site is in a high coal risk area. Minimises loss of Green Belt</p>	Support 2	Conditional Support	Object 8	No Comment 1
<p><b>H1811</b> Land south east of, Blue Bell Hill, Newsome DLP_AD807, DLP_AD822, DLP_AD995, DLP_AD2193, DLP_AD2408, DLP_AD2843, DLP_AD2930, DLP_AD3131, DLP_AD3220, DLP_AD3300, DLP_AD3545, DLP_AD3647, DLP_AD3734, DLP_AD3831, DLP_AD3893, DLP_AD4119, DLP_AD4363, DLP_AD4653, DLP_AD5892, DLP_AD5934, DLP_AD6076, DLP_AD6211, DLP_AD6495, DLP_AD7038, DLP_AD7227, DLP_AD7258, DLP_AD7286, DLP_AD7439, DLP_AD7958, DLP_AD8107, DLP_AD8204, DLP_AD8859, DLP_AD10518</p> <p>Site would cause more traffic on Bankfield Park Avenue and Mansion Gardens, Taylor Hill Road, Birch Road, Caldercliffe Road. Road around Blue Bell Hill is narrow. On street parking causes problems. The entrance to the site is constrained. Site becomes waterlogged. There are springs, land drains and a natural stream on the site. Noise and pollution would increase. Would like to see ways in which the wild life corridor provided by the land between Bluebell Hill and Blagden Lane can be protected. The site is one of only local greenspaces which is home to wildlife. Mature trees would be lost. Taylor Hill Working men's Club at the northern end of this area is a Grade II Listed Building. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this Listed Building and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is Taylor Hill Working men's Club</p>	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 30	No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Development of the site would be subject to the provision of suitable visibility splays. Noise and contaminated land assessments required. Part of the site forms part of habitat network linking two blocks of ancient woodland, to be removed from the net area.

Site not identified as additional parking area in West Yorkshire Transport Fund and no evidence of landowner support, however the site boundary has been amended to exclude the existing area of the site that is used for car parking for the station.

The net area of the site has been reduced to reduce impact on BAP Priority Habitat, maintaining links between the two blocks of ancient woodland.

The site is within a coal referral area and a coal mining risk assessment will be required prior to development of the site.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

No change.

The site is an accepted housing option. Site access can be achieved via an extension to Mansion Gardens. West Yorkshire Ecology recommend removing 1.42ha from developable area leaving 0.95ha. This has not been done at allocation stage as the site is considered to be of limited biodiversity value, and such issues can be addressed as part of the layout of a development at planning application stage.

The site has been assessed for potential access, and the impact on the local road network. No significant constraints have been identified.

The site has been assessed by the council's strategic drainage team and no significant constraints have been identified.

The site has been assessed by the council's environmental health team and no significant constraints have been identified.

The impact on the setting of listed buildings can be considered as part of development layout and design at planning application stage.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places

Summary of comments

at the northern end of this area is a Grade II Listed Building. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this Listed Building and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is (Historic England)

School capacity issues at Newsome Junior and High School.  
 Doctors in the area are full. The town may not have an A&E in the future.  
 Site has woodland and is used to walk dogs, footpath for children walking to school. Valuable recreation area for local people. The site is part of a green corridor and would be contrary to Policy DLP 31. Has a public right of way.

There is no demand to build on this site.  
 No public amenities nearby (post office / shops)  
 Risk to safety of school children. Impact on residential amenity. History of mining and risk of subsidence.  
 Houses should be built on nearby Brownfield land, rather than woodland. Build on the outskirts of Huddersfield rather than overpopulate built up areas.

**H1935** Land south of, Cambridge Road, Huddersfield  
 DLP\_AD750, DLP\_AD2870, DLP\_AD5592, DLP\_AD7065, DLP\_AD7467, DLP\_AD8860

Given car parking problems in Huddersfield, there should be consideration to alternative parking solutions and on-site parking for residents of this development to avoid more road congestion.  
 Removing parking from the town centre will reduce congestion and pollution.  
 Retain mature trees. Make site greener by adding vegetation.  
 The former Huddersfield Education Committee Clarendon Tutorial Centre and 21 Belmont Street adjacent to the western edge of this site are Grade II Listed Buildings. This site also adjoins the boundary of the Greenhead Park/New North Road Conservation Area. There is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess.  
 In addition, the Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay "special attention" to "the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance" of its Conservation Areas. If allocated, the Plan should make it clear that development proposals for this area would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of the Conservation Area and the Listed Buildings are not harmed. (Historic England)

Site should be well designed modern energy-efficient scheme of apartments/compact houses would maximise the site's location so close to Huddersfield town centre's amenities.

**H1937** Cliff Street, Dewsbury

No Representations received

Council Response

are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

Support 4 Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No change.

This is an accepted housing site. Site access can be achieved from Cambridge Road although the visibility splays to the right of the junction of Cambridge Road / Claire Hill are sub-standard and would require improvement.

The issues surrounding parking standards, on-site vegetation, heritage and design can be considered at planning application stage considering relevant policies.

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, the site is potentially contaminated land therefore contamination assessment phase 1 and 2 required. Multiple sources of noise may affect new receptors therefore a noise assessment is required.

Summary of comments	Council Response
<p><b>H1938</b> Land off, Wards Hill, Batley DLP_AD849, DLP_AD8869</p> <p>Sewage from Wards Hill could be joined into Wellington Road/Field Lane sewage disposal Rat infestation caused by faulty sewage disposal Site within Station Road, Batley Conservation Area. Local Plan report/comment section needs to identify any buildings that make a positive contribution to character of conservation area and set a requirement for these to be retained and include a requirement that any proposals preserve or enhance elements which contribute to character and appearance of Conservation Area.</p> <p>Area contaminated by travellers and immigrants</p>	<p>No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Support 1      Conditional Support 1      Object      No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access is achievable on this site. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that there is environmental health issues but it is considered that this can be addressed as part of a future planning application.</p> <p>Comments from Historic England have been noted.</p>
<p><b>H1983</b> Land north of, Tesco Superstore, Northgate, Cleckheaton DLP_AD4614, DLP_AD4696, DLP_AD5250, DLP_AD8866</p> <p>This site should be used instead of H591 as it makes use of derelict land, is town centre development, reduces traffic flow and would avoid the use of green belt. Fulfils criteria for specialist development (retirement accommodation). This site should be used before any consideration is given to housing allocation on green belt, Greenfield sites.</p> <p>Strongly support the allocation of H1983 for housing. This is ideal usage of the site: it is in the town centre with shops, health facilities, bus station immediately on hand. It could provide excellent retirement accommodation because it fulfils all the criteria for specialist developers, i.e.. site exceeds 1.5 acres, is relatively level and close to shops, health and transport services, and has a road frontage on 2 sides. Cleckheaton is a popular place for retired people. From a planning perspective it also has the advantage of being a Brownfield site. This site should be used before any consideration is given to housing allocation on green belt, Greenfield sites.</p>	<p>Support 3      Conditional Support 1      Object      No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. It formed an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). An option for employment (E1984) has been rejected.</p> <p>The site currently has planning permission (2009/91958) for a food store. It is a town centre location and a Brownfield site. It has been assessed in accordance with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Access is proposed via a new roundabout on Whitcliffe Road (B6120) and the stopping up of existing Serpentine Road. A secondary access is proposed via Northgate and a pedestrian and cycle link is possible via George Street.</p> <p>The issues of potential noise impact and potentially contaminated land can be addressed as part of a detailed planning application.</p>
<p><b>H2066</b> Warren Cottage, 916, Halifax Road, Scholes DLP_AD6320</p> <p>Noise impact is unknown</p> <p>Viability of site is questionable because of impact of M62 Assessment of site is unduly lenient Buffer required between M62 and proposed housing, which would reduce developable area. Without noise and air quality assessments that prove adequate mitigation site should not be allocated. Site in such close proximity to the M62 would be better suited to employment or safeguarded employment allocations</p>	<p>Support      Conditional Support      Object 1      No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access is achievable. This site is a house and its garden on the edge of the urban area and distinctly different in character from the open agricultural land beyond it. The boundary of the residential property would present a strong and defensible boundary and the enclosed nature of the existing site means that there is no risk of sprawl or further encroachment or significant impact on openness. There has already been a degree of encroachment in this area as 900A has been built in the green belt. There is also therefore the opportunity to create a strong new boundary.</p> <p>Road traffic noise may impact new receptors. A Noise Assessment will be needed at the detailed planning application stage.</p> <p>With regard to the appropriateness of site uses on proposed allocations adjacent to the motorway, each site has been assessed on its own merits and comments sought from technical consultees. It is also a matter for</p>

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<p><b>H2089</b> Land to the south of, Ravensthorpe/Lees Road, Dewsbury</p> <p>DLP_AD2, DLP_AD1376, DLP_AD1637, DLP_AD2191, DLP_AD2195, DLP_AD2934, DLP_AD3679, DLP_AD3834, DLP_AD4144, DLP_AD5094, DLP_AD5211, DLP_AD5325, DLP_AD5709, DLP_AD5744, DLP_AD5809, DLP_AD6312, DLP_AD6750, DLP_AD7436, DLP_AD7440, DLP_AD7573, DLP_AD7575, DLP_AD7801, DLP_AD7838, DLP_AD8086, DLP_AD8142, DLP_AD8172, DLP_AD8179, DLP_AD8235, DLP_AD8274, DLP_AD8407, DLP_AD8459, DLP_AD8576, DLP_AD8742, DLP_AD8827, DLP_AD10156, DLP_AD10348, DLP_AD10482, DLP_AD10596, DLP_AD10874, DLP_AD10977, DLP_AD10990, DLP_AD11057</p>	Support 3	Conditional Support 4	Object 35	No Comment
<p>The road infrastructure is not capable of handling the additional 2300 homes, with an extra 1700 at some point later on. Such dwellings would typically generate 34,000 additional trips by all modes of transport. Huddersfield Road A644 is one of the slowest in Yorkshire with an average speed of 17mph, it has significant traffic issues, with regular standing traffic in both directions from Fall Lane to North Road and Parker Lane.</p> <p>The railway bridge would need to be replaced to allow access. Stearnard Lane would require upgrading to facilitate access.</p> <p>Roads in the local area have flooded recently making them unuseable.</p> <p>Improvements to Ravensthorpe Train Station will not improve transport issues locally.</p> <p>The relief road should be provided before development commences as it is needed currently.</p> <p>What are the impacts for public transport in the local area.</p> <p>A detailed transport statement needs to be provided to assess the impact on Thornhill Road, Hostingley Land and to Horbury Road before it crosses Horbury Bridge.</p> <p>Due to the scale of the proposed housing, the proposal should demonstrate that any committed Strategic Road Network (SRN) schemes are sufficient to deal with the demand generated or provide funding/support schemes to meet capacity demand.</p> <p>Cooper Bridge will be affected by the development of the site.</p> <p>The proposal should incorporate improvements to Mirfield Train Station as it provides direct services to London, but has poor facilities.</p> <p>A Bristfield Proposed connecting road would be detrimental to highway safety and lead to a rat run for cars cutting through to the M1.</p> <p>The proposal would help relieve congestion in the local area through the provision of new road infrastructure.</p> <p>The local area experienced significant flooding in December 2015, there is concern that the proposal would increase instances of flooding.</p> <p>Sands Lane has 2 lakes at the bottom of the road.</p> <p>The loss of greenspace would increase flood risk in the local area as recently experienced in Kirklees.</p> <p>There is a lack of flood defences and information on flooding for the site.</p> <p>The public sewer network does not have adequate capacity available to accommodate foul water discharge from a total of 4000 dwellings (2300 over the plan period). The developer therefore needs to investigate potential solutions. It is estimated that 500 homes could be built and occupied before work on the network is required.</p> <p>Surface water from the development should discharge at Greenfield rates, and sustainable drainage solutions should be used to manage surface water.</p> <p>Public water mains which cross the site should be effectively protected to protect the public water supply.</p> <p>There are several mine shafts in the local area located across the proposed site, which will impact on development.</p> <p>A HP Gas Inner Zone crosses the site restricting its development.</p> <p>There is slow moving traffic along the road which leads to additional pollution which will be made worse by the proposal.</p> <p>Mirfield is subject to increased risk from poor air quality which will only be made worse by the proposed development.</p> <p>The proposal will lead to the loss of large areas of biodiversity and open space, to the detriment of local residents and local ecology.</p> <p>The proposal will lead to the loss of Lady Wood which is a pleasant woodland that enhances local biodiversity and local public benefit.</p> <p>The site includes a BAP area which should be retained.</p> <p>Lady Wood provides a vital habitat for many species of wildlife including Barn Owls, badgers, weasels, foxes, great crested newts, bats and birds (including Woodcocks).</p> <p>immediately adjacent to Jordan Wood and Oliver Wood Local Wildlife Site and Oliver Wood Ancient</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Site access is achievable, a footway is required along site frontage and wider highway network improvements required including potential improvements to the strategic road network. Highways England consider that additional mitigation may be required in addition to programmed works to ensure the strategic network can accommodate this site. Where funding schemes are not agreed, such sites may need to contribute to solutions. Local links analysis has shown that improvements can be made in the context of the scheme to make the highway links acceptable. A Transport model and Air Quality model have been commissioned to assess the cumulative impacts of development</p> <p>The site is in Flood Zone 1 and there are no surface water objections. However, there are multiple ordinary watercourses crossing the site both in open channel and culvert and multiple incidents of flooding along Ravensthorpe Road therefore this area would benefit from a drainage masterplan. The run-off rates from new development will be determined in accordance with the local plan surface water policy once adopted. This should minimise impacts on flood risk.</p> <p>Part of the site lies within a high risk coal referral area and there are mine entrances therefore a Coal Mining Risk Assessment is needed. Reports are required in relation to contaminated land, noise and air quality to determine the level of mitigation required.</p> <p>The site is adjacent to important ancient woodland, a local wildlife site. There are blocks of lowland mixed deciduous woodland, UK BAP priority habitat on the site which add significantly to the nearby Local Wildlife Site. A landscaping masterplan for the wider site which uses locally native tree species should link to other woodland. The site includes an area of archaeological interest (PRN642) therefore a pre- determination archaeological evaluation is required. A masterplan would be required for this site, and seek to retain important open spaces onsite.</p> <p>The scale and extent of this site begins to impact on the strategic role of the green belt in this location by reducing the gap between Dewsbury and Thornhill, although the landform to the south and the remaining gap prevents any risk of physical merger. The site is well related to the form of the settlement in this location and presents defensible new green belt boundaries.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan</p>			

## Summary of comments

Woodland. The site is also within close proximity to Whitely Wood/ Hag Wood Local Wildlife Site and Ancient Woodland, development adjacent these woodlands can have a detrimental impact on biodiversity. The site is adjacent a Sites of Wildlife Significance' and it is inappropriate to permit development which would affect a SSI. The site is of archaeological interest. School places in the local area are oversubscribed, the primary schools need substantial expansion and there should be consideration of additional secondary provision. The proposal has the potential to impact on school place provision in the Wakefield district, specifically Ossett and Horbury area. There are not sufficient access to doctors or dentists or other health care professionals in the local area to serve the scale of development proposed. The loss of Lady Wood and access to other areas of open space would go against the requirement to provide open spaces for people to exercise and enjoy the local area. The site area provides a recreational benefit to the local community, for walkers, horse riders and cyclists. The site is one of the few greenspaces in the local area, and it should be retained. Natural England recommends a minimum of 2Ha/1000 population of natural and semi natural green space is provided. Mirfield only has 0.37ha/1000 and Dewsbury south 0.58ha/1000 without the impact of further development.

The Green Belt should be retained, it adds to the amenity and character of the local area as well as forming an important Green Belt function. A buffer between Dewsbury and Mirfield would be lost which would be detrimental to the character of the local area. The loss of the Green Belt is not justified and would be harmful to the setting of Mirfield and Dewsbury. The proposal therefore contradicts Green Belt Policy set out in the NPPF. The development of the site would form a logical green belt boundary, providing a more logical, robust and defensible boundary. Given the scale of the proposal it would have a detrimental impact on the local landscape. There are significant changes in levels in the local area and the proposed development of the land would be extremely prominent. The scale of the proposed allocation is so large that it means it would be undeliverable and undermine the local housing market. There is an over reliance on this site to deliver the housing need, in a housing poor market area. Miller Homes intends to develop the site and the proposal is considered to be viable and deliverable. The development of the site would remove the identifies of the both Mirfield and Ravensthorpe and would be detrimental to local character. The development of the site should contain a significant amount of green infrastructure. The proposal will deliver 4,000 houses over the plan period and beyond, along with infrastructure improvements, regeneration and renaissance benefits for the local area, aid in rejuvenating Dewsbury Town Centre, and will act as a catalyst for investment into the local area.

The development of the site should contain a significant amount of green infrastructure. The proposal will deliver 4,000 houses over the plan period and beyond, along with infrastructure improvements, regeneration and renaissance benefits. The development would not have good access to jobs, and this would lead to more traffic on the roads and be unsustainable. The proposal will not help to revive Dewsbury or other parts of the local area as the investment required would be too substantial and future residents will travel elsewhere as they do currently. The new infrastructure should be provided before the new houses are provided to ensure that the local infrastructure can accommodate the additional residents. All of the allocations within the Mirfield area should be designated as safeguarded land to allow the benefits to go ahead for Ravensthorpe but protect Mirfield. The site forms Grade 3 agricultural which is good quality for the district, and should be used for agricultural purposes. Part of the site is an existing housing allocation and part an area of provisional open land, and is within close proximity to existing services provided by Dewsbury and Ravensthorpe.

## Council Response

The site is considered deliverable on the basis of the local plan viability evidence and the site promoters evidence.

The consultation on the draft local plan was undertaken in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement.



Summary of comments

Council Response

The Council have not fulfilled their duties under Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning Regulations 2012. This is demonstrated by the fact that the residents of Mirfield, and Sands Lane in particular, have not been sufficiently informed by the Council of the proposed plans. The site is not only located in the Dewsbury South Ward as a significant proportion is located in Mirfield, and more than double the housing numbers in the Mirfield ward, this is unacceptable and ward boundaries should be adhered to. All further information used by the Council in the assessment of the site should be released in the public domain to allow proper independent assessment by local residents. Additional information to support the proposed allocation is currently being prepared for submission.

**H2148** Land to the South of Providence Street, Earlsheaton, Dewsbury  
DLP\_AD3684

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

Potential for development of site both on its own and cumulatively impact on school place provision at schools within Wakefield specifically in the Ossett and Horbury areas. Important that Kirklees and Wakefield work together as plan progresses to fully understand what the impacts could be and ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within Kirklees Local Plan to ensure adequate mitigation. Wakefield Council

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage. However, the site is potentially contaminated land therefore a contamination assessment phase 1 required. It is a habitat of principle importance thus 0.78 ha has been removed from the developable area. It is also within the setting of several listed buildings, the scale, massing and views through any development of the site will be carefully considered.

The impact of development on school place planning and planning has been assessed through a number of on-going assessments and discussions. The implications of development will continue to be monitored and addressed through the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or School Place Planning.

**H2159** Land off, Primrose Lane, Liversedge  
DLP\_AD1789, DLP\_AD5343, DLP\_AD5357, DLP\_AD5444, DLP\_AD7822, DLP\_AD10166

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 5 No Comment

Difficult access, Primrose lane is a bridleway with a non-standard junction to Halifax Rd. Access to Bradford Rd from Primrose Lane would be difficult as it is an unadopted bridleway, passing under a 2.4 metre high arched bridge. Single track bridge over the river Spen Roads exist through nearby residential developments Local roads are congested Consequence on busy roads Potential access from Darley Rd; tight and congested Insufficient drainage capacity There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 6 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. The site is Greenfield so there is unlikely to be any existing connection to the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once more sustainable means of surface water management have been discounted. (Yorkshire Water) Increase in pollution due to congestion Supports birds, insect and animal life Primrose Lane holds significance in local history Local schools are oversubscribed, added pressure from other surrounding developments Strain on schools Consequences on NHS Strain on local services; doctors, dentists Valuable open space Used for recreational means; popular with walkers and families

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site Access Achievable. Access can be achieved from Lower Hall Close and Darley Road both of which are adopted. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:

Site access is achievable from Lower Hall Close and Darley Road. No issues have been raised with local connecting road networks or highways safety issues.

The comments from Yorkshire Water are noted. It is considered that the issues identified can be addressed and mitigated against as part of a detailed planning application.

No objections have been raised from environmental health with regards to air quality. The Council has commissioned an Air Quality Assessment (AQA) to assess the potential cumulative impact of sites allocated in the local plan. The Council will monitor air quality annually and set out its findings in its annual monitoring report.

Lowland mixed deciduous woodland covers part of this site to the north adjacent of the disused railway line. This area has been removed from the developable area in order to maintain the wildlife corridor along the railway line.

Would have a detrimental impact on existing residents.

No objections have been raised from Kirklees Council Conservation and Design team or Historic England.

## Summary of comments

---

Increase in number of cars would be damaging to the safety of residents.  
Development would radically alter the area  
Fresh water pipe crosses the site from NW to SE - development constraints  
Former Stanley Colliery was located on the North of the site - close to pit shaft which needs regular maintenance  
Large collection of unspecified material is contained on site. Located where cottages off Primrose Lane were.  
Connects to Spen Valley Greenway.

## Council Response

---

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.

A coal mining risk assessment is required as part of a detailed planning application.

The Greenway is not included within the boundary of this housing allocation.

---

**Gypsy and Traveller Site**

<b>GTTS1957</b> DLP_AD3189	Land to the south of, Ridings Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
<p>Objection to site.</p>		<p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted gypsy and traveller site allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Part of option is already in use as a Showmen's Guild site and no constraints have been identified to prevent this use being expanded into the southern part of this site option.</p> <p>No comments were received on this site option</p>			
<b>GTTS2487</b>	Land south of, Bankwood Way, Birstall, Batley,	Support	Conditional Support 2	Object 81	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD3, DLP_AD89, DLP_AD499, DLP_AD833, DLP_AD875, DLP_AD888, DLP_AD1074, DLP_AD1081, DLP_AD1082, DLP_AD1113, DLP_AD1203, DLP_AD1226, DLP_AD1266, DLP_AD1696, DLP_AD1711, DLP_AD1839, DLP_AD2389, DLP_AD2394, DLP_AD2480, DLP_AD2484, DLP_AD2585, DLP_AD2648, DLP_AD3311, DLP_AD3444, DLP_AD3487, DLP_AD3700, DLP_AD4148, DLP_AD4466, DLP_AD4534, DLP_AD4849, DLP_AD4875, DLP_AD4891, DLP_AD4920, DLP_AD4933, DLP_AD4940, DLP_AD4980, DLP_AD5024, DLP_AD5028, DLP_AD5082, DLP_AD5315, DLP_AD5334, DLP_AD5360, DLP_AD5423, DLP_AD5503, DLP_AD5690, DLP_AD5739, DLP_AD6055, DLP_AD8038, DLP_AD8039, DLP_AD8042, DLP_AD8043, DLP_AD8044, DLP_AD8167, DLP_AD8178, DLP_AD8180, DLP_AD8203, DLP_AD8207, DLP_AD8288, DLP_AD8304, DLP_AD8317, DLP_AD8345, DLP_AD8386, DLP_AD8389, DLP_AD8391, DLP_AD8430, DLP_AD8778, DLP_AD9029, DLP_AD9092, DLP_AD9573, DLP_AD9845, DLP_AD9846, DLP_AD10204, DLP_AD10214, DLP_AD10337, DLP_AD10388, DLP_AD10642, DLP_AD10695, DLP_AD10696, DLP_AD10837, DLP_AD10838, DLP_AD10839, DLP_AD10932, DLP_AD11033</p>		<p>No change.</p>			
<p>The A62, M62 and M621 create congestion in the area. The area is already congested with large vehicles and this site will add to congestion. Requirement for large mobile homes will require large turning circles and create traffic safety problems. There is no public transport within safe walking distance. Pavements are too narrow or don't exist and there are insufficient crossing points. Parking in Birstall is a problem. There are bus stops on Geldered Road, approximately 200m from the site, although these are only served by one route (229 between Leeds and Huddersfield). The service runs at a frequency of every 30 minutes during peak hours. There are no other sustainable transport options within the immediate vicinity of the site and travel to and from the site would be dominated by private modes.</p>		<p>The site is proposed as an accepted Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<p>Drainage in the area is a problem. This site is located on a historic landfill and we acknowledge that a Contaminated Land Assessment is included in the allocation (Environment Agency). The site is bisected by pylons and power lines which are part of the National Grid High Voltage grid. High voltage power lines of this nature tend to require an easement of 60 m on either side within which no development should take place for reasons of safety. This effectively sterilises site access and prevents the development of the majority of the site. Site will increase pollution. The site is potentially contaminated which should be investigated. It is clear from the number of vents in and around the area that the site/area is subject to gassing from the former Nab Lane refuse disposal tip (See EA website). It is therefore not a suitable place for residential occupancy. Proximity to the motorway and other busy roads creates noise and pollution that is not suitable for residential uses. There is a solvent manufacturers close by. Within the 2013 Kirklees Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment [SHLAA] the land immediately to the south of the site (reference 659) was considered as not suitable for development given its past use as municipal landfill and as such is known to be 'actively gassing'. It was therefore recorded that site 659 is not deliverable given the land contamination constraints associated with the land as former landfill. With the land immediately adjacent to the site known as former landfill it is highly likely that contamination is present which would prevent the development of the land. Site is within a coal mining area. Site is located within middle HSE zone.</p>		<p>Site access achievable, surface water drainage solution required and consideration of potentially contaminated land and noise source.</p> <p>Highways information indicates that site access can be achieved and wider local transport links are acceptable. There will be a requirement for a footway along the site frontage. Any highway improvements considered necessary would be in context with the development and local highway network</p>			
<p>The site currently appears as Greenfield land – although it is previously developed land by virtue of it being former landfill, and notwithstanding this it has been colonised by scrub which has the potential to have ecological importance /protected species within it; it is not clear if the site has been investigated for ecological interest. Historic England has identified that there are potential adverse impacts on the historic environment. A formal assessment of the risk on the setting of heritage features should be undertaken before a formal allocation of the land for development is adopted. Without a clear understanding of the potential impact; it is impossible to take an informed view on whether the impact of development can be sufficiently mitigated through design and what is appropriate in terms of the scale of the allocation (i.e. number of plots).</p>		<p>Greenfield run-off rates will be required in line with local plan policies once adopted. A surface water drainage report will be required.</p> <p>Site is potentially contaminated and therefore a contamination report will be required but there are no environmental health objections to this allocation. A coal mining risk assessment will be required.</p>			
		<p>West Yorkshire Ecology have been consulted on all sites but have raised no objections to this site.</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p>			
		<p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p>			
		<p>This site is council owned so management arrangements would need to be finalised following adoption of the local plan.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that the power lines across this site may affect the developable area.</p>			

## Summary of comments

The site is 15-20 mins from a primary school and 25-30 mins from a secondary school. There is no safe walking route along the roads either to Gildersome or Birstall villages/schools. All of which are now heavily oversubscribed. The closest schools in the area would be Howden Clough girl's school or Bruntcliffe High school which is not within the local authority. Many students in the local area have to travel into Batley or Birkenshaw, which would mean that students would have to walk through an industrial area to gain access to public transport.

The site is 20 mins from medical (GP) facilities and 46-50 minutes from a hospital. Having heavy good vehicles close to where children could be playing is not a good idea.

Not clear who will run the site, and will the burden fall on Council Tax payers.

The site is remote from key domestic services of schools, convenience shops and health facilities making it inaccessible to utilise these daily services and facilities without dependence on private cars, contrary to the provisions of the NPPF. The nearest local shop will be Marks & Spencer's at junction 27 Retail Park.

The site proposed is immediately adjacent to a number of open B2 storage and recycling uses which are noisy as well as potentially smelly / dusty etc. This could lead to an impact on residential amenity and potentially affect the viability of these uses. The site is not consistent in this regard. The Sustainability Appraisal for the site which accompanies the Draft Local Plan, under point 2, states that there will be 'significant negative effects on amenity' as a result of the increased noise and pollution associated with the potential development of this land.

National Grid policy is to retain existing overhead lines in-situ. National Grid advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning developments. National Grid prefers that buildings are not built directly beneath its overhead lines. The statutory safety clearances between overhead lines, the ground, and built structures must not be infringed. National Grid seeks to encourage high quality and well planned development in the vicinity of its high voltage overhead lines. Land beneath and adjacent to the overhead line route should be used to make a positive contribution to the development of the site and can for example be used for nature conservation, open space, landscaping areas or used as a parking court.

National Grid policy is to retain existing overhead lines in-situ. National Grid advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning developments. National Grid prefers that buildings are not built directly beneath its overhead lines. The statutory safety clearances between overhead lines, the ground, and built structures must not be infringed. National Grid seeks to encourage high quality and well planned development in the vicinity of its high voltage overhead lines. Land beneath and adjacent to the overhead line route should be used to make a positive contribution to the development of the site and can for example be used for nature conservation, open space, landscaping areas or used as a parking court.

The allocation /use is incompatible within the high quality B1 office development at 'Centre 27' and the adjacent retail and leisure destinations. The land should be used for commercial and employment uses as all the surrounding land is used for that purpose. Site is in an unsustainable location. The Institute of Highways and Transportation ('IHT') 'Suggested Acceptable Walking Distances' suggests that the 'preferred maximum' walking distance from any set location to a town centre is 800m. The nearest train station (Morley) is located approximately 5km away. The withdrawal of the Site from this designated employment area is unjustified and not in keeping with the expansion/intensification of the surrounding area as a Priority Employment Area.

The area is only a short distance from Leeds traveller site. Rubbish will increase. Rats will result in local restaurants shutting down. The site will have a negative impact on businesses and retail in the area. Crime will increase and shoppers will visit other areas to shop. Having a gypsy and traveller site in Birstall is unacceptable and there are no other sites planned in Kirklees. The site should be on a main access road without having to travel through a village. Site will have a negative impact on the immediate environment and reduce property values. Can not find evidence that the proposal complies with government guidelines.

No evidence that the Gypsy and Traveller community want social integration. There is no evidence to suggest that there has been any gypsy family that has historically resided at, or near the proposed site at Bankwood Way, Birstall. Grazing horses will create problems. A rural setting is more appropriate. In order to make the plan sound we consider the following steps should be taken: Deleting the proposed allocation GTTS 2487 from the DLP

Remove the estimated shortfall requirement for permanent Gypsy and Traveller pitches and remove the

## Council Response

The site has not been allocated as a Priority Employment Area and is a rejected employment option.

long term requirement. This results in a long term requirement of 1 pitch to 2029. An alternative sustainable developable site for Gypsy and Travellers should be found.

Providing a site for Transit Pitches in Dewsbury or Huddersfield where the need is identified

Reducing the plot requirements for travelling showpeople to 2 by removing the long term estimated requirement for 2029 and beyond.

The site has not been included within the 2014 SHLAA and no counter commentary demonstrating its deliverability has been put forward. The evidence base for allocating the site is lacking and as such the Local Plan fails in its justification. The site and assessment of need is not consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework. Site should be more centrally located within Kirklees. The allocation of the land for a Gypsy and Traveller Site' could also have an adverse impact on the scope for future investment within the wider commercial area. The adjacent land is allocated as a 'Primary Employment Area'. There is a risk that the allocation of this site for a residential land use could result in limitations on employment, industrial or commercial uses on the adjoining land (i.e. operating hours). Site is contrary to 'Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites – A Good Practice Guide' Businesses may relocate due to increased security costs. Site will affect business rate income. Site should be in Holmfirth or Huddersfield. The site is not consistent with The Kirklees Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showperson Accommodation Assessment 2015 ('GTTSAA') There are approximately 12 alternative Traveller site options within the SA which are assessed as having greater positive/significant positive effects than the Site in question. There is no need for and Gypsy and Traveller site in Kirklees. The site will be an overflow camp for sites in Wakefield Bradford & Leeds. Junction 27 is the largest single contributor to Kirklees Council finances (outside of Huddersfield Town Centre) and business are very concerned that such a site will impact on the retail and leisure attraction of junction 27 and ultimately devalue their property and businesses.

The site is not adequately justified with appropriate evidence, and not in conformity with the NPPF. No criteria for selecting the sites has been identified in DLP12.

---

**Mixed Use**

<b>MX1903</b>	Land south of, Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	3	Object	3	No Comment	
DLP_AD4817, DLP_AD8993, DLP_AD10606, DLP_AD10607, DLP_AD10997, DLP_AD11013		Traffic congestion including; Blackmoorfoor Road, Dryclough Road, Lockwood Road, Lockwood Bar. Lack of viable solution to cumulative impact.						
There are also two mixed use sites that do not have a significant individual traffic impact on the motorway network but that, by virtue of their location or proximity to other proposed developments, may need to contribute to additional schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes if committed RIS schemes will not provide sufficient capacity. They are: MX1903 Land south of Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Moor, Huddersfield MX1930 Land north of Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Moor, Huddersfield (Highways England) Given the Brownfield status of these sites, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. Currently, Yorkshire Water requests a minimum 30% reduction based on the existing peak discharge rate during a 1 in 1 year storm event, which we believe mirrors the requirement of draft Policy DLP29(b). (Yorkshire Water). Impact on child safety. 303 and 305 Blackmoorfoot Road are Grade II Listed Buildings. There is a requirement in the 1990 Act that 'special regard' should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. If allocated, the Plan should make it clear that development proposals for this area would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of this building are not harmed. (Historic England)  Huddersfield is short of employment land so this site should be allocated for employment, not mixed use. Support of Brownfield site use.		No change.						
The site is an accepted mixed use allocation. This site has outline planning permission for phased development comprising up to 200 dwellings with associated infrastructure and open space; retail units (open use class A1); accommodation for potential neighbourhood uses (use class A2/D1/D2/sui generic); restaurant/public house (use class A3/A4); and petrol filling station (sui generic) (2014/93099) (Permission 01/12/15). Therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.								
<b>MX1905</b>	Land east of, Leeds Road, Chidswell	Support	2	Conditional Support	6	Object	37	No Comment
DLP_AD1532, DLP_AD2323, DLP_AD2351, DLP_AD2820, DLP_AD3678, DLP_AD3839, DLP_AD4082, DLP_AD4233, DLP_AD4814, DLP_AD4837, DLP_AD4844, DLP_AD4939, DLP_AD5076, DLP_AD5134, DLP_AD5212, DLP_AD5270, DLP_AD5522, DLP_AD6041, DLP_AD6115, DLP_AD6385, DLP_AD6536, DLP_AD6997, DLP_AD7318, DLP_AD7422, DLP_AD7489, DLP_AD7506, DLP_AD7767, DLP_AD8079, DLP_AD8143, DLP_AD8144, DLP_AD8145, DLP_AD8243, DLP_AD8366, DLP_AD8422, DLP_AD8550, DLP_AD8606, DLP_AD9393, DLP_AD9405, DLP_AD10228, DLP_AD10230, DLP_AD10400, DLP_AD10403, DLP_AD10873, DLP_AD11023, DLP_AD11053		Road capacity and road congestion - especially the A653 at Shaw Cross and Soothill Lane and access to the motorway.						
Clarification needs to be provided as to how the site will be accessed in order to allow it all to be developed. The possible impacts of these access points on the wider highway network need to be considered and acceptable mitigation put in place if required. Wakefield does have concerns about the potential for the allocation to increase traffic on the local highway network in Wakefield. In particular these concerns relate to:  Gawthorpe Lane and Chidswell Lane and other roads in the Gawthorpe area Owl Lane Owl Lane / Chancery Road roundabout Hey Beck Lane and the routes to Batley Road and Kirkhamgate. Evidence needs to be provided as the Local Plan progresses confirming any potential impacts can be acceptably mitigated (Wakefield Council)  No assessment has been made of the capacity of Tingley roundabout and A653 to cope with additional traffic. No improvements planned for A653.  Plans for part of A653 to become part of core walking and cycling route would reduce road capacity.  Lack of an effective link road from site to the Ossett by pass and junction 40 on the M1 will further impact on Tingley roundabout.		No change.						
The site is proposed as an accepted mixed use allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.		There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.						
Site access is achievable, a footway is required along site frontage and wider highway network improvements required including potential improvements to the strategic road network. Highways England consider that additional mitigation may be required in addition to programmed works to ensure the strategic network can accommodate this site. Where funding schemes are not agreed, such sites may need to contribute to solutions. Local links analysis has shown that improvements can be made in the context of the scheme to make the highway links acceptable. A Transport model and Air Quality model have been commissioned to assess the cumulative impacts of development		The site is in Flood Zone 1 and there are no surface water objections. However, there is an ordinary watercourse crossing the site. The run-off rates from new development will be determined in accordance with the local plan surface water policy once adopted. This should minimise impacts on flood risk.						
Mixed deciduous woodland and becks cut across parts of this site both UK BAP priority habitats. Two areas of semi-natural ancient woodland lie to the east of this very large proposed allocation. The proposed masterplan shows these areas to be retained and protected from development.								

## Summary of comments

Site MX1905 has an individual severe adverse impact based on the number of trips generated on links on the motorway network. That impact needs to be considered in the context of the total traffic impact resulting from the overall scale of development proposed in the Kirklees Draft Local Plan and the combined impact of land use development proposals for Kirklees in combination with those of neighbouring local planning authorities. Where sites have a severe impact on the Strategic Road Network (SRN) measures will be required to reduce and mitigate that impact. Highways England has a number of planned improvements to the SRN funded as part of the government's Road Investment Strategy (RIS). These schemes will provide additional capacity at congested locations. Sites which have the greatest individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by that site. Where committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment, sites may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes. The initial results of modelling undertaken as part of the Highways England West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study indicate that capacity improvement measures additional to the schemes included in the RIS will be needed to cater for demand generated by development in Kirklees and neighbouring Districts. The draft version of the West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study was completed in November 2015 and is now under consideration by Highways England. It will be shared with the Council in the near future. Schemes identified that are relevant to Kirklees will need to be added to the schedule in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Further modelling work will be needed to determine the traffic threshold or trigger for the additional improvement schemes. Site MX1905 may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes where committed RIS schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment. Construction of the site should be phased to take place following completion of committed schemes in the RIS (Highways England).

Land at Chidswell Accessibility and Connectivity Review WYG (December 2015) has been submitted in support of the allocation.

Good access to public transport.

There is a deliverable and achievable access strategy for the site.  
Flooding concerns - Heybeck Valley regularly floods and has flooded more and more often over the last few years.

Part of the site is subject to flood risk including Heybeck close to Heybeck Lane.

Soil is not suitable for SuDs

Eastern boundary is close to flood zone 3.

Any development proposals on this allocation will need to mitigate increased flood risk and runoff impact from all flooding sources to national standards before entering Wakefield District, including appropriate allowances for climate change impact and development creep for the lifetime of the proposals. Flood modelling of the watercourses and the site will be required to establish the true flood risk, location and extent of floodplains and areas susceptible to flooding. Proposals should be based on the requirements and standards in the NPPF technical guidance, NPPG, Calder Valley Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, Kirklees Local Flood Risk Management Strategy, Kirklees Surface Water Management Plan and the Leeds City Region / West Yorkshire Combined Authority Guidance for Developers, including appropriate SuDS features determined by a SuDS audit and viability assessment and accompanied by a robust adoption, management and maintenance plan funded for the lifetime of the development. The Chidswell site is Greenfield therefore surface water development runoff will need to be restricted to the existing Greenfield runoff rate with flood storage provided to accommodate the national standard storm events. Development proposals will need to take into account the watercourses on site and avoid encroaching into the watercourse floodplains and areas susceptible to flooding, fully mitigating any impact by providing compensatory floodplain works. (Wakefield Council).

Drainage master plan not undertaken.

## Council Response

Area contains areas of archaeological interest (PRNs 4542, 4543 & 4544). Proposed area will require predetermination archaeological evaluation, however there is a reasonable prospect these sites can be protected in accordance with planning policies.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Masterplanning of this site can address existing footpath networks, new connections and potential diversions. Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The extent of this site means that development would significantly impact on the strategic gap between Kirklees and Wakefield, although the presence of green belt within Wakefield would prevent physical merger. Open space provision and green infrastructure will be provided as part of the masterplanning of the site.

Supporting evidence has been submitted to address landscape impacts.

The site is considered deliverable on the basis of the local plan viability evidence and the site promoter's evidence.

Part of the site lies within a high risk coal referral area. Reports are required in relation to contaminated land, noise and air quality to determine the level of mitigation required. Masterplan and viability evidence confirm that powerline issues can be mitigated.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required Infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

A Strategic Drainage Assessment has been incorporated in the Masterplan.

There is a 20" treated water main crossing the site and it is essential that it is effectively protected. A stand-off distance of 6.5 metres either side of the pipe's central line is likely to be required. There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 5 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. The site is currently Greenfield and so there is unlikely to be any existing connection into the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP 29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply. The public sewer does not currently have adequate capacity available to accommodate 1500 dwellings. A feasibility study will be required to scope potential solutions. (Yorkshire Water).

Air quality - development should be prevented to protect open countryside which contributes to air quality  
Light pollution - development would create light pollution.

Air quality, noise and odour issues can be addressed at the planning application stage.

Contaminated land is not an issue.

Biodiversity/wildlife impact including Yellowhammers, water voles.

Valuable farmland and woodland would be lost for ever.

Need to protect farmland to feed future generations.

Site contains protected species and red listed species.

Ancient woodlands and hedgerows should be protected including Dum Wood or Dunn Wood and Dogloitch Wood which are designated Local Wildlife Sites.

There is a potential for a woodland wildlife corridor which seeks to link Dunn Wood, Dogloitch wood and Scargill and Soothill Brickworks.

There are UK BAP Priority habitats on site.

If the development goes ahead there should be planting of additional locally native woodland species, a buffer zone of minimum of 20m around the woodlands. A buffer zone of at least 10m to protect tree roots along all watercourses and tributaries.

Consider that West Yorkshire Ecology has failed to take into account LWS and that Dogloitch Wood was identified as an SSSI.

Site contains important heritage landscapes which should be protected.

West Yorkshire Archaeology have records of an Iron Age settlement to the west of Dunn Wood.

School capacity insufficient.

There is no evidence on supply of additional spaces.

Wakefield Council considers that there is potential for the development of this site to both on its own and cumulatively to impact upon school place provision at schools within Wakefield, specifically in the Ossett and Horbury area. It is important that Wakefield and Kirklees work together as the plan progresses to fully understand what these impacts could be and to ensure that where they are negative on school place provision in Wakefield schools measures are included within the Kirklees Local Plan to ensure that they are adequately mitigated.

There is a wide network of footpaths on the site which should be protected.

Public rights of way have been incorporated in the master plan.

The site has previously been referred to as a green lung of open space spearing Wakefield, Ossett, Dewsbury and Morley.

Urban recreational impact - litter. Disturbance to wildlife and soil erosion.



Good access to facilities.

There is no infrastructure to support the allocation.

This area of land is the last piece of open countryside separating Morley/Leeds, Ardsley, Ossett, Gawthorpe, Dewsbury and Batley, it is designated greenbelt land and has been strongly defended by Kirklees Council as greenbelt land in the past when they opposed the Windsor Opencast Site.

The area has previously been defended for development on greenbelt grounds.

The green belt should be protected in this location to avoid urban sprawl and encroachment into open countryside

The council has not proved exceptional circumstances to release this land.

Development of this site would be contrary to the role and function of the green belt tests. It would be unrestricted sprawl, it would merge Shaw Cross and Chidswell areas of Dewsbury with Tingley and West Ardsley, Leeds reducing separation from 1500m to 300m. It would encroach into the open countryside extending into Leeds and Wakefield.

Strong defendable landscape features exist to provide a new green belt boundary.

A framework for new development between Chidswell / Leeds Road and a newly defined Green Belt boundary to the east is proposed. The integration of existing woodland features and green corridors through the development area is illustrated, alongside the maintained openness of Green Belt land to the east. The influence of topography is evident through the pattern of development form, which responds to gradients and valleys. West Ardsley remains distinct and separate, with its southern edge continuing to address open land.

The site is visual for miles and significantly reduces the gap between Chidswell, Soothill and Tingley. As Tingley has a number of allocations in the Leeds Plan, there is also a high risk of cumulative impact across the authority boundary (CPRE).

Land at Chidswell Landscape and Visual Appraisal Gillespies (April 2015) has been submitted in support of the allocation which identifies a long term defensible boundary.

The allocation would fail to protect the valued landscape as described by the council's character assessment and contrary to NPPF.

Financial measures to pay for mitigation must be agreed early and incorporated into agreements.

Phasing of employment and housing is set out in the master plan. Phasing indicates that 120 housing units will be delivered per annum from 2017/18 to 2028/29 and 95 units in 2019/30 which equals 1,535 in total.

35ha of employment can be delivered supported by the market assessment.

The scale of development will support the local economy and provide construction employment. The employment will provide around 2,850 gross jobs.

Site lies on a valuable coal reserve. To avoid sterilisation of the resource, the coal would need to be extracted with a major impact on the site and the area. Insufficient investigation has been undertaken on this.

The site is crossed or is within close proximity to Over Head line electricity transmission. Potential developers of the site should be aware that it is National grid policy to retain overhead lines in situ. National Grid prefers that buildings are not built directly beneath its overhead lines. The statutory safety clearances must not be infringed. National Grid seeks to encourage high quality and well planned development in the vicinity of its high voltage overhead lines. Land beneath and adjacent to the overhead line route should be used to make a positive

contribution to the development of the site and can for example be used for nature conservation, open space, landscaping areas or used as a parking court.

A high level assessment of mining risk is available for the site.  
Concerned about the merging of Leeds, Wakefield and Kirklees.

Wakefield Council notes the strategic importance of this allocation to the Kirklees Local Plan (Wakefield Council).  
The area is a green buffer zone between Wakefield, Leeds and Kirklees and should be protected.

A full master plan is required to assess impact.

Land at Chidswell Masterplan Options JTP (January 2016) has been submitted to support allocation.

Land at Cooper Bridge is more suitable.  
This site should be allocated for employment or housing not mixed use. Preference would be for employment with housing spread across a number of sites.

Development will encroach on Kirklees borders into Leeds.  
The council is aiming to supply more prestigious housing as opposed to needed housing on Brownfield land at a lower price.

No mention of impacts on farming and food production.

H672 performs better than this allocation in terms of green belt assessment and sustainability appraisal.

Land at Chidswell Employment Market Update Gent Visick (May 2015) has been submitted in support of the allocation.

Support allocation for mixed use.

Site provides a comprehensive strategic urban extension.

It is of a scale to provide a range and mix of employment uses B1, B2 and B8 and is close to strategic highway and public transport.

The site is identified in the Leeds City Region Strategic Economic Plan.

The allocation does not reflect the wishes or aspirations of the local community.

There are alternative options which would have less negative impacts.

The proposal is contrary to the Sustainability Appraisal as parts of the site are more than 60 minutes from schools and 45 minutes from GPS and hospitals.

The Enterprise Zones promoted at Lindley Moor East and West have distinct advantages over this site.

The council has not fully assessed Brownfield land. The allocation of a mixed use site is not exceptional circumstances to remove a site from the green belt.

Proposal is contrary to DLP6 as it is not previously developed land and is best and most versatile agricultural land.

The council's employment allocation figure is not supported by market indicators, NPPF and fails to take into account Enterprise Zones. Housing figures are also not justified by objectively assessed needs.

The plan is inspirational not realistic and therefore unsound.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Do not consider that duty to co-operate has been undertaken with adjoining authorities. Wakefield Council confirmed that it had not considered the plan on 2nd November 2015.

The allocation would not serve an economic role as it is in the wrong location.

The councils minerals safeguarding areas policy suggests that coal reserves outside of urban areas should be safeguarded. The allocation of the site is therefore contrary to policy.

Issues of land stability need to be addressed before development takes place.

WYCA - support the allocation as it will assist with the economic objectives of the SEP

**MX1906** Land north of, Trinity Street, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD1898, DLP\_AD5657, DLP\_AD8998, DLP\_AD11019

Given the Brownfield status of these sites, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. Currently, Yorkshire Water requests a minimum 30% reduction based on the existing peak discharge rate during a 1 in 1 year storm event, which we believe mirrors the requirement of draft Policy DLP29(b). (Yorkshire Water).  
The buildings at Kirklees College are Grade II\* Listed and there are also a number of Grade II Listed Buildings in the streets surrounding this site. National policy guidance makes it clear that Grade I and II\* Listed Buildings are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. There is also a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. If allocated, the Plan should make it clear that development proposals for this area would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings are not harmed. (Historic England)

Site should be identified as suitable for retail to allow viable redevelopment. Retail use is entirely appropriate within the designated town centre boundary, in accordance with NPPF paragraph 23 to 27. The wider mix of uses on the site could well include care provision (C2) of circa 100 beds and a medical centre including supporting pharmacy and physiotherapy rooms (D1). Residential (C3) may still form part of the wider development.

The site should accommodate more housing as this is a sustainable location.

**MX1907** Moorlands Business Centre, Balme Road, Cleckheaton  
DLP\_AD5550, DLP\_AD5694, DLP\_AD6472, DLP\_AD6480, DLP\_AD6627, DLP\_AD7821, DLP\_AD10367, DLP\_AD11017

Traffic congestion, parking and access road concerns  
There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 5 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. (Yorkshire Water)  
Concerns regarding noise and air quality.  
Health services insufficient

Loss of greenbelt

Allocation should seek opportunities to support River Spen restoration work through this development. Although the weir in the vicinity of the site is not a priority structure for fish passage there may be environmental benefits in removing it /improving fish passage. Easement of River Spen will need to be agreed with EA.

Support Conditional Support 4 Object No Comment

Proposed Change.

The site is an accepted mixed use allocation. The capacity has been changed to reflect planning permission which has been granted for approximately half the site.

The site is an accepted mixed use allocation. Approximately half the site has planning permission for demolition of existing buildings and erection of food retail unit (A1) with associated site works, parking, access and landscaping (2015/93827) (permission:27/06/16). Therefore the principle for development of half the site has been established. The remaining capacity has been assumed as residential use.

Matters of drainage relating to the site can be addressed at planning application stage applying relevant policies.

The redevelopment and setting of the listed buildings and conservation area can be considered as part of a planning application considering relevant policies.

The principle of retail use on part of this allocation has been established by the recent planning permission.

The amount of housing suitable for the site can be considered as part of a planning application on the site.

Support Conditional Support 2 Object 6 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

No significant constraints. Site already developed as a business centre. There are no significant constraints associated with the site which could not be mitigated against at a detailed planning application stage.

Reponses to comments received through the consultation include:  
Site access can be achieved from Balme Road. It is not considered that there will be a major impact on the mainline network.

Comments from Yorkshire Water have been noted.

Environmental Health has raised the issue of potential impact of noise and odour on residential amenity but considers that this can be addressed through the provision of a noise and odour assessment. The Council has commissioned an Air Quality Assessment (AQA) to assess the potential cumulative impact of

sites allocated in the local plan. The Council will monitor air quality annually and set out its findings in its annual monitoring report.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The site is not located within the green belt.

Comments from the Environment Agency have been noted and addressed in the site allocation text box.

MX1911	Land south of, Lindley Moor Road, Lindley	Support 2	Conditional Support 4	Object 4	No Comment
DLP_AD3836, DLP_AD4681, DLP_AD7030, DLP_AD7110, DLP_AD7519, DLP_AD8997, DLP_AD10582, DLP_AD10681, DLP_AD11018, DLP_AD11029					

Traffic modelling indicates that Site MX1911 has an individual severe adverse impact on the Strategic Road Network (SRN) based on the predicted number of trips generated on links on the motorway network. That impact needs to be considered in the context of the total traffic impact resulting from the overall scale of development proposed in the Kirklees Draft Local Plan and the combined impact of land use development proposals for Kirklees in combination with those of neighbouring local planning authorities. Where sites have a severe impact on the SRN measures will be required to reduce and mitigate that impact. Highways England has a number of planned improvements to the SRN funded as part of the government's Road Investment Strategy (RIS). These schemes will provide additional capacity at congested locations. Sites which have the greatest individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by that site. Where committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment, sites may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes. The initial results of modelling undertaken as part of the Highways England West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study indicate that capacity improvement measures additional to the schemes included in the RIS will be needed to cater for demand generated by development in Kirklees and neighbouring Districts. The draft version of the West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study was completed in November 2015 and is now under consideration by Highways England. It will be shared with the Council in the near future. Schemes identified that are relevant to Kirklees will need to be added to the schedule in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Further modelling work will be needed to determine the traffic threshold or trigger for the additional improvement schemes. Site MX1911 may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes where committed RIS schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment. Construction of the site should be phased to take place following completion of committed schemes in the RIS. (Highways England)

Given the Brownfield status of these sites, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. Currently, Yorkshire Water requests a minimum 30% reduction based on the existing peak discharge rate during a 1 in 1 year storm event, which we believe mirrors the requirement of draft Policy DLP29(b). (Yorkshire Water).

Pollution will be increased.

At the north-eastern corner of this area is an eighteenth Century guide stoop which is a Grade II\* Listed Building. Haigh Cross (on the eastern boundary of this area), the boundary stone outside Peat Ponds Farm (at the northern end of this site), and Crosland Road Farmhouse (at the south-eastern edge of this area) are Grade II Listed Buildings. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings. National policy guidance makes it clear that Grade I and II\* Listed buildings are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these Listed Buildings and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon their significance. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning

No change.

The site is an accepted mixed use allocation. Part of site has planning permission for 253 houses and 25,125sqm B2 use (2014/93136). The principle of development for this part of the site has therefore been established.

Specific issues relating to individual sites have been considered by a range of technical consultees. It is considered that there are no constraints with this site that cannot be addressed through the detailed planning process and/or additional text within the site allocation box. Highways England have been consulted about the cumulative impact of Local Plan growth on the strategic highway infrastructure and this is on-going.

Drainage issues can be addressed through the planning application process considering local and national planning policies.

Environmental health and air quality issues have been considered in assessing this site, and no overriding constraints have been identified.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

The issues associated with National Grid infrastructure can be addressed at planning application stage.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out the required infrastructure to support the spatial strategy outlined in the Local Plan.

The principle of a mixed use allocation has been established by planning permission on part of the site.

Summary of comments

Council Response

applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable (Historic England) Impact on school places.  
Uncertainty about impact of HRI changes.

National Grid policy is to retain existing overhead lines in-situ. National Grid advise developers and planning authorities to take into account the location and nature of existing electricity transmission equipment when planning developments. National Grid prefers that buildings are not built directly beneath its overhead lines. The statutory safety clearances between overhead lines, the ground, and built structures must not be infringed. National Grid seeks to encourage high quality and well planned development in the vicinity of its high voltage overhead lines. Land beneath and adjacent to the overhead line route should be used to make a positive contribution to the development of the site and can for example be used for nature conservation, open space, landscaping areas or used as a parking court.  
Support mixed use allocation and housing use west of Crosland Road. Level of growth in the Lindley ward is unsustainable due to the impact on infrastructure.  
No justification for changing site from employment to mixed use allocation. The site is close to junction 23 and 24 of M62 and therefore suitable for employment use.

MX1914	Merchant Fields, Hunsworth Lane, Cleckheaton	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 163	No Comment
DLP_AD265, DLP_AD278, DLP_AD329, DLP_AD334, DLP_AD453, DLP_AD483, DLP_AD670, DLP_AD674, DLP_AD675, DLP_AD676, DLP_AD699, DLP_AD811, DLP_AD829, DLP_AD831, DLP_AD836, DLP_AD865, DLP_AD953, DLP_AD963, DLP_AD964, DLP_AD967, DLP_AD1053, DLP_AD1055, DLP_AD1066, DLP_AD1229, DLP_AD1235, DLP_AD1243, DLP_AD1368, DLP_AD1375, DLP_AD1455, DLP_AD1457, DLP_AD1458, DLP_AD1459, DLP_AD1471, DLP_AD1493, DLP_AD1499, DLP_AD1503, DLP_AD1505, DLP_AD1509, DLP_AD1571, DLP_AD1621, DLP_AD1625, DLP_AD1647, DLP_AD1649, DLP_AD1686, DLP_AD1687, DLP_AD1688, DLP_AD1689, DLP_AD1690, DLP_AD1691, DLP_AD1692, DLP_AD1721, DLP_AD1739, DLP_AD1743, DLP_AD1769, DLP_AD1778, DLP_AD1787, DLP_AD1788, DLP_AD1797, DLP_AD1840, DLP_AD1878, DLP_AD1907, DLP_AD1934, DLP_AD1935, DLP_AD1975, DLP_AD1997, DLP_AD2006, DLP_AD2040, DLP_AD2077, DLP_AD2188, DLP_AD2289, DLP_AD2299, DLP_AD2377, DLP_AD2382, DLP_AD2417, DLP_AD2572, DLP_AD2712, DLP_AD2759, DLP_AD2894, DLP_AD2933, DLP_AD3014, DLP_AD3078, DLP_AD3079, DLP_AD3214, DLP_AD3215, DLP_AD3250, DLP_AD3337, DLP_AD3542, DLP_AD3549, DLP_AD3663, DLP_AD3754, DLP_AD3792, DLP_AD3840, DLP_AD3955, DLP_AD4105, DLP_AD4110, DLP_AD4390, DLP_AD4404, DLP_AD4458, DLP_AD4692, DLP_AD4777, DLP_AD4812, DLP_AD4813, DLP_AD4982, DLP_AD5065, DLP_AD5119, DLP_AD5133, DLP_AD5297, DLP_AD5400, DLP_AD5404, DLP_AD5408, DLP_AD5420, DLP_AD5432, DLP_AD5549, DLP_AD5652, DLP_AD5673, DLP_AD5689, DLP_AD5692, DLP_AD5760, DLP_AD5813, DLP_AD5814, DLP_AD5839, DLP_AD5845, DLP_AD5881, DLP_AD5989, DLP_AD6173, DLP_AD6291, DLP_AD6343, DLP_AD6416, DLP_AD6469, DLP_AD6479, DLP_AD6625, DLP_AD6648, DLP_AD6649, DLP_AD6693, DLP_AD6720, DLP_AD6726, DLP_AD6744, DLP_AD6747, DLP_AD7437, DLP_AD7520, DLP_AD7604, DLP_AD7745, DLP_AD7800, DLP_AD7841, DLP_AD7844, DLP_AD7855, DLP_AD7881, DLP_AD7996, DLP_AD8006, DLP_AD8331, DLP_AD8444, DLP_AD8449, DLP_AD8451, DLP_AD8452, DLP_AD8741, DLP_AD9140, DLP_AD9528, DLP_AD9949, DLP_AD10044, DLP_AD10045, DLP_AD10062, DLP_AD10180, DLP_AD10477, DLP_AD10578, DLP_AD11002, DLP_AD11024					

Road congestion and road capacity - traffic regularly queues from Birkenshaw roundabout to Chain bar roundabout, M606, M62. Impact on Links Avenue of increased traffic, Brookfield View, Cliffe Lane.

Proposed Change

Highway assessment states site is well placed for vehicular distribution on to the wider highway network and additional movements would not lead to capacity issues.

The site is proposed as a rejected mixed use allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for 318 dwellings and 4,655sq.m of employment land. The reasons for change are:

Impact on road safety of increased cars especially children travelling to school and commercial vans parking on balme Road, Moorland Business centre.

Following a review of the submitted Transport Assessment, concerns have been raised with regard to the lack of segregation between the proposed industrial and residential land uses. The site is now accepted as a housing allocation.

Clarification is required whether the proposal involves extending Mazebrook Avenue through to Brookfield View.

Comments have been noted on this site.

Lack of public transport.

Inadequate access to serve the site. Should there be any vehicular access from the proposed new build properties into Brookfield Avenue, Brookfield terrace, Brookfield view or Kestrel view there will be a serious impact on existing residents. Both Brookfield Avenue and Brookfield Terrace are currently closed end streets, and are incapable of being wide enough to open up to through traffic. All the above streets have only one option for access to Bradford road, that is via Balme road, which is already a very difficult and potentially dangerous junction due to poor view of oncoming traffic, particularly at peak times. Further concerns about access off Kilroyd Drive.

The top entrance of Westroyd Avenue is on a completely blind bend due to the curvature of Hunsworth lane. The bottom entrance/exit to Westroyd Avenue is on the brow of a hill with Parked vehicles on both

sides of Hunsworth lane causing problems with access, and any increase in traffic volume would have an immediate effect to all emergency services.

Traffic modelling indicates that the site has an individual severe and adverse impact based on the number of trips generated on links on the motorway network. That impact needs to be considered in the context of the total traffic impact resulting from the overall scale of development proposed in the Kirklees Draft Local Plan and the combined impact of land use development proposals for Kirklees in combination with those of neighbouring local planning authorities. Where sites have a severe impact on the Strategic Road Network (SRN) measures will be required to reduce and mitigate that impact. Highways England has a number of planned improvements to the SRN funded as part of the government's Road Investment Strategy (RIS). These schemes will provide additional capacity at congested locations. Sites which have the greatest individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by that site.

Where committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment, sites may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes. The initial results of modelling undertaken as part of the Highways England West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study indicate that capacity improvement measures additional to the schemes included in the RIS will be needed to cater for demand generated by development in Kirklees and neighbouring Districts. The draft version of the West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study was completed in November 2015 and is now under consideration by Highways England. It will be shared with the Council in the near future. Schemes identified that are relevant to Kirklees will need to be added to the schedule in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Further modelling work will be needed to determine the traffic threshold or trigger for the additional improvement schemes.

Site MX1914 may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes where committed RIS schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment. Construction of the site should be phased to take place following completion of committed schemes in the RIS (Highways England).

Vehicular access will be from Kilroyd Drive.

Flooding issues - localised flooding, existing surface water problems/ will create surface run-off problems. Concerns about flooding of Spen Beck, Mazebrook Avenue and Naan Hall Beck.

Flooding concerns in relation to Cliffe Lane and Brookfield Lane.

Flood risk low as Flood zone 1.

There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 5 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. The site is currently Greenfield and so there is unlikely to be any existing connection into the public sewer. In line with draft policy DLP29 (a) Greenfield rates of discharge into the public sewer will apply and only be permitted once a more sustainable means of surface water management has been discounted. (Yorkshire Water).  
Noise impact from additional dwellings.

Concerned about the potential height of the buildings and possible loss of light.

Air quality concerns from increased traffic. Air quality assessment highlights Chain bar as one of the worst places in West Yorkshire with around 400 deaths in Kirklees.  
Biodiversity/wildlife/woodland would be affected including Kites and woodpeckers and Bats, Crested Newts, Goshawks (protected species) .

Ecology assessment identifies a number of broad leaf trees and a section of Clough Beck as the most

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

valuable habitat features and recommends retention and protection. It concludes that there are no designated ecology sites within 2km of the site with the nearest non designated site being 800m north west of the site at Hanging Wood SWS.

Merchant fields is used for grazing and should be protected.  
Impact on amenity and local walks.

Loss of rural outdoor space.

High concern in terms of losing an important reserved area of open space which protects local wildlife, forestry and agricultural activities  
Potential ancient burial site on the land.  
School capacity insufficient - Whitechapel C of E and East Brierley  
Health services/provision insufficient.

There are footpaths and rights of way across site. Will these be re-routed. Footpaths should be protected for health and well-being reasons.

Public footpaths would be retained.  
Open space will be provided as part of the development.

Infrastructure is at capacity.

Support need for housing but must be in areas where there is environmental capacity and infrastructure.

The proposal will destroy the green belt.  
Landscape and visual impact assessment concludes no significant harm to landscape character or visual environment.

The northern part of the site is Urban and the western part of the site is Urban/Industrial landscape.  
There are no shops or facilities in Hunsworth which will lead to more traffic.  
Site promoter has control over the site which makes it deliverable.  
The amount of development proposed would double the size of Hunsworth to its detriment.

Cleckheaton, Hunsworth and Drub will coalesce to the detriment of the local character.

Hunsworth is a village community and a development of this site would destroy it.  
Concerned about ground stability from previous mining.

The sustainability appraisal indicates that there are more negative than positive reasons not to build on the site.  
Distribution of development is inequitable.  
Invasion of privacy for the hundreds of residents would have bought their properties to look out onto the green belt.

Clarification is required on the types of businesses to be accommodated on site.

Develop derelict sites first or reuse vacant derelict units. Brownfield first. Develop Westgate and Tesco site in Cleckheaton first.

Stone Street is an example of an area that could be improved as a result of development.

Increase in population caused by the building works , increased traffic and homes.

Development will impact on property values.

Current views of open countryside will be destroyed.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Crime in the area will increase.

The area has already been subject to a disorientate amount of commercial/industrial development which has impacted on the area.

Need to listen to local people and say no to development.

Object to lack of consultation on proposal

Plant woods not build houses to address global warming.

Cumulative impact of development in the area including development at fire station Birkenshaw will impact on the area.

Sense of community/social cohesion/social responsibility and social support networks would break down due to the erosion of space and urban sprawl. There would be adverse affects on the residential amenity of 'neighbours' by reason of noise/disturbance/unacceptable high density adversely affecting road safety.

Why build more business sheds when there are so many vacant ones

Police response times are poor.

Loss of amenity due to overlooking.

Site promoter supports allocation for mixed use and has provided a master plan, transport assessment, ecology and landscape evidence and noise assessment to support allocation.

To address the 3 negative score in the SA against amenity, efficient use of land and noise, the site promoter has provided additional evidence.

The noise assessment concludes that the noise levels from existing industrial uses are not significant and can be mitigated to minimise any effects.

**MX1919** Bank Bottom Mills, Mount Road, Marsden

DLP\_AD10368, DLP\_AD11021

The beck currently runs in culvert beneath the site. De-culverting should be considered through this allocation. An easement of culverted main river should be agreed with EA. (Environment Agency)

There is a 24" treated water main crossing the site and it is essential that it is effectively protected. A stand-off distance of 6.5 metres either side of the pipe's centre-line is required i.e. a total protected strip width of 13 metres,

There is a 350mm diameter public surface water sewer recorded as crossing the site. No buildings, other obstructions will be allowed to be erected within 3 metres, nor trees planted within 5 metres either side on the sewer centre line.

Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. (Yorkshire Water).

Support

Conditional Support 2

Object

No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted mixed use allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Improvements to junction from Carrs Road / Fall Lane / Binn Road may be necessary. Subject to Habitats Assessment and contaminated land study. The site currently has a culverted watercourse running through the site. This requires 1.15 ha to be removed from net area, though easement should be agreed with EA. A site specific FRA will be required. Fish passage could be improved at this location.. Any development on the site will need to consider impact on the Marsden conservation area

**MX1920** New Mills, Brougham Road, Marsden

DLP\_AD8999, DLP\_AD10370, DLP\_AD11022

There is existing sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. Stand-off distances of between a minimum 3 and 5 metres (from the centre-lines of each pipe) will be required. This will affect the layout of any development on this site. Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public

Support

Conditional Support 3

Object

No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as an accepted mixed use allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the



Summary of comments

sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change.

Given the Brownfield status of these sites, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. Currently, Yorkshire Water requests a minimum 30% reduction based on the existing peak discharge rate during a 1 in 1 year storm event, which we believe mirrors the requirement of draft Policy DLP29(b). (Yorkshire Water).

De-culverting should be considered through allocation. (Environment Agency)

The site is adjacent to Marsden conservation area. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

**MX1929** Land at, Slipper Lane, Leeds Road

DLP\_AD90, DLP\_AD4682, DLP\_AD10924, DLP\_AD11000, DLP\_AD11020

Impact on road network

Given the Brownfield status of the site, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. (Yorkshire Water)

Biodiversity impact - there are concerns whether the affect on great crested newts has been taken in account. Request survey undertaken.

Retain for manufacturing to reduce need for further green belt release at E1832

Site should remain for employment use (manufacturing)

**MX1930** Land north of, Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Moor

DLP\_AD8994, DLP\_AD10608, DLP\_AD10917, DLP\_AD10998, DLP\_AD11014

Cumulative impact on traffic from number of accepted sights in the area. Impact on local roads (Dryclough Road, Blackmoorfoot Road). During the planning application for former St Luke's Hospital traffic concerns were expressed with a suggestion that a second egress and entrance be investigated at Lockwood Bar and Lockwood Road.

There are also two mixed use sites that do not have a significant individual traffic impact on the motorway network but that, by virtue of their location or proximity to other proposed developments, may need to contribute to additional schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes if committed RIS schemes will not provide sufficient capacity. They are:

MX1903 Land south of Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Moor, Huddersfield

MX1930 Land north of Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Moor, Huddersfield (Highways England)

Given the Brownfield status of these sites, if surface water will discharge to a public sewer, it must have appropriate attenuation to allow for climate change. Currently, Yorkshire Water requests a minimum 30% reduction based on the existing peak discharge rate during a 1 in 1 year storm event, which we believe mirrors the requirement of draft Policy DLP29(b). (Yorkshire Water).

Crossland Hall, 160 metres to the west of this site, is a Grade II\* Listed Building. The loss of this area and its subsequent development could harm elements which contribute to its significance. National policy guidance makes it clear that Grade I and II\* Listed Buildings are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this Listed Building and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon those significances. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that special regard should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any

Council Response

draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Previous consent for mixed use development in the west of the site. The site is within Marsden conservation area. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the conservation area. Part of the site within flood zone 2/3 as it is adjacent to River Colne, which runs through the site - allocation could consider de-culverting.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 4 No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted mixed use allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access achievable. The site has outline planning permission for 166 dwellings and 17.844 sqm of B1c, B2 and B8 use class floor space (application reference: 2014/90688) therefore the principle for the development of this site has been established.

Comments received from the consultation have been noted.

Support 1 Conditional Support 3 Object 1 No Comment

No change.

This site is an accepted mixed use option. Site access achievable. Improvements would be required to the surrounding local highway network to accommodate a development of this scale. Other improvements may be required on the wider local highway network, depending on assignment and distribution. Likely issues with Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Hill Road and Deep Lane and associated junctions. There is a need for extra primary places in the locality. There is no immediate need for secondary places. The scale of this site is likely to require improvement to the school infrastructure in the area to accommodate growth.

The site has been assessed by the council's strategic drainage team and no significant constraints have been identified.

The impact of development on listed buildings in the vicinity can be considered at planning application stage.

Support for the site noted.

Summary of comments

Council Response

features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is allocated for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. (Historic England)

Concern about the number of houses proposed in a relatively small geographical area of Crosland Hill and the increased strain on Blackmoorfoot Road.  
Support for use of Brownfield site.

**MX2101** Land east of, Southgate, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD2529, DLP\_AD2774, DLP\_AD5656, DLP\_AD8995, DLP\_AD8996, DLP\_AD11016

Site adjacent Huddersfield Town Centre conservation area and a number of listed buildings close by. Sport England - object to loss of sports facility.

Yorkshire Water - sewerage infrastructure crosses the site.  
Support re-use of this Brownfield site and suggest housing designed to encourage town centre living. Site should accommodate more houses than suggested and also incorporate a hotel and offices.

Support 1 Conditional Support 4 Object 1 No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted housing allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). The allocation is considered consistent with the Councils site allocation methodology.

No constraints to developing the site that cannot adequately be mitigated against at the planning application stage.

Comments noted. Re, potential impact on Town Centre conservation area and listed buildings. A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required.

Comments noted. Re sewerage infrastructure crossing the site. This is recognised as a constraint in the site allocation text box in the Allocations and Designations document.

Comments of support for this allocation are noted.

**MX2155** Land adjacent, South Parade, Cleckheaton  
DLP\_AD11015

Yorkshire Water - Brownfield site developer will have to demonstrate positive drainage to existing sewer by means of a physical investigation.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected mixed use allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as a mixed use option.

The uses on this site would be restricted to B1a, B1b and B1c due to unsuitability of local roads for HGV movements. Site is now allocated as housing H640.

Summary of comments

Council Response

**Principal Town Centre**

TCB 1	Huddersfield Town Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change			
		Huddersfield town centre boundary was proposed as an accepted town centre boundary designation. The boundary was proposed as an accepted boundary in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		No comments were received on this designation in response to the draft Local Plan			
TCB 2	Dewsbury Town Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change			
		Dewsbury town centre boundary was proposed as an accepted town centre boundary designation. The boundary was proposed as an accepted boundary in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		No comments were received on this designation in response to the draft Local Plan.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>Town Centre</b>					
<b>TCB 3</b>	Batley Town Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change			
		Batley town centre boundary was proposed as an accepted town centre boundary designation. The boundary was proposed as an accepted boundary in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		No comments were received in response to the designation in the draft Local Plan.			
<b>TCB 4</b>	Heckmondwike Town Centre Boundary DLP_AD11060	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Suggest Town Centre boundary be re-drawn to include the supermarket currently being built on land between Northgate and Horncastle Street.		Proposed Change			
		It is proposed that Cleckheaton Town Centre boundary is amended to include the new supermarket to north of Horncastle Street. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		Supermarkets are a main town centre use. The new development is located to the north of Horncastle street, to the south is the Market Arcade which is part of the proposed primary shopping area. Therefore the inclusion of the new supermarket within the proposed Town Centre boundary is considered justified.			
<b>TCB 5</b>	Holmfirth Town Centre Boundary DLP_AD7003	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
The town centre boundary is unnecessarily constrictive. Parts of the existing retail area are excluded such as shops and facilities off Station Road, the Victoria Arcade development on Dunford Road, business on south lane and the Nook Public house. The town centre boundary should be larger to be from Greenhead Road/Woodhead with an extension up Dunford Road to include Victoria Arcade.		Proposed Change			
		It is proposed that Holmfirth Town Centre boundary is amended to include Daisy Lane, 1 and 7 South Lane and the Victoria Arcade on Dunford Road. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		The Holmfirth Town Centre boundary has been reviewed and the amended proposal is considered justified.			
		Daisy Lane, 1 and 7 South Lane and the Victoria Arcade contain main town centre uses and are within a short distance from the primary shopping area.			
		It is not proposed to extend the town centre boundary to the Woodhead Road/Greenfield Road as the area immediately adjacent to the draft local plan boundary on the A6024 becomes predominately residential.			
<b>TCB 6</b>	Heckmondwike Town Centre Boundary DLP_AD11061	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Town centre boundary not coherent. Excludes Health Centre, the Green and Firth Park. Boundary should be reviewed.		Proposed Change			
		It is proposed that Heckmondwike Town Centre boundary is amended to include The Green and Greenside. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		The Heckmondwike Town Centre boundary has been reviewed and the proposal to include The Green and Greenside is considered justified.			
		The Green is an attractive and well maintained park, with mature trees and war memorial which is adjacent to the proposed primary shopping area to the east. It creates a sense of place and is used for regular events which supports the vitality of the Town Centre. Greenside to the west of The Green includes main town centre uses which front The Green.			
		The Health Centre and Firth Park are located to the south west of the proposed Town Centre Boundary. The health centre and Firth Park are not main town centre uses and therefore are not included with the proposed Town Centre Boundary. Firth park is not an intensive sport and recreation use as it incorporates outdoor areas			

Summary of comments

Council Response

for sport and play.

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**District Centre**

<b>DCB 1</b>	Almondbury District Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 2</b>	Birstall District Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 3</b>	Denby Dale District Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 4</b>	Honley District Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 5</b>	Kirkburton District Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 6</b>	Lindley District Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 7</b>	Marsden District Centre Boundary	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 8</b>	Marsh District Centre	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 9</b>	Meltham District Centre	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 10</b>	Milnsbridge District Centre	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 11</b>	Mirfield District Centre	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>DCB 12</b>	Moldgreen District Centre	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					

Summary of comments

Council Response

**DCB 13** Ravensthorpe District Centre

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

**DCB 14** Skelmanthorpe District Centre

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

**DCB 15** Slaithwaite District Centre

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Local Centre**

<b>LCB 1</b>	Aspley, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	----------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 2</b>	Batley Carr, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	---------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 3</b>	Batley Road, Healey	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	---------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 4</b>	Berry Brow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 5</b>	Birchcliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	-------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 6</b>	Birkby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	--------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 7</b>	Birkenshaw	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 8</b>	Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	-----------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 9</b>	Brockholes, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	-----------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 10</b>	Chickenley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------------	------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 11</b>	Copthorn Gardens/Keldergate, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------------	---	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>LCB 12</b>	Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------------	---------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>LCB 13</b>	Cross Bank, Carlinghow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 14</b>	Earlsheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 15</b>	Edge Top Road, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 16</b>	Fartown Bar, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 17</b>	Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 18</b>	Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 19</b>	Greenside, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 20</b>	Halifax Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 21</b>	Hillhouse, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 22</b>	James Street, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 23</b>	Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 24</b>	Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>LCB 25</b>	Linthwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 26</b>	Littletown, Liversedge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 27</b>	Lockwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 28</b>	Long Lane, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 29</b>	Lower Hopton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 30</b>	Lower Staincliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 31</b>	Manchester Road/Longroyd Lane, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 32</b>	Moorend, Cleckheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 33</b>	Mount Pleasant, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 34</b>	Mount Street, Milnsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 35</b>	Netherton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 36</b>	New Hey Road/Acre Street, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>LCB 37</b>	New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 38</b>	Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 39</b>	Oakenshaw	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 40</b>	Old Bank Road	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 41</b>	Paddock	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 42</b>	Paddock Foot, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 43</b>	Rawthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 44</b>	Roberttown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 45</b>	Salendine Nook	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 46</b>	Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 47</b>	Scholes, Cleckheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 48</b>	Scissett	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>LCB 49</b>	Sheepridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 50</b>	Shepley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 51</b>	Six Lane Ends, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 52</b>	Slaithwaite Road, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 53</b>	Staincliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 54</b>	The Knowl, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 55</b>	Thornhill Lees, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 56</b>	Thornhill, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 57</b>	Thornton Lodge, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 58</b>	Trinity Street, Huddesfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 59</b>	Wakefield Road, Earlsheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>LCB 60</b>	Wakefield Road/Dalton Green Lane, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					

Summary of comments

Council Response

**LCB 61**

Waterloo

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Primary Shopping Area**

<b>PSA 1</b>	Huddersfield Primary Shopping Area	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>PSA 2</b>	Dewsbury Primary Shopping Area	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	--------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>PSA 3</b>	Batley Primary Shopping Area	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>PSA 4</b>	Cleckheaton Primary Shopping Area	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	-----------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>PSA 5</b>	Holmfirth Primary Shopping Area	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
--------------	---------------------------------	---------	---------------------	----------	------------

DLP\_AD7005

The division of primary and secondary frontages is not appropriate for small towns like Holmfirth. It does not understand how small town centres operate and evolve over time to meet market needs. Having specified primary frontages has the potential to limit how small town centres can evolve which could be to the detriment of small town centres such as Holmfirth. Primary Shopping Frontages should not be defined for Holmfirth and there should be flexibility.

No Change

The alternative shopping frontage policies which have been considered are set out below. It is considered that the proposed shopping frontage policy is appropriate for Town Centres including Holmfirth. The policy allows for flexibility whilst supporting the vitality and viability of town centres by concentrating retail development within primary frontages and a mix of retail and main town centre uses within secondary shopping frontages.

Option DLP14 8.2.1

There could be no specific policy in the Local Plan on shopping, primary shopping areas, and primary and secondary shopping frontages, with a reliance on the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG). Such an approach would however not allow strong protection of the retail core of centres in the district, and make it less clear for what is considered for change of use proposals.

Option DLP14 8.2.2

The policy could be more restrictive in terms of Primary and Secondary Shopping frontages allowing no alternative uses within Primary area, and only a limited number in secondary frontages. Such a policy would not allow for the consideration of other factors such as vacancy rates, the success or otherwise of a particular centre over the Local Plan Period, and could lead to an increase in vacancy rates in units in centres, which would not support the overall aim of supporting vibrancy and vitality in town centres across the district.

<b>PSA 6</b>	Heckmondwike Primary Shopping Area	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

Summary of comments

Council Response

**Primary Shopping Frontage**

CleckPSF 1	1 Cheapside to 25 Cheapside	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------------	-----------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

HudPSF 2	99 New Street to 120 New Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------	---------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

HudPSF 3	22 New Street to 64 New Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------	--------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

HudPSF 4	17 New Street to 71 New Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------	--------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**CleckPSF 5** 16 Albion Street to Inesons Provincial House, Albion Street

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudPSF 6** 1 King Street to 37 King Street

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewPSF 7** 1 to 10 Broadway House, Foundry Street

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewPSF 8** 22 Corporation Street to 32 Corporation Street

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewPSF 9** Dewsbury Market

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudPSF 10** 12 The Shambles to 11 Victoria Lane

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations were received



## Summary of comments

## Council Response

<b>HudPSF 11</b>	12 Victoria Lane to 30 Victoria Lane	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------------------	--------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>HudPSF 12</b>	Queensgate Market	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------------------	-------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>HudPSF 13</b>	Kingsgate Centre	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------------------	------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>HudPSF 14</b>	Packhorse Centre	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------------------	------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>HudPSF 15</b>	Market Walk	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
------------------	-------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

**Secondary Shopping Frontage**

<b>HoISSF 1</b>	The Riverside Shopping Centre to Stable Court	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
-----------------	---	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

<b>DewSSF 2</b>	28 Church Street to 28 South Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
-----------------	-------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

<b>CleekSSF 3</b>	11 Central Arcade to 26 Market Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
-------------------	---------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

Summary of comments

Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>HudSSF 4</b> 19 Market Street to 47 Market Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
<b>DewSSF 5</b> 2 Westgate to 32 Westgate	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
<b>CleckSSF 6</b> 8 Railway Street to 8 Cross Crown Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
No Representations were received				
<b>DewSSF 7</b> 1 Market Place to 11 Market Place	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewSSF 8** The Arcade

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewSSF 9** 6 Corporation Street 20 Corporation Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HoISSF10** Holmfirth Market to Holmfirth Mills, Hollowgate

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 11** 1 Westgate to 25 Westgate

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewSSF 12** Northgate/Bradford Road Triangle

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewSSF 13** 2 Bradford Road to 2 Northgate

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HoISSF 14** 5 Huddersfield Road to 15 Huddersfield Road

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 15** 9 Kirkgate to 7 Church Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 16** 11 Kirkgate to 9 Church Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 17** 2 Church Street to 2 St Peter's Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

No Representations were received

**DewSSF 18** Barclays Bank Crackenedge Lane to 22 Crackenedge Lane

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**DewSSF 19** Market Shops, Crackenedge Lane

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 20** 11 St Peter's Street to 8 Northumberland Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 21** 3 Northumberland Street to 78 John William Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 22** 3 Northumberland Street to 23 Byram Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 23** Huddersfield Open Market (Lord Street)

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 24** 1 Cross Church Street to 31 Cross Church Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 25** 2 Cross Church Street to 36 Cross Church Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

**HudSSF 26** 49 King Street to 63 King Street

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>HudSSF 27</b>	8 Queen Street to 50 King Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>HudSSF 28</b>	1 Buxton Way to 7 Buxton Way	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>HudSSF 29</b>	Byram Arcade	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>HudSSF 30</b>	Imperial Arcade	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>HudSSF 31</b>	Market Avenue	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>HudSSF 32</b>	1 John William Street to 37 John William Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Transport Scheme**

TS1	A62/A644 Huddersfield to M62 Junction 25	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object	No Comment	
DLP_AD170, DLP_AD9000, DLP_AD9053	Cyclists should be separated from vehicles wherever possible. Plans to utilise the M62 corridor supported. Scheme will reduce commuter traffic in the town centre. The Dumb Steeple is adjacent to the junction. Special Regard should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. The Plan should make it clear that the design of the scheme would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significance of this building.	No Change	The transport scheme is proposed as an accepted scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted scheme in the draft Local Plan (2015).	The scheme will support employment growth in the Cooper Bridge area and Leeds Road corridor by providing better access from existing and proposed housing in Dewsbury, Huddersfield and parts of Calderdale. Improved access to the M62 will provide connectivity improvements. The scheme also supports housing growth in South Dewsbury, Bradley and the employment allocation at Cooper Bridge. The scheme also addresses local air quality issues.	Comments of support for the scheme are noted.	Comments are noted re. impact on listed buildings and other heritage assets. A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required.
TS2	New Motorway Junction 24a on M62	Support 2	Conditional Support 1	Object 1	No Comment	
DLP_AD400, DLP_AD7045, DLP_AD7123, DLP_AD8117	Junction 24a will reduce congestion at Junction 24 and 25	No Change	The scheme is proposed as an accepted transport scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted transport scheme in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).	The scheme provides better access to the M62 for residents and businesses in North Huddersfield and South Calderdale, reducing congestion at Cooper Bridge, junctions 24 and 25 of the M62 and the A629 and A644 roads approaching them. It supports the growth of the Cooper Bridge employment site and the Leeds Road corridor and accommodates housing allocations around the North and East of Huddersfield.	Comments of support for the scheme noted.	The location of the scheme is within the Green Belt, however due to its strategic location at this point on the M62 the benefits to Kirklees of having this transport scheme outweighs the potential impact within the Green Belt. Detail of the scheme will include landscaping works to mitigate any impacts upon visual amenity.
TS3	South Huddersfield Arterial Route Improvements	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 1	No Comment	
DLP_AD7530, DLP_AD9001	There are a number of Grade II listed buildings at the southern and northern ends of this road improvement. Design of the scheme would need to ensure setting of these buildings are not impacted upon.  Scheme is inadequate to deal with issues in the local area plus increased traffic and need to be improved in scope, defined solutions and geographical reach into the Kirklees Rural area.	No Change	The scheme is proposed as an accepted transport scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted scheme in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).	The scheme accommodates the impact of new development in South Kirklees at key strategic junctions, reduces congestion and improves connectivity to Huddersfield and destinations beyond.	Comments are noted re. the impact on listed buildings within certain sections of this scheme. A Heritage Impact Assessment is required.	
TS4	A629 Halifax Road (Huddersfield to Halifax Corridor)	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 2	No Comment	
DLP_AD7032, DLP_AD7112, DLP_AD9002	Recent developments in the area has added to traffic congestion problems and air quality reduction. All	No Change				



Summary of comments

efforts to date have proved ineffective to resolve these issues.  
Route runs through centre of Edgerton Conservation Area and there are numerous listed buildings along its length. Design of scheme should ensure the significance of the buildings and their setting is not harmed.

Council Response

The scheme is proposed as an accepted transport scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted transport scheme in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

The scheme accommodates growth from local plan allocations North of Huddersfield and supports more efficient commuting between Halifax and Huddersfield, as well as better access to the two centres to/from the M62. This would support employment growth. Businesses in Calderdale and Kirklees will become better connected to labour markets across West Yorkshire.

Comments noted re. impact upon Edgerton Conservation Area and a number of listed buildings. A Heritage Impact Assessment is required.

**TS5** Mirfield to Dewsbury to Leeds and North Kirklees Growth Zone  
DLP\_AD8746, DLP\_AD9006

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

Scheme does not identify the Ravensthorpe Relief Road. Misses the opportunity to identify and deliver the relief road as a core project. This concern is amplified when reviewing Site TS5 in the Allocations and Designations Report which states that TS5 includes for a "substantial length of new link road south of Dewsbury to provide access to and mitigate the effects of the new housing allocation". This aspect of Allocation TS5 is both incorrect but more importantly it does not refer to the strategic delivery of the Ravensthorpe Relief Road to the south of Dewsbury which will alleviate congestion along the A644 and assist in the regeneration of Ravensthorpe and Dewsbury. The Infrastructure Delivery Plan similarly underplays the strategic role of the Ravensthorpe Relief Road. The Ravensthorpe Relief Road has been identified as a highway infrastructure improvement for a number of years. It is presently identified in the West Yorkshire plus Transport Fund. The Corridor for the Ravensthorpe Relief Road should therefore be safeguarded to allow for the delivery of this economically significant road scheme and identified in Policy DLP19 as a Core Project. The Plan attached at Appendix 1 and shown below identifies the Corridor to be safeguarded and to be shown on the Proposals Map. The baseline evidence and feasibility study that Miller Homes has undertaken, which includes advice from engineers, demonstrates that the Relief Road can be delivered in this Corridor. The Corridor shown on the Plan is fairly broad as a number of alignments are being considered as the master plan evolves. Area includes Dewsbury Cons Area and numerous listed buildings. Support for intention to invest in regeneration opportunities.

Proposed Change

The scheme is proposed as an accepted transport scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted transport scheme in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

The scheme will reduce bi-directional journey times for all modes on the A653 and A644 corridors and improve access to the M1 and M62, allowing businesses in North Kirklees to become better connected to labour markets across West Yorkshire. It will enable transformative change of the urban centre of Dewsbury by encouraging investment and inward migration into the local area. Enhance connectivity for walking and cycling between Dewsbury and its neighbourhoods will improve the general health of the residents in the area and an improvement to public transport provision along the key route network will reduce the impact on air quality associated with overuse of the private car. The scheme will provide for future housing and employment growth in the local area and also maximise the benefits of the Bradford Road corridor as a well-developed and popular employment location and entertainment destination.

Comments noted re. relief road. Improvements on the A644/A653 Leeds to Dewsbury corridor are stated within TS5 and consist of various multi-modal corridor improvements including Dewsbury Town Centre which are not specifically limited to the possibility of developing a relief road for Ravensthorpe.

Comments of support noted. A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required where proposals impact on heritage assets.

**TS6** The A652 Bradford Road, junctions with the B6128 B6124 (Batley Gateway)  
DLP\_AD9008

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

Route bisects a number of Conservation Areas and a number of listed buildings along its length particularly at the southern end. Design of scheme should pay attention to desirability of preserving the setting of listed buildings and appearance of Conservation Area.

Proposed Change

The scheme is proposed as rejected transport scheme. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the scheme was an accepted transport scheme.

This scheme now forms part of the larger TS5 scheme - Mirfield to Dewsbury to Leeds and North Kirklees Growth Zone.

Comments are noted re. potential impact on Conservation Areas and listed buildings. A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required.

**TS7** Highway Efficiency and Bus Priority Programme (HEBP)  
DLP\_AD14, DLP\_AD7531, DLP\_AD10345

Support Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment 1

It is disappointing that the A629 is only designated as a core route from the Huddersfield ring road as far as Waterloo and that no Traffic Scheme (other than perhaps TS8) is in the plan to provide any alleviation for the A629 south of Waterloo. The road infrastructure in this part of rural Kirklees is at capacity with increasing numbers of cars and commercial vehicles taking advantage of satnavs to use local roads to

No Change

The scheme is proposed as a rejected transport scheme. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the scheme was proposed as an accepted scheme.

Summary of comments

avoid congestion on major trunk roads such as the A629. The Draft Local Plan already incorporates accepted housing sites (within Kirkburton, Lepton and Fenay Bridge) which will inevitably introduce additional stress in the existing infrastructure, which will need to be addressed as part of any resultant planning applications. However, what is clear is that there would be no capacity within the local road network to accommodate additional traffic from currently rejected sites. There appears to be little if any provision within the Draft Local Plan to make any significant investment in local infrastructure in our area.

Precise details of the scheme should be published. TS7 is not adequate, more detail required of the scope, defined solutions presented to cope with increased traffic on Penistone Road.

Council Response

This scheme now forms part of the larger accepted transport scheme TS9 - Public Transport Improvement Schemes.

Comments noted re. Core Routes. The designation of a core route follows a number of set criteria. Policy DLP23 explains the designation criterial for this. Further detail of the proposed scheme is now included in TS9.

**TS8** Highway Network Efficiency Programme (HNEP)

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

This scheme is proposed as an accepted transport scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted scheme in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

This scheme tackles congestion across West Yorkshire with improvements to traffic control; systems and integration of traffic management and traffic signal control centres. This will facilitate the creation of management plans for specific corridors tailored to reduce congestion and delays. It will also provide better resilience to extreme weather events.

No comments were received on this transport scheme.

**TS9** Public Transport Improvement Schemes

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

The scheme is proposed as an accepted transport scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted transport scheme in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

A comprehensive and substantial upgrade of all core routes across West Yorkshire to reduce congestion, improve reliability and speed up journey times. Route-by-route, a mix of measures will be applied to tackle congestion hotspots, improve junctions and better manage parking whilst improving conditions for pedestrians, cyclists and local businesses and communities. The bus element is targeted at reducing operating costs by speeding up journey times, converting the fleet to operate on lower carbon alternative fuels and improving passenger information.

No comments were received on this transport scheme.

**TS10** Walking and Cycling Improvement schemes

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

The scheme is proposed as an accepted transport scheme. The scheme was proposed as an accepted scheme in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

The scheme will encourage cycling and walking by improving facilities and would potentially save large amounts of money otherwise spent on the NHS and can reduce pollution and congestion.

No comments were received on this transport scheme.

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Local Geological Site**

<b>LGS1</b>	Caulms Wood Quarry Local Geological Site, Dewsbury, DLP_AD32	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
The correct name for this Local Geological Site is Caulms Wood Quarry, Dewsbury		<p>No change.</p> <p>However, the site name has been corrected to Caulms Wood Quarry, Dewsbury.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>				
<b>LGS2</b>	Castle Hill Local Geological Site, Huddersfield DLP_AD904	Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Local Geological Site. This emphasises the special character of the site which must be protected.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>				
<b>LGS3</b>	Lepton Great Wood Local Geological Site, Huddersfield DLP_AD2828	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment	1
Concerns about direct and indirect effects of the development of sites H31, H32, H334, H455 and H659 on LGS3.		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p> <p>Comments relate to the impact of development on the site and not designation as a Local Geological Site. See council's response to allocations H31, H32, H334, H455 and H659.</p>				
<b>LGS4</b>	Beaumont Park Local Geological Site, Huddersfield, No Representations received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment	
		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>				
<b>LGS5</b>	Johnson Wellfield Quarries Local Geological Site, Huddersfield No Representations received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment	
		<p>No change.</p>				

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

		<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>			
<b>LGS6</b>	Old Lindley Moor Local Geological Site, Huddersfield,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>			
<b>LGS7</b>	Butterley Cutting Local Geological Site, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>			
<b>LGS8</b>	Pule Hill Edge Quarry Local Geological Site, Marsden, DLP_AD11096	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
Site name is incorrect. West Yorkshire Geology Trust have recently discovered that the quarry designated as an LGS is called Pule Edge Quarry, Marsden.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Site name corrected to Pule Edge Quarry Local Geological Site, Marsden.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>			
<b>LGS9</b>	March Haigh & Buckstones Local Geological Site, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.</p>			
<b>LGS10</b>	Clough Head Quarry Local Geological Site, Slaithwaite DLP_AD11095	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
		<p>No change.</p>			

Summary of comments

Correct site name is Clough HEAD Quarry, Slaithwaite.

Council Response

Site name corrected to Clough Head Quarry, Slaithwaite.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

<b>LGS11</b>	Cliffe Woods Park Quarry Local Geological Site, Clayton West,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	---	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

<b>LGS12</b>	Longwood Edge Quarry Local Geological Site, Huddersfield,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	---	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

<b>LGS13</b>	Brockholes & Round Wood Local Geological Site, Brockholes,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	--	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

No change.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

<b>LGS14</b>	Folly Dolly Falls Local Geological Site, Meltham, DLP_AD11094	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
--------------	--	---------	-----------------------	--------	------------

Folly Dolly Falls, Meltham LGS is the correct name.

No change.

Site name corrected to Folly Dolly Falls, Meltham.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

<b>LGS15</b>	Digley Quarries Local Geological Site, Holmbridge,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
--------------	--	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

Summary of comments

Council Response

No Representations received

No change.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

**LGS16** Scar Hole Quarry Local Geological Site, Jackson Bridge,

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

**LGS17** Burton Dene Quarry Local Geological Site

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

**LGS18** Hartley Bank Quarry Local Geological Site, Thunderbridge,  
DLP\_AD11093

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

Hartley Bank Quarry is usually referred to as Hartley Bank Quarry, Thunderbridge LGS

No change.

Site name corrected to Hartley Bank Quarry Local Geological Site.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

**LGS19** Upper & Lower Stone Woods Local Geological Site, Stocksmoor,  
DLP\_AD33

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

Correct site name to Upper and Lower Stone Woods, Stocksmoor.

No change.

Site name corrected to Upper and Lower Stone Woods, Stocksmoor.

This site was proposed as an accepted Local Geological Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.

The site meets the guidelines for the identification and selection of Local Geological Sites in West Yorkshire. It can be demonstrated that the site is of education, historic, aesthetic and/or scientific value. As such, this site has been approved as a Local Geological Site by the West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership.

Summary of comments

Council Response

**LGS20** Bannister Edge Local Geological Site, Meltham, Holmfirth,

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

Site should have been included, although it is also in the Peak National Park.

No change.

Comments noted.

This Local Geological Site is not shown on the Kirklees Local Plan as it is within the Peak District National Park and not within the Kirklees Local Planning Authority area.

---

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>Local Wildlife Site</b>					
<b>LWS1</b>	Dogloitch Wood, Shaw Cross	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>			
<b>LWS2</b>	Dunn Wood, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>			
<b>LWS3</b>	Scargill Wood, Woodkirk, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>			
<b>LWS4</b>	Soothill Wood, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>			
<b>LWS5</b>	Cockleshaw Wood, East Bierley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1, Wd3 and Wd5).</p>			
<b>LWS6</b>	Oakwell Local Nature Reserve, Birstall DLP_AD5847, DLP_AD10768, DLP_AD10769, DLP_AD10770	Support 3	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
<p>Support for designation of LWS6 as a Local Wildlife Site. Request to extend site to add a cycle/walkway corridor, old golf course (site UGS966) and site H761. The owls and hawks from Oakwell already use the mature trees in the old houses on High Street etc to hunt this area and it is necessary for the survival of multiple mating couples.</p>		<p>Proposed change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as a rejected Local Wildlife Site. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site.</p> <p>However, please note a larger Local Wildlife Site LWS6a is proposed to be accepted.</p> <p>The reasons for change are this site is proposed to be extended to include a cycle/walkway corridor (see LWS6a).</p>			



Summary of comments	Council Response					
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>Support noted.</p> <p>Proposed change.</p> <p>This is a new site generated through the consultation process. It is proposed as an accepted larger Local Wildlife Site. This is a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where a smaller area was proposed for Local Wildlife Site designation.</p> <p>The site has been assessed against the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation and meets the criteria for LWS designation (criteria Van12 and Mh2)</p> <p>Comments on this site are addressed in site LWS6.</p>					
<p><b>LWS7</b> Tong Moor Local Nature Reserve, East Bierley DLP_AD10771, DLP_AD10772, DLP_AD10773</p> <p>Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>3</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12).</p> <p>No comments were received on this part of the plan.</p>	Support	3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support	3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>LWS8</b> Hanging Wood, Cleckheaton</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td></td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. Boundary is extended to include LWS9.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>LWS9</b> Hanging Wood (additional) DLP_AD10774, DLP_AD10775, DLP_AD10776</p> <p>Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>3</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>Proposed change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted candidate Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It is now proposed as a rejected Local Wildlife Site as it forms part of a larger accepted Local Wildlife Site LWS8.</p> <p>The site was approved as an addition to Hanging Wood Local Wildlife Site by West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership in January. As such the boundary of Hanging Wood LWS8 has been extended to include this site.</p>	Support	3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support	3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>LWS10</b> Hunsworth Little Wood, Hunsworth</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td></td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).</p>	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>LWS11</b> Howroyd Beck Fields, Whitley Lower</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td></td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table>	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr3 and Gr5).			
<b>LWS12</b>	Sparrow Wood, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12).			
<b>LWS13</b>	Lower Spen Local Nature Reserve, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12).			
<b>LWS14</b>	Briery Bank Wood, Lower Hopton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).			
<b>LWS15</b>	Covey Clough Wood, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5 and Wd3).			
<b>LWS16</b>	Gregory Spring Wood, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd5).			
<b>LWS17</b>	Jordan Wood & Oliver Wood, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).			
<b>LWS18</b>	Liley Wood, Lower Hopton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd5).			
<b>LWS19</b>	Sunny Bank Ponds Local Nature Reserve, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12).			
<b>LWS20</b>	Whitley Wood, Lower Hopton (inc. Hagg Wood)	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).			
<b>LWS21</b>	Arkenley Lane, Almondbury	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD11078		No change.			
Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		Support noted.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr3 and Gr5).			
<b>LWS22</b>	Castle Hill, Huddersfield	Support 2	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD903, DLP_AD11079		No change.			
Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site. This emphasises the special character of the site which must be protected. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12).			
<b>LWS23</b>	Gawthorpe Lower Wood, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>LWS24</b> DLP_AD2830	Lepton Great Wood, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment 1
Concerns about direct and indirect effects of the development of sites H31, H32, H334, H455 and H659 on LWS24.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Comments relate to the impact of development on the site and not designation as a Local Wildlife Site. See response to allocations H31, H32, H334, H455 and H659.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1, Wd3 and Wd5).</p> <p>No comments were received on this part of the plan.</p>			
<b>LWS25</b>	Wakefield Road, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>Proposed change.</p> <p>This site is a proposed rejected Local Wildlife Site. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as a candidate Local Wildlife Site.</p> <p>The reasons for change are the site has been surveyed and assessed for Local Wildlife Site designation but does not meet the criteria.</p>			
<b>LWS26</b> DLP_AD962	Grimescar Wood, Birkby	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation of this woodland as a Local Wildlife Site.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).</p>			
<b>LWS27</b>	Lower Fell Greave Wood, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>Proposed change.</p> <p>This site is a proposed rejected Local Wildlife Site. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as a candidate Local Wildlife Site.</p> <p>The reasons for change are the site has been surveyed and assessed for Local Wildlife Site designation but does not meet the criteria.</p>			
<b>LWS28</b>	Dean Wood, Netherton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd1).</p>			
<b>LWS29</b>	Delves Wood & Butter Nab Spring, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p>			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).			
<b>LWS30</b>	Dalton Bank Local Nature Reserve, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12).			
<b>LWS31</b>	Laneside Quarry, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria AR2 and AR3 ).			
<b>LWS32</b>	Huddersfield Broad Canal (Sir John Ramsden Canal), Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12 and Sw5).			
<b>LWS33</b>	Round Wood, Waterloo	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. Site extended to include LWS34.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).			
<b>LWS34</b>	Round Wood (Addition), Waterloo	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted candidate Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It is now proposed as a rejected Local Wildlife Site as it forms part of a larger accepted Local Wildlife Site LWS33.			
		The site was approved as an addition to Round Wood Local Wildlife Site by West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership in January. As such the boundary of Round Wood LWS33 has been extended to include this site.			
<b>LWS35</b>	Gledholt Woods Local Nature Reserve, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD384		No change.			
Site boundary is incorrect as it includes part of the garden of 45 Heaton Road. Suspect this is based on an old map. The land is owned freehold and separated from the LNR by a fence and a hedge.		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. Boundary amended to exclude garden extension to 45 Heaton Road.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria (Van12).			
		No comments were received on this part of the plan.			
<b>LWS36</b>	Long Hill Plantation, Lowerhouses	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).			
<b>LWS37</b>	Park Wood, Berry Brow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).			
<b>LWS38</b>	Upper Park Wood Local Nature Reserve, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van12).			
<b>LWS39</b>	Drop Clough, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Gr4).			
<b>LWS40</b>	Holme Bank Wood, Marden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		This Local Wildlife Site is not shown on the Kirklees Local Plan as it is within the Peak District National Park and not within the Kirklees Local Planning Authority area.			
<b>LWS41</b>	Huddersfield Narrow Canal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Van11 and Sw1).			
<b>LWS42</b>	Low Westwood Pond, Linthwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Sw1).</p>
<b>LWS43</b>	Naze Top Wood, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				<p>This Local Wildlife Site is not shown on the Kirklees Local Plan as it is within the Peak District National Park and not within the Kirklees Local Planning Authority area.</p>
<b>LWS44</b>	Shaw Wood, Outlane	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd5).</p>
<b>LWS45</b>	Blacker Wood, Scissett	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).</p>
<b>LWS46</b>	Deffer Woods, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd4).</p>
<b>LWS47</b>	Denby Delph, Upper Denby	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD5330					
	Support for designations as Local Wildlife Site.				<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr3 and Mh2).</p>
<b>LWS48</b>	High Bridge Wood, Scissett	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).</p>

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>LWS49</b>	Kirkby Wood, Flockton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).			
<b>LWS50</b>	Lower Jane Well, Upper Cumberworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria G1, Gr3 and Gr5).			
<b>LWS51</b>	Park Gate Dyke, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria G1).			
<b>LWS52</b>	Riding Wood, Clayton West	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. Boundary extended to include site LWS53.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd5).			
<b>LWS53</b>	Riding Wood, Clayton West (additional)	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted candidate Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It is now proposed as a rejected Local Wildlife Site as it forms part of a larger accepted Local Wildlife Site LWS52.			
		The site was approved as an addition to Riding Wood Local Wildlife Site by West Yorkshire Local Sites Partnership in January. As such the boundary of Riding Wood LWS52 has been extended to include this site.			
<b>LWS54</b>	Turpin Hill, Upper Cumberworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria G1 and Gr4).			
<b>LWS55</b>	Bank Wood, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			



## Summary of comments

## Council Response

					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).</p>
<b>LWS56</b>	Cliff Wood, Brockholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd5).</p>
<b>LWS57</b>	Hagg Wood, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).</p>
<b>LWS58</b>	Hall Heys Wood, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1, Wd3 and Wd5).</p>
<b>LWS59</b>	Hey Wood and West Wood, Farnley Tyas	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD11081		No change.			
Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		Support noted.			
					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>
<b>LWS60</b>	Honley Wood, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd3).</p>
<b>LWS61</b>	Round Wood, Brockholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
					<p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains</p>

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd3).			
<b>LWS62</b>	Spring Wood, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1, Wd3 and Wd6).			
<b>LWS63</b>	Carr Green Meadows, Holmbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr1 and Gr5).			
		No comments were received on this part of the plan			
<b>LWS64</b>	Digley Reservoir& Marsden Clough, Holmbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Mh2).			
		No comments were received on this part of the plan			
<b>LWS65</b>	Holme House Grasslands, New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr1).			
<b>LWS66</b>	Holme House Wood, New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd3).			
<b>LWS67</b>	Holmroyd Wood, Netherthong	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd5).			
<b>LWS68</b>	Malkin House Wood, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).			
<b>LWS69</b>	Morton Wood, Hepworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd5).			
<b>LWS70</b>	New Laith Fields, Holmbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr1, Gr3 and Gr5).			
<b>LWS71</b>	Rakes Wood, Hepworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd5).			
<b>LWS72</b>	Wild Boar Clough, Hade Edge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr3).			
<b>LWS73</b>	Yateholme Reservoirs & Plantations, Holme	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3, Mh3, Fe4, Fe6 and Mo1).			

Summary of comments		Council Response				
<b>LWS74</b> DLP_AD11092	Allen Wood, Shelley	Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd3).</p>				
<b>LWS75</b> DLP_AD11082	Almondbury Common Woods, Huddersfield	Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>				
<b>LWS76</b> DLP_AD11083	Arthur Wood, Huddersfield	Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>				
<b>LWS77</b>	Birks Wood, Stocksmoor	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).</p>				
<b>LWS78</b> DLP_AD5328	Brown's Knoll Meadows	Support	1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Supports identification and inclusion of the site in the schedule of Local Wildlife Sites.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr1, Gr3, Wd1, Wd5 and Mh2).</p>				

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>LWS79</b> DLP_AD11084	Carr Wood, Huddersfield	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd5).</p>			
<b>LWS80</b>	Clough Wood, Stocks Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).</p>			
<b>LWS81</b> DLP_AD11090	Gelder Wood, Kirkburton	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3).</p>			
<b>LWS82</b>	Stocks Moor Grasslands, Stocks Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>Proposed change.</p> <p>This site is a proposed rejected Local Wildlife Site. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as a candidate Local Wildlife Site.</p> <p>The reasons for change are the site has been surveyed and assessed for Local Wildlife Site designation but does not meet the grassland criteria.</p>			
<b>LWS83</b>	Hutchin Wood, Houses Hill, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd5).</p>			
<b>LWS84</b>	Lumb House, Stocks Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p>			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr3, Gr4 and Fe3).			
<b>LWS85</b> DLP_AD11085	Molly Carr Wood, Kirkburton	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		No change. Support noted. This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).			
<b>LWS86</b> DLP_AD11080	Roaf Woods, Kirkburton	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site. Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.		No change. Support noted. This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1).			
<b>LWS87</b> DLP_AD11091	Shelley Wood	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site.		No change. Support noted. This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd1 and Wd3).			
<b>LWS88</b> DLP_AD11089	Shepley Mill Wood, Shelley	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site.		No change. Support noted. This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted. The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd5).			
<b>LWS89</b> DLP_AD3427	Springs Wood, Skelmanthorpe	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Local Wildlife Site.		Proposed change. This site is a proposed rejected Local Wildlife Site. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as a candidate Local Wildlife Site. The reasons for change are the site has been not been surveyed and assessed for Local Wildlife Site			

Summary of comments

Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response
<p><b>LWS90</b> Thunderbridge Meadows, Thunderbridge DLP_AD11086</p>	<p>designation as accesspermission not given. There is therefore no justification for designation as a Local Wildlife Site at this time.</p>
<p>Supports identification and inclusion of the site in the schedule of Local Wildlife Sites.</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support Object No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria WGr3 and Gr4).</p>
<p><b>LWS91</b> Upper &amp; Lower Stone Wood, Shepley DLP_AD11087</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support Object No Comment</p>
<p>Supports identification and inclusion of the site in the schedule of Local Wildlife Sites.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Wd1).</p>
<p><b>LWS92</b> Woodview Meadows (Range Dike), Farnley Tyas DLP_AD7527, DLP_AD11088</p>	<p>Support 2 Conditional Support Object No Comment</p>
<p>Support for protection as Local Wildlife Site and inclusion of the site in the schedule of Local Wildlife Sites. . Will be of particular benefit to the surroundings and setting of Castle Hill.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Gr3, Gr4 and Mh2).</p>
<p><b>LWS93</b> Yew Tree Wood, Shepley</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object No Comment</p>
<p>No Representations received</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>This site was proposed as an accepted Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site meets the criteria for Local Wildlife Site designation (criteria Wd3 and Vp3).</p>

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Ancient Monuments**

<b>SM0069</b>	Close Gate Bridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM00158</b>	Cambodunum Roman Camp, Slack	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM00475</b>	Medieval Ironstone Pits S. Of Bentley Grange, Emley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM01185</b>	Turn Bridge, Quay Street, Hudds	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM01205</b>	Late Prehistoric Enclosed Settlement On Oldfield Hill, 340m Ne Of Wentworth Farm, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM01225</b>	Netherhall Barn, Rawthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM10383</b>	Shaft Head And Associated Headgear Near Caphouse Colliery, Overton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM13286</b>	Crosland Lower Hall Moated Site, South Crosland	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM13289</b>	Thornhill hall moat and sites of formal gardens and bowling green, and remnant of pre-17th century open field system, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM13295</b>	Castle Hall Hill Motte And Bailey Castle, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM13297</b>	Castle Hill: Slight Univallate Hillfort, Small Multivallate Hillfort, Motte And Bailey Castle And Deserted Village, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>SM23375</b>	Anglian high cross fragment known as walton Cross	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM23379</b>	Standing Cross at Emley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM23380</b>	Market Cross Highburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM29899</b>	Grimscar Roman Tilery	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM30961</b>	Emley Day Holes 200m E Of Churchill Farm	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM31495</b>	Late Prehistoric Enclosed Settlement Known As The Old Bull Ring 500m N Of Meal Hill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM31503</b>	Castle Hill, Iron Age Hillfort, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM31504</b>	Prehistoric Earth Works In Hagg Wood, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM31505</b>	Prehistoric Cairns And Earthworks In Honley Old Wood, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM31506</b>	Cairnfield In Slate Pits Wood 170m North West Of Oak Cottage	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					
<b>SM31507</b>	Enclosure On Royd Edge, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received					

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>Conservation Area</b>				
<b>CA1</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA3</b> DLP_AD4493	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
Holmfirth Conservation Area is 'at risk' so this must be addressed so the built environment is preserved and enhanced not allowed to deteriorate further. This is important economically as well since Holmfirth is a tourist honeypot in Kirklees.	Comment noted. Amendments/updates to the status of a Conservation Area is dealt with by separate legislation and is not within the remit of the development plan.			
<b>CA4</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA5</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA7</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA8</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA9</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA10</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA11</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA12</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>CA13</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA14</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA15</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA16</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA17</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA18</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA19</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA20</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA21</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA22</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA23</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA24</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>CA25</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA26</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA27</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA28</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA29</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA30</b> DLP_AD10786, DLP_AD10787, DLP_AD10788 General support comments.	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA31</b> DLP_AD10975 Proposed extension/alteration to Conservation Area boundary.	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
<b>CA32</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA33</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA34</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA35</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA36</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Support 3

Support comments noted.

Support

Comment noted. The extension of a Conservation Area is dealt with by separate legislation and is not within the remit of the development plan.

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>CA37</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA38</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA39</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA40</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA41</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA42</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA43</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA44</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA45</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA46</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA47</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>CA48</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>CA49</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA50</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA51</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA52</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA53</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA54</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA55</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA56</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA57</b> DLP_AD5846, DLP_AD10783, DLP_AD10784, DLP_AD10785, DLP_AD11102	Support 4	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
Birstall Conservation Area should include the area behind High Street on the hill top to ensure that the integrity of the landscape is preserved and guard against development that would dominate the original Birstall Town centre.  General support comments.	Comment noted. The extension of a Conservation Area is dealt with by separate legislation and is not within the remit of the development plan.			
<b>CA58</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>CA59</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				

Summary of comments

Council Response

**CA60**

Support

Conditional Support

Object

No Comment

No Representations were received

---

**Historic Battlefields**

<b>RB1</b>	Support	3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Adwalton Moor Battlefield DLP_AD11097, DLP_AD11098, DLP_AD11103					
Proposed extension of registered battlefield onto Tong Moor.					

Comment noted. The decision to amend the boundaries of the Registered Battlefield would be taken by Historic England on the advice of the Battlefields Panel and is not within the remit of the development plan to change.



## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Historic Parks and Gardens**

<b>RPG2224</b>	BRETTON HALL (part)	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------------	---------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>RPG3248</b>	BEAUMONT PARK	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------------	---------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>RPG3276</b>	GREENHEAD PARK	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------------	----------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>RPG3329</b>	CROW NEST PARK	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------------	----------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>RPG3503</b>	DEWSBURY CEMETERY	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
----------------	-------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

<b>RPG1413828</b>	KIRKLEES PARK (part)	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
-------------------	----------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations were received

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Archaeological Site**

Summary of comments	Council Response
<b>AS2/2</b> DLP_AD902	Support 1      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
General support for proposed level of protection for this iconic Huddersfield site.	Support comments noted.
<b>AS61/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	
<b>AS97/2</b> DLP_AD10777, DLP_AD10778, DLP_AD10781	Support 3      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
General support.	Support noted.
<b>AS831/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	
<b>AS876/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	
<b>AS901/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	
<b>AS906/2</b> DLP_AD10779, DLP_AD10780, DLP_AD10782	Support 3      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
General support.	Support noted.
<b>AS953/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	
<b>AS961/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	
<b>AS1144/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	
<b>AS1148/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
No Representations were received	

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>AS1150/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS1158/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS1159/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS1280/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS2207/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS2212/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS2279/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS2717/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS3157/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS3511/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS3513/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				
<b>AS3544/2</b>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received				

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>AS4245/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS4394/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS4767/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS4926/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS4965/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS5718/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6398/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6429/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6679/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6686/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6747/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6748/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>AS6887/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6888/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6895/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6913/2</b> DLP_AD910 Support for designation of this as a class 2 archaeological site AS6913/2 and request to extend designation to cover the site of two possible pre-historic cairns in Saville Wood.	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
<b>AS6916/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS7136/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS7937/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS7948/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS8033/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS8069/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS9336/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS9343/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments	Council Response			
<b>AS9344/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS10265/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS10375/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS10376/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS10377/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS10378/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS10746/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS10901/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS11705/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS11706/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS12168/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>AS12176/2</b> No Representations were received	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments

Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response
<b>AS12393/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment

No Representations were received

---

<b>AS13520/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
------------------	--

No Representations were received

---

<b>AS13573/2</b>	Support      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment
------------------	--

No Representations were received

---

**Mineral areas of search**

ME2259	Kirklees Lock, Clifton (8.5ha), DLP_AD3429, DLP_AD3433, DLP_AD5138, DLP_AD8615, DLP_AD9011, DLP_AD10380	Support 2	Conditional Support 2	Object 2	No Comment
<p>This will lead to heavy traffic and the continuous movement of heavy vehicles will leave mud on the highway causing an already high accident route to be hazardous. The flood zone area designated here is FZ3b. Only water compatible uses and essential infrastructure is permitted in this zone. However, this is a sand and gravel site, thus considered as water compatible. Noise, traffic and dust pollution from heavy vehicles.</p> <p>Risk of contamination to land used for grazing dairy cattle. ME2259 Kirklees Lock is within Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape. This is an area identified important for the enhancement of biodiversity. Therefore enhancements for biodiversity are needed, which could include a Design and management of green spaces to enhance biodiversity and Kirklees Biodiversity Action Plan. The allocations are immediately adjacent to River Calder- any ecological impacts on the River should be investigated. This area is in close proximity to Grade 2 Listed Historic Parks and Gardens and a group of Grade 2 Listed Buildings to the east of River Calder and a series of Listed Buildings along the Calder and Hebble Navigation. An assessment must be carried out in order to investigate how mineral extraction could harm these. A plan must set out measures in which harm must be mitigated. If the development is likely to harm the these assets, then these sites should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm. Negative impact on the community living and visiting the locality</p> <p>Desecration of rural landscape. This Weir (Corn Mill) is a high priority structure for improving fish passage and this should be promoted. The land designated for mineral extraction in Shelley has been designated for many years. Currently there is some clay extraction and landfill. Further consultation is required if major operations take place.</p>		<p>Proposed Change to Area of Search</p> <p>This site was accepted in the Draft Local Plan (November 2015) as a mineral extraction site. Following consultation this site option has been rejected and a minerals area of search has been accepted as the better alternative.</p> <p>Any proposal to extract mineral from this site would be subject to measures to prevent mud or debris being brought onto the highway.</p> <p>Mineral extraction is considered to be water compatible development</p> <p>Issues relating to environmental impact would be considered as part of an Environmental Impact Assessment which would be required to support any subsequent planning application.</p> <p>Section 11 of the NPPF requires that enhancement to biodiversity should be provided through the planning process. Such enhancements could be achieved through a suitable restoration scheme</p> <p>The site is relatively remote from residential properties and it is considered that the use of screen mounds and exiting/enhanced planting could mitigate the impact on the locality.</p> <p>This site does not contain a clay and shale reserve. The reserves are sand and gravel, which is now relatively scarce in Kirklees.</p>			
ME2260	Sand Mill, Earsheaton (4ha), DLP_AD8616, DLP_AD10199, DLP_AD10381	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object	No Comment
<p>There are strategic large diameter raw water mains crossing this mineral site. It is essential these mains are protected. Note there are provisions in the Water Industry Act regarding protection of infrastructure. Future developers must contact Yorkshire Water at the earliest opportunity certainly at pre- application stage to resolve any issues and to ensure that the public supply is not adversely impacted.</p> <p>The flood zone area designated here is FZ3b. Only water compatible uses and essential infrastructure is permitted in this zone. However, this is a sand and gravel site, thus considered as water compatible. ME2260 Sand Mill, Earsheaton is within Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape. This is an area identified important for the enhancement of biodiversity. Therefore enhancements for biodiversity are needed, which could include a Design and management of green spaces to enhance biodiversity and Kirklees Biodiversity Action Plan. The allocations are immediately adjacent to River Calder- any ecological impacts on the River should be investigated.</p>		<p>Comments Noted</p> <p>Proposed to reject</p>			
ME2264	Hey Royds, Wheatley Hill Lane, Scisssett, DLP_AD4484, DLP_AD8618	Support	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
<p>Site will create further traffic, including plant machinery, increasing congestion on minor roads. Dust, mud and vibration problems will be created. Extra traffic and plant machinery will create air pollution. Light pollution will be created in winter. It appears that parts of the mineral extraction allocation are within Bagden Wood Ancient Woodland. Whilst we acknowledge that such areas are small in size, any losses of ancient woodland are conducted in contradiction with Paragraph 118 of the NPPF: 'planning permission should be refused for development</p>		<p>Comments Noted</p> <p>Proposed to reject</p>			



Summary of comments

Council Response

resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland' Please see comments for H2089 for more information on impacts on Ancient Woodlands. We therefore advise that the mineral operations onsite are conducted to avoid losses of and impacts on ancient woodland. (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust)

A pastoral landscape replaced by an unsightly quarry.  
House prices will fall. Site will be considered as Brownfield after use, and therefore more likely to be developed. Tens of years will be required for the land to regenerate.

ME2314	Land north of, Cumberworth Lane, Lower Cumberworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object 13	No Comment
DLP_AD4474, DLP_AD5202, DLP_AD5205, DLP_AD5209, DLP_AD5430, DLP_AD5817, DLP_AD5996, DLP_AD6142, DLP_AD6153, DLP_AD6216, DLP_AD6263, DLP_AD7805, DLP_AD9015					

road network is already overburdened with slow heavy lorries. Will be a hazard to children walking to school. Cumberworth Lane has an inadequate footpath and is too narrow for two large vehicles to pass, and it is unsuitable for widening. Cause loss to public rights of way.  
Noise, dust and vibrations as well as floodlighting will adversely affect the local community.  
Wildlife left to endure the permanent damage not only to the landscape but the lasting effects on the health of the local villages. It will lead to a loss of hedgerows.  
Lane Side House is a Grade II listed building. Quarrying could harm elements which contribute towards its significance. Evidence Base for the plan needs an assessment of what contribution this area makes to those elements and what effect the quarrying might have upon them. Special regard should be had to the desirability of preserving listed buildings or their setting etc. and that should be assessed now and not just at application stage. The Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced. If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134).  
There are published studies that clearly associate respiratory problems and pneumoconiosis with exposure to airborne particles that quarrying creates.

Comments Noted  
proposed to reject

Agricultural land will be destroyed. Land will be taken out of Green Belt contrary to NPPF 79 and 80. It will erode the space keeping villages separate.  
These sites, and other Mineral Extraction Sites represent a major over provision for mineral extraction during the period for which the Plan will be operative.. It will take decades for the site to be regenerated.  
The quarry will be unsightly. There is a high concentration of quarry sites being proposed in our local community of Upper Cumberworth and surrounding area. The location of these quarries will create an imbalance to the detriment of our area, with homes ultimately being enveloped by the quarry sites. The loss of large areas of the countryside will destroy the wildlife habitat as well as the ancient footpaths and bridle ways which traverse valuable agricultural land.  
The loss of valuable open space and the harm caused to local communities will not be outweighed by the marginal benefit that quarrying will bring.  
the character of Upper and Lower Cumberworth would be destroyed.  
The allocations are far in excess of NPPF (para 145) supply timescale requirements for mineral extraction  
The site might become Brownfield for later development. The local economy will suffer if walkers and cyclists stopped visiting. Oes not comely with para 7 of NPPF - it does not represent sustainable development as it serves no social role as the allocation is not well serviced and does not support the health, social or cultural wellbeing of the current or future community. There is increasing demand for food production and the loss of farm land this represents is not sustainable.  
The stability of local homes may also be jeopardised. Too close to dwellings.

**Minerals Extraction Site**

<b>ME1965</b>	Appleton Quarry, Park Head Lane, Haddingley	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object 206	No Comment
DLP_AD252, DLP_AD1652, DLP_AD1659, DLP_AD2395, DLP_AD2404, DLP_AD2406, DLP_AD2420, DLP_AD2422, DLP_AD2423, DLP_AD2430, DLP_AD2433, DLP_AD2469, DLP_AD2600, DLP_AD2613, DLP_AD2615, DLP_AD2638, DLP_AD2639, DLP_AD2646, DLP_AD2647, DLP_AD2684, DLP_AD2691, DLP_AD2730, DLP_AD2731, DLP_AD2744, DLP_AD2747, DLP_AD2762, DLP_AD2806, DLP_AD2809, DLP_AD2932, DLP_AD2977, DLP_AD3031, DLP_AD3035, DLP_AD3037, DLP_AD3040, DLP_AD3093, DLP_AD3191, DLP_AD3192, DLP_AD3305, DLP_AD3306, DLP_AD3313, DLP_AD3391, DLP_AD3392, DLP_AD3397, DLP_AD3401, DLP_AD3406, DLP_AD3434, DLP_AD3488, DLP_AD3511, DLP_AD3576, DLP_AD3606, DLP_AD3670, DLP_AD3742, DLP_AD3781, DLP_AD3787, DLP_AD3791, DLP_AD3802, DLP_AD3805, DLP_AD3837, DLP_AD3883, DLP_AD3894, DLP_AD3989, DLP_AD3992, DLP_AD4063, DLP_AD4118, DLP_AD4126, DLP_AD4127, DLP_AD4133, DLP_AD4140, DLP_AD4143, DLP_AD4150, DLP_AD4159, DLP_AD4162, DLP_AD4174, DLP_AD4175, DLP_AD4226, DLP_AD4248, DLP_AD4250, DLP_AD4426, DLP_AD4432, DLP_AD4441, DLP_AD4443, DLP_AD4447, DLP_AD4448, DLP_AD4449, DLP_AD4482, DLP_AD4579, DLP_AD4612, DLP_AD4649, DLP_AD4716, DLP_AD4729, DLP_AD4733, DLP_AD4747, DLP_AD4760, DLP_AD4762, DLP_AD4796, DLP_AD4804, DLP_AD4821, DLP_AD4832, DLP_AD4928, DLP_AD4934, DLP_AD4938, DLP_AD4941, DLP_AD4965, DLP_AD4969, DLP_AD4970, DLP_AD4972, DLP_AD4973, DLP_AD4975, DLP_AD4983, DLP_AD4995, DLP_AD5004, DLP_AD5020, DLP_AD5053, DLP_AD5056, DLP_AD5083, DLP_AD5085, DLP_AD5090, DLP_AD5100, DLP_AD5106, DLP_AD5117, DLP_AD5151, DLP_AD5178, DLP_AD5179, DLP_AD5195, DLP_AD5207, DLP_AD5247, DLP_AD5253, DLP_AD5265, DLP_AD5274, DLP_AD5282, DLP_AD5283, DLP_AD5284, DLP_AD5312, DLP_AD5359, DLP_AD5365, DLP_AD5640, DLP_AD5642, DLP_AD5658, DLP_AD5707, DLP_AD5720, DLP_AD5779, DLP_AD5861, DLP_AD5862, DLP_AD6003, DLP_AD6140, DLP_AD6249, DLP_AD6942, DLP_AD7081, DLP_AD7602, DLP_AD7603, DLP_AD7659, DLP_AD7751, DLP_AD7808, DLP_AD7854, DLP_AD7914, DLP_AD7915, DLP_AD7916, DLP_AD7920, DLP_AD7928, DLP_AD7933, DLP_AD7995, DLP_AD8075, DLP_AD8219, DLP_AD8302, DLP_AD8305, DLP_AD8307, DLP_AD8314, DLP_AD8320, DLP_AD8497, DLP_AD9096, DLP_AD9174, DLP_AD9213, DLP_AD9223, DLP_AD9331, DLP_AD9332, DLP_AD9339, DLP_AD9357, DLP_AD9366, DLP_AD9378, DLP_AD9379, DLP_AD9384, DLP_AD9403, DLP_AD9438, DLP_AD9455, DLP_AD9510, DLP_AD9514, DLP_AD9533, DLP_AD9543, DLP_AD9545, DLP_AD9597, DLP_AD9831, DLP_AD10070, DLP_AD10071, DLP_AD10087, DLP_AD10096, DLP_AD10099, DLP_AD10255, DLP_AD10259, DLP_AD10490, DLP_AD10491, DLP_AD10493, DLP_AD10577, DLP_AD10644, DLP_AD10647, DLP_AD10859, DLP_AD10869, DLP_AD11001, DLP_AD11063					
Road safety issues due to increased traffic. Congestion in the area will increase. Lorries currently cause problems, the situation will get worse. The use of five lane ends junction, Carr Hill Road and junction at sovereign garage will increase.		Proposed change			
-Parkhead Lane to Cumberworth Lane, leading to the A629 - very narrow with poor visibility -Dearndike Lane to Broadstone Road to Birds Edge Lane to the A629 - very narrow -Dearndike Lane to Broadstone Road to Windmill Lane to the A629 - very narrow -The cross roads near the Sovereign Pub/Co-op petrol station (5 points where traffic converge onto the A629, Not to mention the exit from the Co-op which exits onto Barnsley Road which people also use to get onto the A629 -The cross-roads where Wall Nook Lane, haddingly Lane, Dearne Dike Lane, Park Head Lane and Piper Wells Lane converge		The original ME 1965 allocation has been split into two separate allocations to reflect the fact the sites are not physically linked.			
Roads are inadequate for quarry traffic. Cycling groups, walkers and horse riders use this area, their safety will be jeopardised. Congestion is bad enough with people avoiding the A629, quarry traffic is not needed. Inadequate surrounding roads, risk of road collapsing. Fatalities at the end of the village, Birds Edge Lane. NPPF states that infrastructure should be in place before a project is extended, no plans in place to improve Sovereign Junction. Quarry traffic is unable to use Piper Wells Lane, this will result in further congestion on Site allocation meets the river Dearne, development of quarry will potentially interfere with the water course and water table. This may cause flooding. Concerns that ground works will cause ground movement causing damage to pipe work. Area prone to flooding when water table rises, cellars on Park Head flood to high levels. Village will be a less desirable place to live due to noise from construction/blasting and traffic. Prevailing wind (west to east) will blow dust towards residential properties. Air quality would be compromised. Local school children will be affected when outside. Increase in fumes from machines and commuters to the site. Negative impact on health. Will destroy wildlife; bats, great crested newts, frogs, birds, ducks, hares, deer, badgers and herons near the water treatment works. Noise will impact wildlife. Mineral site will impact the conservation area located next to the water treatment works. Site is surrounded by several sites that form the habitat network. Loss of versatile agricultural land. Flora and fauna will be affected. Round wood designation ignored, trees undercut and roots damaged. Development may pollute watercourses. Watercourses feed into mill ponds used by local businesses. (Z Hinchliffe and Sons) Land around Round Wood is of archaeological and historical interest. PROW within 200m of the quarry		Sufficient evidence supplied to meet the requirements of the NPPG. Constraints identified can be appropriately mitigated.			
		Transport - Acknowledged that this could lead to more heavy vehicles using highways in the vicinity of Carr Hill Road junction. However it is considered that subject to highway improvements access can be satisfactorily achieved.			
		Flood Risk/Drainage - development of the site would be subject to comprehensive hydrological and hydrogeological surveys which would detail any likely impact on local water regimes and any mitigation required. The site operator would be required to protect any water infra-structure crossing the site			
		Env. Health - Comments noted, however it is considered that potential impacts associated with noise and air quality could be satisfactorily mitigated against. Blasting is precluded at all sites in Kirklees at present and is unlikely to be required at this site.			
		Biodiversity - the site is not particularly sensitive with regard to its ecological value, although a wildlife site is proposed immediately to the south and is not classed as the best or most versatile agricultural land. It is considered that the provision of buffer zones and the use of progressive site restoration would mitigate any impact. Final site restoration is likely to provide an opportunity to enhance local biodiversity. Any subsequent planning application would need to be supported by a full Environmental Impact Assessment.			
		Historic Environment - the site does not contain any registered heritage assets. However, any subsequent planning permission is likely to require that archaeological survey work is carried out prior to mineral extraction taking place.			
		Open Space - No public rights of way cross the site and the use of screen mounds/planting could be employed to reduce any impact on the experience of PROWs in the vicinity of the site			
		Green Belt - Current policy guidance contained in Section 9 of the National Planning Policy Framework indicates mineral extraction is appropriate development within the Green Belt subject to the openness of the green belt not being detrimentally affected.			
		Landscape - Part of the existing Appleton quarry are currently under restoration and the disturbed footprint of			

Summary of comments

Green belt should be protected to prevent encroachment and for future generations to enjoy. Site is prime green belt land.  
 Will have lasting effects on the green belt  
 No special circumstances to warrant mineral extraction.  
 Quarry is already an eyesore  
 Site has high landscape value  
 Topography of the site means green belt land rise 280m at Park Head to 315m at Dearne Dike Lane  
 Development will have a negative impact on quality of life.  
 Birds edge is a small rural village.  
 Quarry will have a negative impact on the character of the village  
 Will cause a loss of visual amenity for local residents. Village hall and school will be affected.  
 Contravenes Human Rights act - allows for peaceful enjoyment of property. (Article 8 and 1 of the first protocol)  
 Will void house insurance for nearby dwellings.  
 Devaluation of property prices.  
 Land cannot be used for anything other than agricultural, Parkhead has two agricultural tied houses and land.  
 Site is 5m from some property boundaries.  
 Underground storage reservoir located at Ruby Wood water treatment plant. Ruby Wood contains the source of the river Dearne  
 Telephone line on site, what disruption will this cause? Will they have to be relocated?  
 Possibility of subsidence issues  
 Close proximity to residential properties - southern boundary barely 200m from garden walls. Buffer zone does not match that stated in the DLP, breaches own policies.  
 No buffer zone between dwellings at Dearne Grange and proposed site. Size of the quarry is unsuitable for the location.  
 Close proximity to village school.  
 Inappropriate size in comparison to village.  
 Area needs new housing to support village school, village hall, chapel and local residents. Development will decrease house values within area.  
 ME1965 being located in two areas is confusing  
 Alternative locations must be available and will be more suitable.  
 Mineral market is at a low. 27 years' worth of reserves for crushed rock, site not needed to fulfil this.  
 Residents at Dearne Dyke Lane will be particularly affected.  
 Already sufficient land bank set aside – this site is unnecessary  
 Protect tourism  
 None compliance with objectives and policies of the LDP – in particular policies 1, 2, 6, 7, 10, 19, 20, 21, 25, 30, 31, 32, 33, 48, 51, 52, 55, 38, and 39  
 Contravenes NPPF  
 Two separate site causes confusion, site should have separate references.  
 Quarry was worked prior to the creation of the green belt in the 1960's. Existing quarry is half the size of ME1965. Permission granted to extract a further 2.1 hectares in 2007, yet to begin. Given this time frame it take 8 years to extract 2.1 hectares of ground, using this example ME1965 could take 100 years to extract. Permission extended twice (2015/93832) site should be cleared and restored to amenity woodland within 6 years.  
 ME1965 needs removing to meet Vision of the draft plan.  
 Quarry currently operates outside of designated hours.  
 Objection from local cllr

Site supported from site promoter.

**ME1968** Crosland Edge, Off Arborary Lane, Meltham  
 DLP\_AD4488, DLP\_AD4666, DLP\_AD9017

Slow moving traffic will increase traffic congestion. Large lorries would increase traffic problems in Honley. Problems with dust, vibration and mud on roads will arise. Noise and air pollution will be created. Light pollution in the winter months will be created.

Council Response

the quarry will therefore reduce. The development of this area could be linked to satisfactory additional restoration at Appleton.

Place Shaping - Quarrying in this area has taken place for more than a century and is therefore part of the historic character of this part of the district. It is considered that measures could be employed to reduce any associated impact on the village of Birds Edge.

Any subsequent planning permission would be subject to the provision of adequate standoff distances from residential properties to reduce any associated impact. This would mean that a substantial proportion of the proposed allocation would not be worked for mineral. This would therefore reduce the overall size of the disturbed area and this could be further reduced by the phased working of the site combined with progressive restoration. The use of screen works and planting would help to mitigate the visual impact of the development with regard to nearby residential properties. Potential effects on house values and house insurance costs are not planning considerations and any legal arrangements tying properties to the agricultural use of land would be matters resolved by the potential site operator and land owner. Issues raised suggesting that the subsequent working of the site for mineral would breach Article 8 of the human Rights Act are not correct. Any utility infra-structure crossing the site would need to be protected or relocated prior to mineral extraction taking place.

Minerals have to be extracted from where they are located. Evidence has been provided by the site promoter that mineral reserves are present and in viable quantities at this site. Whilst minerals supply has been lower in recent years due to the down turn in the economy, demand had begun to increase. Kirklees must produce satisfactory plan for minerals until 2030. It is accepted that it would take several years to work this site and may require additional time to complete as the rate of minerals extraction is demand led. However, any planning permission would require the phased extraction of the site and progressive restoration so reducing the overall disturbed footprint.

Previous breaches of planning conditions cannot be used to justify the rejection of a proposed allocation.

ME1968	Crosland Edge, Off Arborary Lane, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	2	No Comment
DLP_AD4488, DLP_AD4666, DLP_AD9017		Comments Noted					
Slow moving traffic will increase traffic congestion. Large lorries would increase traffic problems in Honley. Problems with dust, vibration and mud on roads will arise. Noise and air pollution will be created. Light pollution in the winter months will be created.		Proposed to exclude the site					

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

The site is too close to the protected Honley Woods.

There a number of Grade II Listed Buildings to the east of this site, the closest of which would be less than 90 metres from the site's western edge. The area lies 650 metres from the edge of the South Crosland Conservation Area and about 315 metres from the edge of Helme Conservation Area. Mineral extraction could harm elements which contribute towards the significance of these assets. In order to demonstrate that the identification of this allocation is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of the designated heritage assets in its vicinity and what effect the proposed development might have upon them. (Historic England)  
Concerned it could later be used for landfill.

Pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry. Will be a blot on the landscape.

Objection to site as it opposes draft local plan policies to protect rural character. House prices will fall. Land will take tens of years to regenerate. Once finished, the quarry could become landfill. The land will become Brownfield and therefore more likely to be developed in the future.

**ME2240** Wellfield Quarry, Crosland Moor

DLP\_AD569, DLP\_AD7072

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No Change

Concern about significant landscape impact, including the Peak District National Park.

Support for allocation to sustain future growth of local business. Concern that policies and allocations relating to the location and scope of quarry operations are far too market driven and wholly dependent on the voluntary co-operation of quarry operators.

Comments Noted - Active mineral working, therefore all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures implemented.

**ME2241** Waterholes Quarry, Crosland Moor, Huddersfield,

DLP\_AD573, DLP\_AD7073

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No Change

Concern about significant landscape impact, including the Peak District National Park.

Support for allocation as its supports the local economy and a local business. Concern that policies and allocations relating to the location and scope of quarry operations are far too market driven and wholly dependent on the voluntary co-operation of quarry operators.

Comments Noted - Active mineral working, therefore all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures implemented.

**ME2242** Moorfield Quarry, Crosland Moor, Huddersfield,

DLP\_AD574, DLP\_AD7071

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No Change

Unacceptable impact on landscape in particular areas relating to Honley Moor and Crosland Edge.

The delivery may potentially not be sufficient to protect communities and the environment throughout the lifetime of the plan.

The allocation of Morrfield Quarry is of key importance to sustaining the future growth of this leading local business.

Comments Noted - Active mineral working, therefore all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures implemented.

Other sites such as Birdsedge, Shepley, Shelley, Skelmanthorpe, Denby Dale and Cumberworth have been disregarded for multiple quarry sites.

**ME2243** Appleton Quarry, Shepley,

DLP\_AD1660, DLP\_AD2807, DLP\_AD2821, DLP\_AD4412, DLP\_AD4479, DLP\_AD4735, DLP\_AD4797, DLP\_AD5126, DLP\_AD5175, DLP\_AD5183, DLP\_AD5665, DLP\_AD9359, DLP\_AD10440, DLP\_AD10868

Support Conditional Support Object 14 No Comment

No change

Sections of road at Piper Wells have started to subside. Increased traffic will be a danger to accident prone roads e.g. Penistone Road and its junction at the Sovereign. Will be a danger to school children. Area is popular with cyclists and walkers and will present a danger to them. Birdsedge has a cycle race every summer and regular meetings by the local cycle club. Local horse riding will also suffer. Existing roads are not wide enough for additional lorries. There was a bad accident at the junction of Windmill Lane and Broadstone Road in 2010 which is close to this site. The junction of Cumberworth Lane and the A629 suffers poor visibility and is congested at times. Quarry traffic already uses Carr Hill Road/Cumberworth Lane even though such roads were not designed for heavy goods vehicles.

There are many aquifers within the vicinity and the proposed quarry will affect these and divert water from

Comments noted:

However, this site is now an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.

Summary of comments

Council Response

wells, adversely affecting pasture land and farming in general.  
 Will cause noise and dust pollution. Traffic noise from this site is already as early as 6am. Light from floodlights during winter will cause light pollution.  
 Wildlife will suffer the due to permanent damage to the landscape.  
 The rural heritage of the area would be lost. The 25Ha of farmland south of Five Lane to Rusby Wood and Park head contains the settlements of Neolithic man and will be adversely affected. Round Wood is a historic landmark and should be taken out of this allocation.  
 There are published studies that clearly associate respiratory problems and pneumoconiosis with exposure to airborne particles that quarrying creates. Quarry itself is an extremely dangerous area and its borders should be kept much farther from school and village to avoid any accidents.  
 It has undermined trees at Round Wood. Pastoral landscape will be replaced by unsightly quarry.

Loss of green belt land in an area already 'over quarried' would be very regrettable with associated loss of wild-life etc.  
 It is big enough already. Will adversely affect visual amenity. The current quarry was supposed to be restored with trees and landscaping many years ago and has yet to see any form of reversal of the eyesore it is when viewed from Park Head Lane. We have sufficient quarrying, a wind farm in view and the water treatment works within a mile of our houses as well as many other quarries within three to four mile.  
 Regeneration will take decades. The original landscape will be ruined forever as it can never be reinstated to reflect how it currently is.  
 Local quarries have a repeatedly had their operating life extended to the detriment of the community and the landscape. The use of alternative aggregates such as construction and demolition waste should be given serious consideration to avoid the lasting negative effects on our local landscape.  
 No buffer zone to dwellings on Dearne Grange. Already too close to dwellings (and the proposal will bring it as close as 8m), the village hall and the school.  
 future use of site could become Brownfield and available for further development. The change of use from agriculture is not sustainable due to the growing population.  
 Infringement on Human Rights S.8 right to peaceful enjoyment of own home. The village of Birds Edge and the surrounding countryside epitomises all the selling of Yorkshire's beauty to the World by Sir Gary Verity and his team when bidding and hosting the Tour de France and Tour of Yorkshire races. House prices will plummet.  
 It will cause a decline in property prices and push this affluent area of Huddersfield into economic decline.  
 Future planning applications and conditions should be adhered to and enforced. The impact of the current quarry has been increased as extensions to time limits of permissions/conditions have been allowed.

<b>ME2244</b>	Sovereign Quarry, Shepley, DLP_AD4478, DLP_AD4736, DLP_AD5213, DLP_AD10371	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 3	No Comment
<p>Site will create further traffic, including plant machinery, increasing congestion.                  The allocation is within a Source Protection Zone 1/2 designated to protect a potable water supply. Our position on a mineral extraction development within SPZ1 is to object to such proposals. We note that this is currently an operational quarry, therefore a Hydrogeological Risk Assessment should be included in the ' Reports/commentary' section. (Environment Agency)                  Dust, mud and vibration problems will be created. Extra traffic and plant machinery will create air pollution. Light pollution will be created in winter. Dust will cause repertory problems.                  Wildlife and biodiversity will be damaged.</p> <p>A pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry.                  No justification of changing land from farmland to mineral extraction and quarrying. Site may be used as a refuse tip afterwards. Site will be more considered as Brownfield after use, and therefore more likely to be developed. Tens of years will be required for the land to regenerate. House prices will fall. There is a high concentration of quarries around Upper Cumberworth. All the immediate surrounding areas of Upper Cumberworth, Birds Edge, Shepley, Denby Dale and Shelley will also be affected by the proposal. There will be a detrimental loss of agricultural land.</p>		No change	<p>Comments noted:                  However, this site is an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.</p>		

<b>ME2245</b>	Whitegate Quarry, Cartworth Moor,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<p>Site is an active mineral working. Impacts and mitigated assessed via the associated planning applications.</p>					
<b>ME2246</b>	Hill House Edge Quarry, Cartworth Moor, DLP_AD1518, DLP_AD4490	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
<p>Site will create further traffic, including plant machinery, increasing congestion. Dust, mud and vibration problems will be created. Extra traffic and plant machinery will create air pollution. Light pollution will be created in winter.</p> <p>A pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry. Support for the allocation. Site may be used as a refuse tip afterwards. Site will be considered as Brownfield after use, and therefore more likely to be developed. Tens of years will be required for the land to regenerate. House prices will fall.</p>		<p>No change</p> <p>Comments noted:</p> <p>However, this site is an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.</p>			
<b>ME2247</b>	Peace Wood Quarry, Shelley, DLP_AD1543, DLP_AD3011, DLP_AD3422, DLP_AD3437, DLP_AD3489, DLP_AD3738, DLP_AD3793, DLP_AD4020, DLP_AD4028, DLP_AD4047, DLP_AD4216, DLP_AD4223, DLP_AD4322, DLP_AD4373, DLP_AD4471, DLP_AD4593, DLP_AD4608, DLP_AD4764, DLP_AD4857, DLP_AD4946, DLP_AD5014, DLP_AD5217, DLP_AD5460, DLP_AD5604, DLP_AD5682, DLP_AD5702, DLP_AD5896, DLP_AD5901, DLP_AD5936, DLP_AD6000, DLP_AD6016, DLP_AD6084, DLP_AD6147, DLP_AD6190, DLP_AD6209, DLP_AD6534, DLP_AD6700, DLP_AD6704, DLP_AD6710, DLP_AD6774, DLP_AD6776, DLP_AD6789, DLP_AD6996, DLP_AD7060, DLP_AD7180, DLP_AD7210, DLP_AD7252, DLP_AD7274, DLP_AD7292, DLP_AD7385, DLP_AD7397, DLP_AD7546, DLP_AD7803, DLP_AD8070, DLP_AD8554, DLP_AD10911	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 54	No Comment 1
<p>Local roads cannot support HGV traffic. Heavy wagons already cause problems along Hudds Road and Cumberworth Road. Problems at the junction of Hudds Road and Bark House Lane. Kirkburton cannot cope with more through traffic esp. HGVs. Impact on road infrastructure. Site is in close proximity to Shelley College, dangerous for pupils of the school in terms of highway safety. Mineral extraction will add to air, noise and traffic pollution in the area. Site is within 500m of housing and children's recreation area. Light pollution from flood lighting in the winter. Destruction of natural environment and landscape. Local wildlife will be desecrated. Fieldfares, redwings, skylarks and little owls have been spotted on this site. Process of stripping off soil and minerals will destroy sites ecology - supports many species of insects and bird life. Blasting and drilling can produce silica dust which can cause lung damage Loss of PROWs throughout the site.</p> <p>The landscape would take 10 years to recover following mineral workings. The scale of the extraction would transform rural landscape into an industrial landscape. No proof there is a need for an increase in aggregate mining. Possible use of site for infill after quarrying will cause additional disruption. House values in the area will go down. Threat to domestic pets. Quarrying goes against human right of peaceful enjoyment of own property - European Commission on Human Rights, section 8. Negative impact on the community.</p>		<p>No change</p> <p>Comments noted:</p> <p>However, this site is an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications</p>			
<b>ME2248</b>	Bromley Farm Quarry, Upper Cumberworth, DLP_AD4475, DLP_AD5187, DLP_AD5369, DLP_AD5998, DLP_AD6158, DLP_AD6217, DLP_AD6264, DLP_AD7807, DLP_AD8768	Support	Conditional Support	Object 9	No Comment
<p>Site will create further traffic, including plant machinery, increasing congestion on minor roads. Dust, mud and vibration problems will be created. Extra traffic and plant machinery will create air pollution. Light pollution will be created in winter. Prevailing SW and W winds will blow dust towards Lower Cumberworth and Skelmanthorpe and Birdsedge, particularly. E and N winds will affect Shelley and Upper Cumberworth. Site will affect the long term health of local residents. There are published studies that clearly associate respiratory problems and pneumoconiosis with exposure to airborne particles that quarrying creates. The proposal will destroy wildlife. Goes right to the edge of a conservation area. The proposal will affect footpaths and bridleways.</p> <p>Includes the removal of Eunice Lane Recreation Ground</p> <p>Large areas of land would be taken out of the Green Belt in direct conflict with the NPPF para 79 and 80. A pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry. The site will result in a significant impact on</p>		<p>Proposed change</p> <p>This site is proposed as a rejected minerals allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for minerals. The reasons for change are outlined below.</p> <p>Site has now been split into 3 separate sites ME2248a, ME2248b and ME2248c. The original allocation included three areas that were either already in operation and in separate ownerships, or identified as a potential extension of the minerals operations. Due to the different status of land within the original allocation the decision has been taken to reject this option and split the site to allocate and accurately reflect each parcel to reflect their current status.</p> <p>Minerals related traffic already operates in this area and will be subject to conditions as part of the planning applications granted. Potential intensification of minerals traffic would be carefully considered as part of any future application and appropriate measure put in place to manage movements to satisfactory levels.</p>			

Summary of comments

the landscape and visual amenity. Site may be used as a refuse tip afterwards. Site will be considered as Brownfield after use, and therefore more likely to be developed. Tens of years will be required for the land to regenerate. House prices will fall. Allocating site will result in the whole site being developed when planning regulations change. Green field sites should be retained for food production. The site will bring few benefits and considerable costs to Kirklees. The proposal conflicts with policy DLP37 (Mineral Extraction). The proposal conflicts with NPPF paragraphs 7, 14, 17, 80, 82, 84, 109, 110, 112, 114, 119, 144, 145, 150, 151 and 152 and Section 9. The mineral sites are unrealistic and over large for the plan period. The site is unsustainable. There is a high concentration of quarries around Upper Cumberworth which is detrimental to the village. All the immediate surrounding areas of Upper Cumberworth, Birds Edge, Shepley, Denby Dale and Shelley will be affected by the proposal. The use of alternative aggregates such as construction and demolition waste should be considered. Planned development goes right to the edge of Upper Cumberworth village and edge of the conservation area. Site includes removal of Eunice Lane Recreation Ground. Site will change the character of Upper Cumberworth.

Planned development goes right to the edge of Upper Cumberworth village

Fundamental change to the look and characteristics of the village

Council Response

Impacts upon the environment, such as noise and air pollution, have already been addressed through conditions attached to the planning permission to the operational quarries. Any new application for quarrying would need to satisfy a number of environmental criteria and appropriate mitigation put in place if further permissions were to be granted. Potential impact upon neighbouring residential areas will also have been taken account of and appropriate mitigation put in place. Again, any new applications will need to take residential amenity into account and mitigate against any identified impacts. Technical assessments have not indicated any absolute constraints in relation to environmental issues.

Impacts upon heritage assets will have already been appropriately mitigated against through the conditions attached to existing planning permissions. Any future application for mineral extraction would need to ensure appropriate mitigation - such as screening and buffers - are in place if permission is to be granted. No significant impacts have been identified through the technical assessment in relation to the historic environment.

Impacts upon PROWS would need to be considered and either diverted or avoided as part of any future planning permission. The potential impact on the Eunice Lane Recreation Ground would need to satisfy relevant open space and recreational policies within the Local Plan.

Mineral sites within the green belt are acceptable and in conformity with NPPF - as per paragraph 90.

Parts of the site are already in operation and therefore appropriate mitigation has been put in place to off-set the impact upon the landscape. Similar levels of mitigation will be required for any extension / new operations should they come forward. All sites will require appropriate restorations schemes that will ensure the land is returned to an after-use that is at least equal value to what it was before extraction.

Restored minerals extraction sites are not classified as brownfield. This site is located within the green belt and will remain so. Any future development would need to satisfy green belt policy where there is a presumption against development.

<b>ME2249</b>	Hen Perch Quarry, Scissett, DLP_AD4476	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
A busy road network will get overburdened with heavy lorries Proposals will bring problems of noise pollution, poor air quality, light pollution in winter months and generating dust		No change			
Housing prices may increase		Comments noted:  However, this site is now an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.			

<b>ME2250</b>	Forge Lane, Ravensthorpe (10.5ha), DLP_AD8614, DLP_AD10378	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
The flood zone area FZ3b, should be reflected in the constraints- all allocations are not appropriate to this site. ME2250 Forge Lane is within Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape. This is an area identified important for the enhancement of biodiversity. Therefore enhancements for biodiversity are needed, which could include a Design and management of green spaces to enhance biodiversity and Kirklees Biodiversity Action Plan. The allocations are immediately adjacent to River Calder- any ecological impacts on the River should be investigated.		No change			
The Weir- Broad Dam is a priority structure for improving fishing and the allocation should promote this.		Comments noted:  However, this site is now an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.			

<b>ME2251</b>	Land at Crosland Moor, Huddersfield (17.5ha), DLP_AD1950, DLP_AD4487, DLP_AD7068	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
A busy road network will get overburdened by heavy lorries Proposals will bring problems of noise pollution, poor air quality, light pollution in winter months and generating dust		No change			
		Comments Noted - Active mineral working, therefore all related impacts have previously been assessed and			

Summary of comments

Council Response

Unacceptable impact on landscape in particular area relating to Honley Moor and Crosland Edge  
 Housing prices may increase  
 The hard York stone permitted reserve is of key importance to sustaining the future growth of this leading local business

mitigation measures implemented.

Other sites such as Birdsedge, Shepley, Shelley, Skelmanthorpe, Denby Dale and Cumberworth have been disregarded for multiple quarry sites

**ME2252** Ox Lee, Hepworth (28ha),  
 DLP\_AD4486, DLP\_AD8623

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment 1

Site will create further traffic, including plant machinery, increasing congestion.  
 Dust, mud and vibration problems will be created. Extra traffic and plant machinery will create air pollution. Light pollution will be created in winter.  
 The allocations is within the Yorkshire Wildlife Trust River Colne Valley Living Landscape. This is an area identified by the Trust as important for wildlife and with the potential to be enhanced for biodiversity. See comments for H584 for more information.

No change

Comments noted:

However, this site is an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.

A pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry.  
 Site may be used as a refuse tip afterwards. Site will be considered as Brownfield after use, and therefore more likely to be developed. Tens of years will be required for the land to regenerate. House prices will fall.

**ME2253** Carr Hill Quarry, Shepley (1.3ha),  
 DLP\_AD4483, DLP\_AD4737, DLP\_AD5184, DLP\_AD5190, DLP\_AD5669, DLP\_AD5670

Support Conditional Support Object 6 No Comment

Site will create further traffic, including plant machinery, increasing congestion. There will be increased danger from heavy goods vehicles for children attending local schools.  
 Dust, mud and vibration problems will be created. Extra traffic and plant machinery will create air pollution. Light pollution will be created in winter. Dust will cause repertory problems.  
 Wildlife and biodiversity will be damaged.

No change

Comments noted:

However, this site is now an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.

Greenbelt should not be used for quarrying or mineral extraction. Development represents industrialisation of the green belt.

A pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry. There would be loss of visual amenity and devastation to the landscape.  
 Site may be used as a refuse tip afterwards. Site will be more considered as Brownfield after use, and therefore more likely to be developed. Tens of years will be required for the land to regenerate. House prices will fall. It is not justified to change land around Dearne Head, Haddingley, Birdsedge and Shepley from farmland to mineral extraction and quarrying. Farmland should be protected for food production. Site is too close to residential properties. The rural heritage of the area could be destroyed and might deter recreational visitors such as walkers and cyclists which would impact adversely on the local economy.  
 There are a high number of quarries in around Upper Cumberworth which is detrimental to the area. All the immediate surrounding areas of Upper Cumberworth, Birds Edge, Shepley, Denby Dale and Shelley will also be affected by the proposal. There will be a reduction in local property prices. The use of alternative aggregates such as construction and demolition waste should be given consideration.

**ME2254** Moselden Heights Quarry and extension area off Saddleworth Road Scammonden,

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No Change - Active mineral working all associated impacts assessed via planning applications and mitigation measures considered

**ME2255** Woodhouse Quarry - Off Woodhouse Holmfirth,

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change

This site is now an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>ME2256</b> DLP_AD568	Rockingstones Quarry – off Quebec Road Wholestone Moor,	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
The hard York stone permitted reserve is of key importance to sustaining the future growth of this leading local business.		No change Comments noted - Active mineral working all associated impacts assessed via planning applications and mitigation measures considered			
<b>ME2257</b> DLP_AD4485	Temple Quarry – off Liley Lane, Grange Moor,	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Site will create further traffic, including plant machinery, increasing congestion. Dust, mud and vibration problems will be created. Extra traffic and plant machinery will create air pollution. Light pollution will be created in winter.  A pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry. Site may be used as a refuse tip afterwards. Site will be more considered as Brownfield after use, and therefore more likely to be developed. Tens of years will be required for the land to regenerate. House prices will fall.		No change Comments noted:  However, this site is an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications			
<b>ME2258</b> DLP_AD575	Land off Thewlis Lane Crosland Moor,	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
The hard York stone permitted reserve is of key importance to sustaining the future growth of this leading local business.		No Change Comments Noted - Active mineral working all associated impacts assessed via planning applications and mitigation measures considered			
<b>ME2263</b> DLP_AD2808, DLP_AD4416, DLP_AD4480, DLP_AD4505, DLP_AD5091, DLP_AD5215, DLP_AD5228	Land adjacent to Appleton Quarry Holmfirth Road Shepley,	Support	Conditional Support	Object 7	No Comment
The Sovereign cross roads and the Cumberworth lane/ A629/ Carr hill road are not only badly maintained, but are dangerous traffic accident hot spots .This road A629 is already mined dangerously close on the east side of the road and now developers wish to do so on the west side. If you look at the road and house subsidence that is happening on the far side of the Appleton Quarry works it is easy to see why this would be an irresponsible future plan. The roads are unsuitable for large lorries. The large lorries will affect cyclists in the area. Noise, dust and vibration from the site would adversely affect anyone living or visiting the area. Light pollution in the winter months when site flood lights will be required for health and safety issues. The existing noise levels from the nearby quarry is already intolerable. The extra noise will be too excessive. Wildlife will have to endure the permanent damage to the landscape. There are published studies that clearly associate respiratory problems and pneumoconiosis with exposure to airborne particles that quarrying creates.  There are already too many worries in this area which adversely affect the landscape and the amenity of local communities. There is a tendency for worriers in this area to apply for extensions of their operating periods resulting in decades passing before the sites are restored or regenerated. There is no buffer zone between the three dwellings at Dearne Grange and this site. It is within 100m of a dwelling. This could become a Brownfield site and then become easily available for further development It infringes S8 of the Human Rights Act - the right to peaceful enjoyment of their home. House prices will plummet. The stability of local homes may also be jeopardised which will have an irreversible decline in property prices and push this affluent area of Huddersfield into economic decline.		No change Comments noted:  However, this site is now an active mineral working and all related impacts have previously been assessed and mitigation measures considered via the related planning applications.			
<b>ME2265</b> DLP_AD4477	Hen Perch Quarry, Thorpe Lane, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
The road network is already overburdened with heavy traffic. Mud on roads from the quarry will be a hazard.		No change			

Summary of comments

Pollution from noise, dust and vibrations as well as floodlighting will affect the local community.

Regeneration will take decades, and the unsightly quarry will be detrimental to amenity. The site could become Brownfield to be developed later. House prices will plummet

Council Response

Whilst it is recognised that mineral extraction generates heavy vehicle movements, this type of mineral extraction would involve short periods of activity. The site can be accessed from an existing haul road and with some modification could provide adequate access provision. If considered expedient formal routeing arrangements can be imposed with regard to any subsequent planning application. Any subsequent planning permission would be subject to the provision of wheel washing facilities.

Issues revolving around noise dust and light pollution can be mitigated and these would be fully investigated at the time of any planning application.

The use of screen planting, screen mounds and standoff areas can effectively screen a minerals site and therefore reduce its impact on nearby heritage assets, public rights of way or recreation grounds. Such mitigation can also reduce the effects associated with mineral extraction with regard to visual amenity and landscape character.

Land allocated in connection with mineral extraction would not be removed from the Green Belt and therefore would be afforded the usual green belt protection. The use of waste to restore the site may be appropriate but this would be considered as part of any subsequent planning application.

The effect on house prices in the area is not a material planning consideration

ME2267	Land to the north of, Peace Wood Quarry, Green House Hill, Shelley	Support	Conditional Support	2	Object	60	No Comment
DLP_AD1540, DLP_AD1922, DLP_AD3423, DLP_AD3435, DLP_AD3490, DLP_AD3736, DLP_AD3794, DLP_AD4018, DLP_AD4029, DLP_AD4046, DLP_AD4214, DLP_AD4221, DLP_AD4325, DLP_AD4369, DLP_AD4375, DLP_AD4469, DLP_AD4591, DLP_AD4606, DLP_AD4854, DLP_AD4945, DLP_AD4948, DLP_AD4956, DLP_AD5016, DLP_AD5220, DLP_AD5458, DLP_AD5600, DLP_AD5680, DLP_AD5894, DLP_AD5899, DLP_AD5937, DLP_AD5999, DLP_AD6014, DLP_AD6082, DLP_AD6145, DLP_AD6188, DLP_AD6207, DLP_AD6267, DLP_AD6532, DLP_AD6635, DLP_AD6698, DLP_AD6702, DLP_AD6711, DLP_AD6771, DLP_AD6773, DLP_AD6787, DLP_AD6994, DLP_AD7058, DLP_AD7178, DLP_AD7207, DLP_AD7250, DLP_AD7272, DLP_AD7291, DLP_AD7383, DLP_AD7395, DLP_AD7544, DLP_AD7802, DLP_AD8068, DLP_AD8552, DLP_AD8619, DLP_AD9022, DLP_AD10666, DLP_AD10912							

Existing road network is not suitable for heavy industrial traffic, including the B6116 Huddersfield Road and the minor lanes that lead on to it; and North Road, Kirkburton.

Impact on local and wider road network. Increase in HGV traffic on narrow rural roads and through the villages of Shelley, Kirkburton and Highburton.

Increase in poor road surface conditions due to mud from truck wheels causing a driving hazard for local people.

Highway safety concerns for pedestrians, school children and students attending Shelley College. Difficult junction on Huddersfield Road with Far Bank. Air pollution from dust and increase in traffic.

Impact of noise pollution from quarrying activities and heavy lorries.

Vibration damage.

Impact on surrounding area caused by gases released in mining operations.

Light pollution in winter months from floodlights. Environmental damage and destruction to ecology, including species of insects and birdlife.

Negative impact on local wildlife and Springs Wood Local Wildlife Site at Skelmanthorpe.

Allocation is partly within Springs Wood Local Wildlife Site (LWS89) which is an area of ancient woodland. These are irreplaceable habitats and the loss of ancient woodland is in direct contradiction of Paragraph 118 of the NPPF (Yorkshire Wildlife Trust). Negative impact on Emmanuel Church, Shelley and Emily Moor Mast.

Comments noted:

Proposed to reject allocation

Summary of comments

Council Response

Allocation is close to Grade II listed Church of Emmanuel. Before allocating this site for development, an assessment is needed of what contribution this site makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this Listed Building and what impact the proposed development might have upon its significance. If development would harm elements which contribute to the significance of this listed building, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced. If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of this building, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm as required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134 (Historic England). Proposal will cause loss or unacceptable alterations to well used public rights of way.

Loss of good agricultural land contrary to NPPF (para 112).

Area of open agricultural green belt will be destroyed.

Large areas of land would be taken out of the Green Belt in direct conflict with the provisions of NPPF para 79 and 80.

Damage to the countryside.

Destruction of the natural environment in this rural location.

Unacceptable impact on rural landscape. Area will turn into an industrial landscape.

Detrimental visual impact on surrounding area and over long distance views. Will be an eyesore for many years.

Not consistent with NPPF (paragraphs 7, 14, 112, 114, 150, 151 and 152) and section 9 regarding the destruction of green belt and separation of communities.

Concerns regarding future infill use.

Proposal will effectively create a future brown field site which could be open to further development or used as a waste refuse site.

Need for an increase in aggregate mining has not been proved.

Cumulative impact of sites ME2312/ME2315/ME2267 will represent major over provision during the plan period and is massive in size in relation to Shelley Village.

Change in the Planning Regulations will mean this site will, in effect, have outline Planning Consent for mineral extraction and refusal to grant Planning Permission to commence extraction would be impossible.

ME2312	Land to the north and south of, Peace Wood Quarry, Green House Hill, Shelley	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	58	No Comment
DLP_AD1544, DLP_AD1920, DLP_AD3424, DLP_AD3491, DLP_AD3737, DLP_AD3795, DLP_AD4019, DLP_AD4026, DLP_AD4048, DLP_AD4215, DLP_AD4222, DLP_AD4326, DLP_AD4366, DLP_AD4377, DLP_AD4468, DLP_AD4592, DLP_AD4607, DLP_AD4855, DLP_AD4856, DLP_AD4944, DLP_AD4959, DLP_AD5018, DLP_AD5146, DLP_AD5223, DLP_AD5459, DLP_AD5681, DLP_AD5895, DLP_AD5900, DLP_AD5938, DLP_AD6001, DLP_AD6015, DLP_AD6083, DLP_AD6146, DLP_AD6189, DLP_AD6208, DLP_AD6261, DLP_AD6533, DLP_AD6699, DLP_AD6703, DLP_AD6714, DLP_AD6772, DLP_AD6775, DLP_AD6788, DLP_AD6995, DLP_AD7059, DLP_AD7179, DLP_AD7209, DLP_AD7251, DLP_AD7273, DLP_AD7290, DLP_AD7384, DLP_AD7396, DLP_AD7545, DLP_AD7804, DLP_AD8069, DLP_AD8553, DLP_AD8620, DLP_AD10674, DLP_AD10913							

The local highways network cannot support the vehicular traffic associated with these excavations. It will cause harm to highway safety.

Increased traffic poses potential danger to many school children & buses that travel the same route (4 schools in approximately 1 mile of the sites).

Levels of traffic and on road parking (no alternative) are already problematic in Kirkburton and Shelley.

Hydrology impacts need assessing.

The excavations will generate added air; noise, light and traffic pollution

The quarries will have lasting effects on the health of local villagers including respiratory problems and pneumoconiosis from airborne particles that quarries create.

Migrant species such as fieldfares and redwings annually gather in this area together with natives such as Little Owls; and Skylarks.

Removing top soil & minerals will destroy the sites ecology.

Proposed change

This site is proposed as a rejected minerals allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for minerals. The reasons for change are outlined below.

Site has now been split into 2 separate sites ME2312a and ME2312b. Both sites are separate from one another and should therefore be split to form 2 allocations.

Minerals related traffic already operates in this area and will be subject to conditions as part of the planning applications granted. Potential intensification of minerals traffic would be carefully considered as part of any future application and appropriate measure put in place to manage movements to satisfactory levels. No absolute constraints have been identified through the technical assessment for highways.

Summary of comments

They will damage local wildlife site (LWS89) Springs Wood Skelmanthorpe  
 Proposal is partly within Lightcliffe Wood / Rough Piece ancient woodland, irreplaceable habitats contrary NPPF.  
 Concerned about the effect upon historic sites such as Emanuel Church and Emley Moor Mast.  
 Loss of original landscape and wildlife.  
 It will harm the attractiveness of local public rights of way.

They will destroy an area of open agricultural Green Belt and become a large scale industrial site.  
 Cause loss of agricultural land.  
 Unacceptable impact upon landscape  
 Effectively turning a rural landscape into an industrial landscape  
 Negative impact upon quality of life / the community.  
 The Shelley/kirkburton/Roydhouse area is not suitable for these industrial works.  
 Scale of proposals is unreasonably large and too close to residential areas.

After mining is completed, the possible use of the site for infill thus extending the period of disruption  
 It will effectively create future Brownfield site which could open up further development or use as waste refuse sites.  
 The number of proposals is unsustainable for the infrastructure/environment of the Shelley / Kirkburton area  
 Proposals totally against policy DLP37 and contravenes paragraphs 7, 14, 17, 109, 110, 114, 119, 112, 144, 145, 150, 151, 152 & chapter 9 of NPPF.  
 The proposals would have an adverse impact upon the 3 core elements of sustainability.  
 Residential amenity - residents cannot be expected to put up with this disturbance for the length of time it will take to extract the minerals.  
 Visual amenity - It will ruin the Greenfield views of many people  
 The proposal infringes basic human rights to 'peaceful enjoyment of own property'.  
 House prices will plummet  
 No benefits for the local community  
 It has not been proved that there is a need for an increase in aggregate mining  
 Alternative aggregates such as construction and demolition waste should be considered.  
 Insufficient effort to disclose details of proposed extraction to communities concerned.

If the operations extended to more major operations such as stone quarrying or large landfill then further consultation is expected.

**ME2313** Land north of, A635 Barnsley Road, Denby Dale  
 DLP\_AD5206, DLP\_AD5208, DLP\_AD5225, DLP\_AD5614, DLP\_AD6141, DLP\_AD8621, DLP\_AD9014

Impact on road network in particular users such as pedestrians and cyclists.

Roads are to be congested causing traffic issues.  
 Noise and dust pollution.  
 Negative impact on wildlife and biodiversity.

The proposal is allocated adjacent to Shuff Wood Ancient Woodland and may have an impact on irreplaceable habitats and damage of the wood land. A full assessment should be carried out prior to the allocation in order to reduce recreational, air quality and hydrology impacts.  
 This area is in close proximity to Grade 2 Listed Historic Parks and Gardens at Cannon Hall and the Farm House at Netherfield Nurseries is a Grade 2 Listed Building. An assessment must be carried out in order to investigate how mineral extraction could harm the significance of these assets. A plan must set out measures in which harm must be mitigated. If the development is likely to harm these assets, then these sites should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm.

There would be a loss of visual amenity and rural heritage of the villages would be lost.  
 Lasting health and wellbeing effects to residence.

Negative impact on Green Belt.

Council Response

Comment noted in relation to potential hydrological impacts. Any planning application for minerals will need to carefully consider this issue. Should planning permission be granted then appropriate conditions will be put in place to mitigate against any identified negative impact.

Impacts upon the environment, such as noise and air pollution, would need to satisfy a number of environmental criteria and appropriate mitigation put in place if permission was to be granted. Any new applications will need to take residential amenity into account and mitigate against any identified potential impacts. Technical assessments have not indicated any absolute constraints in relation to environmental issues.

With regards to the potential impact upon heritage assets, any application for mineral extraction will need to ensure appropriate mitigation - such as screening and buffers - are in place if permission is to be granted. No significant impacts have been identified through the technical assessment in relation to the historic environment.

Impacts upon PROWS would need to be considered and either diverted or avoided as part of any planning permission. The technical assessments undertaken for the natural environment...

Mineral sites within the green belt are acceptable and in conformity with NPPF - as per paragraph 90.

Parts of the site are already in operation and therefore appropriate mitigation has been put in place to off-set the impact upon the landscape. Similar levels of mitigation will be required for any extension / new operations should they come forward. All sites will require appropriate restorations schemes that will ensure the land is returned to an after-use that is at least equal value to what it was before extraction.

Restored minerals extraction sites are not classified as brownfield. This site is located within the green belt and will remain so. Any future development would need to satisfy green belt policy where there is a presumption against development.

ME2313	Land north of, A635 Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	2	Object	5	No Comment
DLP_AD5206, DLP_AD5208, DLP_AD5225, DLP_AD5614, DLP_AD6141, DLP_AD8621, DLP_AD9014							

Comments noted

Proposed change to reject.

Destruction and detrimental loss of agricultural land.

Construction and demolition waste should be considered, as these will have a negative impact on landscape.

Socio-economic factors affecting the local community.

Negative impact on residents of Denby Dale and Cumberworth.

The local economy would suffer if recreational visitors would stop visiting. This would be industrialisation of a rural community.

House prices may increase.

The location of these quarries in Upper Cumberworth will create an imbalance to the detriment of the area; surrounding areas Birds Edge, Shepley, Denby Dale, Skelmanthorpe and Shelley will also be affected.

The site is too large of scale.

ME2315	Lajnd north of, Cross Lane, Kirkburton	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 62	No Comment
DLP_AD1541, DLP_AD1921, DLP_AD2543, DLP_AD3388, DLP_AD3480, DLP_AD3492, DLP_AD3735, DLP_AD3796, DLP_AD3889, DLP_AD4016, DLP_AD4025, DLP_AD4045, DLP_AD4135, DLP_AD4231, DLP_AD4327, DLP_AD4367, DLP_AD4379, DLP_AD4590, DLP_AD4604, DLP_AD4853, DLP_AD4943, DLP_AD4961, DLP_AD5019, DLP_AD5061, DLP_AD5062, DLP_AD5559, DLP_AD5601, DLP_AD5602, DLP_AD5675, DLP_AD5893, DLP_AD5898, DLP_AD5939, DLP_AD6002, DLP_AD6011, DLP_AD6081, DLP_AD6148, DLP_AD6187, DLP_AD6210, DLP_AD6531, DLP_AD6636, DLP_AD6697, DLP_AD6701, DLP_AD6715, DLP_AD6769, DLP_AD6770, DLP_AD6786, DLP_AD6993, DLP_AD7055, DLP_AD7177, DLP_AD7206, DLP_AD7249, DLP_AD7271, DLP_AD7289, DLP_AD7381, DLP_AD7394, DLP_AD7543, DLP_AD7806, DLP_AD8066, DLP_AD8551, DLP_AD9024, DLP_AD10200, DLP_AD10584, DLP_AD10667, DLP_AD10914, DLP_AD11040					

The highways cannot support the vehicular traffic which will be associated with these excavations.

Comments noted.

Roads are already congested

More heavy traffic would cause more potholes and mud will cause a hazard

Proposed to reject

Quarry traffic poses a danger to many school buses that use the same route

Location of site makes it difficult to direct traffic away from both built up site and narrow country lanes

There are already accidents at the junction of Huddersfield Road and Bark House Lane and increased vehicular traffic will add to this

Increased risk to pedestrians and schoolchildren

Kirkburton village cannot cater for large vehicles; it is difficult for 2 vehicles to pass in opposite directions

Council will not be able to afford to upgrade the road network to make them suitable for heavy 8 wheeler or articulated tipper wagons to use

Would bring traffic close to villages and Shelley College

Air Pollution from plant machinery

Noise pollution and vibrations from plant machinery on site and vehicles transporting waste and mineral deposits

Traffic Pollution

Light Pollution in winter months when flood lights will be required for health and safety issues

Impact on wildlife

Fieldfares and Redwings gather in this area in addition to Little Owls

Skylarks and other species have claim to the land

Will damage local wildlife site (LWS89)

The general ecology of the area will be unbalanced and never the same

This rural area is densely populated by bats, birds, foxes, voles, birds of prey. Mining would destroy their habitat and be devastating to the wildlife population

Site lies less than 750m from eastern edge of Kirkburton Conservation Area. Mineral extraction could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this asset.

The Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of its Conservation Areas.

As part of Evidence Base underpinning the Plan, there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this area makes to those elements which contribute to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area and what effect the proposed development might have on the designated area. If it is considered that the development of the site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of the Conservation Area, the Plan needs to set out the measures by which the harm might be removed or reduced.

If it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of the CA, this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh

the harm (as required by paras 133/134 of NPPF).  
Blasting, drilling and rock crushing can produce silica dust which is carcinogenic and harmful to people with asthma and can cause severe lung damage  
The mineral extraction process may bring to the surface elements that constitute a health hazard for humans  
Site is within 400/500m of homes built since WWII.  
The number of proposals for the area is unsustainable for the infrastructure/environment of Shelley/Kirkburton which is not a suitable place for these industrial undertakings  
Well used footpaths will be lost in addition to valuable agricultural land

The necessary improvements to the roads to take the associated traffic will destroy the rural aspect of this area  
Will result in Green Belt incursion  
A pastoral landscape will be replaced by an unsightly quarry  
Tens of years will be required for the landscape to regenerate  
The site is visible from many homes in the area  
The land owner is not promoting and not supportive of the allocation for quarrying.  
Will create future Brownfield sites which could be open to further development or used in waste refuse sites  
This area currently attracts a number of visitors who will be deterred by proposed use of land for mineral extraction  
Will have a detrimental effect on 3 dairy farms that use the land  
Will result in loss of more agricultural land at a time when the ability of the UK to be self sufficient in food is declining  
Mineral extraction would be opposed to other statements in DLP which recognises rural character of this part of Kirklees  
Proposals contravene paras. 7, 14, 80-84, 109, 110, 112, 114, 119, 144, 145, 150, 151 and 152 of NPPF  
These allocations represent unrealistic, over-stated and over-large allocations for the plan period  
People cannot be expected to put up with this disturbance for the length of time it will take to extract what minerals are present at these locations.  
Owners of the land are surprised to see it in the Draft Local Plan, were not consulted and have no intention of allowing mineral extraction on this site. Therefore ask if it can be removed from the Local Plan.  
It has not been proved that there is a need for an increase in aggregate mining  
This will have a negative impact on people's lives in this area  
Support allocation as land has been so designated for many years  
Currently there is some clay extraction and landfill  
If the operations extended to more major operations such as stone quarrying or large landfill, would expect further consultation.  
There are several schools close to the site  
Will impact on house values  
Will be a threat to domestic pets  
Infringement of basic human right to 'peaceful enjoyment of their own property'  
Suggest that a public meeting is held to discuss this site further and allow a wider debate of the issues  
Together this and other adjacent minerals allocations will increase area permitted for mineral extraction by 8 times  
Both Shelley and Kirkburton are sought-after country villages where people may move to live for the peace and quiet. This would change with the new plans  
The Council have not made sufficient effort to publicly disclose details (size, scope, duration, potential extraction use or remediation of site, environmental impact etc) of the proposed extraction to the communities concerned  
This is of no benefit to the local community

**Minerals preferred areas**

<b>ME1966</b> Hillhouse Edge Quarry, Cartworth Moor Road, Cartworth Moor DLP_AD555, DLP_AD1517, DLP_AD5711	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
<p>Increased traffic, including heavy goods vehicles will reduce highway safety of local residents, school children and the community of Honley Village.</p> <p>The site may cause pollution of water resources and surrounding agricultural soils and could affect land drainage, creating problems for local residents.</p> <p>Site will generate dust noise and vibration problems, particularly the residents of Hassocks Lane and the surrounding lanes.</p> <p>The extraction will be detrimental to Honley Woods, one of West Yorkshire's largest remaining ancient semi-natural woodland areas, and be materially detrimental to the interests of wildlife, nature conservation and cultural heritage of the area.</p> <p>Site will affect public rights of way including the bridleway leading from Hassocks Lane, past 70 Acre Farm to the main road (Meltham Road), and the public footpath from Hassocks Lane across the fields to Meltham Road.</p> <p>The "strip back" method proposed will cause unacceptable detriment to the beautiful landscape and the local visual amenity during the process and subsequent to extraction of minerals.</p> <p>The site will result in the permanent loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land on the outskirts of Honley.</p> <p>The site will cause nuisance and disturbance to local residents. Support for the site allocation.</p>	<p>No change</p>	<p>Comments made appear to relate to proposal at 70 Acre farm sites. However, issues raised have been addressed</p> <p>Whilst it is recognised that mineral extraction generates heavy vehicle movements, the existing quarry does not involve significant numbers of HGV movements The site can be accessed from an existing haul road off Cartworth moor Road which has been constructed to a good standard and with some modification could provide adequate access provision. If considered expedient formal routeing arrangements can be imposed with regard to any subsequent planning application.</p> <p>The potential impact on local hydrological systems would need to be fully considered and appropriate measures included in any future proposals to extract mineral. This would form part of the assessment of any subsequent planning application</p> <p>Issues revolving around noise dust and light pollution can be mitigated and these would be fully investigated at the time of any planning application.</p> <p>Whilst mineral extraction in the area could have an impact on local biodiversity, it is considered that measures could be employed to satisfactorily mitigate such impact. Although the site is close to ecologically sensitive sites, sympathetic site restoration would enhance habitat opportunities and therefore improve local biodiversity.</p> <p>The use of screen planting, screen mounds and standoff areas can effectively screen a minerals site and therefore reduce its impact on nearby heritage assets and public rights of way etc. Such mitigation can also reduce the effects associated with mineral extraction with regard to visual amenity and landscape character. A full assessment of the potential impacts of future mineral working associated with this area would be required such proposals.</p> <p>If alterations to PROWs are required to facilitate mineral extraction this would be considered at the time of any subsequent planning application.</p> <p>The site is not considered to represent the best or most versatile agricultural land and its quality could be improved through sympathetic restoration.</p> <p>It is considered that this site complies with those relevant sections of the National Planning Policy Framework and current Planning Practice Guidance with regard to mineral extraction. Mineral extraction is seen as appropriate development within the Green Belt and subject to sympathetic restoration can result in significant enhancements to the character of an area.</p>		

ME1970	Seventy Acre Farm, Meltham Road, Honley	Support 2	Conditional Support 2	Object 321	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD2223, DLP_AD246, DLP_AD340, DLP_AD381, DLP_AD405, DLP_AD456, DLP_AD553, DLP_AD564, DLP_AD703, DLP_AD713, DLP_AD723, DLP_AD855, DLP_AD906, DLP_AD928, DLP_AD974, DLP_AD1004, DLP_AD1261, DLP_AD1268, DLP_AD1286, DLP_AD1331, DLP_AD1341, DLP_AD1462, DLP_AD1482, DLP_AD1538, DLP_AD1561, DLP_AD1587, DLP_AD1597, DLP_AD1627, DLP_AD1632, DLP_AD1656, DLP_AD1699, DLP_AD1717, DLP_AD1729, DLP_AD1748, DLP_AD1756, DLP_AD1779, DLP_AD1780, DLP_AD1791, DLP_AD1796, DLP_AD1802, DLP_AD1805, DLP_AD1808, DLP_AD1812, DLP_AD1814, DLP_AD1819, DLP_AD1831, DLP_AD1834, DLP_AD1842, DLP_AD1851, DLP_AD1866, DLP_AD1872, DLP_AD1882, DLP_AD1884, DLP_AD1904, DLP_AD1909, DLP_AD1941, DLP_AD1959, DLP_AD1968, DLP_AD1981, DLP_AD1990, DLP_AD2011, DLP_AD2014, DLP_AD2022, DLP_AD2026, DLP_AD2031, DLP_AD2046, DLP_AD2049, DLP_AD2060, DLP_AD2073, DLP_AD2095, DLP_AD2099, DLP_AD2115, DLP_AD2131, DLP_AD2144, DLP_AD2148, DLP_AD2166, DLP_AD2169, DLP_AD2170, DLP_AD2200, DLP_AD2209, DLP_AD2218, DLP_AD2227, DLP_AD2237, DLP_AD2245, DLP_AD2255, DLP_AD2265, DLP_AD2273, DLP_AD2284, DLP_AD2296, DLP_AD2302, DLP_AD2315, DLP_AD2322, DLP_AD2328, DLP_AD2346, DLP_AD2352, DLP_AD2354, DLP_AD2368, DLP_AD2424, DLP_AD2429, DLP_AD2434, DLP_AD2443, DLP_AD2458, DLP_AD2472, DLP_AD2499, DLP_AD2508, DLP_AD2530, DLP_AD2554, DLP_AD2557, DLP_AD2575, DLP_AD2586, DLP_AD2601, DLP_AD2654, DLP_AD2661, DLP_AD2673, DLP_AD2700, DLP_AD2720, DLP_AD2735, DLP_AD2778, DLP_AD2810, DLP_AD2838, DLP_AD2850, DLP_AD2864, DLP_AD2876, DLP_AD2882, DLP_AD2895, DLP_AD2924, DLP_AD2935, DLP_AD2946, DLP_AD2962, DLP_AD2973, DLP_AD2993, DLP_AD2997, DLP_AD3009, DLP_AD3048, DLP_AD3082, DLP_AD3088, DLP_AD3094, DLP_AD3121, DLP_AD3151, DLP_AD3174, DLP_AD3186, DLP_AD3197, DLP_AD3217, DLP_AD3229, DLP_AD3247, DLP_AD3259, DLP_AD3275, DLP_AD3294, DLP_AD3299, DLP_AD3307, DLP_AD3325, DLP_AD3331, DLP_AD3346, DLP_AD3361, DLP_AD3407, DLP_AD3412, DLP_AD3416, DLP_AD3497, DLP_AD3550, DLP_AD3578, DLP_AD3584, DLP_AD3695, DLP_AD3717, DLP_AD3767, DLP_AD3799, DLP_AD3842, DLP_AD3879, DLP_AD3928, DLP_AD3938, DLP_AD3944, DLP_AD4000, DLP_AD4032, DLP_AD4041, DLP_AD4050, DLP_AD4113, DLP_AD4188, DLP_AD4197, DLP_AD4242, DLP_AD4256, DLP_AD4392, DLP_AD4407, DLP_AD4413, DLP_AD4419, DLP_AD4434, DLP_AD4489, DLP_AD4548, DLP_AD4639, DLP_AD4741, DLP_AD4753, DLP_AD4806, DLP_AD4822, DLP_AD4828, DLP_AD4833, DLP_AD4860, DLP_AD4979, DLP_AD5003, DLP_AD5006, DLP_AD5032, DLP_AD5101, DLP_AD5185, DLP_AD5214, DLP_AD5222, DLP_AD5286, DLP_AD5318, DLP_AD5375, DLP_AD5440, DLP_AD5519, DLP_AD5529, DLP_AD5551, DLP_AD5577, DLP_AD5582, DLP_AD5660, DLP_AD5676, DLP_AD5723, DLP_AD5784, DLP_AD5785, DLP_AD5850, DLP_AD5876, DLP_AD5882, DLP_AD5902, DLP_AD5906, DLP_AD5948, DLP_AD5952, DLP_AD5964, DLP_AD5983, DLP_AD6024, DLP_AD6065, DLP_AD6100, DLP_AD6149, DLP_AD6191, DLP_AD6202, DLP_AD6369, DLP_AD6382, DLP_AD6401, DLP_AD6423, DLP_AD6498, DLP_AD6510, DLP_AD6553, DLP_AD6572, DLP_AD6607, DLP_AD6631, DLP_AD6638, DLP_AD6650, DLP_AD6664, DLP_AD6685, DLP_AD6805, DLP_AD6814, DLP_AD6839, DLP_AD6854, DLP_AD6856, DLP_AD6862, DLP_AD6870, DLP_AD6884, DLP_AD6895, DLP_AD6929, DLP_AD6953, DLP_AD6954, DLP_AD6987, DLP_AD7024, DLP_AD7061, DLP_AD7090, DLP_AD7280, DLP_AD7341, DLP_AD7346, DLP_AD7361, DLP_AD7389, DLP_AD7406, DLP_AD7446, DLP_AD7485, DLP_AD7512, DLP_AD7562, DLP_AD7762, DLP_AD7769, DLP_AD7788, DLP_AD7835, DLP_AD7851, DLP_AD7865, DLP_AD7897, DLP_AD7911, DLP_AD7929, DLP_AD7965, DLP_AD8029, DLP_AD8081, DLP_AD8089, DLP_AD8309, DLP_AD8339, DLP_AD8359, DLP_AD8460, DLP_AD8469, DLP_AD8484, DLP_AD8520, DLP_AD8528, DLP_AD8532, DLP_AD8622, DLP_AD8819, DLP_AD9019, DLP_AD9040, DLP_AD9103, DLP_AD9104, DLP_AD9121, DLP_AD9137, DLP_AD9150, DLP_AD9161, DLP_AD9170, DLP_AD9181, DLP_AD9190, DLP_AD9200, DLP_AD9214, DLP_AD9225, DLP_AD9254, DLP_AD9271, DLP_AD9334, DLP_AD9424, DLP_AD9450, DLP_AD9475, DLP_AD9495, DLP_AD9499, DLP_AD9522, DLP_AD10051, DLP_AD10066, DLP_AD10084, DLP_AD10391, DLP_AD10407, DLP_AD10565, DLP_AD10612</p>					
<p>Transport infrastructure is not suitable to cope with increase in HGVs, roads are too narrow and road surfaces unsuitable. Access routes through Honley, Meltham or Netherpton are insufficient.</p>		<p>Proposed change</p> <p>This mineral option was originally accepted in the draft local plan (November 2015). However, following further consultation the site has been rejected.</p>			
<p>Meltham Road is not suitable for HGV traffic from a quarry. Large vehicles coming to &amp; from the quarry would cause major problems through Honley village which is not suitable for traffic of this size, nature &amp; frequency. Westgate is too narrow for large lorries.</p>		<p>Comments in relation to the rejection of the site have been noted.</p> <p>Whilst it is accepted that the site promoter (JWQ) is a valuable contributor to the local economy, employment directly at the site would be limited and unlikely to result in the creation of a significant number of additional jobs.</p>			
<p>Serious traffic management issues with the substantial numbers of very large lorries accessing the site. Vehicles would have to either use the steep and narrow road from Meltham Mills or through the very congested and narrow streets of Honley village. The level and type of heavy traffic through Honley will have a detrimental impact on highway safety for pedestrians, school children and the community of Honley Honley Bridge and Station Road roundabout and Huddersfield Road are already congested.</p>		<p>Working on site and subsequent site restoration could be carried out in such a way to minimise the impact of mineral extraction. However, the site can be overlooked from distance, particularly from the direction of Castle Hill to the north east and the Peak District National Park to the west and mineral extraction and the associated storage of quarry waste in this location is likely to have a significant impact on the character of the local landscape.</p>			
<p>Lorries will damage local roads / have already damaged roads / will damage buildings in Honley.</p>					
<p>The local road network can't be improved.</p>					
<p>Traffic generation would be minimal, comparable to agricultural activity. Water pollution caused by disturbance of water seams and infiltration of pollutants and chemicals from existing refuse tips due to quarrying.</p>					
<p>Currently when it rains significant run off occurs on Hassocks Lane onto Scotgate Road which freezes in winter causing hazards to vehicles and pedestrians.</p>					
<p>Quarrying and subsequent landfill will cause pollution of water resources and surrounding agricultural soils and could well cause the interruption of land drainage of the water, affecting the local housing Increased pressure on the already overworked drainage system Impact on the water table</p>					



Too close to Honley village site operations and associated increase in traffic will affect local resident's quality of life, cause disturbance and increase health risks in terms of dust, noise, vibration and air pollution

Proximity of the development to local housing and businesses

Lorries will create noise and air pollution.

There will be problems with dust in summer, mud in winter and noise from machinery and blasting.

Concerns about methane gas affecting local properties.

Prevailing westerly wind will carry dust and noise across Honley.  
The site is next to Honley Old Wood Ancient Woodland.

Ancient woodlands are irreplaceable habitats and the loss/ damage of ancient woodland is in direct contradiction of Paragraph 118 of the NPPF (see comments for ME2264 and H2089). The proposed allocation has the potential to impact the ancient woodland through air quality and hydrology impacts. Yorkshire Wildlife Trust advise that all impacts on the ancient woodland is fully assessed prior to the adoption of the allocation, and appropriate mitigation should be designed into the allocation if required. Such could involve the design of an ecological buffer along the northern boundary of the allocation in order to reduce the recreational, air quality and hydrology impacts. Such would also be in accordance with Paragraph 118 of the NPPF which states that:

'opportunities to incorporate biodiversity in and around developments should be encouraged'

The site is also partially within our River Colne Valley Living Landscape. Yorkshire Wildlife Trust would therefore advise that any development in this area incorporates habitat creation/ enhancement into its master plan/ restoration (see comments for H584 for more information).

An environmental impact study is needed to fully assess the impact of the proposed quarry.

Honley Woods is one of West Yorkshire's largest remaining ancient semi-natural woodland areas.

Covering 60 hectares (150 acres), it is an important example of upland oak woodland and is a key part of the local forest habitat network.

The site has a wide diversity of wildlife, including many tawny owls, badgers, red kites, hedgehogs, woodpeckers and deer which will be lost.

Quarrying will damage the environment and ecosystems.

Badgers can be found in Honley Woods and the surrounding area. There is no assurance that they will be safeguarded.

Honley Wood is a protected Oak and Beech woodland.

There should be a buffer around Honley Conservation Area.

There are two Scheduled Cairnfields in close proximity to this site. In addition, there are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings on Chandler Lane which could be affected by the development of this area. National policy guidance makes it clear that Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional.

In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though the site is allocated for development within the Plan, the need to pay "special regard" to the desirability of preserving these Listed Buildings or their setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed at all or the anticipated extent of the development is a lot smaller than anticipated. In order to demonstrate that the identification of this allocation is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of the designated heritage assets in its vicinity and what effect the proposed development might have upon them.

Before identifying this site as an allocation:-

(1) An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to those elements which contribute towards the significance of the heritage assets in its vicinity and what impact the proposed development might have upon their significance.

(2) If it is considered that the development of this site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of these assets, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced.

(3) If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of any of these assets, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134).

(Historic England)

Pollution and vibration from HGVs will affect listed buildings and the conservation area.

Dust will affect people with respiratory problems.

Land fill would affect people's health.

Pulmonary health will suffer.

The rock being mined is a sandstone with a high silica content. My concern is that there is no way to control the airborne silica, as it is too fine to see in normal light conditions. If silicone is released into the atmosphere then there is a high risk of silicosis in those children and young adults utilising the sports ground and playing area. Silicosis is a serious lung disease causing permanent disability and premature death.

Surrounding footpaths and bridleways will be compromised.

The public rights of way in the area are well used by walkers, hikers, cyclists and horse riders who will be discouraged from doing so if the quarry goes ahead.

If the quarry goes ahead it should become a community recreation area.

The land is greenbelt and should remain for food production.

Support for greenbelt and objection to quarrying in the greenbelt.

The proposal encroaches on existing Green Belt, with no obvious justification or analysis to identify any over-riding need.

The site has high landscape value.

Any mineral extraction would have a significant impact on the landscape which could not be restored.

Many people consider the Holme Valley as an area of outstanding natural beauty and a quarry would be an act of vandalism.

The surrounding field patterns are part of the landscape and this will be destroyed.

Site would be highly visible, including from Castle Hill.

The views from Honley up to Nab Hill and Meltham Moor would be utterly blighted.

Honley Moor will have a very significant landscape impact, especially when viewed from the Peak District National Park (in conflict with Policy DLP 33).

The site would affect the setting of Honley.

The site owner refuses to support the proposal.

The site requires access via third party land.

Over 400 hectares has been identified in total which shows no attempt to prioritise or select on the basis of viability, sufficiency or impact.

The size of these proposals at over 25 acres would necessitate the submission of an Environmental Impact Assessment which would demonstrate that the site is unsuitable.

Disproportionate size of quarry in comparison with the size of the village and will be an eyesore.

Detrimental impact on character of the Holme Valley villages, the scenery, and farmland.

Detrimental impact on the surrounding area including nearby residences, Honley Wood and the villages of Honley and Meltham and conservation area.

Preserve the space between Honley and other villages.

The quarry would be too close to Honley, and have a direct impact on residents and businesses.

Johnsons have failed to present any evidence to demonstrate that they could mitigate all of the issues to such a point that planning permission would be considered.

There is no reason on sustainability grounds for further quarrying of stone; when it is perfectly feasible to recycle and re-use other minerals instead.

Honley – ME1970, ME1971 and ME1972

The proposal for a stone quarry on the 70-acre site off Meltham Road is unacceptable – it would be the size of 70 football pitches and is unwanted, providing no benefit to the village.

The level and type of heavy traffic through Honley would dramatically increase; noise pollution, road safety and dust would be intolerable.

Summary & Recommendations

The Parish Council objects to the development of the abovementioned sites, as the proposals are not in line with national and local planning policies (NPPF and DLP). The Council therefore recommends that all these sites are removed from the draft Local Plan Site Allocations. (Holme Valley Parish Council).

Proposal is not consistent with section 13 of NPPF.

Stone reserves already exist at working and former quarries.

The site would have a negative impact on businesses close by. If the quarry went ahead, local equestrian business may be unviable.

Honley is a beautiful village that attracts walkers, cyclists, ramblers and bird watchers. This has a positive effect on the village, and local businesses. The proposal is for an open cast quarry which will be an eyesore and detract from the beautiful surrounding countryside that will have a negative economic impact the village.

If the site becomes landfill it will create odour and litter problems and disruption from waste collection vehicles.

A quarry will not provide any benefit to the local community.

A quarry will reduce local house prices.

The quarry would have a negative impact on Honley and Meltham.

The proposed quarry is 30m from the nearest existing house and a further 400m (approximately) from the village playing fields, which includes an infants' play area and a football pitch. Just beyond the playing fields is a modern housing estate and also the local after school club.

This land should be used for agriculture and reducing food miles which is critical to the medium and long term impact on the planet.

Policies and allocations relating to the location and scope of quarry operations are far too market driven and wholly dependent on the voluntary co-operation of quarry operators.

There will be a loss of visual amenity.

A quarry would attract criminality and vandalism and is an extremely dangerous environment for young people.

The quarrying industry is largely mechanised meaning the amount of labour required is minimal.

This site would create an estimated 5 jobs.

There is no justification of need / stone reserves at Crosland Hill are adequate to meet future needs.

The Plan states "the potential future mineral working allocation is principally based on information provided by the local quarrying industry relating to its requirement over the plan period" This does not seem an objective method and inevitably identifies an absolute maximum.

Site is contrary to Policy DLP 37

The evidence submitted by Johnson Wellfield Quarries has not been made available, preventing the opportunity for it to be scrutinised and challenged.

This site is not in accordance with the Council's methodology because there is not a willing land owner and cannot therefore be part of the plan.

The site would have a negative impact on tourist / visitor numbers.

The quarry site is too near to the road and village and should be further towards Meltham Mills where there are fewer dwellings.

Johnsons Wellfield makes a valuable contribution to the local economy through employment of a skilled workforce and its day to day relationship with the local supply chain of goods and services.

Johnsons Wellfield's policy is not to landfill with degradable waste.

Johnsons Wellfield's activities are focused towards the low key extraction of stone block (without blasting), the benefit of which is that the minimum necessary area for working is active at any stage.

Restoration of the site would be undertaken promptly within worked out areas, restoring extraction sites using material left behind when the stone block has been removed.

ME1971	Seventy Acre Farm, Meltham Road, Honley	Support 2	Conditional Support 1	Object 296	No Comment
DLP_AD224, DLP_AD247, DLP_AD406, DLP_AD459, DLP_AD554, DLP_AD565, DLP_AD714, DLP_AD724, DLP_AD785, DLP_AD856, DLP_AD907, DLP_AD933, DLP_AD975, DLP_AD1005, DLP_AD1263, DLP_AD1269, DLP_AD1287, DLP_AD1343, DLP_AD1463, DLP_AD1588, DLP_AD1598, DLP_AD1628, DLP_AD1730, DLP_AD1749, DLP_AD1757, DLP_AD1781, DLP_AD1782, DLP_AD1792, DLP_AD1798, DLP_AD1803, DLP_AD1806, DLP_AD1810, DLP_AD1816, DLP_AD1822, DLP_AD1832, DLP_AD1835, DLP_AD1843, DLP_AD1852, DLP_AD1873, DLP_AD1885, DLP_AD1905, DLP_AD1910, DLP_AD1929, DLP_AD1960, DLP_AD1970, DLP_AD1982, DLP_AD1992, DLP_AD2012, DLP_AD2018, DLP_AD2023, DLP_AD2028, DLP_AD2032, DLP_AD2047, DLP_AD2050, DLP_AD2061, DLP_AD2074, DLP_AD2096, DLP_AD2100, DLP_AD2116, DLP_AD2132, DLP_AD2145, DLP_AD2149, DLP_AD2167, DLP_AD2171, DLP_AD2173, DLP_AD2201, DLP_AD2210, DLP_AD2219, DLP_AD2228, DLP_AD2238, DLP_AD2246, DLP_AD2256, DLP_AD2268, DLP_AD2274, DLP_AD2285, DLP_AD2291, DLP_AD2303, DLP_AD2316, DLP_AD2324, DLP_AD2330, DLP_AD2353, DLP_AD2356, DLP_AD2359, DLP_AD2435, DLP_AD2445, DLP_AD2459, DLP_AD2473, DLP_AD2505, DLP_AD2519, DLP_AD2531, DLP_AD2555, DLP_AD2558, DLP_AD2576, DLP_AD2587, DLP_AD2602, DLP_AD2655, DLP_AD2664, DLP_AD2674, DLP_AD2701, DLP_AD2721, DLP_AD2742, DLP_AD2779, DLP_AD2811, DLP_AD2840, DLP_AD2851, DLP_AD2865, DLP_AD2877, DLP_AD2883, DLP_AD2896, DLP_AD2925, DLP_AD2936, DLP_AD2948, DLP_AD2964, DLP_AD2974, DLP_AD2984, DLP_AD2996, DLP_AD3010, DLP_AD3049, DLP_AD3083, DLP_AD3089, DLP_AD3095, DLP_AD3122, DLP_AD3153, DLP_AD3175, DLP_AD3187, DLP_AD3218, DLP_AD3230, DLP_AD3254, DLP_AD3260, DLP_AD3276, DLP_AD3284, DLP_AD3301, DLP_AD3308, DLP_AD3326, DLP_AD3332, DLP_AD3347, DLP_AD3362, DLP_AD3415, DLP_AD3417, DLP_AD3485, DLP_AD3498, DLP_AD3552, DLP_AD3579, DLP_AD3585, DLP_AD3696, DLP_AD3719, DLP_AD3768, DLP_AD3800, DLP_AD3843, DLP_AD3880, DLP_AD3932, DLP_AD3939, DLP_AD4001, DLP_AD4033, DLP_AD4043, DLP_AD4051, DLP_AD4114, DLP_AD4185, DLP_AD4196, DLP_AD4217, DLP_AD4257, DLP_AD4271, DLP_AD4394, DLP_AD4408, DLP_AD4414, DLP_AD4420, DLP_AD4435, DLP_AD4549, DLP_AD4640, DLP_AD4742, DLP_AD4754, DLP_AD4807, DLP_AD4829, DLP_AD4834, DLP_AD4862, DLP_AD5008, DLP_AD5033, DLP_AD5102, DLP_AD5170, DLP_AD5186, DLP_AD5216, DLP_AD5287, DLP_AD5441, DLP_AD5520, DLP_AD5532, DLP_AD5556, DLP_AD5583, DLP_AD5662, DLP_AD5678, DLP_AD5724, DLP_AD5786, DLP_AD5788, DLP_AD5877, DLP_AD5883, DLP_AD5903, DLP_AD5912, DLP_AD5950, DLP_AD5953, DLP_AD5965, DLP_AD5984, DLP_AD6025, DLP_AD6068, DLP_AD6101, DLP_AD6150, DLP_AD6193, DLP_AD6203, DLP_AD6287, DLP_AD6370, DLP_AD6383, DLP_AD6405, DLP_AD6424, DLP_AD6499, DLP_AD6511, DLP_AD6554, DLP_AD6573, DLP_AD6608, DLP_AD6632, DLP_AD6641, DLP_AD6652, DLP_AD6665, DLP_AD6686, DLP_AD6807, DLP_AD6815, DLP_AD6840, DLP_AD6855, DLP_AD6858, DLP_AD6864, DLP_AD6871, DLP_AD6886, DLP_AD6901, DLP_AD6930, DLP_AD6955, DLP_AD6970, DLP_AD6989, DLP_AD7026, DLP_AD7064, DLP_AD7092, DLP_AD7282, DLP_AD7347, DLP_AD7363, DLP_AD7377, DLP_AD7391, DLP_AD7407, DLP_AD7447, DLP_AD7486, DLP_AD7513, DLP_AD7563, DLP_AD7763, DLP_AD7770, DLP_AD7791, DLP_AD7836, DLP_AD7852, DLP_AD7866, DLP_AD7867, DLP_AD7898, DLP_AD7931, DLP_AD7966, DLP_AD8030, DLP_AD8082, DLP_AD8092, DLP_AD8311, DLP_AD8340, DLP_AD8082, DLP_AD8357, DLP_AD8462, DLP_AD8468, DLP_AD8485, DLP_AD8521, DLP_AD8529, DLP_AD8533, DLP_AD8820, DLP_AD9020, DLP_AD9042, DLP_AD9105, DLP_AD9109, DLP_AD9122, DLP_AD9138, DLP_AD9153, DLP_AD9162, DLP_AD9171, DLP_AD9182, DLP_AD9191, DLP_AD9201, DLP_AD9215, DLP_AD9226, DLP_AD9256, DLP_AD9272, DLP_AD9335, DLP_AD9425, DLP_AD9451, DLP_AD9476, DLP_AD9496, DLP_AD9501, DLP_AD9524, DLP_AD10067, DLP_AD10085, DLP_AD10392, DLP_AD10408, DLP_AD10566, DLP_AD10614					

Transport infrastructure is not suitable to cope with increase in HGVs, roads are too narrow and road surfaces unsuitable.

Access routes through Honley, Meltham or Netherton are insufficient.

Proposed change

This mineral option was originally accepted in the draft local plan (November 2015). However, following further

## Summary of comments

---

Meltham Road is not suitable for HGV traffic from a quarry.  
Large vehicles coming to & from the quarry would cause major problems through Honley village which is not suitable for traffic of this size, nature & frequency.  
Westgate is too narrow for large lorries.

Serious traffic management issues with the substantial numbers of very large lorries accessing the site. Vehicles would have to either use the steep and narrow road from Meltham Mills or through the very congested and narrow streets of Honley village.  
The level and type of heavy traffic through Honley will have a detrimental impact on highway safety for pedestrians, school children and the community of Honley  
Honley Bridge and Station Road roundabout and Huddersfield Road are already congested.

Lorries will damage local roads / have already damaged roads / will damage buildings in Honley.

The local road network can't be improved.

Traffic generation would be minimal, comparable to agricultural activity.  
Water pollution caused by disturbance of water seams and infiltration of pollutants and chemicals from existing refuse tips due to quarrying.

Currently when it rains significant run off occurs on Hassocks Lane onto Scotgate Road which freezes in winter causing hazards to vehicles and pedestrians.

Quarrying and subsequent landfill will cause pollution of water resources and surrounding agricultural soils and could well cause the interruption of land drainage of the water, affecting the local housing  
Increased pressure on the already overworked drainage system  
Impact on the water table  
Too close to Honley village– site operations and associated increase in traffic will affect local resident's quality of life, cause disturbance and increase health risks in terms of dust, noise, vibration and air pollution

Proximity of the development to local housing and businesses

Lorries will create noise and air pollution.

There will be problems with dust in summer, mud in winter and noise from machinery and blasting.

Concerns about methane gas affecting local properties.

Prevailing westerly wind will carry dust and noise across Honley.  
The site is next to Honley Old Wood Ancient Woodland.

Ancient woodlands are irreplaceable habitats and the loss/ damage of ancient woodland is in direct contradiction of Paragraph 118 of the NPPF (see comments for ME2264 and H2089). The proposed allocation has the potential to impact the ancient woodland through air quality and hydrology impacts. Yorkshire Wildlife Trust advise that all impacts on the ancient woodland is fully assessed prior to the adoption of the allocation, and appropriate mitigation should be designed into the allocation if required. Such could involve the design of an ecological buffer along the northern boundary of the allocation in order to reduce the recreational, air quality and hydrology impacts. Such would also be in accordance with Paragraph 118 of the NPPF which states that:  
'opportunities to incorporate biodiversity in and around developments should be encouraged'  
The site is also partially within our River Colne Valley Living Landscape. Yorkshire Wildlife Trust would therefore advise that any development in this area incorporates habitat creation/ enhancement into its master plan/ restoration (see comments for H584 for more information).  
An environmental impact study is needed to fully assess the impact of the proposed quarry.  
Honley Woods is one of West Yorkshire's largest remaining ancient semi-natural woodland areas. Covering 60 hectares (150 acres), it is an important example of upland oak woodland and is a key part of

## Council Response

---

consultation the site has been rejected.

Comments in relation to the rejection of the site have been noted.

Whilst it is accepted that the site promoter (JWQ) is a valuable contributor to the local economy, employment directly at the site would be limited and unlikely to result in the creation of a significant number of additional jobs.

Working on site and subsequent site restoration could be carried out in such a way to minimise the impact of mineral extraction. However, the site can be overlooked from distance, particularly from the direction of Castle Hill to the north east and the Peak District National Park to the west and mineral extraction and the associated storage of quarry waste in this location is likely to have a significant impact on the character of the local landscape.

the local forest habitat network.

The site has a wide diversity of wildlife, including many tawny owls, badgers, red kites, hedgehogs, woodpeckers and deer which will be lost.

Quarrying will damage the environment and ecosystems.

Badgers can be found in Honley Woods and the surrounding area. There is no assurance that they will be safeguarded.

Honley Wood is a protect Oak and Beech woodland.

There should be a buffer around Honley Conservation Area.

There are two Scheduled Cairnfields in close proximity to this site. In addition, there are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings on Chandler Lane which could be affected by the development of this area. National policy guidance makes it clear that Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional.

In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though the site is allocated for development within the Plan, the need to pay "special regard" to the desirability of preserving these Listed Buildings or their setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed at all or the anticipated extent of the development is a lot smaller than anticipated.

In order to demonstrate that the identification of this allocation is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of the designated heritage assets in its vicinity and what effect the proposed development might have upon them.

Before identifying this site as an allocation:-

(1) An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to those elements which contribute towards the significance of the heritage assets in its vicinity and what impact the proposed development might have upon their significance.

(2) If it is considered that the development of this site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of these assets, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced.

(3) If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of any of these assets, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134). (Historic England)

Pollution and vibration from HGVs will affect listed buildings and the conservation area.

Dust will affect people with respiratory problems.

Land fill would affect people's health.

Pulmonary health will suffer.

The rock being mined is a sandstone with a high silica content. My concern is that there is no way to control the airborne silica, as it is too fine to see in normal light conditions. If silicone is released into the atmosphere then there is a high risk of silicosis in those children and young adults utilising the sports ground and playing area. Silicosis is a serious lung disease causing permanent disability and premature death.

Surrounding footpaths and bridleways will be compromised.

The public rights of way in the area are well used by walkers, hikers, cyclists and horse riders who will be discouraged from doing so if the quarry goes ahead.

If the quarry goes ahead it should become a community recreation area.

The land is greenbelt and should remain for food production.

Support for greenbelt and objection to quarrying in the greenbelt.

The proposal encroaches on existing Green Belt, with no obvious justification or analysis to identify any

over-riding need.  
The site has high landscape value.  
Any mineral extraction would have a significant impact on the landscape which could not be restored.

Many people consider the Holme Valley as an area of outstanding natural beauty and a quarry would be an act of vandalism.

The surrounding field patterns are part of the landscape and this will be destroyed.

Site would be highly visible, including from Castle Hill.  
The views from Honley up to Nab Hill and Meltham Moor would be utterly blighted.  
Honley Moor will have a very significant landscape impact, especially when viewed from the Peak District National Park (in conflict with Policy DLP 33).

The site would affect the setting of Honley.  
The site owner refuses to support the proposal.

The site requires access via third party land.

Over 400 hectares has been identified in total which shows no attempt to prioritise or select on the basis of viability, sufficiency or impact.

The size of these proposals at over 25 acres would necessitate the submission of an Environmental Impact Assessment which would demonstrate that the site is unsuitable.  
Disproportionate size of quarry in comparison with the size of the village and will be an eyesore.

Detrimental impact on character of the Holme Valley villages, the scenery, and farmland.

Detrimental impact on the surrounding area including nearby residences, Honley Wood and the villages of Honley and Meltham and conservation area.  
Preserve the space between Honley and other villages.  
The quarry would be too close to Honley, and have a direct impact on residents and businesses.

Johnsons have failed to present any evidence to demonstrate that they could mitigate all of the issues to such a point that planning permission would be considered.

There is no reason on sustainability grounds for further quarrying of stone; when it is perfectly feasible to recycle and re-use other minerals instead.  
Honley – ME1970, ME1971 and ME1972

The proposal for a stone quarry on the 70-acre site off Meltham Road is unacceptable – it would be the size of 70 football pitches and is unwanted, providing no benefit to the village.  
The level and type of heavy traffic through Honley would dramatically increase; noise pollution, road safety and dust would be intolerable.

#### Summary & Recommendations

The Parish Council objects to the development of the abovementioned sites, as the proposals are not in line with national and local planning policies (NPPF and DLP). The Council therefore recommends that all these sites are removed from the draft Local Plan Site Allocations. (Holme Valley Parish Council).  
Proposal is not consistent with section 13 of NPPF.  
Stone reserves already exist at working and former quarries.

The site would have a negative impact on businesses close by. If the quarry went ahead, local equestrian business may be unviable.

Honley is a beautiful village that attracts walkers, cyclists, ramblers and bird watchers. This has a positive effect on the village, and local businesses. The proposal is for an open cast quarry which will be an eyesore and detract from the beautiful surrounding countryside that will have a negative economic impact the village.

If the site becomes landfill it will create odour and litter problems and disruption from waste collection vehicles.

A quarry will not provide any benefit to the local community.

A quarry will reduce local house prices.

The quarry would have a negative impact on Honely and Meltham.

The proposed quarry is 30m from the nearest existing house and a further 400m (approximately) from the village playing fields, which includes an infants' play area and a football pitch. Just beyond the playing fields is a modern housing estate and also the local after school club.

This land should be used for agriculture and reducing food miles which is critical to the medium and long term impact on the planet.

Policies and allocations relating to the location and scope of quarry operations are far too market driven and wholly dependent on the voluntary co-operation of quarry operators.

There will be a loss of visual amenity.

A quarry would attract criminality and vandalism and is an extremely dangerous environment for young people.

The quarrying industry is largely mechanised meaning the amount of labour required is minimal.

This site would create an estimated 5 jobs.

There is no justification of need / stone reserves at Crosland Hill are adequate to meet future needs.

The Plan states "the potential future mineral working allocation is principally based on information provided by the local quarrying industry relating to its requirement over the plan period" This does not seem an objective method and inevitably identifies an absolute maximum.

Site is contrary to Policy DLP 37

The evidence submitted by Johnson Wellfield Quarries has not been made available, preventing the opportunity for it to be scrutinised and challenged.

This site is not in accordance with the Council's methodology because there is not a willing land owner and cannot therefore be part of the plan.

The site would have a negative impact on tourist / visitor numbers.

The quarry site is too near to the road and village and should be further towards Meltham Mills where there are fewer dwellings.

Johnsons Wellfield makes a valuable contribution to the local economy through employment of a skilled workforce and its day to day relationship with the local supply chain of goods and services.

Johnsons Wellfield's policy is not to landfill with degradable waste.

Johnsons Wellfield's activities are focused towards the low key extraction of stone block (without blasting), the benefit of which is that the minimum necessary area for working is active at any stage.

Restoration of the site would be undertaken promptly within worked out areas, restoring extraction sites



using material left behind when the stone block has been removed.

ME1972	Seventy Acre Farm, Meltham Road, Honley	Support 2	Conditional Support 1	Object 294	No Comment
<p>DLP_AD225, DLP_AD248, DLP_AD407, DLP_AD460, DLP_AD566, DLP_AD715, DLP_AD725, DLP_AD823, DLP_AD857, DLP_AD908, DLP_AD935, DLP_AD976, DLP_AD1006, DLP_AD1264, DLP_AD1270, DLP_AD1288, DLP_AD1344, DLP_AD1451, DLP_AD1464, DLP_AD1589, DLP_AD1599, DLP_AD1629, DLP_AD1731, DLP_AD1750, DLP_AD1758, DLP_AD1783, DLP_AD1784, DLP_AD1793, DLP_AD1799, DLP_AD1804, DLP_AD1807, DLP_AD1811, DLP_AD1817, DLP_AD1823, DLP_AD1833, DLP_AD1836, DLP_AD1844, DLP_AD1853, DLP_AD1874, DLP_AD1886, DLP_AD1906, DLP_AD1911, DLP_AD1961, DLP_AD1972, DLP_AD1983, DLP_AD1993, DLP_AD2013, DLP_AD2019, DLP_AD2024, DLP_AD2029, DLP_AD2033, DLP_AD2048, DLP_AD2051, DLP_AD2062, DLP_AD2075, DLP_AD2097, DLP_AD2102, DLP_AD2117, DLP_AD2133, DLP_AD2146, DLP_AD2150, DLP_AD2168, DLP_AD2172, DLP_AD2174, DLP_AD2202, DLP_AD2211, DLP_AD2220, DLP_AD2229, DLP_AD2239, DLP_AD2247, DLP_AD2257, DLP_AD2269, DLP_AD2275, DLP_AD2286, DLP_AD2304, DLP_AD2317, DLP_AD2325, DLP_AD2332, DLP_AD2357, DLP_AD2363, DLP_AD2419, DLP_AD2436, DLP_AD2446, DLP_AD2460, DLP_AD2474, DLP_AD2507, DLP_AD2520, DLP_AD2533, DLP_AD2556, DLP_AD2559, DLP_AD2577, DLP_AD2588, DLP_AD2603, DLP_AD2656, DLP_AD2665, DLP_AD2675, DLP_AD2702, DLP_AD2722, DLP_AD2743, DLP_AD2765, DLP_AD2780, DLP_AD2812, DLP_AD2842, DLP_AD2852, DLP_AD2866, DLP_AD2867, DLP_AD2878, DLP_AD2885, DLP_AD2897, DLP_AD2926, DLP_AD2937, DLP_AD2949, DLP_AD2965, DLP_AD2975, DLP_AD2985, DLP_AD3012, DLP_AD3050, DLP_AD3084, DLP_AD3090, DLP_AD3096, DLP_AD3123, DLP_AD3154, DLP_AD3176, DLP_AD3188, DLP_AD3219, DLP_AD3231, DLP_AD3255, DLP_AD3261, DLP_AD3277, DLP_AD3285, DLP_AD3302, DLP_AD3309, DLP_AD3327, DLP_AD3333, DLP_AD3348, DLP_AD3363, DLP_AD3370, DLP_AD3418, DLP_AD3486, DLP_AD3499, DLP_AD3553, DLP_AD3580, DLP_AD3588, DLP_AD3607, DLP_AD3699, DLP_AD3721, DLP_AD3753, DLP_AD3769, DLP_AD3801, DLP_AD3844, DLP_AD3881, DLP_AD3933, DLP_AD3940, DLP_AD4002, DLP_AD4034, DLP_AD4044, DLP_AD4052, DLP_AD4115, DLP_AD4186, DLP_AD4187, DLP_AD4218, DLP_AD4258, DLP_AD4272, DLP_AD4395, DLP_AD4409, DLP_AD4415, DLP_AD4422, DLP_AD4436, DLP_AD4550, DLP_AD4641, DLP_AD4743, DLP_AD4755, DLP_AD4808, DLP_AD4830, DLP_AD4835, DLP_AD4863, DLP_AD5010, DLP_AD5103, DLP_AD5169, DLP_AD5218, DLP_AD5288, DLP_AD5431, DLP_AD5437, DLP_AD5442, DLP_AD5524, DLP_AD5533, DLP_AD5557, DLP_AD5584, DLP_AD5664, DLP_AD5679, DLP_AD5725, DLP_AD5733, DLP_AD5787, DLP_AD5789, DLP_AD5878, DLP_AD5884, DLP_AD5904, DLP_AD5913, DLP_AD5951, DLP_AD5954, DLP_AD5966, DLP_AD5985, DLP_AD6027, DLP_AD6069, DLP_AD6102, DLP_AD6151, DLP_AD6194, DLP_AD6204, DLP_AD6288, DLP_AD6371, DLP_AD6384, DLP_AD6406, DLP_AD6425, DLP_AD6500, DLP_AD6513, DLP_AD6555, DLP_AD6574, DLP_AD6609, DLP_AD6633, DLP_AD6642, DLP_AD6651, DLP_AD6666, DLP_AD6687, DLP_AD6806, DLP_AD6816, DLP_AD6841, DLP_AD6859, DLP_AD6860, DLP_AD6865, DLP_AD6872, DLP_AD6887, DLP_AD6902, DLP_AD6931, DLP_AD6956, DLP_AD6990, DLP_AD7027, DLP_AD7066, DLP_AD7093, DLP_AD7283, DLP_AD7348, DLP_AD7364, DLP_AD7378, DLP_AD7392, DLP_AD7408, DLP_AD7448, DLP_AD7488, DLP_AD7514, DLP_AD7564, DLP_AD7765, DLP_AD7771, DLP_AD7792, DLP_AD7837, DLP_AD7853, DLP_AD7899, DLP_AD7932, DLP_AD7968, DLP_AD8031, DLP_AD8093, DLP_AD8312, DLP_AD8341, DLP_AD8356, DLP_AD8463, DLP_AD8467, DLP_AD8486, DLP_AD8522, DLP_AD8530, DLP_AD8534, DLP_AD9021, DLP_AD9043, DLP_AD9106, DLP_AD9114, DLP_AD9123, DLP_AD9139, DLP_AD9152, DLP_AD9163, DLP_AD9173, DLP_AD9183, DLP_AD9192, DLP_AD9202, DLP_AD9216, DLP_AD9227, DLP_AD9258, DLP_AD9273, DLP_AD9336, DLP_AD9426, DLP_AD9452, DLP_AD9477, DLP_AD9497, DLP_AD9502, DLP_AD9525, DLP_AD10068, DLP_AD10086, DLP_AD10393, DLP_AD10409, DLP_AD10567, DLP_AD10616</p>					

Transport infrastructure is not suitable to cope with increase in HGVs, roads are too narrow and road surfaces unsuitable.

Access routes through Honley, Meltham or Netherton are insufficient.

Meltham Road is not suitable for HGV traffic from a quarry.

Large vehicles coming to & from the quarry would cause major problems through Honley village which is not suitable for traffic of this size, nature & frequency.

Westgate is too narrow for large lorries.

Serious traffic management issues with the substantial numbers of very large lorries accessing the site. Vehicles would have to either use the steep and narrow road from Meltham Mills or through the very congested and narrow streets of Honley village.

The level and type of heavy traffic through Honley will have a detrimental impact on highway safety for pedestrians, school children and the community of Honley

Honley Bridge and Station Road roundabout and Huddersfield Road are already congested.

Lorries will damage local roads / have already damaged roads / will damage buildings in Honley.

The local road network can't be improved.

Traffic generation would be minimal, comparable to agricultural activity.

Water pollution caused by disturbance of water seams and infiltration of pollutants and chemicals from existing refuse tips due to quarrying.

Currently when it rains significant run off occurs on Hassocks Lane onto Scotgate Road which freezes in winter causing hazards to vehicles and pedestrians.

Quarrying and subsequent landfill will cause pollution of water resources and surrounding agricultural soils and could well cause the interruption of land drainage of the water, affecting the local housing

Increased pressure on the already overworked drainage system

Impact on the water table

Too close to Honley village– site operations and associated increase in traffic will affect local resident's

Proposed change

This mineral option was originally accepted in the draft local plan (November 2015). However, following further consultation the site has been rejected.

Comments in relation to the rejection of the site have been noted.

Whilst it is accepted that the site promoter (JWQ) is a valuable contributor to the local economy, employment directly at the site would be limited and unlikely to result in the creation of a significant number of additional jobs.

Working on site and subsequent site restoration could be carried out in such a way to minimise the impact of mineral extraction. However, the site can be overlooked from distance, particularly from the direction of Castle Hill to the north east and the Peak District National Park to the west and mineral extraction and the associated storage of quarry waste in this location is likely to have a significant impact on the character of the local landscape.

quality of life, cause disturbance and increase health risks in terms of dust, noise, vibration and air pollution

Proximity of the development to local housing and businesses

Lorries will create noise and air pollution.

There will be problems with dust in summer, mud in winter and noise from machinery and blasting.

Concerns about methane gas affecting local properties.

Prevailing westerly wind will carry dust and noise across Honley.

The site is next to Honley Old Wood Ancient Woodland.

Ancient woodlands are irreplaceable habitats and the loss/ damage of ancient woodland is in direct contradiction of Paragraph 118 of the NPPF (see comments for ME2264 and H2089). The proposed allocation has the potential to impact the ancient woodland through air quality and hydrology impacts. Yorkshire Wildlife Trust advise that all impacts on the ancient woodland is fully assessed prior to the adoption of the allocation, and appropriate mitigation should be designed into the allocation if required. Such could involve the design of an ecological buffer along the northern boundary of the allocation in order to reduce the recreational, air quality and hydrology impacts. Such would also be in accordance with Paragraph 118 of the NPPF which states that:

'opportunities to incorporate biodiversity in and around developments should be encouraged'

The site is also partially within our River Colne Valley Living Landscape. Yorkshire Wildlife Trust would therefore advise that any development in this area incorporates habitat creation/ enhancement into its master plan/ restoration (see comments for H584 for more information).

An environmental impact study is needed to fully assess the impact of the proposed quarry.

Honley Woods is one of West Yorkshire's largest remaining ancient semi-natural woodland areas.

Covering 60 hectares (150 acres), it is an important example of upland oak woodland and is a key part of the local forest habitat network.

The site has a wide diversity of wildlife, including many tawny owls, badgers, red kites, hedgehogs, woodpeckers and deer which will be lost.

Quarrying will damage the environment and ecosystems.

Badgers can be found in Honley Woods and the surrounding area. There is no assurance that they will be safeguarded.

Honley Wood is a protect Oak and Beech woodland.

There should be a buffer around Honley Conservation Area.

There are two Scheduled Cairnfields in close proximity to this site. In addition, there are a number of Grade II Listed Buildings on Chandler Lane which could be affected by the development of this area. National policy guidance makes it clear that Scheduled Monuments are regarded as being in the category of designated heritage assets of the highest significance where substantial harm to their significance should be wholly exceptional.

In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that "special regard" should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though the site is allocated for development within the Plan, the need to pay "special regard" to the desirability of preserving these Listed Buildings or their setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed at all or the anticipated extent of the development is a lot smaller than anticipated.

In order to demonstrate that the identification of this allocation is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of the designated heritage assets in its vicinity and what effect the proposed development might have upon them.

Before identifying this site as an allocation:-

(1) An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to those elements which contribute towards the significance of the heritage assets in its vicinity and what impact the proposed development might have upon their significance.

(2) If it is considered that the development of this site would harm elements which contribute to the

significance of these assets, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced.

(3) If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of any of these assets, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134). (Historic England)

Pollution and vibration from HGVs will affect listed buildings and the conservation area.

Dust will affect people with respiratory problems.

Land fill would affect people's health.

Pulmonary health will suffer.

The rock being mined is a sandstone with a high silica content. My concern is that there is no way to control the airborne silica, as it is too fine to see in normal light conditions. If silicone is released into the atmosphere then there is a high risk of silicosis in those children and young adults utilising the sports ground and playing area. Silicosis is a serious lung disease causing permanent disability and premature death.

Surrounding footpaths and bridleways will be compromised.

The public rights of way in the area are well used by walkers, hikers, cyclists and horse riders who will be discouraged from doing so if the quarry goes ahead.

If the quarry goes ahead it should become a community recreation area.

The land is greenbelt and should remain for food production.

Support for greenbelt and objection to quarrying in the greenbelt.

The proposal encroaches on existing Green Belt, with no obvious justification or analysis to identify any over-riding need.

The site has high landscape value.

Any mineral extraction would have a significant impact on the landscape which could not be restored.

Many people consider the Holme Valley as an area of outstanding natural beauty and a quarry would be an act of vandalism.

The surrounding field patterns are part of the landscape and this will be destroyed.

Site would be highly visible, including from Castle Hill.

The views from Honley up to Nab Hill and Meltham Moor would be utterly blighted.

Honley Moor will have a very significant landscape impact, especially when viewed from the Peak District National Park (in conflict with Policy DLP 33).

The site would affect the setting of Honley.

The site owner refuses to support the proposal.

The site requires access via third party land.

Over 400 hectares has been identified in total which shows no attempt to prioritise or select on the basis of viability, sufficiency or impact.

The size of these proposals at over 25 acres would necessitate the submission of an Environmental Impact Assessment which would demonstrate that the site is unsuitable.

Disproportionate size of quarry in comparison with the size of the village and will be an eyesore.

Detrimental impact on character of the Holme Valley villages, the scenery, and farmland.

Detrimental impact on the surrounding area including nearby residences, Honley Wood and the villages of

Honley and Meltham and conservation area.  
Preserve the space between Honley and other villages.  
The quarry would be too close to Honley, and have a direct impact on residents and businesses.

Johnsons have failed to present any evidence to demonstrate that they could mitigate all of the issues to such a point that planning permission would be considered.

There is no reason on sustainability grounds for further quarrying of stone; when it is perfectly feasible to recycle and re-use other minerals instead.

Honley – ME1970, ME1971 and ME1972

The proposal for a stone quarry on the 70-acre site off Meltham Road is unacceptable – it would be the size of 70 football pitches and is unwanted, providing no benefit to the village.

The level and type of heavy traffic through Honley would dramatically increase; noise pollution, road safety and dust would be intolerable.

Summary & Recommendations

The Parish Council objects to the development of the abovementioned sites, as the proposals are not in line with national and local planning policies (NPPF and DLP). The Council therefore recommends that all these sites are removed from the draft Local Plan Site Allocations. (Holme Valley Parish Council).

Proposal is not consistent with section 13 of NPPF.

Stone reserves already exist at working and former quarries.

The site would have a negative impact on businesses close by. If the quarry went ahead, local equestrian business may be unviable.

Honley is a beautiful village that attracts walkers, cyclists, ramblers and bird watchers. This has a positive effect on the village, and local businesses. The proposal is for an open cast quarry which will be an eyesore and detract from the beautiful surrounding countryside that will have a negative economic impact the village.

If the site becomes landfill it will create odour and litter problems and disruption from waste collection vehicles.

A quarry will not provide any benefit to the local community.

A quarry will reduce local house prices.

The quarry would have a negative impact on Honley and Meltham.

The proposed quarry is 30m from the nearest existing house and a further 400m (approximately) from the village playing fields, which includes an infants' play area and a football pitch. Just beyond the playing fields is a modern housing estate and also the local after school club.

This land should be used for agriculture and reducing food miles which is critical to the medium and long term impact on the planet.

Policies and allocations relating to the location and scope of quarry operations are far too market driven and wholly dependent on the voluntary co-operation of quarry operators.

There will be a loss of visual amenity.

A quarry would attract criminality and vandalism and is an extremely dangerous environment for young people.

The quarrying industry is largely mechanised meaning the amount of labour required is minimal.

This site would create an estimated 5 jobs.

Summary of comments

Council Response

There is no justification of need / stone reserves at Crosland Hill are adequate to meet future needs.

The Plan states “the potential future mineral working allocation is principally based on information provided by the local quarrying industry relating to its requirement over the plan period” This does not seem an objective method and inevitably identifies an absolute maximum.

Site is contrary to Policy DLP 37

The evidence submitted by Johnson Wellfield Quarries has not been made available, preventing the opportunity for it to be scrutinised and challenged.

This site is not in accordance with the Council’s methodology because there is not a willing land owner and cannot therefore be part of the plan.

The site would have a negative impact on tourist / visitor numbers.

The quarry site is too near to the road and village and should be further towards Meltham Mills where there are fewer dwellings.

Johnsons Wellfield makes a valuable contribution to the local economy through employment of a skilled workforce and its day to day relationship with the local supply chain of goods and services.

Johnsons Wellfield’s policy is not to landfill with degradable waste.

Johnsons Wellfield’s activities are focused towards the low key extraction of stone block (without blasting), the benefit of which is that the minimum necessary area for working is active at any stage.

Restoration of the site would be undertaken promptly within worked out areas, restoring extraction sites using material left behind when the stone block has been removed.

**ME1975** Land at Moor End Farm, Nopper Lane, Crosland Moor  
DLP\_AD567, DLP\_AD7070, DLP\_AD9013

This area lies 350 metres from the edge of the South Crossland Conservation Area. Mineral extraction could harm elements which contribute towards the significance of this area. The Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay “special attention” to “the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance” of its Conservation Areas. In order to demonstrate that the allocation of this area is not incompatible with the statutory duty placed upon the Council under the provisions of the 1990 Act, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this area makes to those elements which contribute to the character or appearance of the Conservation Area and what effect the proposed development might have upon the designated area.

Before allocating this site for development:-

(1) An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution which this site makes to the elements which contribute towards the significance of the Conservation Area and what impact the proposed development might have upon those significances.

(2) If it is considered that the development of this site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of the Conservation Area, then the Plan needs to set out the measures by which that harm might be removed or reduced.

(3) If, at the end of the process, it is concluded that the development would still be likely to harm elements which contribute to the significance of the Conservation Area, then this site should not be allocated unless there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (as is required by NPPF, Paragraph 133 or 134). (Historic England)

Concern about significant landscape impact, including the Peak District National Park.

Support for allocation as it supports the local economy and a local business. Concern that policies and allocations relating to the location and scope of quarry operations are far too market driven and wholly dependent on the voluntary co-operation of quarry operators.

Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment

Proposed Change to Preferred Area

The site is a significant distance from the South Crosland Conservation Area and, based on previous experience at the nearby airfield extension, it is considered that mineral extraction could be achieved without significant detrimental impact being caused to the heritage asset. A full assessment of the likely impacts on local heritage assets would be required in support of any subsequent planning application.

Impacts on the surrounding landscape including the nearby Peak District National Park would need to be fully addressed as part of a planning application to develop the site.

Policies and allocations have been influenced to some extent by the views of site operators but have been principally designed by the Council.

Summary of comments

Council Response

**Waste**

W1	Land north of Emerald Street, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations were received		No change			
		This site was an accepted waste site in the Draft Local Plan (November 2015). The site option has been accepted as a waste allocation and accords with the site selection methodology. The site has been accepted for the following reasons:			
		Half of this site is already in use as a materials recycling facility (MRF) and deals with local authority collected waste. Based on the projected waste arisings and existing waste treatment capacity in the district an additional MRF is required to address the potential capacity gap. In view of this the site option has been accepted.			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Waste (Safeguarded)**

<b>WS1</b>	Scotland Yard, Queens Mill Road, Lockwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS2</b>	Cartwright Mill, Watergate Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS3</b>	The Reins, Huddersfield Road, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS4</b>	Headlands Road Depot, Headlands Road, Liversedge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS5</b>	Laneside Quarry Landfill Site, Off Bellstring Lane, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS6</b>	Queens Square, Huddersfield Road, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS7</b>	Units 7-8 Norquest Industrial Estate, Pennine View, Birstall	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>WS8</b>	Nab Lane, Birstall, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS9</b>	Firths Yard, Mill Road, Batley Carr	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS10</b>	The Old School House, Meltham Mills Road, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
The allocation site is within our River Colne Valley Living Landscape. We would therefore advise that any development in this area incorporates habitat creation/ enhancement into its master plan/ restoration		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
		Comments in relation to biodiversity have been noted; however site is already established for waste.			
<b>WS11</b>	Back Chapel Lane, Moldgreen, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS12</b>	Huddersfield Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS13</b>	Wellfield Quarry, Blackmoorfoot Road, Crosland Hill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS14</b>	Clayfield Works, Crimble, Slaithwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD2487		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
Noise pollution - the skips yards does not have sufficient acoustic barriers to its boundary. As a result it causes a significant nuisance to the immediately adjacent residents of Crimble. There is vehicle movement at 6am which compounds the issue.		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		Comments in relation to environmental health have been noted; however site is already established for waste.			
<b>WS15</b>	Foxhall Farm, Oowler Lane, Birstall	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS16</b>	Clayton Hall Farm, Clayton West, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object 8	No Comment
DLP_AD4740, DLP_AD5439, DLP_AD5485, DLP_AD6077, DLP_AD6812, DLP_AD6824, DLP_AD10654, DLP_AD10862					
Site is only suited to renewable and not waste due to effect on nearby residential properties The site is a haven for wildlife and migratory birds. Deer, foxes, buzzards, owls, etc. are present. Hobby hawks have been seen and storks. The environment and biodiversity would be damaged by the allocation of a waste site and future development of "like businesses", Site is only suited to renewable and not waste due to effect on the historic landscape (country park and historic parkland Litherup Lane and within sight of Bretton Hall)		No change.			
The immediate vicinity has historical importance, with barns dating to at least 1653. Indeed it is likely a settlement has existed at Clayton Hall Farm for some time, since antiquaries have been found, e.g., bronze age axe, Roman coins and a quern was found at High Hoyland which is now in the Tolson Museum. It is currently the site of a farmstead and working farm,		Site option has been accepted for the following reasons;			
Site is only suited to renewable and not waste due to effect on the landscape as it would be visible for miles around. The safeguarding of this site for waste management is contrary to National Policy for waste which only requires local authorities to identified opportunities to meet needs.		Site option has been accepted. To achieve self sufficiency it is important that existing capacity is safeguarded within Kirklees. The site imports food waste from external sources for processing and, at present, provides the only facility of this type dealing with this waste stream within Kirklees. Consequently it is considered to be an important waste processing facility, hence its safeguarding within the Local Plan.			
The safeguarding of this site for waste only would sterilise the site and potential future uses. The designation of this site for waste only would be a retrospective and permanent change to the existing planning permission.		This is an established facility therefore all constraints will have been identified - including environmental health, biodiversity, historic environment and landscape impacts - assessed and mitigated through the granting of planning permission.			
Restricting uses in the vicinity (DLP46), may have a detrimental effect on the farm holding and operations.		Whilst it is accepted that national policy does not require the safeguarding of waste facilities, it must be recognised that this is guidance and does not preclude individual authorities developing their own policy approach. The Council is keen to promote a self sufficient approach to the treatment and management of waste produced in the district. Safeguarding waste facilities helps provide a mechanism to monitor the capacity for dealing with different waste streams, and therefore plan positively for the future needs of waste treatment / management facilities.			
Kirklees Council "Waste Needs Assessment Jan 2016 and Growth Forecasts and Assessment of Future Needs Jan 2016 do not mention the site, as being existing capacity or needed for future use. This is due to the 25 year PFI with Sita(UK) Ltd.		The safeguarding designation does not retrospectively change the current planning permission which was for a waste treatment facility. This facility accepts waste - including food waste - from both within the district and the wider region.			
The size and extent of the proposed area is more extensive than the existing planning permission for the biogas plant. The slurry lagoons and lanes belong to the farm.		The site has benefited from significant investment - which has included the introduction of a further reactor in the last 18 months - and based upon the life expectancy for this type of facility it is considered the operation will continue for the duration of the plan.			
A waste use would have the potential to negatively impact on the Art Triangle (YSP, Hepworth, etc), Kirklees Light Railway, Cannon Hall and Holmfirth, the Dearne Valley which is a developing tourist industry, with many B and B and holiday lets situated locally		Should the facility cease operation during the plan period then the opportunity for change of use would not be precluded subject to accordance with policy DLP 46.			
		Whilst the slurry contained within the lagoons is used on the farm, the slurry lagoons themselves are directly related to the operation of the biodigestors in that the slurry is derived from effluent generated within the methane reactors.			
		The waste needs assessment took account of all the waste streams arising in the district including existing capacity to treat/manage them. This includes privately operated facilities across Kirklees that contribute to the waste treatment/management capacity. It is generally recognised that the disposal of food waste to landfill is a less attractive option and a more sustainable method of managing this waste stream is preferred.			
<b>WS17</b>	Barnsley Road, Upper Cumberworth, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Goes right to the edge of a conservation area. Includes the removal of Eunice Lane Recreation Ground		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
Fundamental change to the look and characteristics of the village		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
Planned development goes right to the edge of Upper Cumberworth village		Comments in relation to the historic environment, open space and the impacts upon the characteristics of the village have been noted; however site is already established for waste.			
<b>WS18</b>	Arch 4 - Crimble Viaduct, Viaduct Street, Slaithwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS19</b>	Hillhouse Sidings, Alder Street, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS20</b>	Unit 10 - West End Mills, Brick Street, Cleckheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS21</b>	Top Vale Works, Colne Vale Road, Milnsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS22</b>	Bent Ley Road, Meltham, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD11104		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
The proposed allocations are within close proximity to Honley Old Wood Ancient Woodland. Ancient woodlands are irreplaceable habitats and the loss/ damage of ancient woodland is in direct contradiction of Paragraph 118 of the NPPF. The proposed allocation have the potential to impact the ancient woodland through air quality and hydrology impacts.		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
We therefore advise that all impacts on the ancient woodland is fully assessed prior to the adoption of the allocation, and appropriate mitigation should be designed into the allocation if required. Such would be in accordance with Paragraph 118 of the NPPF		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
The sites are also within our River Colne Valley Living Landscape. We would therefore advise that any development in this area incorporates habitat creation/ enhancement into its master plan/ restoration		Comments in relation to biodiversity and the natural environment have been noted; however site is already established for waste.			
<b>WS23</b>	485 Bradford Road, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS24</b>	Liversedge Goods Yard, Halifax Road, Liversedge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS25</b>	Low Mill Lane, Ravensthorpe, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS26</b>	14 Heckmondwike Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS27</b>	Ravensthorpe Industrial Estate, Low Mill Lane, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS28</b>	Britannia Road, Milnsbridge Road, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS29</b>	10 Bank Street, Westgate, Cleckheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS30</b>	13 Nabb Lane, Birstall	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>WS31</b>	Bar Street, Leeds Road, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS32</b>	Sewage Works, New Mill Road, Brockholes	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD8625		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
YWT - The proposed allocation is immediately adjacent to Cliff Wood Ancient Woodland. Ancient woodlands are irreplaceable habitats and the loss/ damage of ancient woodland is in direct contradiction of Paragraph 118 of the NPPF. The proposed allocation has the potential to impact the ancient woodland through air quality and hydrology impacts.		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
We therefore advise that all impacts on the ancient woodland is fully assessed prior to the adoption of the allocation, and appropriate mitigation should be designed into the allocation if required. Such would also be in accordance with Paragraph 118 of the NPPF		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
The site is also within our River Colne Valley Living Landscape. We would therefore advise that any development in this area incorporates habitat creation/ enhancement into its master plan/ restoration		Comments in relation to biodiversity and the natural environment have been noted; however site is already established for waste.			
<b>WS33</b>	Ladywood Way, Ravensthorpe Industrial Estate, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS34</b>	Thornhill Quarry, Ravensthorpe Road, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS35</b>	Bradley Park Landfill Site, Ashbrow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS36</b>	Low Mills, Ravensthorpe, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS37</b>	5 Fairway Industrial Estate, The Green, Gelderd Road	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

					Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason: Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.
<b>WS38</b>	Carr Hill Quarry, Barnsley Road, Upper Cumberworth, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason: Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.
<b>WS39</b>	Units 1-5 Newlands Trade Park, School Lane, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason: Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.
<b>WS40</b>	Weaving Lane, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason: Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.
<b>WS41</b>	54 Upper Station Road, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason: Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.
<b>WS42</b>	Leader Distribution Centre, Colne Side Business Park, George Street	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason: Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.
<b>WS43</b>	The Triangle, Paddock Foot, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason: Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.
<b>WS44</b>	The Stone Yard, Back Station Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:

Summary of comments		Council Response					
<hr/>		<hr/>					
<b>WS45</b>	Bent Ley Road, Meltham, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment	
DLP_AD8626							
<p>The proposed allocations are within close proximity to Honley Old Wood Ancient Woodland. Ancient woodlands are irreplaceable habitats and the loss/ damage of ancient woodland is in direct contradiction of Paragraph 118 of the NPPF. The proposed allocation have the potential to impact the ancient woodland through air quality and hydrology impacts.</p> <p>We therefore advise that all impacts on the ancient woodland is fully assessed prior to the adoption of the allocation, and appropriate mitigation should be designed into the allocation if required. Such would be in accordance with Paragraph 118 of the NPPF</p> <p>The sites are also within our River Colne Valley Living Landscape. We would therefore advise that any development in this area incorporates habitat creation/ enhancement into its master plan/ restoration</p>		<p>Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.</p> <p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:</p> <p>Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.</p> <p>Comments in relation to biodiversity and environmental health have been noted; however site is already established for waste.</p>					
<b>WS46</b>	Wood Lane, Battleyford, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support		Object	No Comment	
No Representations received							
		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:</p> <p>Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.</p>					
<b>WS47</b>	Albion Street, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support		Object	No Comment	
No Representations received							
		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:</p> <p>Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.</p>					
<b>WS48</b>	Stoney Battery Road, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support		Object	1	No Comment
DLP_AD5448							
<p>Object to the site being safeguarded for waste. Western area of site is an established storage use, eastern area retains the waste management licence. Designation extends across the total site area, this cannot be achieved and is a use which the owners want to remove rather than extend.</p> <p>Safeguarding designation would preclude alternative uses on the site. Site owner will need flexibility over the proposed plan period.</p> <p>Condition for the wise use precludes the occupation of two overlooking dwellings. Designation would continue to preclude the occupation of both dwellings for an unreasonable length of time.</p> <p>Remove safeguarding designation.</p>		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:</p> <p>Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.</p> <p>The objection to the safeguarding of this site has been noted, however, it is prudent for the local authority to safeguard waste management facilities to maintain the current waste treatment capacity within the district with the aim of working towards a net self-sufficient approach.</p> <p>It is acknowledged that the owner may not wish to maintain the current waste treatment operation therefore there is flexibility within the waste safeguarding policy to accommodate any future changes to business operations that may result in the loss of the waste treatment capacity.</p>					
<b>WS49</b>	Green Head, High House Lane, Linthwaite	Support	Conditional Support		Object	No Comment	
No Representations received							
		<p>No change from the draft Local Plan.</p> <p>Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:</p> <p>Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.</p>					

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>WS50</b>	157 Huddersfield Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS51</b>	Firth Street, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS52</b>	45-46 Lower Viaduct Street, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS53</b>	Saville Street, Off Bradford Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS54</b>	Unit 7 - Barncliffe Mills, Long Moor Lane, Shelley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS55</b>	Flint Street, Fartown, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS56</b>	10a Hartley Street, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change from the draft Local Plan.			
		Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:			
		Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.			
<b>WS57</b>	Bromley Farm Quarry, Off Barnsley Road, Upper Cumberworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment



Summary of comments

Goes right to the edge of a conservation area.  
Includes the removal of Eunice Lane Recreation Ground

Fundamental change to the look and characteristics of the village

Planned development goes right to the edge of Upper Cumberworth village

Council Response

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:

Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.

Comments in relation to the historic environment, open space and impacts upon Upper Cumberworth have been noted; however site is already established for waste.

**WS58** Forge Lane Quarry, Forge Lane , Dewsbury  
DLP\_AD8624

YWT - allocations is within our Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape. This is an area identified by the Trust as important for wildlife and with the potential to be enhanced for biodiversity. The Calder Valley river corridor contains areas of farmland and wetlands in addition to woodland and river habitats. We would therefore like to see any major allocations within our Lower Calder Valley Living Landscape to include enhancements for biodiversity

The allocation is also immediately adjacent to the River Calder. We would therefore expect any potential ecological impacts on the River Calder to be fully investigated prior to the adoption of the allocation.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:

Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.

Comments in relation to biodiversity and the natural environment have been noted; however site is already established for waste.

**WS59** Peace Wood Quarry, Off Huddersfield Road, Shelley

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:

Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.

**WS60** Temple Quarry, Off Liley Lane, Grange Moor

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:

Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.

**WS61** Hillhouse Edge Quarry, Cartworth Moor Road, Cartworth Moor  
DLP\_AD1519

Support the allocation

Support 1 Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:

Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.

Comments in support of the allocation has been noted.

**WS62** Windy Ridge Quarry, Cartworth Moor Road, Cartworth Moor

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change from the draft Local Plan.

Waste safeguarding option has been accepted for the following reason:

Established waste facility. Option accepted in accordance with the waste safeguarding policy.

**Major Development in Green Belt**

<b>MDGB2134</b>	Land at Storthes Hall, Kirkburton, Huddersfield	Support 7	Conditional Support 8	Object 24	No Comment 2
DLP_AD2755, DLP_AD2827, DLP_AD2929, DLP_AD2972, DLP_AD3425, DLP_AD3845, DLP_AD3892, DLP_AD4128, DLP_AD4378, DLP_AD4427, DLP_AD4572, DLP_AD4675, DLP_AD4911, DLP_AD5249, DLP_AD5407, DLP_AD5484, DLP_AD5728, DLP_AD5758, DLP_AD5805, DLP_AD5806, DLP_AD5807, DLP_AD6637, DLP_AD7528, DLP_AD7542, DLP_AD7885, DLP_AD8005, DLP_AD8330, DLP_AD8364, DLP_AD8607, DLP_AD8705, DLP_AD8974, DLP_AD9027, DLP_AD9089, DLP_AD9941, DLP_AD10344, DLP_AD10675, DLP_AD10904, DLP_AD10915, DLP_AD10974, DLP_AD10991, DLP_AD11067	<p>Mix of uses on this site will determine the extent of the impact on the Strategic Road Network - residential development may result in impact on M1 at Junction 39 (Highways England).</p> <p>Road congestion, road capacity issues especially Penistone Road and associated development sites in Lepton, small country lanes around Castle Hill, Farnley Tyas, Thurstonland and Stocksmoor would not cope with traffic from such a development.</p> <p>Cumulative impact of developments.</p> <p>Key issue at the junction of Storthes Hall Lane and the A629 - congestion and road safety issues.</p> <p>Lack of public transport access.</p> <p>Development as a retirement village would lower pressure on local transport network.</p> <p>Impact on public rights of way.</p> <p>Surface water flood risk issues - particularly at Thunderbridge Dyke / Fenay Beck and potential impacts on housing at Waterloo.</p> <p>Site adjacent to Hartley Bank Wood Ancient Woodland - housing immediately adjacent to ancient woodlands can significantly impact such sites. Need to fully assess impacts prior to the determination of the allocation.</p> <p>Proximity to ancient woodland and Local Wildlife Sites is not acknowledged.</p> <p>Ensure established trees are retained and need to reduce impact on wildlife.</p> <p>Wildlife affected (bats).</p> <p>Positive provision of features to minimise the impact on Storthes Hall woods should be included in future plans.</p> <p>The Lodge and Mansion as Grade II listed building are within close proximity to this site and special regard should be had to their setting in any allocation. There is a need for any development proposals to ensure that their significance is not harmed (Historic England).</p> <p>School provision insufficient.</p> <p>Health provision insufficient.</p> <p>Site is a detached green belt site.</p> <p>Physical infrastructure will not cope.</p> <p>High quality design required.</p> <p>Support use of a Brownfield site.</p> <p>Preference for mixed use or further care/retirement homes rather than general market housing.</p> <p>Too many houses proposed.</p> <p>Proposals for the planning permission for retirement village on southern part of the site supported.</p> <p>Support for retirement community in this location.</p> <p>General supporting comment for site.</p> <p>Should be transparent about the proposals.</p>	No change.			
					<p>The site is proposed as an accepted major developed site in the green belt. The option was accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>
					<p>Site access achievable although improvements required to Storthes Hall Lane/Penistone Road junction to accommodate a development of this scale, potentially contaminated land therefore assessment required, noise assessment required. Design of site will need to incorporate protected trees areas and consider impact on listed buildings.</p>
					<p>Highways information indicates that junction improvements will be required to the Storthes Hall Lane and Penistone Road junction to accommodate development of this scale but can be made acceptable in the context of the proposed scheme.</p>
					<p>Surface water run-off rates from the site will need to be in accordance with the surface water policy in the local plan once adopted.</p>
					<p>Protected trees within the site have been removed from the developable area and protected trees outside the site boundary are covered by the local plan trees policy and tree preservation orders where appropriate.</p>
					<p>A heritage impact assessment is required and the layout of the development will need to minimise impacts on the setting of listed buildings on the edge of this site.</p>
					<p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p>
					<p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p>
					<p>Although the site is detached from the non-green belt area, this site is previously developed and therefore is appropriate as a major developed site in the green belt.</p>
					<p>There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement. The southern part of the site has planning permission for a continuing care retirement community.</p>

**Safeguarded Land**

SL2161	Land east of, Upper Quarry Road, Bradley, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD8249					
3rd party land not required - landowner in control of whole of site.		No Change			
		This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		A suitable site access currently cannot be achieved without third party land. Issues of road safety in the area and access road will require making up to adoptable standard.			
		This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.			
SL2163	Balderstone Hall Lane, Mirfield	Support 1	Conditional Support 2	Object 3	No Comment
DLP_AD2699, DLP_AD6324, DLP_AD7576, DLP_AD9044, DLP_AD9290, DLP_AD10599					
Site is in close proximity of Mirfield and Ravensthorpe and their services. Private car good access to M62, A62. Public transport - train station is 1.5m away, and bus stops at Flash Lane/Shillbank Lane and Greenside Road. Transport assessment (site owners') concluded that traffic impacts are not severe, and suitable mitigation can be put in place. Wellhouse Lane is a hazardous road.		No Change			
Site within flood zone 1 and not at risk of flooding. Surface water can be directed to soak ways		This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
Phase 1 field survey demonstrates site is not considered to have significant ecological value. No TPOs on site.		This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.			
Potential negative impact on adjacent Grade II Listed Buildings at Balderstone Hall. Special regard should be had to preserving listed buildings and their settings. Where assessment shows that the development of the site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings, mitigation measures will be required. If the harm remains, it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (Historic England).					
Heritage Report submitted demonstrates neutral impact on setting of listed building.					
Two schools in close proximity to site.					
Health centre in close proximity to site					
Site preserves open space and should not be developed. This land should be retained for recreation use.					
Site should be allocated for residential rather than using green belt.					
Land should be returned to green belt status					
Site is well related to the urban area					
Site owned by Bellway Homes available for development. Unsuitable due to refused planning application and withdrawn application.					
Sewers have sufficient capacity (Hepworth Lane). No known contamination due to agricultural use. Site is landlocked.					
The site is an existing POL site, therefore presumption in favour of the allocation of site for residential. The site should be used for residential within the plan period. Site has had two previous planning applications one refused, one withdrawn after being recommended for refusal on highways and drainage issues.					
SL2164	Land to the north west of, Netherfield Close, Kirkburton, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 2	No Comment
DLP_AD3140, DLP_AD4494, DLP_AD9309					
Road congestion.		No change.			
Transport infrastructure not sufficient.		The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as safeguarded land in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
Drainage capacity insufficient.					
Sewer infrastructure cannot cope					
Site adjoins the boundary of the Kirkburton Conservation Area. The loss of this open area could harm elements which contribute to the significance of this area. Need an assessment of the contribution this					

## Summary of comments

currently undeveloped area makes to the character of appearance of the conservation area. If it would be harmful mitigation measures should be set out and site only allocated if there are clear benefits which outweigh the harm (Historic England).

School capacity.

Health services insufficient - medical centre

Proposals go against the purposes of green belt - to prevent urban sprawl. Proposals would join Kirkburton and Highburton.

Physical infrastructure will not cope with cumulative impacts of development.

Electricity blackouts on a regular basis.

## Council Response

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. A significant area of third party land is required for access therefore this site is unlikely to be deliverable or developable during the plan period.

Further work would need to be undertaken in relation to site drainage options and a heritage impact assessment would be required in relation to impacts on the Kirkburton conservation area.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

This site was allocated as Provisional Open Land in the Unitary Development Plan therefore is not part of the existing green belt.

As this site is proposed as Safeguarded Land, such issues can be re-considered at the review of this local plan.

**SL2165** Land to the north west of, Urban Terrace, Denby Lane, Grange Moor, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD3109, DLP\_AD4588, DLP\_AD10416

Support Conditional Support Object 3 No Comment

Objection to safeguarded land as should use existing non-green belt sites such as this before green belt sites.

Site was originally Brownfield land.

Need to explain why other sites have been allocated for development rather than this site.

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as an rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for safeguarded land. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

The site overlies a proposed accepted housing site.

**SL2166** Land south east of, Dobb Lane, Hinchcliffe Mill, Holmfirth  
DLP\_AD9296

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

The development of this site could impact on the setting of a pair of Grade II Listed Buildings in the south-eastern corner. Special regard should be had to preserving listed buildings and their settings. The site also adjoins the Hinchcliffe Mill Conservation Area. The loss of this open area could harm elements which contribute to its significance. The council has to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of conservation areas. Need an assessment of the contribution this currently undeveloped area makes to the character of appearance of the conservation area. If it would be harmful mitigation measures should be set out and site only allocated if there are clear benefits which outweigh the harm (Historic England).

No change.

This site was an accepted Safeguarded Land option in the draft local plan and it is still proposed as Safeguarded Land.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. The site is adjacent to a poor highway network unsuited to any intensification of use at this point and achievement of the required visibility splays may not be possible.

Potential impacts on listed buildings and the Hinchcliffe Mill conservation area would require a heritage impact assessment if a housing option was accepted on this site.

**SL2167** land to the west of, 82-138, Mount Road, Marsden, Huddersfield  
DLP\_AD1902, DLP\_AD1908, DLP\_AD1912, DLP\_AD1913, DLP\_AD5124, DLP\_AD10704

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 5 No Comment

Access issues from Netherley Drive.

No change

Parking issues on Mount Road

Natural springs / surface water run-off from Pule Hill.

The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Topography of site would make drainage difficult.

Junction improvements required with Netherley Drive / Mount Road. Third party land would be required. Site

Summary of comments

Impact on wildlife - site acts as habitat for range of species.

The site is within 200m of South Pennine Moors SPA and 500m of Peak District PROW across the access

Site is within 500m of Peak District National Park - highly visible site  
This site should be considered for allocation as Green Belt.

Site separates Old Mount Road hamlet from Marsden.  
Part of the site is classified as Level E Landslide Hazard by British Geological Survey. Risk of subsidence from soil structure.  
Should use Brownfield land first, e.g. former mills in Marsden

Council Response

within 300m of SSSI / SPA / SAC. Would require Habitat risk assessment. The site is in flood zone 1 with a watercourse or public combined sewer available for surface water drainage.

The supporting comments for the site rejection are noted.

**SL2168** Land to the South of, Tolson Street, Chickenley, Dewsbury

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. There is no obvious access into the site. There is possible access off Chickenley Lane however third party land would be required to achieve suitable access layout. Visibility splays at the Chickenley Lane / Access Road junction are sub-standard to the right of the access. In addition, the narrow strip connecting the site to Chickenley Lane may be too narrow (approx 3.8m - 5.2m) to provide access to site.

No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan.

**SL2169** Land to the south west of, Ballroyd Lane, Longwood, Huddersfield

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change.

The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Accessing site from Church Street would require a larger frontage. The topography from Church Street does also not lend itself to accessing the site effectively. Dale Street could form an appropriate access but site currently has no site frontage to this. Number of listed buildings to Church Street, their setting may be impacted upon. Also significant archaeological features on the site that will need to be recorded in advance of development. Potential noise issues arising from nearby industrial sites.

**SL2170** Dunford Road, Hade Edge, Homfirth

Support Conditional Support 2 Object 8 No Comment

DLP\_AD1214, DLP\_AD1338, DLP\_AD1379, DLP\_AD4659, DLP\_AD5246, DLP\_AD5424, DLP\_AD5880, DLP\_AD5931, DLP\_AD6547, DLP\_AD9301

Public transport not reliable and frequency issues.  
Road congestion (B6106 Penistone Road, Underbank), Dunford Road and many roads cannot be extended.  
Parking issues (Underbank).  
Road safety in winter weather conditions.  
Sites are not within an area with good transport links.  
Flooding issues - development would create surface run-off problems.  
Sewer infrastructure and water supply infrastructure will not cope.  
Proposals will bring more pollution. (air, noise)  
Biodiversity affected.  
Site is 450m from Wild Boar Clough Local Wildlife Site and 870m from the South Pennine Moors SSSI.  
Impact on Listed Buildings in Hade Edge.

Proposed change.

The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was shown as a safeguarded land site. The reasons for the change are outlined below:

Housing option (H288a) has now been accepted on the central part of this site where there is no Environmental Health objection in relation to the impacts of the farm therefore SL2170 has now been rejected and two consequential options (SL2170a and SL2170b) have been accepted to cover the remainder of the area of SL2170.

The supporting comments for the site rejection are noted and have been considered below. There is now an

Summary of comments

The development of this site could impact on the setting of Grade II Listed Buildings in its vicinity (Hade Edge Methodist Chapel and adjacent Sunday school). Special regard should be had to preserving listed buildings and their settings. Where assessment shows that the development of the site would harm elements which contribute to the significance of these buildings, mitigation measures will be required. If the harm remains, it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh the harm (Historic England).  
 School capacity insufficient including Holmfirth High School.  
 Health provision may not cope.  
 Access to hospital provision due to potential closure of Huddersfield A&E.  
 Loss of farmland / agriculture.

Infrastructure will not cope and no plans for improvements.  
 Police, fire and ambulance services will not cope.  
 Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size.  
 Negative impact on the community.  
 More crime.  
 Loss of views.  
 Significant development has already taken place in the village.  
 Increases viability of amenities.  
 Rights of way in the vicinity of the site.  
 Should use Brownfield land first.  
 Don't use green belt.  
 Use empty homes before allocating new sites.  
 Sites are approximately 4 miles from the Peak District National Park.  
 The term "safeguarded land" is misleading.  
 Negative impact on tourism.  
 Lack of employment to sustain new homes.  
 Large Brownfield site on Huddersfield Road between Holmfirth and Honley.  
 Site should be included for development now but safeguarded land support if full allocation not deemed appropriate.  
 Unrealistic timescales for housing delivery if the land is allocated as safeguarded land.  
 Site is reasonably sustainably located.  
 Negative impact on tourism.  
 Rural areas should provide part of the requirement for new housing.  
 Proposals not well publicised and insufficient time to comment.  
 Site is available immediately.

**SL2171** Land to the west of, 27-75, Greenside Road, Mirfield  
 DLP\_AD10600  
 Highway safety  
 Flood alleviation  
 Preserve open space  
 Land should be green belt. Land should remain free of development to preserve space between towns and prevent urban sprawl

**SL2172** Land to the south of, Hartcliffe Mills, Barnsley Road, Denby Dale, Huddersfield  
 DLP\_AD2414, DLP\_AD3785, DLP\_AD3797, DLP\_AD4533, DLP\_AD8091  
 The site has good access  
 Previous planning permission in the site (94/90741) for new access road and new buildings on the site  
 Previous planning permission in the site (94/90741) for new access road and new buildings on the site - no

Council Response

accepted housing option (H288a) on part of this site and as a consequence the remaining parts of SL2170 remain as safeguarded land options (SL2170a and SL2170b).  
 Highways assessment of this land has shown that access can be achieved and that the local links to the wider network are acceptable.  
 Surface water drainage solutions would be required to ensure Greenfield run-off from this site in line with the local plan policy once adopted.  
 Natural England have stated that the development of this site would not impact directly on designated sites but that in combination effects needs to be assessed.  
 Appropriate landscaping and design would be required to ensure sufficient mitigation in terms of impacts on the setting of listed buildings adjacent to the site.  
 The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.  
 Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.  
 The term "safeguarded land" is consistent terminology with the National Planning Policy Framework.  
 The council have a strategy to bring empty homes back into use but the local plan does not rely on this as capacity from this source is not guaranteed.  
 There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment  
 No Change  
 This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.

Support 4 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment  
 Proposed change.  
 The site will be rejected, giving consideration to the planning history provide flexibility for the adjacent business. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

Summary of comments	Council Response
<p>reason why safeguarding should continue.</p> <p>The site should be included in the PEA or be unallocated to allow the adjacent business to expand.</p>	
<p><b>SL2173</b> Land to the east of, Far Bank, Shelley, Huddersfield DLP_AD3430, DLP_AD9308</p> <p>Far Bank is busier than when land was previously safeguarded. Shelley Methodist Church, a Grade II listed building is at the centre of this area. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. Significant third party land required for access. Insufficient road frontage to gain access from Far Bank. Access could be achieved using a number of options to the east but these have been rejected for housing. Lack of evidence that access can be achieved to ensure a deliverable or developable site during the plan period.</p> <p>Further assessment of highways and potential impacts on heritage assets would take place at the next local plan review to determine whether this site is suitable to accommodate development at that stage.</p>
<p><b>SL2175</b> Land to the north of, Elmtree Close, Norristhorpe Lane, Norristhorpe, Liversedge DLP_AD10601</p> <p>Site should be returned to the Green Belt to prevent urban sprawl.. Term 'safeguarded land' is misleading.</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.</p>
<p><b>SL2176</b> Land north of, Kaye Lane, Almondbury DLP_AD9036</p> <p>Broken Cross has a number of listed buildings, an assessment needs to be made of the impact of this before SL allocation.</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Site access is not currently achievable without the use of third party land.</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.</p> <p>Comments for Historic England have been noted.</p>
<p><b>SL2177</b> Gosling Hall Farm, Greenhead Lane, Almondbury</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		No suitable access can be achieved. This site has been allocated as safeguarded land as it is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.			
<b>SL2178</b>	Land north of Calder Drive, Newsome, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed Change			
		The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated for safeguarded land. The reason for the change are outlined below:			
		Part of the site contains well used allotments. This part of the site has been retained as Urban Greenspace. The remainder of the site is now part of accepted site option H1728a.			
		No representation were received for this site.			
<b>SL2181</b>	Land to the south of, Fairfield Court, Halifax Road, Hightown, Liversedge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No Change			
		This site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land option. It formed an accepted safeguarded land option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		Housing option (H709) covering the same site area as this option was rejected on the grounds that it does not front an adopted highway. Third party land is required to achieve access. No evidence has been provided that the site is deliverable or developable during the local plan period.			
		There is however, a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period.			
<b>SL2182</b>	Land to the west of, Back Lane, Grange Moor, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No Change.			
		The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. Site access not achievable. No site frontage to the adopted highway. No suitable site access layout can be achieved to serve the additional dwellings. Pond and lowland mixed deciduous woodland within the site.			
		No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan,			
<b>SL2183</b>	Land to the South of, Tudor Street, Slaitwaite, Huddersfield DLP_AD10135, DLP_AD10137, DLP_AD10138, DLP_AD10140, DLP_AD10141	Support	Conditional Support	Object 5	No Comment
Access possible from Stockerhead Lane / Tudor Street and Linfit Lane.		No change.			
The safeguarded land site would be suitable for residential development.		The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Site has frontage to Linfit Lane, but this is unlikely to form a safe access. Access from Stockerhead Lane may be possible, but would require third party land and would have to take account of PROW			



Summary of comments		Council Response				
<b>SL2184</b>	Land to the north of, Dirker Drive, Marsden, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD9293		No change.				
This site adjoins the boundary of the Marsden Conservation Area. Dirker and Ivy Cottage, on the site's northern boundary, are Grade II Listed Buildings. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area / listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area/Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).		The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
		No site frontage to adopted highway. Spring Head Lane would need a significant upgrade to form a suitable access. Site is 630m from SPA / SAC / SSSI and is functionally linked land to this. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the conservation area and adjacent Grade II listed buildings.				
<b>SL2185</b>	Land to the north west of, Causeway Crescent, Linthwaite, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support		Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed change.				
		The option for safeguarded land is rejected. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).				
		Development at Hoyle Ing is under construction - the rest of the site would be unlikely to form a suitable development option. Parts of the site could be developed with the site being unallocated. The surrounding highway network would be unsuitable for the intensification of development of the whole site.				
<b>SL2186</b>	Land adjacent to Spinksmire Mill, Huddersfield Road, Meltham, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support		Object	2
DLP_AD2501, DLP_AD9453		No change.				
Highway safety issues achieving access.		The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
The site has high landscape value at entrance to Meltham and setting of Meltham Greenway.		Site access achievable if necessary visibility splays can be achieved. Melktham Dike to the south east of the site. Noise and odour assessments required.				
		The area of this site has been reduced, as the south western part of the site has permission for four houses and is unlikely to have further development potential.				
<b>SL2187</b>	Land at, Robert Lane and Bill Lane, Wooldale, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD9297		No change.				
The site is within Wooldale Conservation Area and adjacent to The Methodist Free Church - a Grade II listed building. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area / listed building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area/Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).		The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
		Development of this site would impact on the setting of the listed Wooldale Methodist Church within the site in the south. Also, potential impacts of development on Wooldale Conservation Area. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of these designated heritage assets.				
		No draft Local Plan consultation comments received.				
<b>SL2188</b>	Land north east of, Laithe Avenue, Holmbridge, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support		Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.				
		The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted				

Summary of comments

Council Response

	<p>safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. Dobb Top Lane is narrow and steep and unsuitable for any intensification of use. Lack of evidence relating to achieving 2.4m x 43m visibility splays on to Laithe Avenue.</p>
<p><b>SL2189</b> Land to the north of, Holme Valley Memorial Hospital, Huddersfield Road, Thongsbridge, Holmfirth DLP_AD5443, DLP_AD9298</p> <p>The landowner is looking at resolving access issues. The site includes 191 Huddersfield Road, a Grade II listed building. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the listed building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).</p> <p>The site should be allocated for housing.</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. Current access from Huddersfield Road unsuitable. Suitable access could be achieved through adjoining extensive options in the green belt but these have been rejected.</p> <p>Comments supporting the allocation of this site for housing are noted but the above information sets out the reason for rejection of this land as a housing option (H726). It is acknowledged that this site could be considered for potential development in the longer term beyond this local plan period.</p>
<p><b>SL2190</b> Land at, Cold Hill Lane and Huddersfield Road, New Mill, Holmfirth No Representations received</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object No Comment</p> <p>Proposed change.</p> <p>The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). However the site now has planning consent for the development of 4 dwellings.</p>
<p><b>SL2191</b> Land at, Cliff Lane, Holmfirth DLP_AD9299, DLP_AD10867</p> <p>Site access issues, roads around the site are inadequate. Development could affect setting of conservation area. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area and Listed Building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area and Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Local highway network considered unsuitable for a development of this scale. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed building and adjacent conservation area.</p>
<p><b>SL2192</b> Land north of, Kemps Way, Hepworth, Holmfirth DLP_AD1454, DLP_AD7595, DLP_AD9300</p> <p>Poor vehicular access and local highway infrastructure. The site access does not constitute appropriate reason to maintain safeguarded land designation on the site.</p> <p>Transport impacts would need to be assessed relative to scale and type of development proposed. Impact on adjacent wildlife habitat. This site adjoins the boundary of the Hepworth Conservation Area and to the churchyard of the Grade II Listed Church of the Holy Trinity. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area / listed building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area/Listed Building it must be demonstrated</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object 2 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site was a rejected safeguarded land option in the draft local plan (November 2015) and remains rejected. Note that this site forms part of a newly accepted mixed use option (MX1912a).</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is not a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes on this site alone beyond the end of the local plan period. However, a larger option (MX1912a) also including land to the north has overcome the access constraints on this site. SL2192 has no site frontage to adopted highway. Access road to Dobroyd Mills could provide access but would require 3rd party land which appears to be in multiple ownerships and improvements are needed to bring site to adoptable standard. There is therefore not sufficient evidence that this</p>

Summary of comments

that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development  
The site can allow for extension to Dobroyd Mills - so therefore should be allocated for employment or be unallocated / without notation.

Council Response

site is deliverable.

The supporting comments for the site rejection are noted. The site access issues have been addressed as part of a larger option (MX1912a) which includes the adjacent land to the north.

West Yorkshire Ecology have not raised any concerns about impacts on wildlife on this site. A heritage impact assessment will be required to satisfy the concerns of Historic England.

The mixed use option (MX1912a) which includes part of this site would retain some employment uses in the area but also include residential development.

**SL2193** Land south of, Netheroyd Hill Road, Cowcliffe, Huddersfield

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Site access cannot be achieved due to the retention of the bowling green.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.

**SL2194** Land east of, Bradley Mills Road, Rawthorpe, Huddersfield

DLP\_AD3851, DLP\_AD9037

HE; this site has not been taken account of in Highways England modelling. If brought forward in the plan period it may need further mitigation measures.

Netherhall barn is a scheduled monument and a listed building. An assessment needs to be made as to potential impact on setting of this barn.

Support Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Various issues occur on this site. Site falls within a HSE inner zone, a BAP priority habitat covers over 2ha of the site. The southern boundary adjoins Netherhall Barn which is a Scheduled Monument.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.

Comments from Highways England and Historic England have been noted.

**SL2195** Land to the north west of, Tumshaw Road, Kirkburton, Huddersfield

DLP\_AD3139, DLP\_AD4492, DLP\_AD9306

The site is adjacent to Kirkburton conservation area. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Development would be disproportionate to size of settlement.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 2 No Comment

No change.

The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Lowland mixed deciduous woodland / TPOs on site. It would be difficult for the site to be developed / access to be gained because of the TPO trees. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the adjacent conservation area.

**SL2196** Land to the east of, Town Moor, Thurstonland, Huddersfield

DLP\_AD9307

The development would involve loss of open area in Thurstonland conservation area and could affect

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

No change.

Summary of comments

setting of Grade II listed Ash Cottage. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Conservation Area / Listed Building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Conservation Area / Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Council Response

The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

No site frontage to adopted highway. Lowland mixed deciduous woodland accounts for 0.1 hectare of the site. Removing this from the net area would result in a site area that is below 0.4 hectares. Site is within conservation area and may impact the setting of 84-92 Town Moor and Ash Cottage, all Grade II listed buildings. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the conservation area and listed buildings.

**SL2197** Land to the south west of, Upper Batley Lane, Upper Batley, Batley  
DLP\_AD9045, DLP\_AD9291

Support Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

HE - Southern half of this area may impact upon Upper Batley Conservation Area. Assessment needed to assess what contribution this site has in the setting of the Conservation Area.

No Change

This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.

Comments from Historic England have been noted

**SL2198** Land west of, 241 - 299, Heckmondwike Road, Dewsbury Moor, Dewsbury

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. The site lies within HSE hazardous installation zones (Inner, Middle and Outer), close to an existing industrial complex. It is in the setting of several listed building and within a high risk coal referral area.

No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan.

**SL2201** Land between Lees Hall Road and Ravensthorpe Road, Thornhill Lees, Dewsbury  
DLP\_AD9041, DLP\_AD9289

Support Conditional Support 2 Object No Comment

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which current undeveloped area makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed buildings adjacent to north-east corner of site. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. The site has no site frontage on to Ravensthorpe Road. Access can be achieved from Lees Hall Road, which is registered as adopted, however appears to be unadopted / private in the vicinity of the site frontage. 3rd party land may be required to make road up to adoptable standard along the site frontage and 100m leading up to the site.

The council has taken account of heritage assets as part of the local plan.

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>SL2202</b>	Moorlands Cutting, Tong Moorside DLP_AD10843, DLP_AD10844, DLP_AD10845	Support	Conditional Support	Object 3	No Comment
Site is cut off from Birkenshaw and will be physically more part of Bradford as well as being sandwiched between moorland.		No Change			
		This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.			
<b>SL2203</b>	Land to the north of, Wyke Lane, Oakenshaw, Bradford DLP_AD10995	Support	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
Site has not been included in Highways England West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study and may necessitate mitigation measures beyond 2030.		No Change			
		This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.			
		Comments from Highways England, West Yorkshire have been noted.			
<b>SL2204</b>	Thornccliffe Lane, Emley, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Site access is not achievable - no highway frontage. Potential impact on setting of listed building In close proximity to farm: odour assessment required. Entire site is within a high risk coal mining area			
<b>SL2205</b>	Rodley Lane, Emley, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Access to site is poor, not adoptable standard and poor visibility. Road would need widening to provide footway, which would require third party land. The entire site is within a high risk coal mining area. The site is in flood zone 1, tests for soak ways for surface water drainage required.			
<b>SL2268</b>	Land at, Haughs Road, Quarmby, Huddersfield DLP_AD9038, DLP_AD10994	Support	Conditional Support 2	Object	No Comment
This site had the potential to have an adverse impact on the operation of the strategic road network of Kirklees and surrounding areas of West Yorkshire. This site has not been taken into account in the Highways England West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study as the future year used in forecasting in the West Yorkshire Infrastructure Study is 2030. If these sites are brought forward for development before 2030 there may be a need for further physical mitigation measures. (Highways England)		No Change			
The northern part of this site would result in the loss of an open area in the Quarmby Fold Conservation Area. The Stables to the former farm at Holly Bank adjacent to the site's north-eastern corner are Grade II		The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land option. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan			

Summary of comments

Listed Buildings. The Council has a statutory duty under the provisions of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay “special attention” to “the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance” of its Conservation Areas.

In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that “special regard” should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is safeguarded for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. In order to demonstrate that the identification of this area as Safeguarded Land is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, or the statutory duties under the 1990 Act, there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this largely-undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of these designated heritage assets and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. (Historic England)

Council Response

period.

Comments are noted re. the impact on the motorway network should the site come forward within the Plan period. As the site is proposed as a safeguarded land option the impacts do not need to be assessed.

As the site may impact upon a number of listed buildings and the adjacent Conservation Area, a Heritage Impact Assessment would be required.

**SL2271** Land north of, New Hey Road, Salendine Nook

DLP\_AD9288

Salendine Nook Baptist Chapel under 70 metres from the western extent of this site is a Grade II Listed Building. In order to demonstrate that the identification of this area as Safeguarded Land is not incompatible with the requirements of the NPPF, as part of the Evidence Base underpinning the Plan there needs to be an assessment of what contribution this currently-undeveloped area makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of this Listed Building and what effect the loss of this site and its subsequent development might have upon them. In addition, there is a requirement in the 1990 Act that “special regard” should be had to the desirability of preserving Listed Buildings or their setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest which they possess. Although this requirement only relates to the determination of planning applications, failure to take account of this requirement at this stage may mean that, when a Planning Application is submitted, even though a site is safeguarded for development in the Local Plan, the need to pay special regard to the desirability of preserving a Listed Building or its setting may mean that either, the site cannot actually be developed or the anticipated quantum of development is undeliverable. (Historic England)

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

No change.

The site is an accepted safeguarded land option. This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period.

**SL2273** Land at Sugar Lane and Leeds Road, Dewsbury

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Change

The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council’s site allocation methodology.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. The site currently does not have a willing landowner.

No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan.

**SL2274** Land east of, Heckmondwike Road, Dewsbury Moor

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

Proposed change.

The site is proposed as an rejected housing allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was allocated as safeguarded land. The reasons for change are outlined below:

The site overlays proposed accepted housing allocation H1660.

Summary of comments	Council Response
	No comments were received on this site in response to the draft Local Plan.
<b>SL2275</b> Land Adjacent, Common Road, Staincliffe DLP_AD8193	Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment
Site promoter objects to the allocation of safeguarded land as site should be a housing allocation.	Proposed change This sites was an accepted safeguarded land option in the Draft Local Plan (November 2015). Fo
<b>SL2277</b> Land to south west of, Snelsins Lane, Chain Bar DLP_AD10996	Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment
The allocation of this site may have a potential adverse traffic impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network in Kirklees and the surrounding areas of West Yorkshire. If this site is brought forward before 20130 there may be a need for further physical mitigation measures (Highways England).	No Change This site is a proposed accepted housing allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. Development on this site would lead to the creation of a new Air Quality Management Area. Comments from Highways England have been noted.
<b>SL2280</b> Land to the west of, Westroyd Avenue, Hunsworth DLP_AD513, DLP_AD515, DLP_AD649, DLP_AD673, DLP_AD679, DLP_AD697, DLP_AD700, DLP_AD764, DLP_AD796, DLP_AD826, DLP_AD880, DLP_AD1175, DLP_AD1181, DLP_AD1336, DLP_AD1447, DLP_AD1645, DLP_AD1869, DLP_AD1936, DLP_AD1939, DLP_AD2004, DLP_AD2101, DLP_AD2376, DLP_AD2574, DLP_AD2626, DLP_AD2715, DLP_AD2797, DLP_AD2921, DLP_AD3212, DLP_AD3394, DLP_AD3622, DLP_AD3751, DLP_AD4111, DLP_AD4403, DLP_AD4514, DLP_AD4805, DLP_AD5447, DLP_AD5700, DLP_AD5841, DLP_AD5843, DLP_AD6131, DLP_AD6135, DLP_AD6136, DLP_AD6290, DLP_AD6314, DLP_AD6620, DLP_AD6646, DLP_AD6709, DLP_AD6722, DLP_AD6730, DLP_AD6745, DLP_AD6748, DLP_AD8163, DLP_AD8291, DLP_AD8297, DLP_AD8333, DLP_AD8368, DLP_AD9151, DLP_AD10063	Support Conditional Support Object 58 No Comment
Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network. Access would be difficult and dangerous from Mill Lane, additional housing would also impact on congestion. Westroyd Avenue is narrow, parked cars on Hunsworth Lane create blind spots making access and egress difficult from Mill Lane and Westroyd Avenue. Narrow pavements on Hunsworth Lane. Hunsworth Lane used as a rat run. Access for emergency services will be difficult. Both Mill Lane and Westroyd Avenue are narrow roads. Development will cause an increase in congestion, the village is already used as a rat run (Hunsworth Lane) to avoid congestion from the A58 and M62, Jct 26. Problems on the M62 between Jct 25-27 increase congestion in the village and surrounding roads, A638. Road safety concerns Increase in congestion will jeopardise the village for community events Public transport is inadequate Parking issues on Westroyd Avenue Increase flood risk on lower ground due to loss of natural soakaway and runoff Drainage capacity insufficient Gardens are water logged in the area, loss of fields will make this worse. Water pressure is a problem within the area. Negative impact on air quality due to increase in traffic. Effects will impact the area around Chain Bar Land borders ancient woodland providing biodiversity, future development would impact woodland. Development would impact on wildlife; bats, birds, kestrels, woodpeckers foxes, rabbits, flora and fauna and fungi. Wood north of site is not a wood and hasn't been for many years. Would be more beneficial to plant woods rather than housing, would reduce the risk of flooding and erosion. Local schools at capacity - Whitechapel and East Bierley primary No health facilities in Hunsworth; doctors, dentists, maternity, mental health, elderly care. Dewsbury	Proposed Change The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as safeguarded land. An alternative site option for housing (H66) is also proposed as a rejected option on this site. Removing the site from the green belt would leave a narrow area of green belt to the west between the site and the settlement which would be under significant development pressure contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. Site access is achievable from Westroyd Avenue. However local connecting links work carried out by the council has confirmed that the impact on the surrounding road network is unacceptable as suitable visibility splays cannot be achieved from the site access. Comments supporting the rejection of the site have been noted. Alternative sites including Whiteleys Mill have been considered as part of the site allocation process.

Summary of comments

Council Response

Hospital is been downgraded.  
 Loss of informal open space, walking facilities  
 Impacts on public rights of way  
 Provides a lung in the village of Hunsworth, last remaining green space

Proposals go against purpose of green belt  
 Land should be retained as green belt, to prevent sprawl and the merging of built up areas.  
 Disproportionate amount of development proposed for the area. The area has seen a large number of housing and business developments in recent years. Development should be fairly spread.  
 Development would impact on the character of the area and lose village atmosphere.  
 No local amenities in close proximity, shops or transport services.  
 Loss of visual amenity and privacy from local residents.  
 High risk coal mining area.  
 Reduction in fire and rescue, ambulance and policing services.  
 Infrastructure cannot cope with any more housing or business.  
 Brownfield should be used first.  
 Area would not be able to cope with increased population. Cleckheaton has had its fair share of housing and business development.  
 Whiteley's derelict factory is an alternative option; got access, close to amenities  
 Loss of productive land (agricultural land)

<b>SL2283</b>	Land off, Station Road, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
---------------	---------------------------------------	---------	---------------------	--------	------------

No Representations received

Proposed change.  
 This site has been accepted as a housing option and therefore will now be rejected as a safeguarded land option.

<b>SL2284</b>	Lower Busker Farm, Busker Lane, Scissett	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
---------------	--	---------	---------------------	---	--------	------------

DLP\_AD9294

The Barn 20 yards to west of Lower Busker Farmhouse to the south of this site is a Grade II Listed Building. An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed buildings. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Buildings it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

No change.  
 The site is proposed as safeguarded land. The site was proposed as a Safeguarded Land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  
 This site is contained by Busker Lane to the south and existing development, including Scissett Middle School to the north and east. To the west the treed footpath would represent a strong and defensible new boundary minimising any risk of further encroachment or sprawl. The location and configuration of the site means that it is well related to the settlement and would represent rounding off. The land slopes up towards Busker Lane so could be prominent in long distance views from the north. Development at a high density be poorly related to current built form of Scissett and sense of place, adjacent to the middle school and school field. A heritage impact assessment is required to consider the contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the listed building.

<b>SL2286</b>	Land at junction of, Paddock Road and Moor Lane, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	12	No Comment
---------------	---	---------	---------------------	---	--------	----	------------

DLP\_AD119, DLP\_AD721, DLP\_AD3138, DLP\_AD3439, DLP\_AD3503, DLP\_AD4102, DLP\_AD4491, DLP\_AD4524, DLP\_AD4962, DLP\_AD9303, DLP\_AD9454, DLP\_AD10910, DLP\_AD11065

Road congestion (roads in and out of Kirkburton village, Moor Lane congestion at school times).  
 Narrow roads often without pavements such as Burton Acres Lane, Turnshaws Avenue, blind junctions.  
 Road safety especially in winter conditions. Also safety issues for children walking to school.  
 Parking issues.  
 Public transport frequency issues.  
 Drainage capacity insufficient.  
 Sewer infrastructure cannot cope.  
 Noise from traffic and new residents.  
 Wildlife affected.  
 A Grade II listed building is present at the south-east corner of the site. In order to demonstrate that the

Change to rejected safeguarded land option.  
 The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) but this has been reviewed and this option has now been rejected for the following reasons:  
 This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. It is not considered that there is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. This safeguarded land option has therefore been rejected because, although there is limited risk of sprawl from this site, the character and extent of the site are such that it appears as part of the wider countryside and development would therefore constitute encroachment.



Summary of comments

identification of this area as safeguarded land is not incompatible with the requirements of NPPF. Special regard should be had to preserving listed buildings and their settings. An assessment needs to be undertaken of the contribution this site makes to those elements which contribute to the significance of the Listed Building and the impact of the loss of this site. If harmful, mitigation is required and if there is still harm, clear public benefits which outweigh the harm must be shown. (Historic England).  
 School capacity insufficient (Highburton and Kirkburton).  
 Health provision insufficient.

Impact on green belt too significant.  
 Unacceptable impact on landscape.  
 Physical infrastructure cannot cope.  
 Electricity blackouts on a regular basis.  
 Negative impact on quality of life / community.  
 Unacceptable impact on character.  
 Support the safeguarding of this land to 2031.  
 Many objections to future development of this site.  
 This land should remain in the green belt.  
 Don't use green belt.  
 Use Brownfield land first.

**SL2289** Land to the south of, Cockley Hill Lane, Kirkheaton

No Representations received

Council Response

The comments supporting the rejection of this site have been noted.

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

Proposed change.

The site is a rejected safeguarded land option. The site was an accepted safeguarded land option in the draft local plan. However the site is now rejected considering its impact on the green belt. At its north eastern part this option is reasonably well related to the settlement form and although elevated is contained by existing development on Cockley Hill Lane. However, the south and east of the option would project development into the countryside to the significant detriment of openness and contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. The part of the site to the rear of Orchard Road is at a significantly higher level than the settlement it adjoins. The existing green belt boundary to the east of the adjoining safeguarded land site does not follow any feature on the ground and this option would represent an opportunity to create a stronger more defensible boundary. However, the benefits of the stronger boundary do not outweigh the harm to the openness of the green belt that could result from development of this site.

**SL2290** Land to the east of, Cambridge Chase, Gomersal

DLP\_AD1576, DLP\_AD1577, DLP\_AD4756, DLP\_AD9429, DLP\_AD10852, DLP\_AD10853, DLP\_AD10854

Access issues present on site. Access via Cambridge Chase over private driveways.  
 Site is a wildlife haven.  
 Schools are at capacity.  
 Doctors surgeries at capacity.  
 Open spaces should be protected, development will deny residents of quality of life.

Site is land locked and should be green belt. Development here would be the beginning of urban sprawl.  
 Site is land locked. Access over private driveways - owners liable for wear and tear and maintenance to pipework below driveways.  
 Japanese Knotweed on site.  
 Application for Cambridge Chase 2000/91491, restricted to 12 properties due to access issues. Additional development will counteract this.  
 Objection from local cllrs for green belt reasons.  
 Objection from local councillors on green belt grounds.

Support Conditional Support Object 7 No Comment

No Change

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period.

The council rejected this site as a housing allocation on the grounds that site access is not achievable without significant use of third party land.

West Yorkshire Ecology have no objections to this site.

The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.

Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.

Summary of comments

Council Response

	<p>The site does not overlap with open space. The Local Plan contains policies which require new housing development to provide or contribute towards open space, sport and recreation facilities in the district.</p> <p>The size, location and degree of containment of this site presents the opportunity to round off this area of Gomersal without significantly undermining the role and function of the green belt in this location. Removal of the site from the green belt would also necessitate the removal of the long rear gardens to properties on Summerbridge Crescent in order to create a defensible new green belt boundary.</p>
<p><b>SL2291</b> Land north of, Holme House, Oxford Road, Gomersal DLP_AD6316, DLP_AD10849, DLP_AD10850, DLP_AD10851</p> <p>Access point would join Dewsbury Road which is congested. Air quality modelling undertaken by site promoter concludes: buffer would be required between M62 and housing site. Noise levels are unknown. Elements can be mitigated on site easier than alternative options.</p> <p>Site should remain as green belt Site is a better option than H218, H601, H508, H2066 and H1704 Local cllrs object to site</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object 4 No Comment</p> <p>Proposed Change</p> <p>The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for safeguarded land.</p> <p>In the light of the site re-assessment undertaken in line with the Council's Site Methodology, it is considered that this site is suitable for development and should be accepted as a housing allocation.</p> <p>Site access is achievable from Oxford Road. 2.4m x 43m visibility spalys required along Oxford Road. Site access can be achieved directly onto a classified road. No objections have been raised from Kirklees Highways local links work.</p> <p>Although this site is within a narrow gap between Gomersal and Birkenshaw the M62 prevents the merger of the settlements. The site is screened from the surroundings by the line of protected trees on the frontage to Oxford Road. It has only limited relationship with the wider countryside. Existing development and the road present strong new defensible boundaries but the boundary to the north although present is not a strong feature on the ground.</p> <p>Objections to the site have been noted.</p>
<p><b>SL2292</b> Land north of, Bourn View Road, Netherton DLP_AD84, DLP_AD420</p> <p>Bourne View Road is a narrow road. Problems of on street parking along the full length of the road. Junction with Delph Lane is dangerous as it is blind. This is the only remaining patch of open space in the area.</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object 2 No Comment</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>Bourne View Road is unsuitable for further intensification as the visibility splays with its junction with Delph Lane are substandard.</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.</p>
<p><b>SL2293</b> Land adjacent to, 96, Old Lane, Birkenshaw DLP_AD9292, DLP_AD10846, DLP_AD10847, DLP_AD10848</p> <p>An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Registered Battlefield. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Registered Battlefield it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).</p> <p>The site fails to offer any meaningful development to the village and is an extension beyond the natural building line of Old Lane.</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object 3 No Comment</p> <p>Proposed Change</p> <p>This site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land option. It formed an accepted safeguarded land option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p> <p>This site lies within the boundary and/or within the setting of the Registered Battlefield at Adwalton. Historic England has objected to this option. When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation. National</p>

Summary of comments

Objection from local councillors.

Council Response

planning policy confirms that the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be. Significance can be harmed or lost through alteration or destruction of the heritage asset or development within its setting. As heritage assets are irreplaceable, any harm or loss should require clear and convincing justification. This option could lead to substantial harm to the registered battlefield and the inclusion of the site option in the plan is not justified.

This area of green belt is part of the strategic gap that separates Kirklees from Leeds. Locally opportunities for settlement extension are extremely limited as the green belt is considered to play an important role in preserving the setting of the historic Adwalton Moor registered battlefield.

There is no evidence to confirm that an acceptable site access is achievable. There is no site frontage to the adopted highway and no obvious point of access.

The site has therefore been rejected as both housing allocation (H588) and a safeguarded land option (SL2293).

Supporting comments on the rejection of the site noted.

SL2294	Land adjacent Brick Hill Farm, Oddfellows Street, Scholes	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 13	No Comment
DLP_AD973, DLP_AD991, DLP_AD1061, DLP_AD1088, DLP_AD1145, DLP_AD1239, DLP_AD1244, DLP_AD1334, DLP_AD2969, DLP_AD4897, DLP_AD5141, DLP_AD9342, DLP_AD10527, DLP_AD10576					
Previous developments have had a negative impact on traffic. Road inadequate and would need improvement. Would increase congestion issues within the village, roads would become more dangerous. No parking facilities within the village. Traffic calming measures in place within the village. Visibility issues exiting Oddfellows Street onto Scholes Lane. Access issues, Oddfellows Road is privately owned, narrow and unadopted. Drainage cannot cope with increased impact. New drainage system would be required Wildlife will be affected. Great crested newts found within the area. Flora and fauna in the field would diminish Local schools are at capacity, Scholes First School and Whitecliffe Mount High School. Children having to travel to Wyke and Cleckheaton. Loss of informal recreational space, footpaths located on site. Oddfellows street used by horse riders, walker and dog walkers. Health and well-being not receiving due consideration.					
Land should be protected as green belt for future generations and to prevent encroachment. Removal from green belt supported by land owner. Infrastructure inadequate within the village Village will lose its identity. Any further development will have a negative impact on the quality of life for residents. Mine workings/shafts within the area. Loss of visual amenity. Brownfield land should be developed first Site supported by land owner, supporting information submitted to allocated site for housing.					
Proposed Change					
The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for safeguarded land.					
This site in its current form is unacceptable. The eastern portion of the site would project development into the green belt. The eastern boundary is poorly defined and does not represent strong defendable boundaries.					
In the light of the site re-assessment undertaken in line with the Council's Site Methodology, the site boundary has been amended and it is considered that this site is suitable for development and should be accepted as a housing allocation.					
The site is now proposed as accepted housing option H49a.					

SL2296	Land to the east and west of, Hardcastle Lane, Flockton	Support	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
DLP_AD2546, DLP_AD8084					
Proposals go against the purpose of green belt. The land does not feature in the green belt review. Site scores less well than other local sites which have not been allocated. Safeguarded land allocation does not achieve anything more than the current green belt. No justifiable reason or purposes to safeguard this land for future housing.					
Proposed change.					
This site was an accepted safeguarded land option in the draft local plan (November 2015) but this has been reviewed and this option has been rejected for the reasons set out below:					
The northern boundary is not delineated by any feature on the ground which means that adjacent land would be vulnerable to encroachment contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. It is not considered that this constraint will be overcome to enable housing delivery at the end of the local plan period without significant additional land release to the north.					
The site has been assessed in terms of impacts on the green belt and the decision has been taken to reject this					

Summary of comments	Council Response
<p><b>SL2297</b> Land to the west of, Mill Lane, Flockton DLP_AD8085, DLP_AD8390</p> <p>Site sites on a key route between Huddersfield and the M1.</p> <p>Site scores less well than other local sites which have not been allocated. Gardens should be unallocated as a result of planning application 2008/92251. Prefer for site to be allocated for housing - site will help to meet needs. Site would contribute to development requirements in Flockton (sustainable location).</p>	<p>site.</p> <p>Support Conditional Support 1 Object 1 No Comment</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p> <p>This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. Third party land would be required for site to be accessed from adopted highway and it is not clear how access could be achieved to deliver housing during the plan period.</p> <p>Residential gardens have now been removed from the northern part of this option. Support for a housing allocation on this site from the site promoter has been noted.</p>
<p><b>SL2299</b> Land south west of, Soureby Cross Way, East Bierley DLP_AD557, DLP_AD5752, DLP_AD5762, DLP_AD6348, DLP_AD10840, DLP_AD10841, DLP_AD10842</p> <p>Schools are at capacity in East Bierley and Birkenshaw. Health facilities are at capacity, waiting time at the doctors is currently 2 weeks. Population has grown but health services haven't</p> <p>Site should remain as green belt Site promoter supports the land being released from the green belt in order for the council to fulfil development needs in the short, medium and longer term. Land owner is willing to promote the land for an appropriate scale of development. Use Brownfield land first. There is a disproportionate amount of development proposed for Birkenshaw and East Bierley Support for allocation as safeguarded land. Promotion from site owner to allocate the site for housing, due to lack of development within the village and lack of affordable housing. Development needed to help area to grow. Objection from local Councillors, site offers no logical extension to settlements.</p>	<p>Support 1 Conditional Support 1 Object 5 No Comment</p> <p>Proposed Change</p> <p>The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as safeguarded land. This site is now an accepted housing allocation H531.</p> <p>Site access is achievable from Hunsworth Lane with third party land. 2.4m x 43m visibility splays are required. This site is reasonably well related to the settlement and is contained by road, track and field boundaries. The extent of the site does not encroach onto Birkenshaw. It would join with ribbon development on Hunsworth Lane but there is already an existing access at this point and the recreation ground would maintain the existing open approach to the village, but which would need to be removed from the green belt. There are no significant constraints with the site which cannot be mitigated against at the planning application stage.</p> <p>Responses to representations made on this site as part of the consultation include:</p> <p>The impact of development on school place planning has been assessed through the infrastructure planning work between the Local Plan and School Place Planning Teams. This work is on-going to ensure school places are available to meet the needs of future growth.</p> <p>Health issues have been factored into the site assessment process for the local plan. Meetings have been held and discussions are on-going as part of the Local Plan infrastructure planning process with North Kirklees and Greater Huddersfield CCGs to plan for the impacts of allocations in the local plan and how it can influence NHS forward planning and investment including GP estates strategies and hospital infrastructure needs.</p> <p>There is not sufficient housing capacity on Brownfield sites to meet the local plan housing requirement.</p> <p>The council has commissioned modelling to look at the cumulative impacts of development.</p> <p>Support from site promoters noted.</p>
<p><b>SL2300</b> Land at junction of, Paris and Sandy Gate, Scholes DLP_AD1702, DLP_AD1918, DLP_AD4648, DLP_AD4657, DLP_AD5269, DLP_AD5891, DLP_AD5928, DLP_AD6176, DLP_AD6548, DLP_AD9864, DLP_AD10425, DLP_AD10954, DLP_AD10955</p> <p>Strategic network - congestion when entering Holmfirth and New Mill. Road congestion / road capacity issues - often narrow roads, parking issues causing single lane roads. Specific road concerns raised re Paris, Dunford Road, Cinderhills Road to Holmfirth, Crossgate Road, Chapel Gate, South Lane, Scholes Road to Jackson Bridge, Totties Road to New Mill, Cross Lane into</p>	<p>Support Conditional Support Object 13 No Comment</p> <p>Change proposed.</p> <p>The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted safeguarded land site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) but it has now been rejected for the reasons</p>

Summary of comments

Holmfirth, Scholes Moor Road, Wagstaffe Corner, Scholes Moor Road/Sandy Gate, Boot and Shoe junction, cars cutting through Ryefields estate, parking issues when Underbank Rugby Club play. Holmfirth/Meltham local plan (1987) stated local highways inadequate. Previous planning appeal rejected for development due to road capacity issues on adjacent POL site. A single dwelling was refused planning permission in Scholes due to inadequate road network.  
 Road safety - lack of footways.  
 Proposals not consistent with national planning policy relating  
 Encourages commuting.  
 Public transport frequency issues and difficult to access.  
 Roads blocked and dangerous in winter conditions.  
 Flooding issues - existing surface water flooding issues which would be made worse - water flowing down roads.  
 Sewer infrastructure may not cope - regular capacity issues and previous development scheme in Scholes rejected on this basis.  
 Wildlife affected.  
 Proximity to Morton Wood Local Wildlife Site.  
 School capacity insufficient (Scholes Junior and Infant School, Holmfirth High School).  
 Impacts of a reduction in air quality on health.  
 Health provision insufficient (including potential closure of Huddersfield A&E)  
 Loss of agricultural land.

Proposals go against purpose of green belt.  
 Loss of visual amenity.  
 Unacceptable impact on landscape.  
 Sites are only four miles from the Peak District National Park.  
 Physical infrastructure cannot cope - cost to improve infrastructure would be too high.  
 Disproportionate level of development to existing settlement size.  
 Lack of local amenities and these proposals add nothing.  
 Impacts on visual amenity.  
 Do not use green belt.  
 Development in an unsustainable location.  
 Use Brownfield sites first.  
 Absence of local employment opportunities.  
 Unsustainable location.  
 Use of the term "safeguarded land" is misleading.  
 Negative impact on tourism.  
 Should consider Brownfield land first (e.g. Dobroyd Mill (Hepworth), old drill hall off Huddersfield Road, site on Huddersfield Road between Holmfirth and Huddersfield).  
 Proposals do not comply with NPPF.  
 Empty homes should be brought back into use.  
 Consultation period not long enough and lack of publicity.  
 Refusal of one dwelling in close proximity to this site.

**SL2301** Land north of, 72, Peep Green Road, Hartshead  
 DLP\_AD10967

Unacceptable vehicle access. Roads are dangerous with bad accident history.

Area unacceptable for development

Council Response

below.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is not a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. The removal of the site from the green belt would begin to consolidate the area of urban fringe where there is existing residential development along Sandy Gate, which could lead to pressure for further encroachment. The land rises to the north where development could be prominent. There are no exceptional circumstances to remove this site from the green belt.

Comments supporting the rejection of this site option have been noted.

Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as safeguarded land. The site is now accepted as housing allocation H242.

In the light of the site re-assessment undertaken in line with the Council's Site Methodology, it is considered that this site is suitable for development and should be accepted as a housing allocation. Site access can be achieved from Peep Green Road. The site is contained by existing development and Peep Green Road to three sides and a strong treed boundary on the north side. As such there is no risk of sprawl or further encroachment. This would be a small scale development well related to the settlement.

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>SL2302</b>	Land South of, Hopton Drive, Upper Hopton, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No Change			
		This site is a proposed accepted safeguarded land allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site is not deliverable or developable during the Local Plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the Local Plan period.			
<b>SL2303</b>	Land North of, Mill Lane, Hunsworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object 51	No Comment
DLP_AD516, DLP_AD648, DLP_AD671, DLP_AD678, DLP_AD698, DLP_AD702, DLP_AD741, DLP_AD763, DLP_AD827, DLP_AD876, DLP_AD983, DLP_AD1176, DLP_AD1337, DLP_AD1446, DLP_AD1502, DLP_AD1624, DLP_AD1693, DLP_AD1937, DLP_AD1938, DLP_AD2003, DLP_AD2374, DLP_AD2573, DLP_AD2596, DLP_AD2627, DLP_AD2714, DLP_AD2922, DLP_AD3036, DLP_AD3624, DLP_AD3752, DLP_AD4402, DLP_AD4513, DLP_AD4809, DLP_AD5445, DLP_AD5699, DLP_AD5705, DLP_AD5840, DLP_AD5842, DLP_AD6315, DLP_AD6624, DLP_AD6712, DLP_AD6723, DLP_AD6731, DLP_AD6746, DLP_AD6749, DLP_AD8164, DLP_AD8292, DLP_AD8299, DLP_AD8334, DLP_AD8369, DLP_AD10064, DLP_AD11035		Propose Change			
Cumulative impact of development cannot be accommodated on the road network. Access would be difficult and dangerous from Mill Lane, additional housing would also impact on congestion. Westroyd Avenue is narrow, parked cars on Hunsworth Lane create blind spots making access and egress difficult from Mill Lane and Westroyd Avenue. Narrow pavements on Hunsworth Lane. Access for emergency services will be difficult. Both Mill Lane and Westroyd Avenue are narrow roads. Development will cause an increase in congestion, the village is already used as a rat run to avoid congestion from the A58 and M62, Jct 26. Problems on the M62 increase congestion in the village and surrounding roads, A638. Road safety concerns Public transport is inadequate Increase flood risk on lower ground due to loss of natural soakaway and runoff Drainage capacity insufficient Gardens are water logged in the area, loss of fields will make this worse. Negative impact on air quality due to increase in traffic. Effects will impact the area around Chain Bar due to it been one of the worst affected areas in Kirklees Land borders ancient woodland providing biodiversity, future development would impact woodland. Development would impact on wildlife; bats, birds, kestrels, woodpeckers foxes, rabbits, flora and fauna and fungi. Wood north of site is not a wood and hasn't been for many years. Would be more beneficial to plant woods rather than housing, would reduce the risk of flooding and erosion. Local schools at capacity - Whitechapel and East Bierley primary No health facilities in Hunsworth; doctors or dentists. Dewsbury Hospital is been downgraded. Loss of informal open space, walking facilities Impacts on public rights of way Provides a lung in the village of Hunsworth, last remaining green space		The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as safeguarded land. An alternative site option for housing (H461) is also proposed as a rejected option on this site.			
		The configuration of this site at its extreme south western extent would significantly impact on the gap that allows the green belt to wash over land to the south, effectively separating it from the wider green belt. This would place the land at high risk of development pressure contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt. The site appears as a countryside setting to Hunsworth Little Wood and Hunsworth Great Wood which are areas of ancient woodland. Removing this site from the green belt would therefore result in encroachment of built form into the countryside.			
		Additionally, there is no site frontage to the adopted highway. Access could be provided via Mill Lane but this is a private road and a public right of way. Third party land would be required to make this track up to adoptable standard.			
		The supporting comments for the site rejection are noted.			
<b>SL2308</b>	Land west of, Green Balk Lane, Lepton	Support 1	Conditional Support 1	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD5558, DLP_AD9028		Proposed Change			
Development of this site could potentially affect setting of 18 Green Balk Lane. Needs further assessment					

Summary of comments

of this before allocation is made. Also impact on setting of church.

Support for SL allocation from site promoter. Site should be considered for a housing allocation instead.

Council Response

The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land option. This is a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was a proposed accepted safeguarded land option.

One of the purposes of the green belt is to protect the countryside from encroachment. The configuration of the site would project built form into the open countryside to the detriment of openness and contrary to the role and function of the green belt. The location of this site would leave a field between the site and the settlement edge relatively isolated from the wider green belt and therefore also vulnerable to development pressure. The site could potentially affect the setting of 18 Green Balk Lane and the listed church.

**SL2309** Land to west of, Green Balk Lane, Lepton  
DLP\_AD9030

Development of site may affect setting of 18 Green Balk Lane and church. Further assessment required on this site.

Support Conditional Support 1 Object No Comment

Proposed Change

The site is a proposed rejected safeguarded land option. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was a proposed accepted site option.

Access to the site is reliant on the allocation of adjacent land, therefore there is no suitable site access to the site.

**SL2310** land to the rear of, 117, Westfield Lane, Wyke

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

Proposed Change

The site is proposed as a rejected safeguarded land allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for safeguarded land.  
The site

The area of green belt is part of the strategies gap that separates Kirklees from Bradford. Although the overall function of the gap would not be compromised. The site has strong potential new boundaries so there is no risk of sprawl. However, the site is very poorly related to the existing built form and would project development well beyond the existing settlement edge resulting in encroachment into the countryside, contrary to the purposes of including land in the green belt.

The site has therefore been rejected as both safeguarded land allocation (SL2310) and housing option (H319).

**SL2331** Land to the south of, Dobb Top Road, Holmbridge  
DLP\_AD2379, DLP\_AD2789, DLP\_AD5389, DLP\_AD5768, DLP\_AD6644, DLP\_AD9295

Highway Safety issues- Inadequate road infrastructure - narrow roads with no footways, steep gradients, no scope to increase road width.

Site adjacent to underground water treatment plant

Netherley House, to the south of this site, is a Grade II Listed Building.

An assessment needs to be undertaken of contribution which site makes to elements which contribute to significance of the Listed Building. If considered site would harm these elements, this need to be addressed by measures to remove or reduce it. If it is concluded development harms elements of the Listed Building it must be demonstrated that there are clear public benefits that outweigh this harm (Historic England).

Visual amenity - proximity to Peak District National Park and long distance views

Physical infrastructure cannot cope with development.

Site was refused for planning permission in 1993.

This would be more appropriate as Green Belt.

Impact on tourism

Support Conditional Support 1 Object 5 No Comment

No change.

This site was an accepted Safeguarded Land option in the draft local plan and it is still proposed as Safeguarded Land.

This site is not deliverable or developable during the local plan period. There is a reasonable prospect that the constraints on this site could be overcome to allow the delivery of new homes beyond the end of the local plan period. Direct access to site is achievable from Laithe Avenue however, the local highway network is considered to be unsuitable for the proposed intensification of use.

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>Urban Greenspace</b>					
<b>UGS847</b>	Highfields Road Allotments & Huddersfield Society of Model Engineers, Highfields	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The site boundary has been amended to include land covered by option LocGS2125 as this local green space site has been rejected in the revised plan.			
<b>UGS848</b>	Westfield Road Allotments, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS850</b>	Caldercliffe Road Allotments, Berry Brow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS851</b>	Thewlis Lane Open Space, Crosland Hill	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD8815		No change.			
No sound basis for the inclusion of land north of 26 Moor Close, Beaumont Park as urban green space.		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
Exceptional circumstances to justify the reallocation of provisional open land to urban greenspace (UDP paragraph 2.16) are not set out or explained. Amenity space in Crosland Moor and Netherton is only marginally below the standard and there is considerable green belt to the south to meet the shortfall. The land does not play an important functional or visual role as open space and is privately owned.		The objection relates to the allocation of land north of 26 Moor Close, Beaumont Park as urban green space. This land forms the north eastern part of UGS851 and comprises a mixture of upland heathland, attractive trees and some natural vegetation.			
Allocation for housing will provide open space to meet needs and provides scope for better quality open space.		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers the allocation of this land as urban green space is justified in its own right and as part of the wider urban green space allocation UGS851. This is based on evidence from the council's Open Space Study 2015 and Urban Green Space Review.			
		The land is considered to constitute an integral part of a larger amenity greenspace assessed through the Open Space Study as having high value as open space for:- (i) its structural and landscape benefits as a buffer between housing and nearby quarrying operations; (ii) ecological benefits provided by heathland UK BAP Priority Habitat; (iii) the amenity and visual attractiveness of the area; and (iv) use for informal recreation, including use of public footpaths.			
		There are significant open space deficiencies in the ward with the provision of amenity green space and particularly natural and semi-natural greenspace well below the benchmark standards. Protection of this site as urban green space could help support reduction in identified health inequalities in the area.			
		Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether the land is in public or private ownership. This is consistent with the NPPF definition of open space which includes all open space of			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
		public value.			
<b>UGS853</b>	Canary Hall Allotments, Back Lane, Grange Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS854</b>	Pennine View Recreation Ground, Linthwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS856</b>	Caldermill Way Play Area, Saville Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS857</b>	Chadwick Crescent Recreation Ground, Boothroyd	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS858</b>	Morton House Allotments, Lees Hall Road, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD10893		Proposed change to remove the urban green space allocation.			
Unsound. The urban green space designation constrains the Dewsbury Riverside Scheme (H2089) and pre-determines the master plan by placing undue restrictions on land in a significant and important location at the heart of the scheme.		The site is proposed for inclusion within accepted housing allocation H2089. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as urban green space.			
Designation does not depict the allotments accurately. Non-statutory allotments in private ownership. More pragmatic to allow the master plan to review and reconsider the entire area.		The reason for change is to allow for comprehensive master planning and deliverability of the Dewsbury Riverside Scheme H2089. Replacement allotment provision of equivalent or better quantity and quality will be required in a suitable location as part of the development.			
Remove the designation of UGS858 and include within the Dewsbury Riverside Allocation.					
<b>UGS860</b>	Shaw's Terrace Allotments, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS861</b>	Holmfirth Parish Church Tennis Club, New Mill Road, Wooldale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS862</b>	Public Open Space, Reinwood Road, Reinwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS864</b>	Broomer Street Play Area, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS865</b>	Field Lane Allotments, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS866</b>	Thornhill Street Recreation Ground, Savile Road, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS867</b>	Spring Gove Junior School Playing Fields, Water Street, Springwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS869</b>	Slaithwaite Cricket & Bowling Club, Racton Street, Slaithwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS870</b>	Meal Hill Lane Recreation Ground & Olney Street Allotments, Slaithwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD8254		No change.			

Objection to urban green space designation. It is more appropriate to allocation for mixed use development, including housing, recreation use and allotment gardens. Incorporates land in private and council ownership currently within the settlement limit. A mixed use designation would provide the opportunity for the various landowners of this site to work together to retain the recreation ground and

This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

## Summary of comments

potentially improve it; develop some appropriately designed residential development and re-invigorate the allotments (which are currently of poor quality due to drainage and topography issues) partly funded via the residential development. A mixed use designation would allow this to be realised, securing the future of allotment space in Slaithwaite, and protecting and enhancing the recreation ground to the benefit of the community of Slaithwaite.

## Council Response

Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, it is considered the allocation of site UGS870 as urban green space is justified and consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. This is based on evidence from the council's Open Space Study 2015 and Urban Green Space Review.

UGS870 comprises:

- (i) a substantial area of allotments - well used and assessed as having high value as open space and medium quality;
- (ii) a local recreation ground with equipped children's play area - assessed as a high value open space; and
- (iii) an area of natural/semi-natural greenspace - assessed as having medium value as open space.

The main part of the site is a fairly steep east facing valley side, prominent because of its height and continuity with the valley to the north and complements the open south-west facing valley side opposite to the east. The contribution of this open land to the character and appearance of the area and the allotments and recreation ground provide substantial value as urban green space. A number of public footpaths also cross this site providing use and enjoyment for informal recreation.

There are open space deficiencies within the built-up areas of the Colne Valley ward. In particular, the provision of parks and recreation grounds, natural and semi-natural greenspace and amenity greenspace in the ward is significantly below the benchmark standards. The north eastern portion of the site is also within the Wildlife Habitat Network and is important for maintaining the integrity and continuity of this network with land to the north.

Low levels of physical inactivity have been identified within the ward and protection of this site as urban green space could help support reduction in these identified health inequalities.

Allocation as urban green space enables the tests set out in NPPF (paragraph 74) to be applied to development proposals.

See Mixed Use Option MX2707.

UGS872	Slaithwaite CE VC J&I School, Holme Lane, Slaithwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
UGS874	Linthwaite Methodist Church, Sports Club & Recreation Ground, Linthwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
UGS875	Lane Top Allotments & Open Space, Linthwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
UGS876	Nields Junior & Infant School, Nields Road, Slaithwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS877</b>	Marsden Football Club, Fall Lane, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS878</b>	Marsden Park & Marsden Junior School, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS879</b>	Broadland Recreation Ground & Meltham Sports Centre, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS880</b>	Land adjacent Meltham Dyke, Huddersfield Road, Meltham DLP_AD2547	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Meltham Scout Hut on Huddersfield Road is an opportunity to build a handful of dwellings at the same time as retaining important green space. Designation has changed from 'white land' (in the UDP) to 'Employment Area' with part designated urban green space. Should be designated 'white land'. The site lends itself to a small infill development at the same time as retaining the local character.		No change is proposed as a result of comments received. However, officers propose a change to extend the urban green space allocation to include Meltham Scout Hut and associated land.			
		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation with a revised boundary. The site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) with a smaller boundary.			
		The site has been reviewed and it is considered that the inclusion of the scout hut and adjoining land within the urban green space allocation is justified based on it's use by the scout group for recreational purposes.			
		UGS880 is a predominantly natural/semi-natural greenspace adjacent Meltham Dike and supports lowland mixed deciduous woodland both UK BAP priority habitats. Assessed as having high value as open space based on it's ecological value. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		The site is also proposed as a rejected housing allocation, see option H2574.			
<b>UGS881</b>	Meltham Park, St James's Church & Allotments, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS882</b>	Meltham CE Primary School, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS883</b>	Calmlands Road Allotments & Open Space, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS884</b>	Netherthong Primary School, Netherthong	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS885</b>	The Oval Playing Field, New Road, Netherthong	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS886</b>	Sands Recreation Ground, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS887</b>	Sycamore Recreation Ground & Holmfirth High School, Thongsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS888</b>	Holmfirth High School Playing Fields, Thongsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS889</b>	Land between Stoney Bank Lane & Holmfirth Road, Thongsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD9083		No change.			
Consider for designation as Safeguarded Land rather than Urban Green Space.		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers that this site is justified as urban green space based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Kirklees Urban Green Space Review.

UGS889 comprises a large area of natural and semi-natural greenspace between Stoney Bank Lane and Holmfirth Road. It includes a mixed woodland corridor on the north western edge and southern boundary which is protected by Tree Preservation Orders and forms a BAP Priority Habitat. The north eastern part of the site comprises field compartments separated by hedgerows and includes scattered trees. A public bridleway borders the site on the western boundary.

The site has been assessed through the Kirklees Open Space Study as having value as open space for its contribution to the amenity of the area enhancing its character and appearance. The site is also intrinsically linked with adjacent woodland opposite on Springwood Road, identified as part of the Wildlife Habitat Network, and is important in maintaining the integrity and continuity of the wider ecological network.

There are open space deficiencies within the built-up areas of the Holme Valley South ward. In particular, the provision in the ward of natural and semi-natural greenspace and amenity greenspace is significantly below the minimum standards.

See Safeguarded Land Option SL2666 and Rejected Housing Options H438 and H438a.

<b>UGS890</b>	Kirkroyds Infants & Lydgate Schools, New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS891</b>	Land at junction of Pell Lane/Little Lane, Wooldale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS892</b>	Wooldale Recreation Ground, Little Lane, Wooldale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS893</b>	Wooldale Junior School, Royds Avenue, New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS894</b>	New Mill Recreation Ground, Holmfirth Road, New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS895</b>	Victoria Park, Cooper Lane, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS896</b>	Upperthong Junior & Infant School, Burnlee Road, Upperthong	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS897</b>	Cinderhills Recreation Ground, Field Road, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS898</b>	Scholes Junior & Infant School, Wadman Road, Scholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS899</b>	Holmbridge Cricket Club Ground, Woodhead Road, Holmbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS900</b>	Holmbridge Recreation Ground & St Davids Church, Holmbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS901</b>	Hinchcliffe Mill Junior & Infant School, Holmbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed change.			
		The site is proposed as a rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for urban green space allocation.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		The reasons for change are the site is below the 0.4 hectares size threshold and therefore too small for allocation as urban green space.			
<b>UGS902</b>	Hade Edge Junior & Infant School & Hade Edge Recreation Ground, Hade Edge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS903</b>	Honley Park, Jagger Lane Recreation Ground & Honley Junior School, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS904</b>	Honley High School Playing Fields, New Mill Road, Honley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS906</b>	Brockholes Junior & Infant School, Brockholes Lane, Brockholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS907</b>	Brockholes Recreation Ground, Brockholes Lane, Brockholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS908</b>	Scar Wood, Oakes Avenue Recreation Ground & Oakes Avenue Allotments, Brockholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS909</b>	Land south of, Lancaster Lane, Brockholes	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD10972		No change. Support noted.			
Support for designation as urban greenspace. Recent planning application refused on urban greenspace status and ecological importance. It is an important habitat for several species of mammal, birds and plants. Unfortunately its use by the landowner to graze a herd of pigs since planning was refused has		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
compacted the soil and made it rather a quagmire at present; however the environment will recover once the pigs are removed at the end of a DEFRA recommended maximum grazing period.		council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS910</b>	Grange Moor Primary School, Liley Lane, Grange Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS911</b>	Denby Lane Crescent Allotments, Steeple Avenue, Grange Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS914</b>	Flockton Recreation Ground, Park Side, Flockton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS915</b>	St James Church & Flockton First School, Barnsley Road, Flockton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS916</b>	Lepton Junior, Infant & Nursery School, Station Road, Fenay Bridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS917</b>	Lepton Recreation Ground, Highgate Lane, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS918</b>	Woodland, Fenay Bankside, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS919</b>	Jumble Wood, Common End Lane, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS920</b>	Rowley Lane Junior, Infant & Nursery School, Rowley Lane, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS921</b>	St Johns Church, Green Balk Lane, Lepton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS922</b>	Hallas Road Recreation Ground & Gregory Fields Tennis Club, Kirkburton	Support	1 Conditional Support	12 Object	No Comment
DLP_AD4732, DLP_AD10900, DLP_AD10902, DLP_AD10956, DLP_AD10957, DLP_AD10958, DLP_AD10959, DLP_AD10960, DLP_AD10961, DLP_AD10963, DLP_AD10964, DLP_AD11055, DLP_AD11059		No change to UGS922.			
Allocate Highburton Recreation Ground as urban green space along with UGS922. Include its football pitch in the Kirklees Playing Pitch Strategy as it is used by many people for ad hoc games of football, cricket, rugby, judo training, kite flying, dog walking and health exercise activities. The pitch area is also essential to prevent overuse of the Gregory Fields pitch.		Highburton Recreation Ground is already proposed as urban green space as part of accepted urban green space allocation UGS922. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).  Allocation of the site as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology as the recreation ground has been assessed as a high value open space in the council's Open Space Study.  Highburton Recreation Ground will be included in the Kirklees Playing Pitch Strategy (2015).			
<b>UGS923</b>	Kirkburton Middle School, Turnshaws Avenue, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS924</b>	Kirkburton First School, School Hill, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS925</b>	Queensway Allotments & Queensway Recreation Ground, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)			

Summary of comments	Council Response			
	and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS926</b> Land at Marsh Hall Lane, Thurstonland DLP_AD10879	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS927</b> Shepley First School, Firth Street, Shepley DLP_AD10882	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as urban green space.	No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS928</b> Sycamore Farm & Farnley Tyas Bowling Club, Farnley Tyas DLP_AD7232, DLP_AD7264, DLP_AD7277, DLP_AD7500, DLP_AD7504, DLP_AD8326, DLP_AD8736, DLP_AD9088, DLP_AD10880, DLP_AD10887	Support 10	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Supports for designation of the croft at Sycamore Farm and Farnley Tyas Bowling Club as urban green space. The Bowling Club is one of the few remaining facilities in a village which is growing fast and is well known in Yorkshire as an excellent crown bowling green. Many greens have been lost in this area. Floodlighting has recently been installed. Loss of the bowling green would affect a large number of residents and members in the village and surrounding areas. Housing development would not comply with Policy DLP63. The land was left for recreational purposes and cannot be built on.	No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS929</b> Kirkburton Cricket Club, Riley Lane, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received	No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS930</b> Disused railway line, Station Road to Woodsome Park, Fenay Bridge DLP_AD2758	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Supports designation of the old railway line and proposed Greenway as urban green space. The walking and cycling route is desperately needed as a safe alternative to the main road.	No change.  Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS931</b> East of Fenay Bridge Road, Fenay Bridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received	No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS932</b> Land west of disused railway, Wakefield Road, Fenay Bridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS933</b>	St Michael The Archangels Church, Church Street, Emley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS934</b>	Emley First School, School Lane, Emley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS935</b>	The Welfare Ground & Warburton Recreation Ground, Upper Lane, Emley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS936</b>	Clayton West Cricket Ground & Back Lane Recreation Ground, Clayton West DLP_AD5080, DLP_AD5351, DLP_AD6925, DLP_AD7159	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Support for the retention of Clayton West Cricket Club as a vital social, recreational and sport facility for the village community. Provides opportunities for the development of juniors ranging from age 9-17.		No change. Support noted.			
Objection to part of the site as urban green space. A third of the land is not used for sport and recreation and is not a wildlife habitat. It is farmland with the farm track included in the allocation and should be designated as green belt.		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation methodology, it is considered that the whole of allocation UGS936 is justified as urban green space based on evidence from the council's Open Space Study 2015, Playing Pitch Strategy 2015 and Urban Green Space Review.			
		The eastern part of UGS936 comprises horse grazing land which is detached from the green belt and does not perform a green belt role or function. There are no exceptional circumstances in this case to add this land to the green belt.			
<b>UGS937</b>	Kirklees Light Railway Line, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS938</b>	Sunnymead Recreation Ground, Scissett	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS939</b>	Holmfield Road Recreation Ground & Kayes First School, Clayton West	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS940</b>	Skelmanthorpe First & Nursery School, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS941</b>	Scisset Middle School, Scisset First School & St Augustines Church, Scisset	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS942</b>	Skelmanthorpe Recreation Ground, Commercial Road, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS943</b>	St Aidens First School, Smithy Close, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS944</b>	Skelmanthorpe Cricket Club Ground, Lidgett Lane, Skelmanthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS946</b>	Gilthwaites Recreation Ground & Gilthwaites First School, Gilthwaites Lane, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS947</b>	St Nicholas Church, Balk Lane, Upper Cumberworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS948</b>	East Hill Wood, Wood Lane, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS949</b>	Denby Dale Cricket Ground & Bowling Club, Cuckstool Road, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS951</b>	Land to west of Barnsley Road, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS952</b>	Haley Well Beck Woodland, Dearnside Road, Denby Dale	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS953</b>	Upper Denby Recreation Ground, Fairfields, Upper Denby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS954</b>	St John's Church & Denby First School, Denby Lane, Upper Denby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS955</b>	Kirkheaton Primary School, New Road, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS956</b>	Moorside Road Open Space, Moorside Road, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS957</b>	Fields Rise Recreation Ground, Fields Rise, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS958</b>	Town Road Allotments & Bowling Green, Town Road, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS959</b>	Kirkheaton Cemetery, Lane Side, Kirkheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS960</b>	Shelley First School, School Terrace, Shelley	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD3426					
Support for designation as urban green space.		No change. Support noted.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS961</b>	Emmanuel Church, Huddersfield Road, Shelley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS962</b>	Shelley College, Huddersfield Road, Shelley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS963</b>	Fieldhead Primary Academy, Charlotte Close, Birstall DLP_AD10765, DLP_AD10766, DLP_AD10767	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change.  Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS964</b>	Nova Lane Recreation Ground, Birstall DLP_AD10734, DLP_AD10735, DLP_AD10736	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS965</b>	St Peters School, Field Head Lane, Birstall DLP_AD10737, DLP_AD10738, DLP_AD10739	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on the council's Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS966</b>	Raikes Lane Open Space, Birstall DLP_AD10750, DLP_AD10751, DLP_AD10752	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS967</b>	Carr Street Playing Fields, Birstall DLP_AD10743, DLP_AD10744, DLP_AD10746	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS968</b>	Birstall Cricket Ground, Leeds Road, Birstall DLP_AD10756, DLP_AD10757, DLP_AD10758	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Playing Pitch Strategy			



Summary of comments	Council Response			
	(2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS969</b> Howden Clough Recreation Ground, Leeds Road, Birstall DLP_AD10759, DLP_AD10760, DLP_AD10761	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015), Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS970</b> Batley Girls High School & St Saviours School, Windmill Lane, Birstall DLP_AD10762, DLP_AD10763, DLP_AD10764	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.			
<b>UGS971</b> Carlinghow Princess Royal School, Ealand Road, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received	No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS972</b> Batley Business & Enterprise College, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received	No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS973</b> Batley Cemetery, Carters Fields, St Marys Primary School & Allotments, Carlinghow DLP_AD4627, DLP_AD10982	Support	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
Allocation of rejected housing option H674 as urban green space is unjustified. The site is in private ownership and consists of farmland which is not included as a type of open space in the Urban Green Space technical paper. Site does not meet the definition and description of semi-natural greenspace from PPG17 or any of the other open space typologies. It does not meet the definition of open space as set out in NPPF. As more than an extensive tract of land, the site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space.  Request to remove 2.46 hectares of land (rejected housing option H613) from UGS973 and allocate for housing. Site constitutes agricultural land with no public access and does not merit inclusion in the wider urban green space allocation.	No change.  This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.  The objections relate to two different parts of UGS973 rejected as housing options H674 and H613 in the draft Local Plan. Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers these parts of UGS973 are justified as urban green space in their own right and as part of the wider urban green space allocation. This is based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Urban Green Space Review.  Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether the land is in public or private ownership, and are not dependent on public access being available. This is consistent with the recognition in NPPF that open space includes all open space of public value.  The land covering rejected housing options H674 and H613 is included in the Open Space Study 2015 as part of a larger natural and semi-natural greenspace assessed as having high value as open space for:-  (i) structural and landscape benefits performing an important strategic urban green space function as a green wedge within a highly urbanised area, separating the built-up areas of Heckmondwike and Batley and helping define the identity and character of the area;			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response
	<p>(ii) the amenity of the area and sense of place as an attractive greenspace with extensive open qualities important for providing relief from urbanisation which can be viewed over a wide distance and contributing significantly to the appearance and character of the area; and</p> <p>(iii) use and enjoyment for informal recreation along the public footpaths.</p> <p>UGS973 is within an area identified as having health inequalities and open space deficiencies. In particular, the provision of natural and semi-natural greenspace in the Heckmondwike and Batley West wards is significantly below the benchmark standard. As such, UGS973 is not deemed, in whole or in part, to be clearly surplus to requirements.</p>
<b>UGS974</b> Batley Parish School, Stocks Lane, Batley	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS975</b> Batley Field Hill Open Space, Batley	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS977</b> Healey J, I & N School, Healey Lane, Healey	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS978</b> Healey Recreation Ground, West Park Road, Healey	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS979</b> Mayman Lane Play Area, Batley	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS980</b> Batley Memorial Park, Cambridge Street, Batley	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS982</b>	Lydgate Junior & Infant School, Soothill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS983</b>	Manorfield Infant & Nursery School & Chestnut Avenue Playing Fields, Staincliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS984</b>	Victoria Avenue Open Space, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS985</b>	Albion Street Playing Field, Albion Street, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS986</b>	Soothill Open Space & Soothill Bowling Club, France Street, Soothill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS987</b>	Dewsbury Gate Road Park, Staincliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS988</b>	Staincliffe Playing Fields & Mount Cricket Ground, Halifax Road, Staincliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS990</b>	Hyrstlands Park & Cricket Ground, Staincliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS991</b>	Mount Pleasant Stadium, Hyrstmount School, Batley Cricket Club & Hyrstmount STP, Mount Pleasant	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS992</b>	Hanging Heaton Cricket Club, Bennett Lane, Hanging Heaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS993</b>	Scholes Primary School, Recreation Ground & Westfield Lane Allotments, Scholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS994</b>	Moorend Recreation Ground, Exchange Street, Cleckheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS995</b>	Scholes Cricket & Athletic Club, New Popplewell Lane, Scholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS996</b>	West End Park & Cleckheaton Bowling Club, Park View, Cleckheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS997</b>	Hartshead Moor Cricket Club, Highmoor Lane, Hartshead Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS998</b>	Scarr End Lane Recreation Ground, Dewsbury Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS999</b>	Elliss Playing Fields & Green Lane Allotments, Westborough	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1000</b>	St Josephs Catholic Primary School, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1001</b>	Former Birkdale High School, Wheelwright Drive, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Remove urban green space allocation in full.		Proposed change.			
		The site is proposed as a rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted as urban green space.			
		The reason for the change is that the site is a former school site no longer required. There is, therefore, insufficient justification for continued allocation as urban green space.			
<b>UGS1002</b>	Kirklees College Wheelwright Centre, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Remove Kirklees College Wheelwright Centre building from urban green space allocation.		Change.			
		Proposed change to amend the urban green space boundary by removing the Wheelwright Centre building from the urban green space allocation .			
		This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was part of accepted urban green space site UGS1002. The reasons for change are the site comprises former college buildings no longer in use. There is insufficient justification for continued allocation as urban green space.			
<b>UGS1003</b>	Carlton Junior & Infant School, Dewsbury DLP_AD4792, DLP_AD6236	Support	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
Extend UGS1003 to include Batley Carr Community Green to the south. Amenity greenspace with trees and benches, used by local residents, children for play, dog walkers and for community events. Owned and maintained by the council. Friends of Group wish to take responsibility for the site through a community asset transfer.		Proposed change.			
		This site is a proposed rejected urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		It is, however, now part of a larger proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS1003a which includes Batley Carr Community Green.			
<b>UGS1004</b>	Rock House Park, Rock House Drive, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1005</b>	Bywell Junior School & Bywell Playing Fields, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1006</b>	Dewsbury Moor ARLFC, Carr Lane, Dewsbury Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1007</b>	Westmoor Primary School, Church Lane, Dewsbury Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1008</b>	Westborough High & St John Fisher Schools, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1009</b>	Caulms Wood Recreation Ground, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1010</b>	Manor Croft Academy, Old Bank Road, Earlsheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1011</b>	Crow Nest Park & Dewsbury Moor Crematorium, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1012</b>	Woodland Adjacent Eastfield Mills, Sands Lane, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1013</b>	Earlsheaton Park, Cross Park Street, Earlsheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1014</b>	Earlsheaton Cemetery & Wakefield Road Playing Fields, Earlsheaton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1015</b>	Hazel Crescent Public Open Space, Hazel Crescent, Chickenley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1016</b>	Chickenley Community Schools, Princess Road, Chickenley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1017</b>	Dewsbury Cemetery & St Paulinus Primary School, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1018</b>	Boothroyd Primary Academy, Temple Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1019</b>	Chickenley Recreation Ground, Mill Lane, Chickenley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1020</b>	Walnut Avenue Open Space, Walnut Avenue, Chickenley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1021</b>	Sheep Hill, Headland Lane, Chickenley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1022</b>	Savile Playing Field, Grosvenor Street, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1023</b>	Savile Sports Ground, Savile Road, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1024</b>	Scarborough Street Open Space, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1025</b>	Pentland Infant & Nursery School, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
		urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1027</b>	Sparrow Wood, Headfield Park & Headfield Junior School, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1028</b>	Former Cricket Ground, Lees Hall Road, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1029</b>	Centenary Square Football Fields, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1030</b>	Thornhill Lees Infant & Nursery School, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1031</b>	Ravenshall School, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD10894					
Unsound. The urban green space designation constrains the Dewsbury Riverside Scheme (H2089) and pre-determines the master plan by placing undue restrictions on land in a significant and important location at the heart of the scheme. Includes allotments and a linear strip of grassland, which may be needed as part of the scheme and should not be covered by the designation. More pragmatic to allow the master plan to review and reconsider the entire area. Remove the designation of UGS1031 and include within the Dewsbury Riverside Allocation.		Proposed change to remove the allotments and strip of amenity greenspace from the urban green space allocation. School to remain allocated as urban green space.			
		This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the whole site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site. The allotments and amenity greenspace are proposed to be shown within accepted housing allocation H2089 in the publication draft Local Plan.			
		The reason to remove the allotments and amenity greenspace from urban green space allocation is to allow for comprehensive master planning and deliverability of the Dewsbury Riverside Scheme H2089. Replacement allotments and open space provision of equivalent or better quantity and quality will be required in a suitable location as part of the development.			
<b>UGS1032</b>	Lees Holm Park, Brewery Lane, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1033</b>	Thornhill Cricket and Bowling Club, Hall Lane, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1034</b>	Overthorpe Academy & Overthorpe Sports Club, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1035</b>	Overthorpe Park & Thornhill Sports & Community Centre, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1036</b>	Thornhill Junior & Infant School & Edge Lane Allotments, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1037</b>	Thornhill Community Academy Trust & Sports Centre, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1038</b>	Field Lane Playing Fields, Field Lane, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1039</b>	Holroyd Park & Ravensthorpe Junior School, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1040</b>	Diamond Wood Community Academy, North Road, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as			

Summary of comments	Council Response			
	urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1041</b> Ravensthorpe Park, Huddersfield Road, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received	No change.			
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1042</b> East Bierley Cricket Club, South View Road, East Bierley DLP_AD10697, DLP_AD10698, DLP_AD10699	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change.			
	Support noted.			
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1043</b> East Bierley Marsh, South View Road, East Bierley DLP_AD10703, DLP_AD10705, DLP_AD10706	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.			
<b>UGS1044</b> Birkenshaw Primary School, Station Lane, Birkenshaw DLP_AD10713, DLP_AD10714, DLP_AD10715	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.			
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1045</b> Birkenshaw Park and St Paul & St Luke Church, Birkenshaw DLP_AD10716, DLP_AD10717, DLP_AD10718	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.			
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1046</b> BBG Academy, Bradford Road, Birkenshaw DLP_AD10722, DLP_AD10723, DLP_AD10724	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.			
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1047</b> Kingsley Drive Recreation Ground, Kingsley Drive, Birkenshaw DLP_AD10725, DLP_AD10726, DLP_AD10727	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.	No change. Support noted.			
	This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Playing Pitch Strategy			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		(2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1048</b>	Play Area adjacent Red House Museum, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1049</b>	Shirley Recreation Ground, Shirley Road, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1050</b>	Gomersal St Mary's Primary School, Shirley Avenue, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1051</b>	Gomersal Cricket Club, Oxford Road, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1052</b>	Sugden Park Recreation Ground, Upper Lane, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1053</b>	White Lee Playing Fields, Leaside & Fairfield Schools, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1054</b>	Leyburn Avenue Recreation Ground, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1055</b>	Dale Lane Playing Fields, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1056</b>	Heckmondwike Cemetery, Cemetery Road, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1057</b>	Vernon Road Playing Field, New North Rd Allotments, New North Road Pocket Park & Priestley Gardens, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1058</b>	Heckmondwike Sports, Cricket & Bowling Clubs, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1059</b>	Former Heckmondwike & Carlinghow Cricket Ground, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD4517		No change.			
Remove urban green space allocation and show as unallocated land.		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
The site is no longer used as cricket field, the pavilion has been removed and the land is not actively used for any other purpose. Loss of the cricket field and deterioration in the quality of the land to low quality amounts to a material change in circumstances. Relies on planning application decision 2014/93549 Lancaster Lane, Brockholes to suggest a low quality test has been introduced and this should apply to the plan-making process.		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the allocation of this site as urban green space is justified based on evidence from in the council's Open Space Study 2015, Playing Pitch Strategy 2015 and Urban Green Space Review. Site reviewed and open space typology corrected from natural and semi-natural greenspace to amenity green space. Flat maintained grassed site, predominately surrounded by housing with adjoining burial ground to the south east.			
		The Kirklees Open Space Study assessment identifies this site as a high value open space important for the amenity of the area, particularly in an area of densely developed housing. The open character of the land is valuable in relieving the built up surroundings of the area.			
		The Playing Pitch Strategy 2015 identifies significant shortfalls in playing pitch provision in the Batley and Spen area, including deficits in adult and junior football grass pitches and 3G pitches, as well as deficiencies in cricket provision. This site is included in the PPS as a lapsed cricket ground but recognised as potentially too small for a full size cricket pitch. The recommendation of the PPS is to protect this site as with investment the site could			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

					potentially help meet shortfalls in playing pitch provision in the area. As such, the site has not been identified as surplus to requirements.
					Levels of obesity in the ward are higher than the Kirklees average. As such, protection of this site as urban green space could help support reduction of health inequalities in the area .
					See Rejected Housing Option H2091.
<b>UGS1060</b>	Firth Park, Westgate, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				No change.
					This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS1061</b>	Holy Spirit Primary School Playing Field, Bath Road, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				No change.
					This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS1062</b>	Heckmondwike Grammar School Playing Field, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				No change.
					This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS1063</b>	Heckmondwike Primary School, Cawley Lane, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				No change.
					This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS1064</b>	Heckmondwike Grammar School & Cawley Lane Recreation Ground, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				No change.
					This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS1065</b>	Bower Lane Recreation Ground, Bower Lane, Dewsbury Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				No change.
					This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>UGS1066</b>	Upper Hopton Cricket Ground, Recreation Gound & St John Church, Upper Hopton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
	No Representations received				No change.

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1067</b>	The Pavillion, Cleckheaton Sports Club, Chain Bar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1068</b>	Land at Springfield, Upper & Lower Blacup, Cleckheaton DLP_AD1879, DLP_AD6564, DLP_AD9056, DLP_AD10999	Support	Conditional Support	Object 4	No Comment
Open Space Study Assessment for land off New Lane is unsound. There is no deficiency but a surplus of natural and semi-natural greenspace in Cleckheaton. Lack of evidence of individual site assessments.		No change.			
Land off New Lane is not justified as urban green space. Overgrown scrubland with trees. It is separated from the wider UGS1068 and is different in character and context. Not part of Springfield Farm, Lower Blacup Farm or Upper Blacup Farm. Private ownership. No opportunities for public access for use for sports or recreation and is not a valued landscape. Does not assist in reducing health inequalities. No open space deficiency. Not high value in terms of physical, social, environmental or visual qualities. Qualitative analysis undertaken by objector indicates low quality and low value for use and accessibility, purpose, character and visual quality, views, ecological value and other benefits. Removal from urban green space would not prejudice the function and purpose of the allocation as a whole. TPO trees can be incorporate into housing development.		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
UDP 1999 Inspector's Report has no material weight, conclusions are over 17 years ago under different planning regime on out of date plan and are based on the wider urban green space allocation.		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers that these parts individually and the whole of allocation UGS1068 is justified as urban green space based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Urban Green Space Review.			
Large part of the site (rejected options H1797, H482, H464) is not justified as urban green space. The land is in private ownership, it does not offer opportunities for public access for use for sports or recreation and is not a valued landscape. There are sufficient levels of green space in this part of Cleckheaton. Public open space provided as part of a development would it into public use and have biodiversity benefits.		Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether the land is in public or private ownership, and are not dependent on public access being available. This is consistent with the recognition in NPPF that open space includes all open space of public value.			
Eastern part (rejected option H366) is unjustified as urban green space. The site is in private ownership and consists of farmland which is not included as a type of open space in the Urban Green Space technical paper. Site does not meet the definition and description of semi-natural greenspace from PPG17 or any of the other open space typologies. It does not meet the definition of open space as set out in NPPF. As more than an extensive tract of land, the site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space.		Included in the Open Space Study 2015 as an extensive area of natural and semi-natural greenspace, UGS1068 has been assessed as having high value as open space for:-			
UGS1068 could be developed instead of green belt site E1831. Site is surrounded by housing and industry and could be improved by regeneration.		(i) it's structural and landscape benefits performing an important strategic urban green space function as a green wedge within a highly urban area, separating the built-up areas of Cleckheaton and Liversedge and helping define the identity and character of the area;			
		(ii) the amenity of the area and sense of place as a high quality attractive greenspace that has the appearance of open countryside which can be viewed from many locations within the built-up area and plays a very significant role in providing relief from urban development; and			
		(iii) use and enjoyment for informal recreation along the public footpath network across the land.			
		Whilst provision of natural and semi-natural greenspace in Cleckheaton ward is above the minimum standard, this is not the case in the adjoining ward of Liversedge and Gomersal which has a significant shortfall of this type of open space provision. There are also significant open space deficiencies in the provision of amenity greenspace, allotments and parks and recreation grounds in the Cleckheaton ward. UGS1068 is not deemed, in whole or in part, to be clearly surplus to requirements.			
<b>UGS1069</b>	Lynfield Recreation Ground, Hightown Heights	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1070</b>	High Bank F & N School & Windy Bank Lane Play Area, Hightown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1072</b>	Miry Lane Recreation Ground, Miry Lane, Hightown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1073</b>	Headlands Junior, Infant & Nursery School, Liversedge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1074</b>	Millbridge Park, Sampson Street, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1075</b>	Union Road Recreation Ground, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1076</b>	Spenn Valley High School, Roberttown Lane, Roberttown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1077</b>	Rear of 15-45, Cornmill Lane, Norristhorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1078</b>	Liversedge Tennis Club, Huddersfield Road, Roberttown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1079</b>	Milton Road Recreation Ground, Norristhorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1080</b>	Norristhorpe J & I School Playing Fields, School Street, Norristhorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1081</b>	Hartshead Recreation Ground, School Lane, Hartshead	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1082</b>	Millbridge Junior, Infant & Nursery School, Vernon Road, Liversedge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1083</b>	Old Bank Junior, Infant & Nursery School, Taylor Hall Lane, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1084</b>	Crossley Fields Junior & Infant School, Wellhouse Lane, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1085</b>	Old Bank Recreation Ground, Old Bank Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1086</b>	Mirfield Free Grammar School Fields, Kitson Hill Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1087</b>	Crossley Lane Recreation Ground, Northorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1088</b>	West Field Mills Playing Fields, Huddersfield Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1089</b>	Church of the Resurrection, Stocks Bank Road, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		No change.			
<b>UGS1090</b>	Stocksbank Recreation Ground, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1091</b>	Battyeford Primary School, Nab Lane, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Summary of comments	Council Response
	<p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>
<b>UGS1092</b> Mirfield Parish Cricket Club, Wellhouse Lane, Mirfield	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	<p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>
<b>UGS1093</b> Castle Hall Academy Trust, Richard Thorpe Avenue, Mirfield	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	<p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>
<b>UGS1094</b> Knowle Park, Knowle Road, Mirfield	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	<p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>
<b>UGS1095</b> Ings Grove Park, Huddersfield Road, Mirfield	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	<p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>
<b>UGS1096</b> Crowlees Junior & Infant School & Mirfield Showground, Mirfield	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	<p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>
<b>UGS1097</b> Mirfield Memorial Ground, Huddersfield Road, Mirfield	Support Conditional Support Object No Comment
No Representations received	No change.
	<p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1098</b>	Firthcliffe Recreation Ground, Off Firthcliffe Road, Littletown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1099</b>	Firthcliffe Road Recreation Ground, Firthcliffe Road, Littletown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1100</b>	Land between Huddersfield Broad Canal & River Calder, Cooper Bridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1101</b>	Leeds Road Sports Complex, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1102</b>	Bradley Mills Cricket & Bowling Club, Barr Street, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1103</b>	Land north and west of 290 Kilner Bank, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1104</b>	Glen Field Recreation Ground, Glen Field Avenue, Deighton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1105</b>	St Patricks School, Cricket Club, Burial Ground & Clayton Fields Allotments, Birkby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1106</b>	Land between Kaffir Road & Halifax Road, Edgerton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1107</b>	Cemetery, Tennis Club, Highfields Playing Fields, Osbourne Rd/Cemetery Rd Allotments, Highfields	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. The boundary of this site has been extended to include the area covered by LocGS2126 which has been rejected in the revised plan.			
<b>UGS1108</b>	Willwood Avenue Allotments, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1109</b>	Reinwood Recreation Ground, New Hey Road, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1110</b>	Burfitts Road Recreational Ground, Burfitts Road, Oakes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1111</b>	Reinwood Community Junior, Infant and Nursery School, Oakes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1112</b>	Smiths Avenue Recreation Ground, Marsh	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1113</b>	Jim Lane Recreation Ground, Meadow Street, Marsh	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1114</b>	Greenhead Park, Park Drive, Greenhead	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1115</b>	Royds Hall School, Douglas Avenue Rec Ground & Luck Lane Allotments, Paddock	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1116</b>	Dingle Rd Recreation Ground & Jim Lane Allotments, Gledholt	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1117</b>	Gledholt Woods LNR & Branch Street Allotments, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1118</b>	Greenhead College, Greenhead Road, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1119</b>	Land south of 19-65, Lower Gate, Paddock	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1120</b>	Paddock Cricket Ground & Bowling Club, Church Street, Paddock	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1121</b>	Land off Gledholt Bank, Gledholt Bank	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1122</b>	Dingle Road Open Space, Paddock	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1123</b>	Upper Fell Greave Wood & Church of St Francis, Fixby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1124</b>	Fixby Junior & Infant School, Lightridge Road, Fixby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1125</b>	Dick Wood, Cowcliffe Hill Road, Fixby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1126</b>	Woodland, Cowcliffe Hill Road, Fixby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1127</b>	Woodland, Off Spinneyfield, Fixby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1128</b>	Cowcliffe Hill Recreation Ground, Cowcliffe Hill Road, Cowcliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1129</b>	Woodland, Netherwood Close, Fixby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1130</b>	York Avenue Allotments, York Avenue, Fartown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1131</b>	Dewhurst Road Allotments, Dewhurst Road, Ashbrow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1132</b>	Fartown Arena, York Ave Rec Ground & Scale Hill Allotments, Fartown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1133</b>	Fartown Recreation Ground, Ball Royd Road, Fartown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1134</b>	Norman Park, Norman Road, Birkby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1135</b>	Jack Hill Park, Jack Hill, Birkby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1136</b>	Birkby to Bradley Greenway Section, Alder Street, Fartown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1137</b>	Canalside Sports Complex, Leeds Road, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1138</b>	All Saints College, Lower Fell Greave/Bradley Gate/Dyson Woods, Bradley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1139</b>	Bradley Park & St Thomas Primary School, Bradley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1140</b>	Oak Road Recreation Ground & Oak Road Allotments, Bradley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1141</b>	Priory Place Recreation Ground, Huntingdon Avenue, Bradley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1142</b>	Ashbrow J I & N Schools & Bradley Boulevard Allotment, Sheepridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1144</b>	Ruskin Grove Recreation Ground, Ruskin Grove, Sheepridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1145</b>	Amenity Space, Riddings Rise, Sheepridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1146</b>	Bradley & Colne Bridge Cricket Club & Warrendside Football Ground, Deighton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1147</b>	New North Huddersfield Trust School, Fartown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1148</b>	Woodland, Bradley Mills Road, Rawthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1149</b>	Nether Hall High School & Rawthorpe Junior School, Rawthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1150</b>	Dram Sports Centre, Ridgeway Rec Ground & Allotments, Rawthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1151</b>	Harpe Inge Recreation Ground, Rawthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1152</b>	Standiforth Playing Fields, Grosvenor Road, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1153</b>	Dalton & St Josephs Schools, Church & Teddington Ave Allotments, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1154</b>	Round Wood, Woodedge Avenue, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1155</b>	Land adjacent Round Wood Beck, Winsford Drive, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1156</b>	Wakefield Road Allotments, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1157</b>	Land west of 9-45, Cross Green Road, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1158</b>	Land adjacent Round Wood Beck, Waterloo Road, Waterloo	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1159</b>	Land between Round Wood Beck & Ox Field Beck, Albany Road, Dalton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1160</b>	Ravensknowle Park, Wakefield Road, Moldgreen	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1161</b>	Longley Park Golf Course, Longley Woods & Longley School, Lower Houses	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1162</b>	Martin Bank Wood, Dog Kennel Bank, Lower Houses	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1163</b>	Martin Bank Wood, Somerset Road, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1164</b>	Ravensknowle Road Allotments & Bowling Green, Almondbury Bank	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1165</b>	Somerset Road Allotments, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1166</b>	Land to north of, 33-55, Forest Road, Moldgreen	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1167</b>	Kidroyd Recreation Ground, Somerset Road, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1168</b>	Almondbury Bank, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1169</b>	Land north of, Fernside Avenue, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1170</b>	Fleminghouse Lane Allotments, Fleminghouse Lane, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1171</b>	Almondbury Cricket Club, High School & Almondbury Sports Centre, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1172</b>	Land rear of Benomley Crescent, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1173</b>	Almondbury Junior School, Southfield Road, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1174</b>	Fernside Park, Southfield Rd, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1175</b>	Open Space adjacent 149, Fleminghouse Lane, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1176</b>	Almondbury Cemetery, Recreation Ground, Benholmley Banks & Almondbury Infant & Nursery School, Cemetery Walk, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1177</b>	All Hallows Church, Westgate, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1178</b>	Victoria Road Allotments & Rashcliffe Recreation Ground, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1179</b>	Spa Wood, Whitehead Lane, Lockwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1180</b>	Orchard Terrace Open Space, Primrose Hill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1181</b>	Snow Island, Kings Mill Lane, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1182</b>	Primrose Hill Cricket Club & Recreation Ground, Primrose Hill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1183</b>	Hillside Primary School & Stile Common, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1184</b>	Newsome Road Allotments, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1185</b>	Hall Cross Road Open Space, Lower Houses	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1186</b>	Lowerhouses School & Longley Community Sports Club, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1187</b>	Lockwood Village Green & Woodhead Road Allotments, Lockwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1188</b>	Land adjacent, 21-41, Littlewood Croft, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1189</b>	St John's Church, Jackroyd Lane, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1190</b>	New Laith Wood & Ashenhurst Ave Allotments, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1191</b>	Woodland, Mansion Gardens, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1192</b>	Newsome High School, Newsome J School & Castle Hill Specialist College, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1193</b>	Deadmanstone Waingate Open Space, Berry Brow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1194</b>	Gramfield Road Allotments, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology. No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1195</b>	Lightcliffe Road Allotments, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1196</b>	May Street Recreation Ground, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1197</b>	North Street Allotments, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1198</b>	Dryclough Infants & Crosland Moor Junior School, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1199</b>	Walpole Road Recreation Ground, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1200</b>	Dryclough Recreation Ground, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1201</b>	Moorend Academy & Moorend Phoenix Cricket Club, Crosland Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1202</b>	Netherton Infant School & South Crosland Junior School, Netherton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1203</b>	Marten Drive Recreation Ground, Netherton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1204</b>	Hawkroyd Bank Recreation Ground & Allotments, Netherton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1205</b>	Botham Hall Recreation Ground, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1206</b>	Sycamore Avenue Open Space, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1207</b>	Crow Lane Primary & Foundation School & Crow Lane Recreation Grd, Milnsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1208</b>	Former St. Lukes Church, Manchester Road, Milnsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1209</b>	Kinder Avenue Open Space, Cowlersley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1210</b>	Cowlersley Primary School, Cowlersley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1211</b>	Jubilee Recreation Ground, Cowlersley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1212</b>	Leymoor Cricket Club, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1213</b>	Golcar Cricket & Athletic Club, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1214</b>	Golcar Flatts, Golcar Schools, Recreation Ground & Moorcroft Ave Allotments, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD9234		No change.			
Land west of Intake/Green Crescent, Golcar, which is part of urban green space allocation UGS1214), does not justify description or designation as urban green space. It is unmanaged, unattractive grassland which serves no useful purpose and does not fit any of the urban green space descriptions in paragraph 17.45. The site is not an important open space, there is no right of public access and it is neither an important sport nor recreational facility. The land is redundant, poor quality and in its own right has no visual or biodiversity merit. There is nothing worth protecting and there is no intention to enhance it. The site should be released for housing.		The objection relates to the north eastern part of the larger proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS1214. UGS1214 was proposed as an accepted urban green space allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).			
		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers that the norther eastern part of UGS1214, which forms the objection site, is justified as urban green space in its own right and as part of the wider urban green space allocation. This is based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Kirklees Urban Green Space Review.			
		The objection site comprises an area of grassland adjoining allotments and amenity space to the west with housing development to the north and east. This land forms part of a larger area of flat natural/semi-natural greenspace that comprises adjoining grassland to the south and has been assessed through the Kirklees Open Space Study as having medium value as open space with some informal recreation use along the public footpath on the western boundary.			
		As identified in the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015, there are significant quantity deficiencies in open space in the ward with shortfalls in the provision of parks and recreation grounds, natural and semi-natural greenspace, amenity greenspace and allotments. As such, this site is not identified as clearly surplus to requirements.			
		The allocation of the objection site and the whole of UGS1214 as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether public access is available. This is consistent with NPPF that open space includes all open space of public value.			
		See rejected housing option H298.			
<b>UGS1215</b>	Beech County Junior & Infant School & Longfield Avenue Rec Ground, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1216</b>	St John's Church, Church St, Golcar	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1217</b>	Longwood Edge, Longwood	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
DLP_AD10986					
Support green space should be preserved.		No change. Support noted.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1218</b>	Land to the north of Longwood Gate, Longwood Edge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1219</b>	Ballroyd Clough & Cliffe Road Recreation Ground, Quarmby	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD10896, DLP_AD10985					
Support for preservation of green space.		No change.			
Objection Quarmby Cliff/ Ballroyd Clough is not sufficient quality to designate as urban green space. Sustainability appraisal refers to sites as large/ outside flood zones with minor positive benefits to an ancient monument. Derelict land on UDP as historic employment site and vestiges of its Brownfield status still exist. Landscape and public accessibility not significantly improved in last 20 years. The site frontage area should be considered as a housing site.		Support noted.			
		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, it is considered that land fronting Vicarage Road in its own right and the whole of UGS1219 is justified as urban green space. This is based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Kirklees Urban Green Space Review.			
		Evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study assessment undertaken for this urban green space identifies Quarmby Cliff/Ballroyd Clough as a prominent valley of open natural and semi-natural greenspace assessed as having high value as open space for:-			
		(i) ecological qualities - Ballroyd Clough includes Habitats of Principal Importance, namely lowland mixed deciduous woodland and acid grassland UK BAP priority habitats, and forms part of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network;			
		(ii) cultural and heritage benefits - area includes Nab End Tower folly used for local community events, such as Longwood Sing;			
		(ii) the amenity of the area and sense of place - the attractive qualities and prominence Quarmby Cliff/Ballroyd			

Summary of comments

Council Response

	<p>Clough with steep valley sides and heathland form a strong visual feature that makes an important contribution to the appearance and character of the area;</p> <p>(iv) use for informal recreation along public rights of way.</p> <p>The site frontage suggested for housing is an integral part of this prominent open land, includes UK BAP priority habitat on the western edge and occupies an important position in the centre of the valley. It's open character is important in maintaining the integrity and continuity of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network within the clough and with land to the south of Vicarage Road.</p> <p>See rejected housing site H590.</p>				
<p><b>UGS1220</b> Spark Street Recreation Ground, Longwood</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>UGS1221</b> Longwood Gate Allotments, Prospect Road, Longwood DLP_AD4204</p> <p>Support green space should be preserved.</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support 1</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change. Support noted.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>UGS1222</b> Land between Prospect Road &amp; Grove Street, Longwood</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>UGS1223</b> Ainley Top Recreation Ground, Birchcliffe</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>UGS1224</b> Land south of Birchington Avenue, Lindley</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		
<p><b>UGS1225</b> Heatherleigh Recreation Ground, Lindley</p> <p>No Representations received</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Support</td> <td>Conditional Support</td> <td>Object</td> <td>No Comment</td> </tr> </table> <p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)</p>	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment		

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1226</b>	Birchcliffe Cricket Club, Halifax Road, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1227</b>	Birchcliffe Recreation Ground & Yew Tree Road Allotments, Birchcliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1228</b>	Mount Recreation Ground, Roman Close, Salendine Nook	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1229</b>	YMCA Sports Club, Moorlands Primary School & Hubert Street Open Space, Mount	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1230</b>	Open Space, Crosland Road, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1231</b>	Fearnlea Recreation Ground, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1232</b>	Hopkinson Recreation Ground & Lindley Methodist Churchyard, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1233</b>	Lindley Junior School, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1234</b>	Crosland Road Allotments, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1235</b>	Daisy Lea Recreation Ground, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1236</b>	Salendine Nook Baptist Church, Salendine Nook	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1237</b>	Goldington Avenue Recreation Ground, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1238</b>	Plover Road Dam, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1239</b>	St Stephen's Church & Plover Road Allotments, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1240</b>	Salendine Nook High School, New College, Celandine Avenue Recreation Ground & Allotments, New Hey Road, Salendine Nook	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD10962		No change.			
Delete comprehensive site area, including Huddersfield University former playing fields, adjoining privately owned vacant land and Celandine Avenue Recreation Ground, from urban green space designation UGS1240. Allocate for residential development. Land used as playing field has been surplus to requirements for a number of years. Suggested the land does not currently perform any recreation function or contain characteristics pertaining to urban green space designation. No public access. Area of 37.19 hectares of urban green space is significant and does not require to be of such a scale to perform an urban green space function. Allocation of 11 hectares for housing would ensure beneficial and useable greenspace provision from residential development and potential to enhance adjoining urban green space.		<p>This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>The objection relates to the allocation of former University playing fields, an adjoining former sports ground, a recreation ground and allotments.</p> <p>Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers the allocation of this land as urban green space is justified. This is based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 (OSS), the Kirklees Playing Pitch Strategy 2015 (PPS) and the Kirklees Urban Green Space Review.</p> <p>Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether the land is in public or private ownership, and are not dependent on public access being available. This is consistent with the recognition in NPPF that open space includes all open space of public value.</p> <p>The former University playing fields have been included in the PPS as lapsed football pitch provision, previously accommodating at least one adult football pitch. The PPS identifies significant shortfalls in playing pitch provision in Huddersfield, including deficits in junior football and 3G pitches, as well as significant deficiencies in cricket, senior rugby league and rugby union provision. The recommendation in the PPS is to protect this site due to shortfalls in the area. As such, the site has not been identified as clearly surplus to requirements.</p> <p>The adjoining former sports ground has previously been used as a cricket ground and for junior football. Former clubhouse now in use as private swimming facility Swimnation. The PPS recommends to currently protect this site due to shortfalls in the area. As such, this site has not been identified as clearly surplus to requirements.</p> <p>Celandine Avenue Recreation Ground includes an equipped children's play area and adult football pitch and has been assessed through the Open Space Study assessment as a highly valuable recreation facility. It is recommended for protection in the PPS as a local football site. Therefore, the functional value of this land for sports and recreational use justifies it's allocation as urban green space.</p> <p>The objection also includes council owned allotments, assessed through the Open Space Study as well used high quality allotments. These provide a valuable recreation facility meriting allocation as urban green space.</p> <p>Allocation as urban green space enables the test set out in NPPF (paragraph 74) to be applied to development proposals.</p>			
<b>UGS1242</b>	All Saint's Church, Town Gate, Netherthong	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>UGS1243</b>	Christ Church, Sude Hill, New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1244</b>	Crow Wood, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>Proposed change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for urban green space allocation.</p> <p>The reasons for change are the site is below the 0.4 hectares size threshold and therefore too small for allocation as urban green space.</p>			
<b>UGS1245</b>	St John's Church, Upperthong Lane, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>UGS1246</b>	Land rear of Shawfield Avenue, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>UGS1247</b>	Former Mill Pond, Paris Road, Scholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD1554		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p> <p>It is considered that the allocation of this site as urban green space is justified based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Kirklees Urban Green Space Review.</p> <p>UGS1247 comprises a natural and semi-natural greenspace within the centre of Scholes, predominantly grassland which slopes steeply down to an open watercourse on the southern boundary adjoining a woodland area protected by a TPO. Assessed as having high value as open space based on its:-  (i) ecological benefits due to the presence of the stream and mixed deciduous woodland which is a BAP Priority Area; and  (ii) its scarcity value within the built-up area.</p> <p>There are identified open space deficiencies within the built-up areas of the Holme Valley South ward. In particular, the provision of natural and semi-natural greenspace, allotments and amenity greenspace in the ward are significantly below the benchmark standards.</p> <p>This site has been assessed as a housing option through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology but rejected as a potential housing allocation. See rejected housing option H566.</p>			
<b>UGS1248</b>	Hey Door Step Green, Sunny Heys Road Allotments & Churchyard, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
DLP_AD11012		<p>No change.</p> <p>This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted urban</p>			
Hey Green is an opportunity to build a handful of dwellings at the same time as retaining important green space. The site lends itself to a small infill development at the same time as retaining the local character.					

Summary of comments

Council Response

green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation methodology, it is considered that the allocation of this site as urban green space is justified based on evidence from the council's Open Space Study 2015 and Urban Green Space Review.

UGS1248 includes a local park with equipped children's play area, well used allotments and an area of amenity greenspace with trees. The Kirklees Open Space Study assessment identifies this site as having significant value as open space for recreational use and the amenity of the area.

The provision of parks and recreation grounds, amenity greenspace and allotments in the ward is below the benchmark standards. Levels of physical inactivity in the ward are lower than the Kirklees average. Deficiencies in open space and health inequalities in the ward support the protection of this site as urban green space.

See Rejected Housing Option H2575.

UGS Reference	Site Description	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<b>UGS1249</b>	Land adjacent Meltham Dike, Mill Moor Road, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1250</b>	Meltham Methodist Church Graveyard, Westgate, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1251</b>	Meltham Pleasure Grounds, Mill Bank Road, Meltham	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1252</b>	St Peters Church, Kirkgate, Birstall	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1253</b>	Lonebottom Dam, Bradford Road, Birstall	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response				
<b>UGS1254</b>	Open space at junction of Middlegate/Church Street, Birstall DLP_AD10740, DLP_AD10741, DLP_AD10742	Support	3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
<b>UGS1255</b>	All Saints Church, Stock Lane, Batley	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
<b>UGS1256</b>	Bath Street Play Area, Batley	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
<b>UGS1257</b>	Jessop Park, Batley	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
<b>UGS1258</b>	Open Space, Bunkers lane, Staincliffe	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
<b>UGS1259</b>	Manor Way Open Space, Staincliffe	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
<b>UGS1260</b>	St Paul's Church, Kirkgate, Hanging Heaton	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.				
<b>UGS1261</b>	King Edward VII Memorial Park, Greenside, Cleckheaton	Support		Conditional Support	Object	No Comment

Summary of comments

Council Response

Supports allocation as Urban Green Space. Well-used amenity which contributes to the character of the town.

No change. Support noted.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS1262** Bridon Way Play Area, Marsh

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS1263** Cleckheaton Cemetery (Old) & Peaseland Road Open Space, Cleckheaton

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS1264** Spens Bottom Recreation Ground, Cleckheaton

Support 2 Conditional Support Object No Comment

DLP\_AD5511, DLP\_AD5599

No change.

Support allocation as urban green space. Important green space for Cleckheaton, used by local residents for recreation, dog walking and for fishing. Important wildlife corridor and for flood containment. Connects to green belt which separates Cleckheaton from Gomersal. Floodplain. Not suitable for housing.

Support noted.

This site is a proposed urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS1265** Whitechapel Parish Church, Cleckheaton

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No Representations received

No change.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS1266** Birkenshaw Lane Recreation Ground & Bottoms Lane Allotments, Birkenshaw

Support 3 Conditional Support Object No Comment

DLP\_AD10731, DLP\_AD10732, DLP\_AD10733

No change. Support noted.

Support allocation as Urban Green Space.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS1267** Tong Moor Local Nature Reserve, Birkenshaw

Support 3 Conditional Support Object No Comment

DLP\_AD10707, DLP\_AD10708, DLP\_AD10709

No change. Support noted.

Support for allocation as Urban Green Space

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1268</b>	St Marys Church, Shirley Road, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1269</b>	Tong Moor, Station Lane, Birkenshaw DLP_AD10710, DLP_AD10711, DLP_AD10712	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1270</b>	Nab Lane Allotments, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1271</b>	Francis Street Allotments & Adjacent Open Space, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1272</b>	Back Station Road Allotments, Lower Hopton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1273</b>	Open land north of railway, Hurst Lane, Lowlands	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1274</b>	Public Open Space, Wilson Terrace, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1275</b>	Christ Church, Church Lane, Millbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1276</b>	St Georges Church, Brockholes Lane, Brockholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed change.			
		The site is proposed as rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for urban green space allocation.			
		The reasons for change are the site is below the 0.4 hectares size threshold and therefore too small for allocation as urban green space.			
<b>UGS1278</b>	Dean Brook Woodland, St Marys Road, Netherthong	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1279</b>	Spring Wood & Adjacent Land, Springwood Road, Thongsbridge DLP_AD5401, DLP_AD10970	Support	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
Inappropriate to designate as urban green space.		No change.			
The land is privately owned, it offers no sports or recreational facilities, there is no public access and public views of the site are limited. No amenity offered to anyone other than those living immediately adjacent to this land. Site has no special wildlife and, with the high wall and corridor of Springwood Road, this effectively prevents any migration of animal life from the school playing fields on the opposite side of the road.		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
The majority of Urban Greenspace in the existing development plan (UDP) are around publicly owned sites such as school grounds or playing fields. The site is physically split from Urban Greenspace at nearby Holmfirth High School and should not be associated with this.		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers that this site is justified as urban green space based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Kirklees Urban Green Space Review.			
		Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether the land is in public or private ownership and are not dependant on public access being available. This is consistent with the recognition in NPPF that open space includes all open space of public value.			
		UGS1279 comprises a natural and semi-natural greenspace, predominantly parkland with open mature trees throughout the site and an area of woodland on the northern boundary, Spring Wood, protected by a Tree Preservation Order.			
		The parkland area has been assessed as having high value as open space, mainly for its ecological benefits as a UK BAP Priority Habitat and for the amenity of the area adding to its character and appearance.			
		Allocation of Spring Wood as urban green space is justified through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology.			
		The provision of natural and semi-natural greenspace and amenity greenspace is significantly below the benchmark standards.			
		See rejected housing option H537.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1280</b>	Manor Drive Open Space, Flockton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1281</b>	St. Lucius Church, Butts Road, Farnley Tyas DLP_AD6270, DLP_AD7525, DLP_AD10659, DLP_AD10886	Support 4	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Supports for designation as urban green space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1282</b>	Kirkburton Hall, Penistone Road, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1283</b>	All Hallows Church, Huddersfield Road, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1284</b>	Land at Abbey Road South, Shepley DLP_AD2753	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as urban green space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1285</b>	St Pauls Church & Marsh Lane Allotments, Shepley DLP_AD10884	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as urban green space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1286</b>	Pinfold Lane Allotments, Flockton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1287</b>	Graveyard, Barnsley Road, Flockton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment



Summary of comments		Council Response			
No Representations received		Proposed change.  The site is proposed as rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for urban green space allocation.  The reasons for change are the site is below the 0.4 hectares size threshold and therefore too small for allocation as urban green space.			
<b>UGS1288</b>	St. Thomas's Church, Thurstonland DLP_AD6838	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.  This site is justified as urban green space based on the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1289</b>	Moorlands Avenue Allotments, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1290</b>	Northfield Allotments & Public Open Space, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1291</b>	Public Open Space, Manor Road, Webster Hill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1292</b>	Dewsbury Minster, Vicarage Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1293</b>	Land to the north & south west of Pennine Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1295</b>	Carr House Park, Rock House Drive, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1296</b>	Navigation Gardens, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1297</b>	Ingham Road Allotments, Thornhill Lees	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1298</b>	Woodland north of Foxroyd House, Foxroyd Lane, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1299</b>	Holy Innocents Church, Vicarage Road, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1300</b>	St Michaels & All Angels Church, Church Lane, Thornhill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1301</b>	Thornhill Edge, High Street, Thornhill Edge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1302</b>	Clarkson Street Allotments, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1303</b>	Land at Jackroyd Lane, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1304</b>	Guy Edge, Slant Gate, Linthwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1306</b>	St Bartholomew's Church, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1307</b>	Holy Trinity Church, Butt Lane, Hepworth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1308</b>	Land adjacent Lower Spen LNR, Huddersfield Road, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1310</b>	Foxlow Avenue Recreation Ground, Rawthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1311</b>	Burton Dean Park & Dean Bottom Allotments, North Road, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1312</b>	Burton Dean Quarry, North Road, Kirkburton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1315</b>	Holme Park Court, Parkgate, Berry Brow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1316</b>	Open Space between Middlegate & High Street, Birstall DLP_AD10753, DLP_AD10754, DLP_AD10755	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1317</b>	Open Space between Old Bank Road & Wakefield Road, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1318</b>	Grange Moor Recreation Ground, Liley Lane, Grange Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1319</b>	Shepley Tennis Club, Firth Street, Shepley DLP_AD10883	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for designation as urban green space.		Proposed change.			
		The site is proposed as rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for urban green space allocation.			
		The reasons for change are the site is below the 0.4 hectares size threshold and therefore too small for allocation as urban green space.			
		No comments were received on this part of the plan.			
<b>UGS1430</b>	Woods Avenue Recreation Ground, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015)			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1432</b>	West Street Recreation Ground, Soothill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1433</b>	Battye Street MUGA, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1434</b>	Land at Forge Lane, Norristhorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1435</b>	Green Park, Westgate, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1436</b>	Public Open Space, Nunroyd, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1437</b>	Longfield Road Allotments, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1438</b>	Land Clarkson Close, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1440</b>	St Peters Church, Byram Street, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1441</b>	Chesil Bank Amenity Space, Chesil Bank, Quarmby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1445</b>	Brayside Avenue Allotments, Cowcliffe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1446</b>	Woodland, Ashleigh Dale, Birkby	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1451</b>	Land rear of Edale Avenue, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1453</b>	Huddersfield Rugby Union Football Club, Lockwood Park, Lockwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1454</b>	Netherton Moor Road & Moor Lane Allotments, Netherton	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1457</b>	Hexham Green, Glastonbury Drive, Milnsbridge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1459</b>	Lindley Bowling Club & Occupation Road Allotments, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1460</b>	Low Hills Open Space, Brecon Avenue, Lindley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1462</b>	Zakaria Muslim Girls High School & Grafton St Open Space, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1464</b>	Hammond Street Recreation Ground, Fartown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1466</b>	Batley College Tennis Courts, Carlinghow	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed change.			
		The site is proposed as rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for urban green space allocation.			
		The reasons for change are the site is below the 0.4 hectares size threshold and therefore too small for allocation as urban green space.			
<b>UGS1468</b>	Town Terrace Recreation Ground, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1471</b>	Wood Street Recreation Ground, Moldgreen	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1473</b>	Red Doles Play Area, Aquamarine Drive, Fartown	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1476</b>	Land off Oxford Terrace, Batley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1477</b>	Victoria Street Allotments, Birstall DLP_AD10747, DLP_AD10748, DLP_AD10749	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1478</b>	Land rear of Broomwalk, Soothill	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1479</b>	Land at Fearnley Croft, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1485</b>	Woodland Glade Leisure Centre, The Green, Bradley	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1491</b>	Land at Regal Court, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as			



Summary of comments		Council Response			
		urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1493</b>	Former Railway Line, The Sidings, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change. No comments were received on this part of the plan.  This site has been partly developed and has planning permission for 27 dwellings. The principle of development has therefore been established and allocation as urban green space is not justified.			
<b>UGS1494</b>	Fieldhead Gardens & Smallwood Gardens, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1495</b>	York Road Allotments, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1497</b>	Dewsbury Revival Centre, Dewsbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1503</b>	Gomersal Methodist Church, Latham Lane, Gomersal	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		Proposed change.  The site is proposed as a rejected urban green space allocation. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the site was accepted for allocation as urban green space.  The reasons for change are the site boundary has been corrected to exclude land in the green belt. As a consequence, the site is now below 0.4 hectares in size and is therefore too small for allocation as urban green space.			
<b>UGS1513</b>	St James's Parish Church, Slaithwaite	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1516</b>	The Old Goods Yard, Station Road, Marsden	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.  This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
		urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1523</b>	The Crossings, Church Road, Birstall	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1524</b>	Moorcroft Community Gardens, Dewsbury Moor	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1529</b>	Carr Pitt Road Allotments, Moldgreen	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1530</b>	Rookery Road Allotments, Almondbury	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1531</b>	Wellhouse Lane Football Ground, Mirfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1532</b>	Caldermill Way Woodland, Savile Town	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1533</b>	Holt Avenue Recreation Ground, Brackenhall	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>UGS1804</b>	Land south of The Beeches, Birkenshaw DLP_AD10719, DLP_AD10720, DLP_AD10721	Support 3	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.		No change. Support noted.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and the Urban Green Space Review methodology. It's allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS1976</b>	Lockwood Village Green, Lockwood	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		Proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS1187 has been extended to include Lockwood Village Green.			
<b>UGS2118</b>	Land off Clare Hill, Huddersfield	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS2150</b>	Mill Pond, Wickleden Gate, Scholes	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
		This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
<b>UGS2151</b>	Land off Rumble Road, Dewsbury DLP_AD8413, DLP_AD10581	Support	Conditional Support	Object 2	No Comment
Urban green space designation is not appropriate. Site is an agricultural field that does not perform an greenspace function. Land in private ownership with no public access other than by existing PROW. Site is not natural or semi-natural greenspace. There are no opportunities for public recreation, it is not a valued landscape and is unlikely to be biodiverse or attractive to wildlife.		No change.			
There are high levels of green space provision in the area. Natural and semi-natural greenspace in the ward is below the standard but would be higher if green belt had been included in the Open Space Study. The site does not perform well against the urban green space assessment criteria.		This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.			
		Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the council considers this site is justified as urban green space based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Urban Green Space Review.			
		Urban green space allocations are identified in the Local Plan irrespective of whether the land is in public or private ownership. This is consistent with NPPF that open space includes all open space of public value.			
		UGS2151 comprises an area of natural greenspace surrounded by existing residential and business development. Assessed through the Kirklees Open Space Study as having high value as open space for the amenity of the area with informal recreation use along the public footpath on the eastern boundary. In view of the built-up surroundings, the open character of this site is important in providing visual relief as a buffer separating existing housing from the adjoining business park, as well as for local residents and for users of the public footpath.			
		There are existing quantity deficiencies in open space in the ward, particularly the provision of natural and semi-natural greenspace which is significantly below the benchmark standard.			

Summary of comments

Council Response

**UGS2156** Land at junction of Prospect Road/Whitcliffe Road, Cleckheaton  
DLP\_AD4686, DLP\_AD10898

Not suitable for allocation as urban green space. Site is a former railway goods yard, now derelict and unused for 20 years. Unofficial dumping ground and eyesore. Feels unsafe for use by local residents. In current economic climate development as open space and maintenance will be difficult. Allocate for housing, retain wildlife habitat and provide an outdoor activity area.

New housing development and Dewsbury Rams Stadium is currently under construction close to the site and will result in the loss of green belt in this area.

See rejected housing option H357.

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No change.

This site is a proposed accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It's allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

Assessed through the Local Plan Site Allocation Methodology, the allocation of this site as urban green space is justified based on evidence from the Kirklees Open Space Study 2015 and Kirklees Urban Green Space Review.

UGS2156 is a natural and semi-natural greenpace including woodland and grassland. Assessed through the Kirklees Open Space Study as having high value as open space based on its ecological importance due to the presence of lowland mixed deciduous woodland UK BAP priority habitat and acid grassland. Identified as part of the Kirklees Wildlife Habitat Network.

Forming an important wider section of the Spen Valley Greenway corridor and close to Cleckheaton Town Centre, the site has potential for enhancement for informal recreation use as public open space.

See Rejected Housing Option H123.

**UGS2332** Mirfield Free Grammar School Playing Fields, Slipper Lane, Mirfield

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS2486** The ABLE Project, Off Walkley Lane, Heckmondwike

No Representations received

Support Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change.

This site is justified as urban green space based on evidence set out in the council's Open Space Study (2015) and/or Playing Pitch Strategy (2015) and/or the Urban Green Space Review methodology. Its allocation as urban green space is consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**UGS2489** East Bierley Recreation Ground, East Bierley  
DLP\_AD10700, DLP\_AD10701, DLP\_AD10702

Support for allocation as Urban Green Space.

Support 3 Conditional Support Object No Comment

No change.

Support noted.

This site is proposed as an accepted urban green space allocation as a consequence of accepting housing option H531.

It's allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

**Local Green Space**

Local Green Space	Summary of comments	Council Response
<b>LocGS2124</b> Highfield's Community Orchard, Wentworth Street, Edgerton DLP_AD169, DLP_AD478, DLP_AD479, DLP_AD1162, DLP_AD2863, DLP_AD4265, DLP_AD6519, DLP_AD7062, DLP_AD7460, DLP_AD8428, DLP_AD10295, DLP_AD10296, DLP_AD10297, DLP_AD10298, DLP_AD10299, DLP_AD10300, DLP_AD10575, DLP_AD10983	Support for designation as Local Green Space.	Support 18      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment  No change.  Support for designation as Local Green Space noted.  This site is proposed as an accepted Local Green Space designation. The site was proposed as an accepted Local Green Space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).  The site comprises a small community orchard with fruit trees and planting, supported by the Friends of Highfields Community Orchard and used for local community events.  Assessed against the Local Green Space criteria, the site is demonstrably special and of particular local significance based on its significant community value as a local community orchard and its use by the community. The site therefore merits designation as Local Green Space and its designation is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.
<b>LocGS2125</b> George's Community Orchard, Cambridge Road, Huddersfield DLP_AD10889	Support for designation as Local Green Space	Support 1      Conditional Support      Object      No Comment  Proposed change.  Reject as Local Green Space. Site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space. Allocate as urban green space as part of option UGS847.  Designation approach to LGS reviewed and amended to ensure consistency with NPPF and soundness to more robustly and effectively reflect the aims of the designation to protect the unique qualities of land designated as LGS compared to land allocated as UGS.
<b>LocGS2126</b> Cemetery Road Community Orchard, Cemetery Road, Edgerton DLP_AD3207, DLP_AD10984	Support for designation as Local Green Space but 'Arrow-head' area at the top of Cemetery Road has been excluded.	Support 1      Conditional Support 1      Object      No Comment  Proposed change.  Reject as Local Green Space. Site does not meet the criteria for designation as Local Green Space. Allocate as urban green space as part of option UGS1107.  Designation approach to LGS reviewed and amended to ensure consistency with NPPF and soundness to more robustly and effectively reflect the aims of the designation to protect the unique qualities of land designated as LGS compared to land allocated as UGS.

**Strategic Green Infrastructure**

**SGI2110** Mirfield Promenade Project, Mirfield  
DLP\_AD2190, DLP\_AD8744

Support 1 Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

Support for Mirfield Promenade concept but objection to inclusion within H2089. Does not follow existing footpaths and bridleways. No evidence base available, no justification and therefore allocation is unsound. Requests evidence is provided and allocation reviewed.

Proposed change to the boundary of the Mirfield Promenade Project to more accurately reflect the promenade route.

This proposal is a proposed accepted Strategic Green Infrastructure proposal in the publication draft Local Plan with an amended boundary. It was proposed as an accepted Strategic Green Infrastructure proposal in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) with a smaller boundary.

Changes to the boundary of this proposal are proposed to more accurately reflect the intended route of the promenade around the Calder and Hebble Navigation canal and inclusion of Lady Wood.

SGI2115	Farnley Country Park, Huddersfield	Support 129	Conditional Support 6	Object 462	No Comment
	DLP_AD124, DLP_AD139, DLP_AD144, DLP_AD145, DLP_AD147, DLP_AD148, DLP_AD150, DLP_AD365, DLP_AD366, DLP_AD369, DLP_AD376, DLP_AD462, DLP_AD463, DLP_AD464, DLP_AD465, DLP_AD466, DLP_AD471, DLP_AD473, DLP_AD475, DLP_AD488, DLP_AD489, DLP_AD492, DLP_AD497, DLP_AD500, DLP_AD501, DLP_AD502, DLP_AD522, DLP_AD524, DLP_AD526, DLP_AD527, DLP_AD528, DLP_AD529, DLP_AD530, DLP_AD535, DLP_AD536, DLP_AD541, DLP_AD542, DLP_AD544, DLP_AD546, DLP_AD563, DLP_AD571, DLP_AD576, DLP_AD580, DLP_AD581, DLP_AD588, DLP_AD596, DLP_AD662, DLP_AD708, DLP_AD710, DLP_AD726, DLP_AD735, DLP_AD758, DLP_AD780, DLP_AD781, DLP_AD786, DLP_AD809, DLP_AD812, DLP_AD814, DLP_AD818, DLP_AD837, DLP_AD858, DLP_AD931, DLP_AD955, DLP_AD971, DLP_AD981, DLP_AD988, DLP_AD1002, DLP_AD1003, DLP_AD1013, DLP_AD1014, DLP_AD1044, DLP_AD1045, DLP_AD1047, DLP_AD1051, DLP_AD1056, DLP_AD1059, DLP_AD1069, DLP_AD1072, DLP_AD1084, DLP_AD1085, DLP_AD1086, DLP_AD1096, DLP_AD1156, DLP_AD1163, DLP_AD1165, DLP_AD1170, DLP_AD1171, DLP_AD1173, DLP_AD1180, DLP_AD1187, DLP_AD1188, DLP_AD1189, DLP_AD1193, DLP_AD1198, DLP_AD1199, DLP_AD1200, DLP_AD1202, DLP_AD1206, DLP_AD1212, DLP_AD1213, DLP_AD1215, DLP_AD1216, DLP_AD1227, DLP_AD1228, DLP_AD1245, DLP_AD1256, DLP_AD1257, DLP_AD1267, DLP_AD1273, DLP_AD1276, DLP_AD1291, DLP_AD1299, DLP_AD1321, DLP_AD1332, DLP_AD1342, DLP_AD1349, DLP_AD1383, DLP_AD1397, DLP_AD1400, DLP_AD1401, DLP_AD1402, DLP_AD1408, DLP_AD1409, DLP_AD1411, DLP_AD1412, DLP_AD1415, DLP_AD1419, DLP_AD1433, DLP_AD1441, DLP_AD1450, DLP_AD1472, DLP_AD1473, DLP_AD1480, DLP_AD1481, DLP_AD1490, DLP_AD1491, DLP_AD1492, DLP_AD1497, DLP_AD1510, DLP_AD1511, DLP_AD1534, DLP_AD1551, DLP_AD1560, DLP_AD1570, DLP_AD1582, DLP_AD1591, DLP_AD1600, DLP_AD1602, DLP_AD1604, DLP_AD1642, DLP_AD1668, DLP_AD1672, DLP_AD1678, DLP_AD1682, DLP_AD1684, DLP_AD1701, DLP_AD1720, DLP_AD1723, DLP_AD1726, DLP_AD1728, DLP_AD1740, DLP_AD1741, DLP_AD1744, DLP_AD1746, DLP_AD1755, DLP_AD1786, DLP_AD1894, DLP_AD1900, DLP_AD1903, DLP_AD2055, DLP_AD2057, DLP_AD2067, DLP_AD2070, DLP_AD2071, DLP_AD2085, DLP_AD2087, DLP_AD2113, DLP_AD2134, DLP_AD2177, DLP_AD2196, DLP_AD2326, DLP_AD2348, DLP_AD2370, DLP_AD2371, DLP_AD2400, DLP_AD2401, DLP_AD2468, DLP_AD2483, DLP_AD2552, DLP_AD2593, DLP_AD2595, DLP_AD2597, DLP_AD2616, DLP_AD2617, DLP_AD2653, DLP_AD2672, DLP_AD2682, DLP_AD2683, DLP_AD2689, DLP_AD2719, DLP_AD2729, DLP_AD2733, DLP_AD2754, DLP_AD2775, DLP_AD2776, DLP_AD2790, DLP_AD2834, DLP_AD2845, DLP_AD2853, DLP_AD2855, DLP_AD2880, DLP_AD2893, DLP_AD2906, DLP_AD2909, DLP_AD2917, DLP_AD2957, DLP_AD2959, DLP_AD2960, DLP_AD2968, DLP_AD2971, DLP_AD3054, DLP_AD3061, DLP_AD3066, DLP_AD3076, DLP_AD3081, DLP_AD3085, DLP_AD3087, DLP_AD3091, DLP_AD3112, DLP_AD3120, DLP_AD3122, DLP_AD3132, DLP_AD3152, DLP_AD3158, DLP_AD3216, DLP_AD3224, DLP_AD3233, DLP_AD3292, DLP_AD3298, DLP_AD3303, DLP_AD3328, DLP_AD3334, DLP_AD3338, DLP_AD3344, DLP_AD3360, DLP_AD3493, DLP_AD3505, DLP_AD3510, DLP_AD3512, DLP_AD3540, DLP_AD3548, DLP_AD3554, DLP_AD3562, DLP_AD3566, DLP_AD3569, DLP_AD3570, DLP_AD3571, DLP_AD3573, DLP_AD3583, DLP_AD3598, DLP_AD3602, DLP_AD3646, DLP_AD3656, DLP_AD3661, DLP_AD3676, DLP_AD3685, DLP_AD3698, DLP_AD3741, DLP_AD3744, DLP_AD3755, DLP_AD3778, DLP_AD3784, DLP_AD3812, DLP_AD3821, DLP_AD3874, DLP_AD3875, DLP_AD3887, DLP_AD3898, DLP_AD3911, DLP_AD3924, DLP_AD3967, DLP_AD3985, DLP_AD3987, DLP_AD3993, DLP_AD4042, DLP_AD4059, DLP_AD4077, DLP_AD4097, DLP_AD4098, DLP_AD4125, DLP_AD4210, DLP_AD4269, DLP_AD4300, DLP_AD4359, DLP_AD4397, DLP_AD4401, DLP_AD4444, DLP_AD4532, DLP_AD4535, DLP_AD4573, DLP_AD4576, DLP_AD4582, DLP_AD4585, DLP_AD4594, DLP_AD4598, DLP_AD4610, DLP_AD4613, DLP_AD4621, DLP_AD4622, DLP_AD4626, DLP_AD4634, DLP_AD4652, DLP_AD4655, DLP_AD4668, DLP_AD4677, DLP_AD4688, DLP_AD4689, DLP_AD4695, DLP_AD4715, DLP_AD4718, DLP_AD4721, DLP_AD4866, DLP_AD4873, DLP_AD4898, DLP_AD4905, DLP_AD4918, DLP_AD4919, DLP_AD4929, DLP_AD4967, DLP_AD4968, DLP_AD5120, DLP_AD5142, DLP_AD5233, DLP_AD5235, DLP_AD5237, DLP_AD5322, DLP_AD5347, DLP_AD5385, DLP_AD5392, DLP_AD5405, DLP_AD5411, DLP_AD5426, DLP_AD5467, DLP_AD5479, DLP_AD5506, DLP_AD5578, DLP_AD5581, DLP_AD5606, DLP_AD5691, DLP_AD5697, DLP_AD5715, DLP_AD5731, DLP_AD5736, DLP_AD5799, DLP_AD5808, DLP_AD5811, DLP_AD5812, DLP_AD5822, DLP_AD5855, DLP_AD5924, DLP_AD5932, DLP_AD5941, DLP_AD5949, DLP_AD5986, DLP_AD5988, DLP_AD5991, DLP_AD5992, DLP_AD6054, DLP_AD6056, DLP_AD6057, DLP_AD6075, DLP_AD6080, DLP_AD6091, DLP_AD6094, DLP_AD6096, DLP_AD6099, DLP_AD6103, DLP_AD6106, DLP_AD6110, DLP_AD6111, DLP_AD6129, DLP_AD6144, DLP_AD6169, DLP_AD6186, DLP_AD6199, DLP_AD6238, DLP_AD6271, DLP_AD6274, DLP_AD6295, DLP_AD6299, DLP_AD6306, DLP_AD6341, DLP_AD6342, DLP_AD6346, DLP_AD6349, DLP_AD6351, DLP_AD6352, DLP_AD6353, DLP_AD6358, DLP_AD6360, DLP_AD6365, DLP_AD6366, DLP_AD6373, DLP_AD6381, DLP_AD6387, DLP_AD6396, DLP_AD6397, DLP_AD6400, DLP_AD6408, DLP_AD6415, DLP_AD6454, DLP_AD6464, DLP_AD6512, DLP_AD6551, DLP_AD6569, DLP_AD6570, DLP_AD6571, DLP_AD6578, DLP_AD6596, DLP_AD6619, DLP_AD6628, DLP_AD6630, DLP_AD6634, DLP_AD6639, DLP_AD6640, DLP_AD6645, DLP_AD6647, DLP_AD6653, DLP_AD6670, DLP_AD6688, DLP_AD6690, DLP_AD6705, DLP_AD6708, DLP_AD6717, DLP_AD6742, DLP_AD6766, DLP_AD6781, DLP_AD6790, DLP_AD6793, DLP_AD6796, DLP_AD6797, DLP_AD6799, DLP_AD6835, DLP_AD6935, DLP_AD6972, DLP_AD6984, DLP_AD6991, DLP_AD7019, DLP_AD7021, DLP_AD7043, DLP_AD7048, DLP_AD7051, DLP_AD7054, DLP_AD7086, DLP_AD7088, DLP_AD7094, DLP_AD7114, DLP_AD7153, DLP_AD7163, DLP_AD7172, DLP_AD7214, DLP_AD7229, DLP_AD7243, DLP_AD7255, DLP_AD7275, DLP_AD7279, DLP_AD7281, DLP_AD7285, DLP_AD7288, DLP_AD7300, DLP_AD7304, DLP_AD7306, DLP_AD7316, DLP_AD7317, DLP_AD7328, DLP_AD7329, DLP_AD7330, DLP_AD7338, DLP_AD7352, DLP_AD7356, DLP_AD7388, DLP_AD7405, DLP_AD7431, DLP_AD7433, DLP_AD7434, DLP_AD7459, DLP_AD7515, DLP_AD7516, DLP_AD7521, DLP_AD7529, DLP_AD7533, DLP_AD7547, DLP_AD7553, DLP_AD7561, DLP_AD7571, DLP_AD7574, DLP_AD7739, DLP_AD7766, DLP_AD7868, DLP_AD7869, DLP_AD7878, DLP_AD7909, DLP_AD7913, DLP_AD7990, DLP_AD8004, DLP_AD8071, DLP_AD8088, DLP_AD8108, DLP_AD8119, DLP_AD8149, DLP_AD8184, DLP_AD8208, DLP_AD8217, DLP_AD8218, DLP_AD8236, DLP_AD8240, DLP_AD8256, DLP_AD8260, DLP_AD8261, DLP_AD8278, DLP_AD8321, DLP_AD8332, DLP_AD8335, DLP_AD8344, DLP_AD8360, DLP_AD8441, DLP_AD8443, DLP_AD8470, DLP_AD8498, DLP_AD8508, DLP_AD8555, DLP_AD8564, DLP_AD8565, DLP_AD8627, DLP_AD8709, DLP_AD8745, DLP_AD8757, DLP_AD8773, DLP_AD8791, DLP_AD8810, DLP_AD8822, DLP_AD8823, DLP_AD8833, DLP_AD8973, DLP_AD8980, DLP_AD8992, DLP_AD9090, DLP_AD9325, DLP_AD9333, DLP_AD9341, DLP_AD9370, DLP_AD9386, DLP_AD9411, DLP_AD9503, DLP_AD9513, DLP_AD9515, DLP_AD9559, DLP_AD9582, DLP_AD9595, DLP_AD9942, DLP_AD10065, DLP_AD10072, DLP_AD10145, DLP_AD10172, DLP_AD10213, DLP_AD10218, DLP_AD10223, DLP_AD10271, DLP_AD10317, DLP_AD10329, DLP_AD10350, DLP_AD10351, DLP_AD10441, DLP_AD10479, DLP_AD10485, DLP_AD10488, DLP_AD10492, DLP_AD10498, DLP_AD10499, DLP_AD10509, DLP_AD10678, DLP_AD10690, DLP_AD10691, DLP_AD10692, DLP_AD10875, DLP_AD10876, DLP_AD10916, DLP_AD10923, DLP_AD10926, DLP_AD10928, DLP_AD10937, DLP_AD10952, DLP_AD11038, DLP_AD11039, DLP_AD11066, DLP_AD11069, DLP_AD11073				

- Insufficient transport infrastructure to support increase in traffic
- Access is generally poor and transport links, including public transport, are inadequate
- Would lead to increased traffic congestion on local roads, including Penistone Road, Rowley Lane, Woodsome Road, Arkenley Lane and St Helens Gate, Hall Ing, Huddersfield-Honley-New Mill Road
- Existing roads are already overloaded and there is inadequate capacity to deal with additional traffic. Narrow country roads and lanes around Almondbury, Farnley Tyas, Castle Hill, Hall Ing and the Woodsome area, some only suitable for single traffic. Narrow and historic bridges.
- Access to motorway network is already difficult and any increase in traffic would place further strain on the surrounding villages and towns (Kirkburton, Shepley, New Mill, Holmfirth, Honley), as well as Huddersfield itself.
- Road safety issues on local roads and at road junctions, including Penistone Road and its junctions at Far Dene, Rowley Lane, Woodsome Road, Station Road and Fenay Lane, and junction at Woodsome

Proposed change.

This land is a proposed rejected Strategic Green Infrastructure proposal in the publication draft Local Plan. This represents a change from the draft Local Plan (November 2015) where the proposal was accepted.

At this point in time, there is a lack of sufficient evidence to demonstrate that the provision of a country park is justified in this area. There is insufficient information to properly assess the potential impacts of the proposal leading to uncertainties about the impact on the landscape, the character of the area and nature conservation.

There is also a lack of evidence demonstrating that the park proposal could be delivered, including the lack of justification for the proposed extent of the country park boundary and the inclusion of land outside the ownership of the country park promoter. This results in a lack of certainty that this proposal could be delivered.

## Summary of comments

- Road and Field Lane. Impact on junction of Station Rd and New Mill Rd, Honley.
- Limited parking and parking problems in village centres
  - No assessment of extra traffic and transport implications on a poor highway network and surrounding minor roads. No plans to improve the road network.
  - Support for country park proposal as solutions can be found to traffic problems and services.
  - Increased risk of flooding
  - Inappropriate development in designated flood zone along Fenay Beck Flood Plain
  - Impact on Fenay Beck's capacity to perform its function in protecting the sensitive downstream areas
  - Increase in noise and air pollution, including CO2 emissions
  - Scale of development would inflict irreparable damage on environmentally sensitive area and countryside.
  - Negative impact on the natural environment and area of outstanding natural beauty, including ancient woodlands, rivers and floodplains, riverside habitat, wildlife habitats, , grasslands and SSSI within or adjacent to the proposed area .
  - Potential to significantly impact on 27 Local Wildlife Sites and Ancient Woodlands within the Park boundary if proposed attractions are developed within or in close proximity to the designated sites.
  - Impact of 'go-ape'style activities on Westwood, Honley and wildlife and habitats
  - Substantial harm to wildlife corridor
  - Damage to significant historic and landscape area.
  - Affects existing protections and setting of open countryside of the Ancient Scheduled Monument of Castle Hill.
  - Impact on listed buildings, including Woodsome Hall and Fenay Hall.
  - Negative impact of large scale development on character of the area, conservation areas and surrounding villages, particularly Farnely Tyas village
  - Support for country park proposal for educational opportunities, including dedicated education centre, benefits of outdoor education for children and local scout/cub groups.
  - Woodland already used by local schools.
  - Benefits for improved health and well-being and quality of life.
  - Objection to loss of viable agricultural land and the effect on food production. Protect land that can be used for producing food.
  - Existing farmland is not of best quality.
  - Support for greater and better access to green space and to the countryside for people of all ages and abilities, including disabled access and for people with pushchairs. Need in the area for facilities for families, rest points, refreshments and toilet facilities.
  - Support for improved facilities for outdoor recreation and leisure activities proposed in the park, including 23km all-weather circuit; new and improved routes with new facilities for cyclist, horse riders and walkers; nature trails, camping/glamping, aerial activities.
  - Local people currently have to travel outside Kirklees to access the kind of facilities and different activities proposed, including well-maintained off-road walking and cycling routes. Need for local off-road routes for horse riders, cyclists and families in the area.
- 
- Does not accord with protection of the green belt. Green belt land should be safeguarded.
  - Commercial activities and country park facilities will have a demonstrable impact on the essential characteristics, openness and permanence of the green belt.
  - Represents inappropriate and harmful development in the green belt. Conflicts with the purposes of including land in the green, contrary to NPPF.
  - Risk that inappropriate commercial development and infrastructure will be allowed in the park on designated green belt. Would weaken existing green belt protection and open the door for all types of development not allowed under Green Belt policy. Concerns over further re-designation of surrounding green belt for development.
  - Objection to loss of green belt for housing to fund the country park.
  - The Park will remain in the green belt and will still be protected from built development by green belt policy.
  - Irreversible detrimental impact on environmentally sensitive area with a distinctive landscape character, close to the Peak District National Park.
  - •Negative impact of commercialisation (shopping, rural businesses, camping, glamping, cafes, picnic areas, mountain biking and hire wire activities) on high quality landscape and the countryside. This will

## Council Response

In any event, the delivery of appropriate recreational and tourism facilities in this location is not dependant on the country park proposal being included in the Local Plan. Specific proposals to bring forward such facilities can be assessed through the planning application process in accordance with relevant planning policies.

Support for the rejection of this option is noted.

Support for the proposal in terms of the economic, health, leisure, education and tourism benefits are noted. The reasons for rejecting this option are listed above. However, whilst this option has been rejected this does not preclude the delivery of appropriate recreational facilities in the area where these can be shown to consistent with green belt and other planning policies.



change the beautiful character and tranquillity of the Woodsome Valley and countryside surrounding existing villages.

- Support for unique opportunity to invest in and preserve the countryside with greater protection for the management and continued stewardship.
- No evidence of viability. Lack of detail in terms of vision, detailed plans or business case. No economic justification to support the park proposal. Funding is uncertain, no commitment to fund from the sale of housing land and there are no guarantees of the parks creation or future management. Necessary infrastructure is not identified.
- Allocation of the site is contrary to NPPF (paragraph 154) because it is not realistic and has no budget or financial case.
- No justification for inclusion in the plan. Lack of submitted evidence to justify the proposal and no assessment of highways, infrastructure requirements, detailed environmental impact and specific sustainability appraisal.
- Allocation is not effective as there are concerns the country park is not deliverable. Land ownership issues with most of the area (75%) and proposed circular trail not owned by Farnley Estates. Lack of consultation with other landowners and objections from landowners to inclusion of their land within the country park boundary.
- Support for the proposal suggests the Park is deliverable. Even without the inclusion of any other landowner, Farnley Estates suggests an all-encompassing access route from the Gateway up to Farnley Tyas can be provided on its own land which can be extended onto the land of other willing landowners. The Park is deliverable through an independent Foundation and the establishment of the Farnley Country Park Scheme.
- No need or requirement to have a Country Park and no public demand. Proposed area is already a natural country park with woodland and is extensively used and already accessible to the public by existing footpaths, cycle routes and bridleways. No extra rights of way are proposed and there is no increased value to the visitor over what is already there.
- Proposed activities are already available in the area around Huddersfield and there are other country parks, e.g. Cannon Hall, Bretton, Sculpture Park, Oakwell Hall, Pugneys Country Park.
- Public consultation conducted by Farnley Estates shows there is demand and support from the public for a country park.
- The Park would be a great asset to the local community, bringing in prosperity to the area, adding to the attraction of Huddersfield and raising the profile of the area.
- Negative impact on the character of the area will spoil the sense of place and have a negative effect on local resident's quality of life. Turning it into a tourist attraction would spoil the area.
- Impact on Farnley Tyas village and historic area
- Detrimental impact on Honley due to increase in traffic
- Additional commercialisation would spoil the area.
- Support for lasting legacy that will benefit all people in Kirklees now and in the future. Will put Huddersfield on the map and have a positive impact on the region.
- Not positively prepared - designation is not based on a strategy that has objectively assessed development and infrastructure requirements that are consistent with delivering sustainable development. Lack of evidence and justification to support the park proposal. Supporting text to SGI 2115 is far too general to withstand scrutiny and planning tests of reasonableness.
- Location is unsustainable with poor roads, services, facilities, public transport routes and infrastructure deficiencies. It would not support sustainable rural tourism and leisure developments at a scale representative of a rural community.
- Not consistent with national policy - it is considered that the park proposal is fundamentally at odds with NPPF guidance both specific to Green Belt policy and also in relation to the delivery of sustainable development.
- Unsound and inappropriate to designate a general area. Boundary of the country park is extensive, arbitrarily drawn, includes a number of village communities within the designation and pays no regard to land ownership. Area is farmed countryside which is inaccessible to the public except on rights of way, not all of it under the same ownership and is not suitable for designation as a country park. There is no central main attraction to bring in visitors from outside the area. Does not qualify as multi-functional, connected greenspace in the way that other proposed SGI sites do.
- Meets all Natural England's country park criteria.
- Only Brownfield sites should be used for new developments.

- Support for economic opportunities that the Park will bring, including benefits to the local economy, jobs opportunities for local people, help for rural diversification, attracting inward investment and tourism to the area. Positive economic impact from associated economic growth and on local businesses, rural enterprise and opportunities for local regeneration will enhance the local area. New business opportunities will be created for outdoor recreation providers, e.g. camping, cycling, climbing, equestrian, fishing; accommodation providers and food/drink facilities. Direct job creation estimated at 450 jobs and the anticipated economic benefits experienced by the local business community are likely to convert into additional employment opportunities for local people.
  - Unrealistic claim of creating 450 jobs
  - Objection to scale of linked housing development in the green belt to fund the park. The Park should not be linked to planning permission for housing and release of land from the green belt. Concerns this is an attempt to undermine planning restrictions. Implementation dependent on significant residential development is contrary to Policy DLP32.
  - No consideration of the facilities required for the increased population. Insufficient infrastructure to support the volume of new housing proposed. This would be detrimental to the local area as there is not the road network, transport links or amenities, e.g. schools, doctors, sewer systems, to support such an increase in population.
  - Support for release of land from the green belt for housing development to fund the country park. Sacrificing a relatively small amount of greenbelt is worth the enormous long-term benefits to be gained from a large country park. Locations offered for housing will not have a negative impact and will provide much needed housing.
  - No requirement to make a specific local plan designation for the park. The Country Park could be brought forward and managed by existing planning policies and specific development proposals assessed on their individual merits.
  - Designation of a Strategic Green Infrastructure area indicates a willingness to encourage unsuitable commercialism. Loose drafting of SGI 2115 may be interpreted differently if planning policy evolves over the next 15 years
  - Inconsistent with national policy fails to meet both the fundamental sustainability test of NPPF guidance and also is inconsistent in all respects when assessed against NPPF guidance in its wider sense.
  - Request to extend the Strategic Green Infrastructure boundary to include Stirley Community Farm.
-

**Remove from Green Belt**

<b>RGB2140</b>	Ravensbridge Industrial Estate, Bridge Street, Ravensthorpe	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			<p>This site is proposed as an accepted remove land from the green belt option. The site was proposed as an accepted remove land from the green belt option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p> <p>Reason: This site has no relationship with the adjoining open land uses, is closely associated with the industrial estate it abuts and has permission for use in connection with a haulage business. Its inclusion within a Priority Employment Area should ensure it is retained for employment use. When taking all other factors into account it is considered that the permission for use in connection with the haulage yard constitutes the change in circumstances required to justify a change to the position of the green belt boundary in this location.</p>

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>Removal from Urban Greenspace</b>					
<b>RUGS2493</b>	Land adjacent 484, Kilner Bank, Dalton, Huddersfield,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>This site is used for storage purposes and includes access road. It does not perform an urban green space function and its removal from urban green space option UGS1103 is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>RUGS2497</b>	Land adjacent, 6, Branch Street, Paddock, Huddersfield,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site comprises existing garages and has planning permission for housing development. The boundary of proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS1117 has been amended to exclude to existing exclude garages. Its removal from urban green space is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>RUGS2502</b>	24-26, Thornhill Road, Batley,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>The site is proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site is now a private garden and does not have an integral association with green space to the east of the site. Its removal from urban green space is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>RUGS2506</b>	4, Back Lane, Grange Moor, Huddersfield, DLP_AD1456	Support 1	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
Support removal of land at 4 Back Lane, Grange Moor from Urban Green Space designation. No good reasons why it should be included as Urban Green Space.		<p>No change.</p> <p>Support noted.</p> <p>The site was proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site comprises an area of unused land not associated with Grange Moor Primary School. As such, the boundary of proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS910 has been amended to exclude this site and include only the grounds of Grange Moor Primary School. Its removal from urban green space is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>RUGS2507</b>	537, Halifax Road, Hightown, Liversedge,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		<p>No change.</p> <p>The site was proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p>			

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

Summary of comments		Council Response			
<p>The site comprises a private garden. As such, the boundary of proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS1069 has been amended to exclude this land. Its removal from urban green space is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>					
<b>RUGS2514</b>	Land adjacent 5 Hartshead Court, Hightown, Liversedge	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
<p>The site is proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site comprises a former garden, now unused land in private ownership. The boundary of proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS1071 has been amended to exclude this land. Its removal from urban green space is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>					
<b>RUGS2515</b>	Land adjacent 78 Leeds Old Road, Heckmondwike	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
<p>The site is proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site comprises residential curtilage and does not perform an urban green space function. The boundary of proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS1053 has been amended to exclude this land. Its removal from urban green space is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>					
<b>RUGS2516</b>	Shaleycrest, Uppershong Lane, Holmfirth	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
No Representations received		No change.			
<p>The site is proposed as an accepted remove from urban green space option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and remains accepted.</p> <p>The site includes a residential property and curtilage and does not perform an urban green space function. The boundary of proposed accepted urban green space allocation UGS895 has been amended to exclude this land. Its removal from urban green space is considered to be consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>					

**AddtoGreenBelt**

<b>AGB2074</b>	Land at, New Laithe Hill, Newsome	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment
<p>This proposal is supported. The site adds to openness and helps to protect the setting and character of Castle Hill which is a scheduled ancient monument, and of Hall Bower chapel. The area is well used by people who use the footpaths when visiting Castle Hill and to avoid busy roads. The proposal will provide security for Yorkshire Wildlife Trust and help to maintain the green corridor from Huddersfield to Castle Hill and beyond.</p>		<p>No change.</p>			
					<p>This site is proposed as an accepted add land to the green belt option. The site was proposed as an accepted add land to the green belt option in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p>
		<p>Reason:</p>			<p>New evidence concerning the setting of Castle Hill is considered to constitute a change in circumstances since the establishment of the green belt boundary and is sufficient to justify including the area of AGB2074 within the green belt.</p>
					<p>Support for the inclusion of this site within the green belt is noted.</p>

## Kirklees Draft Local Plan: Summary of comments and the Council's Responses

### New sites proposed through the Draft Local Plan process

This report provides the number of comments made (Support, Conditional Support, Object and No Comment) on the Draft Local Plan Consultation (November 2015 - February 2016) and summary of these comments and the Council's response, including proposed changes to the Local Plan. Comment references are listed - full details of each comment are available at [www.kirklees.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.kirklees.gov.uk/localplan)

Summary of comments

Council Response

#### Housing

H2739	Land to rear of, Fairfax View, South View Road, East Brierley,	Support	Conditional Support	Object	No Comment	1
DLP_GBR157						

Site is part of larger housing option H37. Smaller option put forward under rep DLP\_GBR157

No Change.

The site is proposed as a rejected housing allocation. It was put forward as a new housing option following the consultation on the Local Plan. It forms a smaller area of previously rejected H37.

Development of this site would have only limited impact on openness as it is partly contained by an existing urban land use. However, it is not well related to the settlement as it would appear as a projection of built form north of houses on South View Road and would leave land to the east, between the existing properties and the gas holder, particularly vulnerable to pressure for infill development.

While there is a distinct change in character between the site and the agricultural land to the north, the northern boundary does not appear to be a strong feature on the ground which would leave neighbouring land vulnerable to encroachment.

Further no suitable access can be achieved from the adopted highway. Access can be achieved from a private road off South View Road between plots 1 and 12. However, as this is a private road third party land would be required to make this up to adoptable standard. In addition visibility splays of 2.4m x 43m onto South View Road cannot be achieved without third party land or highway mitigation works (if feasible).

## Summary of comments

## Council Response

**Local Wildlife Site**

**LWS107** Rusby Wood, Dearne Dike Lane, Birds Edge, Huddersfield,  
DLP\_AD4827

Site is missing from the list of Local Wildlife Sites.

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No change.

This is a new proposed Local Wildlife Site suggested through the consultation process.

The site is proposed as a rejected Local Wildlife Site and was not identified as a Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

The site has been surveyed and assessed for Local Wildlife Site designation. Although the site has reasonable habitat quality, it does not meet the threshold for designation as a Local Wildlife Site.

**LWS111** Healey Greave Meadow, Hawthorne Way, Shelley, Huddersfield,  
DLP\_AD10897

Designate Healey Greave Meadow as a Local Wildlife Site. Shares a common boundary with Healey Greave Wood which is designated as a haven for wildlife. Shelley Conservation Group have a management agreement with Kirklees Council and have planted a community orchard and various tree species to improve the bio-diversity of the site.

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No change.

This is a new proposed Local Wildlife Site suggested through the consultation process.

The site is proposed as a rejected Local Wildlife Site and was not identified as a Local Wildlife Site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).

The site was surveyed in 2015 but did not score sufficiently to meet the Local Wildlife Site criteria.



Summary of comments		Council Response			
<b>Urban Greenspace</b>					
<b>UGS2631</b>	Farnley Tyas Recreation Ground, Thurstonland Road, Farnley Tyas, Huddersfield, DLP_AD10660, DLP_AD10885, DLP_AD10888	Support	Conditional Support	Object 3	No Comment
Allocate recreation ground, children's playground and community garden at Thurstonland Road, Farnley Tyas as Urban Green Space. Provides residents, visitors and sport clubs with a lovely amenity.		<p>No change.</p> <p>This is a new site proposed through the consultation process and is proposed as a rejected urban green space allocation.</p> <p>The site is within the green belt as shown on the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan (adopted 1999) and was proposed to remain within the green belt in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). It is proposed to continue to show this site within the green belt in the publication draft Local Plan. As such, the site is therefore already proposed to be adequately protected against inappropriate development by green belt policy.</p> <p>The urban green space methodology does not allow for urban green space to be designated in the green belt.</p>			
<b>UGS2664</b>	Land between, Langley Lane and Wakefield Road, Clayton West, Huddersfield, DLP_AD6004	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Failure to allocate new urban green space in the Dearne Valley. Kirklees Rural is deficient in community facilities and amenities. Suggests land bounded by the A636 and Langley Lane, Clayton West is designated as urban green space should the farmer no longer wish to use it for farming. Dearne Valley area is short of flat land for playing fields, other sports activities and allotment gardens. Clayton West Cricket Club may be lost due to proposed housing development. This would show that the future welfare of the community has been considered and planned for.		<p>No change.</p> <p>New urban green space in the Dearne Valley is proposed in the Publication Local Plan in Denby Dale (proposed accepted urban green space options UGS2665 and UGS2724).</p> <p>Land bounded by the A636 and Langley Lane, Clayton West is a new site proposed through the consultation process. It is currently within the green belt as shown on the Kirklees Unitary Development Plan and is proposed to remain in the green belt in the publication draft Local Plan. The site is therefore already proposed to be adequately protected against inappropriate development through green belt policy. The urban green space methodology does not allow for urban green space to be designated in the green belt.</p> <p>The Local Plan will seek to ensure new housing developments address the need for open space, sport and recreation facilities to help meet deficiencies and provision through on-site delivery or off-site contributions through the New Open Space policy.</p>			
<b>UGS2665</b>	Sunnybank Play Area, Sunnybank, Denby Dale, Huddersfield, DLP_AD3295	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Allocate the playground at Sunnybank, Denby Dale as urban green space to protect it from development.		<p>Proposed change.</p> <p>Proposed change to allocate Sunnybank Recreation Ground and adjoining woodland as urban green space.</p> <p>This site is a new urban green space site generated through the draft Local Plan consultation and is proposed as an accepted urban green space allocation. The site was proposed to be shown with no specific allocation in the draft Local Plan (November 2015).</p> <p>The site has been reviewed in light of comments received. The recreation ground has been assessed through the Kirklees Open Space Study (2015) as a high value open space important for local recreation. Adjoining woodland is protected by a Tree Preservation Order and forms part of the River Dearne corridor. Allocation of this site as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.</p>			
<b>UGS2738</b>	Station Lane Allotments, Station Lane, Birkenshaw, DLP_AD5866	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
Exclude allotments from the green belt as they do not fulfil a green belt purpose and allocate as urban green space. Also seeks removal of land to the south from the green belt and allocation for housing (option H2068). Removal of the allotments and option H2068 from the green belt together with option SL2293		<p>No change.</p> <p>This is a new site proposed through the consultation process. It was identified within the green belt in the draft Local Plan (November 2015) and it is proposed to continue to</p>			

Summary of comments

---

would form a defensible green belt boundary.

---

Council Response

---

show this land within the green belt in the publication draft Local Plan.

There is no justification to exclude the allotments from the green belt and exceptional circumstances do not exist to amend the green belt boundary in this instance.

---

**Local Green Space**

**LocGS2316** Land south of, Sunningdale Road, Crosland Moor  
DLP\_AD10146

Support

Conditional Support

Object 1

No Comment

Designate land between Sunningdale Road and Walpole Road, Crosland Moor as Local Green Space. The site has become a wildlife habitat with a variety of trees and plants. It is important to retain some green spaces among developed areas to provide a more pleasant and balanced environment.

No change.

This is a new site proposed through the consultation process. It is proposed as a rejected Local Green Space designation. It is, however, proposed as urban green space as part of the wider accepted urban green space allocation UGS1199. The site was proposed as an accepted urban green space site in the draft Local Plan (November 2015). Its allocation as urban green space is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The site forms a semi-natural area which is part of larger recreation ground. It is well treed and vegetated with a public footpath through the site linking Moor End Road to Dryclough Road.

The site is not demonstrably special when assessed against the Local Green Space criteria and does not therefore merit designation as Local Green Space. Its rejection is considered consistent with the council's site allocation methodology.

The council considers the land is appropriately allocated as urban green space and there is no additional local benefit to be gained by Local Green Space designation.

**Remove from Green Belt**

<b>RGB2611</b> DLP_GBR210	Kirkbridge Coal Yard, Kirkbridge Lane, New Mill	Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
<p>This site has more in common with unallocated land to the south-east than the green belt land north of Kirkbridge Lane which is almost exclusively undeveloped in nature. Approximately half the site was a former coal yard and the site is clearly defined by its boundaries. The re-positioning of the Green Belt boundary would represent a logical and clearly recognisable rounding-off of the settlement boundary in this location.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>This is a new remove land from the green belt option generated through the draft Local Plan consultation.</p> <p>This site is proposed as a rejected remove land from the green belt option.</p> <p>Reason: There has been no change in circumstances and no evidence to suggest that the green belt boundary is incorrectly drawn. Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify an amendment to the green belt boundary in this location.</p>				
<p><b>RGB2613</b> DLP_GBR212</p> <p>This land forms part of the built up area of Almondbury. Amending the green belt boundary will not impact on the strategic significance of the green belt and new infill development would not result in the merging of built up areas. Dark Lane would be a strong new boundary. The area is fully contained so would not result in sprawl and does not form part of the open countryside as it is well screened and also surrounded by other development. New infill development would respect the setting of the adjacent conservation area.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>This is a new remove land from the green belt option generated through the draft Local Plan consultation.</p> <p>This site is proposed as a rejected remove land from the green belt option.</p> <p>Reason: There has been no change in circumstances and no evidence to suggest that the green belt boundary is incorrectly drawn. Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify an amendment to the green belt boundary in this location.</p>				
<p><b>RGB2702</b> DLP_GBR32</p> <p>137-143 Whitehall Road East should be removed from the green belt. The green belt boundary would be more appropriate if it followed the residential curtilages and Kittle Point Wood which is a natural physical barrier.</p>	<p>No change.</p> <p>This is a new remove land from the green belt option generated through the draft Local Plan consultation.</p> <p>This site is proposed as a rejected remove land from the green belt option.</p> <p>Reason: There has been no change in circumstances and no evidence to suggest that the green belt boundary is incorrectly drawn. Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify an amendment to the green belt boundary in this location.</p>				

Summary of comments

Council Response

**AddtoGreenBelt**

**AGB2701** Dick Wood, Cowcliffe Hill Road, Fixby  
DLP\_GBR19

Dick Wood is adjacent to the Green Belt covering Grimescar Valley and green areas around Fixby Golf Club. It should be included in the green belt especially as that would include the Ochre Hole beauty spot adjacent to the ford on Cowcliffe Hill Road.

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No change.

This is a new add land to the green belt option generated through the draft Local Plan consultation.

This site is proposed as a rejected add land to the green belt option.

Reason:

There has been no change in circumstances and no evidence to suggest that the green belt boundary is incorrectly drawn. Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify an amendment to the green belt boundary in this location.

**AGB2705** Land south of, Bankwood Way, Birstall  
DLP\_GBR130

Land south of Bankwood Way lies close to the green belt and serves the same purpose. Bankwood Way forms a logical and defensible boundary to the green belt in this area.

Support Conditional Support Object 1 No Comment

No change.

This is a new add land to the green belt option generated through the draft Local Plan consultation.

This site is proposed as a rejected add land to the green belt option.

Reason:

There has been no change in circumstances and no evidence to suggest that the green belt boundary is incorrectly drawn. Exceptional circumstances do not exist to justify an amendment to the green belt boundary in this location.

## Kirklees Draft Local Plan: Summary of comments and the Council's Responses

### Paragraphs contained within the Allocations and Designations consultation

This report provides the number of comments made (Support, Conditional Support, Object and No Comment) on the Draft Local Plan Consultation (November 2015 - February 2016) and summary of these comments and the Council's response, including proposed changes to the Local Plan. Comment references are listed - full details of each comment are available at [www.kirklees.gov.uk/localplan](http://www.kirklees.gov.uk/localplan)

#### Summary of Comments

#### Council Response

##### Paragraph 1.1

Support 3

Conditional Support 4

Object 13

No Comment

DLP\_AD1050, DLP\_AD1297, DLP\_AD1496, DLP\_AD1596, DLP\_AD2427, DLP\_AD2471, DLP\_AD5468, DLP\_AD5471, DLP\_AD5538, DLP\_AD5633, DLP\_AD5741, DLP\_AD5770, DLP\_AD5781, DLP\_AD6621, DLP\_AD7040, DLP\_AD8767, DLP\_AD9948, DLP\_AD10323, DLP\_AD10936, DLP\_AD10951

There appears to be an undue emphasis on areas outside of Huddersfield Town for development in particular the Kirklees Rural Sub Area e.g. Skelmanthorpe and Scissett. As such the plan fails to take account of the impact on local infrastructure and amenities such as roads, schooling and health facilities. It would lead to a loss of valuable open land/open countryside in conflict with the aspirations of the UDP. The use of some of this open countryside for housing development would seem to be in contravention of the Strategic Guidance from the Secretary of State regarding the coalescence of settlements. Some of the proposals would almost certainly encourage greater car use with a consequent detrimental impact on the environment and quality of life. This again conflicts with the provisions of the UDP. We are also concerned that some of the proposed sites if developed would be liable to cause increased flooding in local areas already prone to this. The loss of green space and the overloading of local health provision would be against the aims of promoting and enhancing health and well-being in accordance with the Kirklees Health and Well-being strategy.

No Change

The plan has been prepared in the context of the national planning policy framework and to reflect the strengths and opportunities outlined in each of the four sub areas. Sites have been assessed in accordance with the site methodology and subject to consultation with a range of technical consultees who have where required outlined required mitigation measures.

The Plan has been tested by a sustainability appraisal and is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

All site allocations and designations have been reviewed in the light of the consultation on the draft Local Plan and revised evidence. The Publication draft Local Plan outlines the revised allocations and designations.

Particularly support the retention of green belt land around Gomersal, Roberttown, Hartshead and Liversedge, as I feel the Spen Valley is already over-developed and congested, and that the village feel of these communities may be lost if further developed. Small amounts of in-filling may be desirable to build starter homes for local young people.

##### Best and Most Versatile Agricultural Land and soils

No Change

The Local Plan should give appropriate weight to the roles performed by the area's soils. These should be valued as a finite multi-functional resource which underpin our well-being and prosperity. Natural England note that paragraph 4.51 of the Sustainability Appraisal report, provided in support of this consultation, identifies a number of large sites on green field land that are likely to be on the best and most versatile agricultural land. While we accept the conclusions of the SA that the requirements of agricultural land will need to be balanced with other sustainability issues we advise that you should ensure that sufficient site specific ALC survey data is available to inform decision making. For example, where no reliable information is available, it would be reasonable to expect that developers should commission a new ALC survey, for any sites they wished to put forward for consideration in the Local Plan (Natural England).

The spatial strategy in the local plan refers to the best and most versatile agricultural land. It has been considered as part of the spatial strategy and the allocation of sites and areas of grade 2 land avoided for development.

It is not considered that further changes are required as this would repeat national planning policy framework.

To assist in understanding agricultural land quality within the plan area and to safeguard 'best and most versatile' agricultural land in line with paragraph 112 of the National Planning Policy Framework, strategic scale Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) Maps are available. Natural England also has an archive of more detailed ALC surveys for selected locations. Both these types of data can be supplied digitally free of charge by contacting Natural England. Some of this data is also available on the [www.magic.gov.uk](http://www.magic.gov.uk) website.

The strategy should promote more use of brownfield sites and high rise development. Quality flat development should be encouraged to take advantage of the transport links. Where is this local infrastructure funded by the developments? Too much Green Belt, most noticeably in Rural South Kirklees, is being built upon. No development in floodplains. There is a lack of open space across Kirklees. Greater effort should be made to engage with local communities to find and protect open spaces in every village. The plan will result in faceless commuter estates without adequate social housing provision, no new sports fields, allotments and open spaces. More should be done to promote wildlife and resolve traffic issues. New cycle ways are required. The employment sites at HUD10 and HUD16 could be used for mixed use or residential development easing

No Change

Policy DLP2 Location of new development sets out the order of priority for development which places encouraging previously developed land and buildings within settlement as top priority.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan. Evidence have also been undertaken on Open Space, Play Pitch Provision and Green Infrastructure.

Wildlife and biodiversity issues are addressed in the plan through plan policies biodiversity and geodiversity,

## Summary of Comments

pressure on out of town house building and bringing life back into city.

The council does not appear to have conducted a survey of brownfield land for future development.

Generally we are supportive of the approach taken in the new Local Plan as compared with the Local Development Framework that it replaced, as the new approach more clearly identifies both the principles being followed and provides plans that are more readable and identify the land use choices, issues and preferred options.

The draft local plan does a good job of dealing with some very emotive issues. While I am in agreement with most of the proposals, I would like to see some issues reconsidered.

Paragraph 126 of the NPPF requires Local Plans to set out a positive strategy for the conservation and enjoyment of the historic environment. This means that the plan, as a whole (including the sites it is putting forward as allocations (or as Safeguarded Land ), has to set out a framework which is likely to conserve the historic environment of the Plan area. This document puts forward a large number of sites which would involve the loss and subsequent development of currently-open areas which, if developed, appear likely to affect the significance of one or more designated heritage assets in their vicinity. The Allocation of a site for development within the Local Plan is, in effect, establishing that the principle of development in that particular location is acceptable. However, in the case of this Local Plan, at present, there has been little meaningful evaluation of what impact the loss of these currently-open areas and their subsequent development might have upon those heritage assets.

In the absence of any assessment of the degree of harm which the proposed Allocations might cause to the historic environment or, indeed, what measures the Plan might need to put in place in order to ensure that any harm is minimised, at present, the authority cannot demonstrate that the sites it is putting forward for development is compatible with the Plan's own policies for the protection of the historic environment. Moreover, in terms of national policy guidance, the Plan also fails to demonstrate that:-

(a) The sites that it is putting forward for development will deliver a positive strategy for the historic environment as is required by NPPF Paragraph 126.

(b) The sites that are allocated will be likely to contribute to protecting or enhancing the historic environment

therefore, it has not shown that it is likely to deliver sustainable development in terms of the historic environment [NPPF Paragraph 7].

The sites which it has allocated are likely to conserve heritage assets in a manner appropriate to their significance.

## Council Response

strategic green infrastructure, landscape and trees.

There are a range of plan policies to encourage sustainable travel and promote walking, cycling and public transport including the provision of new cycle ways.

HUD10 and HU16 are protected as priority employment areas. There is flexibility within the policy to consider alternative uses where employment is no longer viable and subject to other town centre policies.

The spatial strategy sets out the focus of development for each of the four sub areas based on their strengths and opportunities for development.

The council has undertaken a sequential approach to the consideration of flood risk in the assessment of development site options. Where a site falls wholly within Flood Zone 3b (functional floodplain), it has been rejected for development. Where a site is partly within flood zone 3b an assessment has been made as to whether there is any reasonable prospect of achieving development on that part of the site not affected by the functional floodplain.

No Change

The support for the Local Plan is noted.

No Change

Comment noted.

No Change

The council recognises the importance of protecting the historic environment and is preparing historic impact assessments to address concerns outlined in the representation.

Summary of Comments

Council Response

Therefore it has not shown that it will be likely to deliver the Government's objectives for the historic environment [NPPF Paragraph 17].

It has complied with the statutory duty under S72 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 to pay special attention to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of its Conservation Areas.

Moreover, there is no evidence that, in preparing the Plan, the local planning authority has had special regard to the desirability of preserving any of its Listed Buildings. Whilst it is accepted that S66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act, 1990 does not apply, specifically, to Plan making, the absence of any evaluation must bring into question the deliverability of a number of those particular sites and, for some, the amount of development they can accommodate. When the requirements of the Act are eventually undertaken, it may be found that the quantum of development on some of the sites is, either, unachievable or, at worst, that the need to safeguard the setting of the building actually renders them largely undevelopable.

The Historic England Advice Note 3 sets out an example of the type of approach which the Council might use to assess the impact which the Local Plan Allocations might have upon the historic environment.

The scale and density of some of the proposals are not in keeping with the requirements of the UDP.

No Change

The plan has been prepared in the context of the national planning policy framework and to reflect the strengths and opportunities outlined in each of the four sub areas.

The proposed sites around Cooper Bridge and Mirfield Moor are home to the Great Crested Newt, which is a European protected species. Has an ecological study been done to ensure their safety and safeguard them.

No Change

West Yorkshire Ecology has been consulted on all site allocations.

Why do you want to disrupt the whole of Kirklees for minerals

No Change

Minerals operations have been and continue to be an important component to the economy locally, regionally and nationally through the provision of jobs and materials to the wider economy. Although it is acknowledged that mineral extraction can have a negative impact upon the environment, such operations are and will continue to be subject to conditions which will help mitigate these impacts. Minerals sites will also be required to be restored at the earliest opportunity to a beneficial after-use that will at least be equal in value to what was originally there before.

We accept and approve of the rejected options which appear to be based on logical reasons.

No Change

Support for the rejected options noted. Revisions have been made to the Publication draft Local Plan which should be noted by the representor.

This is an official objection to any further developments and to no more development to feather individual pockets.

No Change

Comment noted. However, the council is required to produce a local plan and to provide a spatial strategy to meet objectively assessed needs. The council has produced independent evidence to support the objectively assessed needs,

Support the local plan and applaud the non-use of green belt land for building. Green belt is critical in supporting wildlife and stopping the merging of villages into one urban sprawl.

No Change

Support noted.

The council's plan is not easy to view on the website and the sheer weight of information makes it difficult to use. Is this a deliberate attempt to discourage residents' input.

No Change

The document is far too complicated for most residents.

The Local plan is supported by an extensive range of supporting documents and background evidence to justify its contents. This has been made available on line for transparency and information. It is appreciated that there



Summary of Comments

The online system is complicated to use.

The council have failed in their duties under Regulation 18 of the Town and Country Planning Regulations 2012 to inform the residents of Mirfield and Sands Lane. It is considered that further areas of Kirklees will be affected.

Why hasn't everyone in Kirklees been notified of the Plan so that they can comment. I only found out about a development in the greenbelt nearby by chance. The plan affects everyone.

The plan should have been more widely promoted.

A Draft Local Plan is a great tool for showing residents how future development of their local area will occur. However such a plan must first be studied by local residents before gaining approval from the majority as a precursor to development. This Draft Plan for Kirklees fails on both accounts.

The local plan was not publicised openly and fairly and only two places had the full plan to view. The consultation period was initially too short and had to be extended and councillors failed to let communities know quick enough.

Although the Coal Authority is pleased to see that coal mining legacy issues have been identified for the proposed allocations. However, we are disappointed that in the 'Report/commentary' section of the allocations tables there is no mention of the need for the proposals to be supported by a Coal Mining Risk Assessment, as required by national planning policy.

The Coal Authority also notes that there appears to be no consideration of mineral sterilisation in the tables related to the site allocations. As the LPA are aware the site allocation methodology should have identified the need to consider mineral sterilisation as part of proposal which come forward in the area of surface coal resource.

Change Requested - The Coal Authority request that the need for proposals on allocated sites within the defined Development High Risk Area to be supported by a Coal Mining Risk Assessment should be identified in the allocations tables in order to ensure that it is clearly flagged as a requirement.

The Coal Authority would also request that all the allocated sites be considered against the surface coal resource data provided to the LPA and the need to consider the potential for mineral sterilisation and address this issue should be identified in the site allocations tables.

Reason: In order to ensure that the site allocations process is in accordance with the requirements of the NPPF

Pleased to see constraints such as flood zones and the risks of contamination included in the key issues of each draft allocation. Particularly encouraged to see that for a number of sites, flood risk areas have been taken out of the developable area and/or sites that are located in flood risk areas, the need for the application of the sequential approach has been identified. Please refer to our comments in the 'Site Allocations accompanying notes' for our comments in relation to the Sequential Test (Environment Agency)

**Paragraph 1.2**

DLP\_AD85

No comments were received on this part of the Plan.

**Paragraph 1.4**

DLP\_AD5963

The proposed Policies, Implementation, Delivery and Land Allocation strategies will not deliver the noble

Council Response

is a lot of information but given the complexity and volume of plan issues it is considered that this is proportion to ensure that the plan is sound.

The council's processes for dealing with consultation and outcomes from consultation are outlined in its Statement of Consultation. This identifies a wide range of methods used to involve stakeholders in the process. It is considered that the consultation arrangements at all stages completed with the council's statement of community involvement and regulatory requirements.

Change

Agree to reference in site allocation boxes to coal mining risk assessment

Proposed Change

Amend appropriate site allocation boxes in the Allocations and Designations Plan to refer to the need for a coal mining risk

Reason:

To provide clarity in determining future planning applications.

No Change

Support from Environment Agency noted.

Support

Conditional Support

Object 1

No Comment

No Change

Support

Conditional Support

Object 1

No Comment

No Change

Summary of Comments

statements at the beginning of the document. They destroy the very things the Council says it is setting out to preserve and enhance. A huge gulf exists between high level, overarching statements and their interpretation in the detail of documents. There is a lack of cohesion which needs to be addressed at the next stage.

**Paragraph 1.7**

DLP\_AD5469

Is it possible to provide both the gross area of each allocated site as it is shown today, but also the net area, on the maps, so that consultees can more accurately assess where on an actual site building work may actually be permitted if the plan were to go ahead - this is especially important on the large sites where looking at the gross allocation could give a misleading view of the size of the site which is actually useable. (e.g. Site E1831 is 24.57 Ha Gross but only 11.72Ha Net but you cannot tell on the plan which part of the site has been removed).

**Paragraph 2.1**

DLP\_AD3820, DLP\_AD7423, DLP\_AD10939

Consider that part of Outlane golf course adjacent to the motorway, west of junction 23 would make an ideal employment site and be more cost effective than those proposed in the plan.

Most of the sites proposed for employment development in Kirklees do not have a significant adverse impact on the operation of the motorway network in and around the District when considered individually. However, the overall scale of employment, housing and mixed use development proposed in the Draft Local Plan does have a significant adverse traffic impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network in West Yorkshire and its junctions with the local primary road network. The overall impact is greater when the land use development proposals for Kirklees are assessed in combination with those of neighbouring local planning authorities.

Individual sites with a severe adverse impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network are expected to require physical mitigation measures and travel plans in order to minimise the impact of the traffic they generate and attract. Highways England has a number of planned improvements to the Strategic Road Network funded as part of the government's Road Investment Strategy (RIS). These schemes will provide additional capacity at congested locations. Sites which have the greatest individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by that site.

Where site development has a severe impact on the SRN, measures will be required to reduce and mitigate that impact. Any site that has a severe individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by the site. Where committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment, sites may need to deliver or contribute to additional schemes identified by the Highways England WYIS and included in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) or other appropriate schemes (Highways England).

Road congestion and roads at capacity leading to lengthy journey times and increased pollution.

Brownfield should be developed in preference to greenfield. Agricultural land should be protected from development.

Council Response

The vision and strategic objectives are derived from early engagement, national and regional policy, wider council strategies and the issues facing the district. It is considered that the plan provides a full suite of policies to work towards this vision and to deliver sustainable development in keeping with the NPPF.

Support	Conditional Support	Object 1	No Comment
---------	---------------------	----------	------------

No Change

The gross and net areas are recorded in the site allocations boxes. The level of detail to provide both the gross and net figures on a plan is a level of detail that is not required for the local plan and can be dealt with at the detailed planning application stage.

Support	Conditional Support 1	Object 2	No Comment
---------	-----------------------	----------	------------

No Change

This is a site specific issue which does not form part of this part of the Plan.

No Change

The sites have been assessed individually for highway impacts and the cumulative effects of development has been assessed through the council's transport modelling.

Policy DLP19 Strategic Transport Assessment identifies committed schemes programmed by Highways England to address known highways issues. Schemes have been identified in the location of Cooper Bridge and the M62 Junction 24a which will assist in delivering strategic employment sites.

No Change

Comment noted. Sites have been assessed individually for transport impacts and the cumulative impacts assessed through the transport model produced for the Local Plan.

No Change

Agree. The spatial development strategy outlines the priority for development with the use of previously developed land and buildings within settlements as the top priority.

Summary of Comments

Council Response

Infrastructure capacity is insufficient. Health services, buses, roads and parking and drainage are inadequate for the number of people already living in this area.

No Change

The addition of extra housing to this area needs to be stopped before we lose all our green spaces, and cannot move due to the volume of people and traffic.

Infrastructure requirements have been considered as part of the site allocation process and where infrastructure is required this is noted in the site boxes.

The Local Plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan. The council has produced a Technical Paper on Infrastructure which provides further evidence of how infrastructure has been considered as part of the plan preparation.

The proposed level of housing and industrial development is not required and the district does not have the capacity to accommodate it.

No Change

Comment noted. The council is required to produce a Local Plan and to produce a spatial strategy which addresses objectively assessed needs. The council has produced evidence to justify these needs which forms part of its supporting documents. The Publication draft Local Plan also sets out evidence to explain how it is meeting its employment and housing needs.

**Paragraph 3.1**

Support 1                      Conditional Support                      Object                      No Comment

DLP\_AD3525

Would it be possible to include an area south west of Grange Moor as a PEA. Area at present employs a number of people, subject to planning will employ more in the future.

**Paragraph 4.1**

Support 3                      Conditional Support 4                      Object 74                      No Comment 1

DLP\_AD22, DLP\_AD35, DLP\_AD79, DLP\_AD86, DLP\_AD135, DLP\_AD158, DLP\_AD777, DLP\_AD816, DLP\_AD897, DLP\_AD992, DLP\_AD1023, DLP\_AD1025, DLP\_AD1034, DLP\_AD1619, DLP\_AD1801, DLP\_AD2398, DLP\_AD2428, DLP\_AD2532, DLP\_AD2598, DLP\_AD2622, DLP\_AD2628, DLP\_AD3055, DLP\_AD3411, DLP\_AD3577, DLP\_AD3824, DLP\_AD3922, DLP\_AD5022, DLP\_AD5153, DLP\_AD5371, DLP\_AD5528, DLP\_AD5756, DLP\_AD5772, DLP\_AD5776, DLP\_AD5863, DLP\_AD6134, DLP\_AD6152, DLP\_AD6201, DLP\_AD6232, DLP\_AD6354, DLP\_AD6433, DLP\_AD6643, DLP\_AD6804, DLP\_AD7034, DLP\_AD7121, DLP\_AD7230, DLP\_AD7234, DLP\_AD7424, DLP\_AD7991, DLP\_AD8159, DLP\_AD8160, DLP\_AD8199, DLP\_AD8209, DLP\_AD8216, DLP\_AD8303, DLP\_AD8315, DLP\_AD8323, DLP\_AD8324, DLP\_AD8346, DLP\_AD8450, DLP\_AD8482, DLP\_AD8483, DLP\_AD8531, DLP\_AD8755, DLP\_AD8817, DLP\_AD8826, DLP\_AD9102, DLP\_AD9142, DLP\_AD10109, DLP\_AD10160, DLP\_AD10187, DLP\_AD10220, DLP\_AD10312, DLP\_AD10315, DLP\_AD10316, DLP\_AD10438, DLP\_AD10643, DLP\_AD10933, DLP\_AD10934, DLP\_AD10938, DLP\_AD10948, DLP\_AD10953, DLP\_AD11041

Sites only included because site owners have put them forward.

No Change

The council has undertaken a series of call for sites exercise to enable landowners to come forward. Deliverability of the council's spatial strategy will be tested at examination by an independent inspector so it is important to determine that there are willing landowners to bring sites forward. Notwithstanding this, every site has been assessed in accordance with the local plan site methodology which forms part of the council's evidence.

Issues with topography, geological survey of all old coal and ironstone mines should be undertaken.

No Change

As part of the site allocation process, the suitability of sites for development has been considered including issues of topography, geological and coal mining risk issues. In response to a representation from the Coal Authority, the site box allocation text will make reference, where required to coal mining risk assessments. Further as part of the the consultation on the Publication draft Local Plan, further evidence will be published on the outcomes of site assessment.

Use brownfield site first, use sites with planning permission, former railway/water land, empty buildings, CPO power should be used.

No Change

Agree. The spatial development strategy makes reference to the priority for the development of land which places the use of previously developed land and buildings as the top priority.

Oppose use of greenfield, green belt, proposals will cause urban sprawl

No Change

The spatial development strategy sets out the priority for development of land with the re-use of previously

Summary of Comments	Council Response
	developed land and buildings as the top priority. In order to meet objectively assessed needs and to meet the requirements of businesses, the local plan has to release greenfield and green belt land.
New homes should be affordable and suitable for young and older people, favouring terraced housing / townhouses - existing houses for sale tend to be larger, need for smaller homes.	No Change  The local plan contains a policy DLP11 Housing mix and affordable housing which seeks to promote high levels of design to create mixed and balanced communities and to provide accommodation to meet identified housing needs.
New build properties are not energy neutral.	No Change  Comment noted.
Use Cross Lane site Kirkheaton for housing - unclear where this is but if this refers to Crossley Lane there are sites in that location on former industrial land which have planning permission for housing.	No Change  Crossley Lane is allocated as housing site H2594a in the Publication draft Local Plan. See Allocations and Designations document
Loss of agricultural land, should focus on local food growing.	No Change  The Publication draft Local Plan makes reference to best and most versatile agricultural land. In the Spatial Strategy. The vision makes reference to opportunities for local food growing.
General objection to impact of Farnley Estates proposals.	No Change  The comment is noted. This relates to a site specific issues which are dealt with in the allocations and designations document.
Cumulative impact on schools, drainage, water supply, sewage infrastructure, flood risk (fluvial and surface water), health provision, leisure provision, shops, wildlife, traffic, general infrastructure, landscape, character.	No Change  Following the consultation on the draft Local Plan and revised evidence, a review of all site allocations and designations was undertaken. Individual sites have been assessed by a range of technical consultees and the cumulative impact of development considered through transport planning, school place planning and air quality.  The plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out infrastructure requirements to support the spatial strategy. Additionally, Policy DLP19 Strategic Transport Infrastructure sets out committed transport schemes to address known highway issues.  It is considered that the spatial strategy is fully justified and that infrastructure has been properly considered.
Road congestion / capacity issues especially at peak times, additional traffic management required (specific reference to A62, M62, M1 and access to these, A58 Whitehall Road East, Penistone Road, Woodsome Road, Holmfirth town centre, New Mill centre, A6024/A616 Honley, Berry Brow, Lockwood, Chapel Hill, Huddersfield ring road, Thirstin Road).	No Change  Policy DLP19 Strategic Transport Infrastructure sets out committed transport schemes to address known highway issues.  As part of the site assessment, an assessment of the impact on local links and the highway network was considered as part of the site selection process.
General objection to proposals around Huddersfield, Kirklees Rural, Kirklees South East, Holme Valley, Mirfield, Lepton, Fenay Bridge, Farnley Tyas, Kirkburton, Woodsome Valley, Storthes Hall, Honley, Cleckheaton, Hunsworth, Emley, Denby Dale ward, Birstall, Gomersal, East Bierley, Birkenshaw, Thurstonland, Almondbury, Kirkburton, Holmfirth.	No Change  Following the consultation on the draft Local Plan and revised evidence, a review of all site allocations and designations was undertaken. Individual sites have been assessed by a range of technical consultees and the cumulative impact of development considered through transport planning, school place planning and air quality.  The plan is supported by an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which sets out infrastructure requirements to support the spatial strategy.

Summary of Comments	Council Response
Development directed towards area such as Holme Valley to generate high council taxes.	<p>Revised allocations are identified in the Publication draft Local Plan Allocations and Designations document.</p> <p>No Change</p> <p>Comment noted. The spatial strategy has been shaped by the strengths and opportunities identified for each of the four sub-areas, evidence and consultation.</p>
Health issues due to building on areas used for recreation, air pollution from roads.	<p>No Change</p> <p>Site allocations have been assessed by a range of technical consultees such as Public health, environmental health and transportation colleagues to assess health impacts. Where required they have identified mitigation measures to address impacts from development.</p> <p>The cumulative impact of development has been assessed through transport modelling and an air quality model to further assess health impacts and potential mitigation measures.</p> <p>A review of the open spaces within Kirklees has been undertaken and this forms part of the evidence base to support the Local Plan. Further policy DLP32 Strategic Green Infrastructure seeks to protect the function and connectivity of green infrastructure networks and assets and policy DLP 48 Healthy active lifestyles seek to promote access to a range of high quality, well maintained and accessible open spaces, sports, leisure and cultural facilities.</p>
Several sites (H29, H94, H102, H138, H222, H351, H481, H481, H502, H508, H519, H564, H660, H688, H690, H758, H809, H811 and H1783) do not have a significant individual traffic impact but may need to contribute to additional schemes identified in the IDP if committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity (Highways England)	<p>No Change</p> <p>Comments noted. These additional schemes are referred to in the justification text at the Strategic Transport Infrastructure Policy. It is anticipated that additional schemes will be funded via a range of funding mechanisms at the time of individual planning applications.</p>
Construction of sites with the greatest impact should be phased to take place following completion of the committed RIS improvements (Highways England)	<p>No Change</p> <p>Tying development and road infrastructure completion together is difficult to achieve, each development will be assessed on its own merits and potential impacts on the Strategic Road Network at that point in time. Appropriate mitigation will be identified from a variety of funding mechanisms.</p>
Lack of local jobs.	<p>No Change</p> <p>The employment strategy within the local plan identifies the council's commitment to delivering jobs over the plan period based on meeting objectively assessed housing needs. Evidence was commissioned to obtain an independent view of the objectively assessed needs and this forms part of the evidence base.</p> <p>The plan identifies allocations such as Cooper Bridge and Chidswell to meet strategic employment needs and policy DLP 8 Safeguarding employment land seeks to protect employment sites to meet local needs. Additionally there are a range of mixed use allocations and town centre policies and DLP 10 Supporting the rural economy which will support job creation.</p>
Sites may need to deliver or contribute to additional schemes identified by the Highways England WYIS (Highways England)	<p>No Change</p> <p>Comments noted. These schemes are referred to in the justification text at Strategic Transport Infrastructure Policy. It is anticipated that additional schemes will be funded via a range of funding mechanisms at the time of individual planning applications.</p>
Little or no consultation with surrounding authorities.	<p>No Change</p> <p>The council's duty of co-operate statement which will be published as part of the consultation on the Publication draft Local Plan will contain details of authorities and duty to co-operate bodies involvement in the plan and the outcomes of this involvement on shaping the plan. The council considers that it has met its duty to co-operate requirements.</p>

Summary of Comments

Council Response

Individual sites with a severe adverse impact are expected to require physical mitigation measures and travel plans to minimise impact. (Highways England)

No Change

Policy DLP22 Highways and Access relates to the requirement for individual proposals to have a Transport Statement, Transport Assessment and Travel Plan.

Most of the sites proposed for housing do not have a significant adverse impact on the motorway development, but the overall scale of employment, housing and mixed use sites does have a significant adverse traffic impact on operation of strategic road network in West Yorkshire. (Highways England)

No Change

Policy DLP19 specifically relates to strategic transport infrastructure schemes and TS13 Strategic Route Network Improvements is a new transport scheme which specifically relates to schemes on the Strategic Road Network.

Housing developments should be dispersed on to smaller sites.

No Change

The local plan has been shaped by the strengths and opportunities identified in each of the four sub-areas. The local plan contains a range of sites 0.4ha and above. The plan includes some key strategic sites which are necessary to meet objectively assessed needs and the size of the sites will allow necessary infrastructure to come forward.

Disruption caused by construction process.

No Change

Comment noted. At the time of a detailed planning application, conditions can be made on the application to reduce disruption from construction such as times of operation.

No comparable 'traffic light' summary available to compare accepted sites.

Change

Noted. As part of the consultation on the Publication draft local plan, it is intended to publish traffic light summaries for both the accepted and rejected sites to address this issue.

The land allocated for housing is suitable and appropriate to meet local needs.

No Change

Site allocations have been assessed following the council's local plan methodology and site selection process which forms part of the evidence of the local plan.

Further the local plan contains a range of development management policies against which detailed planning applications will be considered to ensure that proposals are suitable and appropriate.

Most development sites should have a net developable area that is smaller than the gross area taking account of shape, topography, relationship to the highway network and adjoining development/land uses, and the presence of site specific constraints, this needs to be reflected in site allocations.

No Change

The gross and net site areas has been identified in each of the site allocation text boxes and the capacity of the site has been determined on the net area.

Three sites (H706, H1747 and H2089) have major individual adverse impacts based on the predicted numbers of trips generated on links on the motorway network. (Highways England)

No Change

Comments noted. Transport schemes TS1 - TS13 identify proposed mitigation to combat any adverse impacts on the local highway network and the Strategic Road Network. It is noted that additional mitigation measures will be required on the Strategic Road Network throughout the Plan period.

**Paragraph 5.1**

Support

Conditional Support 1

Object 1

No Comment

DLP\_AD3835, DLP\_AD4024

Most of the sites proposed for development for mixed land uses in Kirklees do not have a significant adverse impact on the operation of the motorway network in and around the District when considered individually. However, the overall scale of employment, housing and mixed use development proposed in the Draft Local Plan does have a significant adverse traffic impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network in West Yorkshire and its junctions with the local primary road network. The overall impact is greater when the land use development proposals for Kirklees are assessed in combination with those of

Summary of Comments

Council Response

neighbouring local planning authorities.

Individual sites with a severe adverse impact on the operation of the Strategic Road Network are expected to require physical mitigation measures and travel plans in order to minimise the impact of the traffic they generate and attract. Highways England has a number of planned improvements to the Strategic Road Network funded as part of the government's Road Investment Strategy (RIS). These schemes will provide additional capacity at congested locations. Sites which have the greatest individual impact will need to demonstrate that any committed RIS schemes are sufficient to deal with the additional demand generated by that site.

Where committed schemes will not provide sufficient capacity or where Highways England does not have committed investment, sites may need to deliver or contribute to schemes identified in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan or other appropriate schemes.

Construction of sites with the greatest individual impact should also be phased to take place following completion of the committed RIS improvements.

The close proximity of retail and leisure facilities, plus the associated staff and customer's cars will be put at risk of what is now called low level crime, if this proposal goes ahead

No Change

The mixed use sites identified in this chapter have been assessed against the Local Plan site methodology and are considered suitable for development.

Design issues relating to the site can be addressed as part of a future planning application in accordance with national policy and the full suite of local plan policies.

**Paragraph 6.1 - Town Centre Proposals**

DLP\_AD3841, DLP\_AD5305

Support                      Conditional Support    2                      Object                      No Comment

There is not detail in relation to the extent of office development or any proposed increase in retail floor space for the town centres in Kirklees. Such information is required to determine how the district operates in relation to these uses and traffic generation.

No change

The supporting technical papers set out summaries of the latest evidence on office and retail.

Space above shops should be used to provide residential accommodation for local residents, in particular students or those that do not have access to a car to provide affordable accommodation.

No change

Local plan policy supports residential use on upper floors within town centres

**Paragraph 6.31 - Town Centre Proposals**

DLP\_AD5482

Support                      Conditional Support    1                      Object                      No Comment

The provision of a primary shopping area for Cleckheaton is supported and it provides important support for the operation of the town centre. Additional pedestrians and limiting of parking should be considered, and Market Place should be improved as it is currently used as a car park. However the primary shopping frontage should be extended to include the northern side of Horncastle Street due to a supermarket opening under application 2015/91170.

No change

Support noted.

Cleckheaton town centre boundary has been amended to include the supermarket. The primary shopping frontage is the retail core of the town centre where retail uses are concentrated.

**Paragraph 7**

DLP\_AD920

Support                      Conditional Support    1                      Object                      No Comment

The Chapter on Transport outlines plans and proposals for improvements to the local primary road network, public transport, walking and cycling but makes no reference to the strategic road network.

Proposed Change

The Strategic Transport Infrastructure Policy relates proposals on the Strategic Road Network and TS13 specifically refers to Strategic Road Network proposals.

A Flockton Relief road, along with some improvements for pedestrians on the A637 in the immediate future,

No Change

## Summary of Comments

as part of a plan to give Flockton and Grange Moor equal and safe facilities and provisions that other South Kirklees villages rightly have. They have been neglected.

There is insufficient car parking within Holmfirth town centre. Every day there are long lines of cars parked all along Greenfield Road, on Dunford Road, on Station Road and along Huddersfield Road whose occupants work in the town centre where there is very little affordable long term parking provision. It would not be difficult to establish how many extra spaces are required, but, from a very quick estimate, there must be more than 100 cars lining these streets every day, to the annoyance of local residents & increasing congestion because few of those streets are wide. Increasing parking restrictions cannot be a solution because the drivers of the cars need to park within walking distance of the town centre.

There seems to have been no investigation of solutions beyond altering the sequence of the Huddersfield Road/Victoria Street traffic lights in Holmfirth. There needs to be an investigation of how best to redirect cross valley traffic flows so that traffic meets Huddersfield Road away from the congested Victoria Street junction. Options such as a new link road between Station Road or New Mill Road & Huddersfield Road or even improving existing roads have never been looked at by Kirklees Council despite the problems having been known about and having become much worse over many years. Unless some action is devised and works undertaken the grid lock will become permanent.

Re-locate Leeds Bradford Airport to Mirfield.

Huddersfield railway station needs improvement with regard to parking which is inadequate for such a busy station. I support the proposal to build a large public car park with new pedestrian access to the station, it can't come soon enough. Also, the existing drop off/pick up facility does not function during rush hour, particular in the late afternoon when there is severe congestion. It needs to be urgently reviewed and improved.

### Transport

DLP\_AD87, DLP\_AD3910, DLP\_AD7010, DLP\_AD7011, DLP\_AD7456, DLP\_AD8336, DLP\_AD9085

More parking provision needed at Huddersfield Railway Station. Large public car park needed with pedestrian link.

This section needs reference to Strategic Road Network - see rep DLP\_AD3910

Holmfirth - town cannot sustain level of development proposed without some kind of transport scheme to alleviate problem. Binns Lane is a rat run. Cooper Lane has problems of parked cars and speeding traffic. A road from Upperthong to Greenfield Road is needed. Problems of gridlock in Holmfirth town centre. Problems of lack of parking in Holmfirth town centre.

## Council Response

It is difficult to justify Flockton Village bypass in the current environment because:

Funding for major new infrastructure is currently focused on projects that primarily support economic growth. The Flockton bypass (in isolation) may have local environmental and social benefits, but would have little economic impact.

No Change

Comments noted. Public car parks require substantial outlay, including the cost of running the car parks (e.g. rates, enforcement) and maintenance. Whilst free parking can be seen as a positive to the motorist, it can also have a detrimental impact by way of shop workers parking in the free spaces limiting parking for the customer, additionally it is important that the Council supports its partners across the West Yorkshire Combined Authority in supporting and promoting bus travel and by investing in infrastructure and facilities which encourage greater public transport take up through better journey times and all round passenger experiences.

Proposed Change

Victoria Street junction in Holmfirth is recognised as a location where some form of improvements may be required to mitigate the effects of development traffic over and above alterations to existing traffic signal phasing. This is now recognised in TS3 Huddersfield Southern Gateways.

No Change

Comments noted.

No Change

Comments noted. Improvements to Huddersfield railway station (including a re-located pick up and drop off) are noted in TS9. Funding has been identified for this project through the West Yorkshire Transport Fund.

Support

Conditional Support 2

Object 5

No Comment

No Change

Comments noted. Improvements to Huddersfield railway station (including a re-located pick up and drop off) are noted in TS9. Funding has been identified for this project through the West Yorkshire Transport Fund.

Proposed Change

TS13 - Strategic Road Network Improvements specifically refer to improvements on the motorway network.

No Change

Victoria Street junction in Holmfirth is recognised as a location where some form of improvements may be required to mitigate the effects of development traffic over and above alterations to existing traffic signal phasing. This is now recognised in TS3 Huddersfield Southern Gateways.

Comments noted. Public car parks require substantial outlay, including the cost of running the car parks (e.g. rates, enforcement) and maintenance. Whilst free parking can be seen as a positive to the motorist, it can also have a detrimental impact by way of shop workers parking in the free spaces limiting parking for the customer, additionally it is important that the Council supports its partners across the West Yorkshire Combined Authority





Summary of Comments

across Lindley Moor at AS3513/2 and south of AS3544/2. HDAS have a high level of confidence about the line of this Roman road.

Proposed new archaeological designations at:

1. Carr Dike
2. Farnley Mill
3. Mytholmbride Farm
4. Upper Heaton Pottery Kiln
5. Wolfstone Heights

**Table Kirklees Rural Conservation Area**

DLP\_AD4825

Proposed Conservation Area at Park Head at Birds Edge.

**Table Kirklees Local Green Space**

DLP\_AD10899, DLP\_AD10980, DLP\_AD11003

Allocate Savoy Square, Cleckheaton as urban green space. Well-used amenity which contributes to the character of the town.

The Village Association supports the designation of the following areas of Green Space within the Village which would then secure protection;

the land at the top of Church Close which is the former graveyard of the former Shepley New Connexion Church;  
 the Village Green which is a triangular piece of land at the junction of Marsh Lane & Cliffe Rd which is currently undergoing the process for designation as a Village Green;  
 The green space on Manor Grange which was provided as an open green space when the land was developed;  
 The green space on Stonebridge Walk which was provided as an open green space when the land was developed;  
 The green space on Well Ings Close which was provided as an open green space when the land was developed;  
 The green space at the junction of Field Way & Field Head which is adjacent to the pensioner bungalows;  
 The green space at top of Field Way, on either side of the road at the junction of Jos Lane;  
 The green space on Jos Lane which is adjacent to the Health Centre, alongside the car parking which fronts the Health Centre site;  
 The green space either side of the junction of Jos Way and Field Way;  
 The green space between North Row and Cliffe Rd, adjacent to the Village Green referenced above; thought to be part of the original Village Green.  
 The Field which is adjacent to 9 Cliffe Rd, which is known as the Sledge Field in the Village.

**Table Dewsbury & Mirfield Urban Green Space**

DLP\_AD2189

Less than one third of the green space in Mirfield is public open land. The rest is privately owned, or belonging to a school. This is already insufficient for the needs of the current residents of Mirfield. Green space is the lungs of the town and it is imperative that it is retained and improved upon.

Council Response

Proposed change

New class 2 archaeological site to be included in the Local Plan for the Upper Heaton Pottery Kiln

Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	---	--------	------------

No Change

Comment noted. The creation of a new Conservation Area is dealt with by separate legislation and is not within the remit of the development plan.

Support	Conditional Support		Object	3	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--	--------	---	------------

No Change

This site has been considered as Local Green Space options

No Change

These sites have been considered as Local Green Space options

Support	Conditional Support		Object	1	No Comment
---------	---------------------	--	--------	---	------------

No change.

The Local Plan recognises the importance of valuable open spaces through the allocation of urban green space sites. Existing urban green space sites in Mirfield have been assessed through the Local Plan process and have

Summary of Comments

Council Response

been deemed to merit continued protection (with some minor boundary changes) as urban green space in the Local Plan. A new urban green space site is also proposed at Wellhouse Lane Football Ground, Mirfield. The Local Plan will also seek to ensure that new housing developments address the need for open space, sport and recreation facilities to help meet deficiencies through policy DLP 65 (New Open Space).

**Table Batley & Spen Archaeological Sites**

DLP\_AD2187

Support	Conditional Support	1	Object	No Comment
---------	---------------------	---	--------	------------

No comments were received on this part of the Plan.

No Change